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#### Abstract

Fundamental Electron Transfer and Spin Dynamics in Organic Donor-Acceptor Systems for Quantum Information Science Applications


Laura Bancroft
This thesis contains two research projects. The first research project examined the coherent nature of electron transfer in two donor-acceptor dyads - one single acceptor control compound and one dual acceptor molecule of interest. Using transient absorption spectroscopy in the ultraviolet/visible/near-infrared and mid-infrared regions, magnetic field effect experiments, and theoretical calculations, we determined that charge recombination in the molecule of interest is electronically incoherent and spin coherent at 295 K in toluene. The second research project explored the effect of laser positioning in electron spin teleportation in a donor-acceptor-radical triad. We used pulse electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy and density matrix model simulations to observe the spin echo as a function of laser delay. The resulting data show damped oscillations as a function of delay, and simulations of this system agree with experimental results and provide fundamental insight into the spin dynamics of this system. Teleportation fidelity as a function of laser delay was also calculated to show oscillations also due to the phase factor between the quadrature detection channels.
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### 1.1 Abstract

Quantum coherence effects on charge transfer and spin dynamics in a system having two degenerate electron acceptors are studied using a zinc 5,10,15-tri(n-pentyl)-20-phenylporphyrin $(\mathrm{ZnP})$ electron donor covalently linked to either one or two naphthalene-1,8:4,5bis(dicarboximide) (NDI) electron acceptors using an anthracene (An) spacer, $\mathrm{ZnP}-\mathrm{An}-\mathrm{NDI}(\mathbf{1})$ and $\mathrm{ZnP}-\mathrm{An}-\mathrm{NDI}_{2}$ (2), respectively. Following photoexcitation of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ in toluene at 295 K , femtosecond transient absorption spectroscopy shows that the electron transfer (ET) rate constant for $\mathbf{2}$ is about three times larger than that of $\mathbf{1}$, which can be accounted for by the statistical nature of incoherent ET as well as the electron couplings for the charge separation reactions. In contrast, the rate constant for charge recombination (CR) of $\mathbf{1}$ is about $25 \%$ faster than that of $\mathbf{2}$. Using femtosecond transient infrared spectroscopy and theoretical analysis, we find that the electron on $\mathrm{NDI}_{2}{ }^{-}$in 2 localizes onto one of the two NDIs prior to CR , thus precluding electronically coherent CR from $\mathrm{NDI}_{2}{ }^{\circ}$. Conversely, CR in both $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ is spin coherent as indicated by the observation of a resonance in the ${ }^{3^{*}} \mathrm{ZnP}$ yield following CR as a function of applied magnetic field, giving spinspin exchange interaction energies of $2 J=210$ and 236 mT , respectively, where the linewidth of the resonance for $\mathbf{2}$ is greater than $\mathbf{1}$. These data show that while CR is a spin-coherent process, incoherent hopping of the electron between the two NDIs in 2, consistent with the lack of delocalization noted above, results in greater spin decoherence in $\mathbf{2}$ relative to $\mathbf{1}$.

### 1.2 Introduction

Expanding simple electron donor-acceptor (D-A) systems to larger structures with multiple donors and/or acceptors raises the possibility that site-to-site charge (spin) hopping or complete delocalization, where the wavefunction simultaneously involves two or more sites, can influence both charge transfer and spin dynamics. Previously, systems with either two identical donors or acceptors have been studied using non-covalent D/A mixtures ${ }^{2-4}$ and assemblies, ${ }^{5}$ as well as covalent D-A dyads ${ }^{6}$ and triads, ${ }^{7,8}$ all of which have the potential for electron/hole hopping or delocalization between the two A or D species, respectively. ${ }^{9,10}$

In the specific case of two degenerate electron acceptors, $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{A}_{2}$, we have shown that electron transfer can proceed coherently to a delocalized state of the dimeric acceptor. The charge transfer is a consequence of renormalization of the electronic coupling for electron transfer as well as the system bath interactions. ${ }^{11}$ Under cryogenic conditions, delocalization is maintained throughout the charge separation process, resulting in a non-statistical rate enhancement for charge transfer to two acceptors relative to one acceptor. We demonstrated this fully-coherent charge transfer in a triptycene-bridged anthracene-benzoquinone system, where electron transfer from the anthracene lowest excited singlet state to two equivalent benzoquinones occurs five times faster than to a single benzoquinone acceptor. Similarly, using a $p$-( 9 -anthryl)- $N, N$-dimethylaniline (DMA-An) donor and a dimeric naphthalene-1,8:4,5-bis(dicarboximide) (NDI) acceptor, we observed a smaller non-statistical rate enhancement factor of $2.6 \pm 0.2$ for charge separation, and also a factor of $2.0 \pm 0.2$ for charge recombination. ${ }^{12}$

Coherent electron spin dynamics also play an important role in D-A systems intrinsic to natural ${ }^{13,14}$ and artificial ${ }^{15,16}$ photosynthesis, avian navigation, ${ }^{17,18}$ and quantum information science (QIS). ${ }^{19-23}$ In the context of natural and artificial photosynthesis, the polarization and delocalization of spin among multiple cofactors plays a crucial role in dictating the reaction mechanism and kinetics. ${ }^{24,25}$ With regard to QIS, photogenerated radical pairs in organic D-A molecules have many desirable qualities that make them promising spin qubit pairs (SQPs) and that fulfill the well-known DiVincenzo criteria for viable qubits. ${ }^{26}$ For example, sub-nanosecond electron transfer from D to A following laser photoexcitation produces an entangled SQP having a well-defined spin state, which allows for reliable execution of quantum gate operations without the use of ultralow temperatures or high magnetic fields. ${ }^{22,23}$

Spin hopping or delocalization can have a significant impact on SQP spin coherence. ${ }^{8,27-}$ ${ }^{35}$ For example, we recently compared the spin dynamics of a covalent $\mathrm{D}^{\bullet+}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{A}_{2}{ }^{--}$triad system to the corresponding $\mathrm{D}^{\bullet+}$ - $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{A}^{\bullet-}$ system, where C is a light-absorbing chromophoric acceptor. ${ }^{8}$ Photogeneration of the SQP in a magnetic field, $B_{0}$, results in Zeeman splitting of the SQP triplet


## Magnetic Field ( $B_{0}$ )

Figure 1.1. Zeeman splitting of RP energy levels ( $2 \mathrm{~J}>0$ ).
sublevels (Figure 1.1), where the spin-spin exchange coupling, $2 J$, is the energy gap between the singlet and triplet SQP states. This coupling is primarily a through-bond interaction, while the corresponding spin-spin dipolar interaction, $D$, is a through-space interaction. When $B_{0} \gg 2 J$ and $D$, the $\left|T_{+1}\right\rangle,\left|T_{0}\right\rangle$, and $\left|T_{-1}\right\rangle$ eigenstates are quantized along $B_{0}$ and the $|S\rangle$ and $\left|T_{0}\right\rangle$ state energies remain field invariant. ${ }^{36-39}$ Under these conditions, mixing between the initially-populated $|S\rangle$ and unpopulated $\left|T_{0}\right\rangle$ states of the SQP results in zero quantum coherence between $|S\rangle$ and $\left|T_{0}\right\rangle$. The value of $2 J$ is $<5 \mathrm{mT}$ in $\mathrm{D}^{\bullet+}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{A}^{\bullet-}$ and $\mathrm{D}^{\bullet+}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{A}_{2}{ }^{\circ}$ because there are a large number of bonds separating the two radicals in these triads. In addition, the value of $D$ is also less than 0.2 mT because of the long distance between the two spins. Our earlier work showed that rapid electron hopping within the dimeric acceptor of $\mathrm{D}^{\bullet+}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{A}_{2}{ }^{\bullet-}$ results in faster decoherence of the mixed $|S\rangle$ and $\left|T_{0}\right\rangle$ states than in the single acceptor $\mathrm{D}^{\bullet+}$ - $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{A}^{\bullet}$ reference system. ${ }^{8}$ This work also showed magnetic field dependent changes in the SQP population since $2 J$ is comparable to both the differential hyperfine interactions and the relaxation effects between $\mathrm{D}^{\circ+}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{A}^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{D}^{\circ+}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{A}_{2}{ }^{\circ}$. In contrast, if $2 J$ is large, coherent spin evolution is generally inhibited when $B_{0}=0$ and the system can remain locked in the initially populated $|S\rangle$ state for long times. ${ }^{40}$ However, applying a



Figure 1.2. Chemical structures of molecules studied.
magnetic field $B_{0}=2 J$ turns on $|S\rangle \leftrightarrow\left|T_{+1}\right\rangle(2 J>0)$ or $|S\rangle \leftrightarrow\left|T_{-1}\right\rangle(2 J<0)$ spin mixing, which allows one to probe the spin dynamics of the system.

To explore charge transfer and spin coherence in a system where $2 J$ is much larger than the hyperfine interactions, recombination is slow, and a local triplet state is energetically favorable, we designed a covalent $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{A}_{2}$ molecule comprising a zinc 5,10,15-tri(n-pentyl)-20phenylporphyrin $(\mathrm{ZnP})$ chromophoric electron donor and one or two NDI electron acceptors linked by an anthracene ( An ) spacer, $\mathrm{ZnP}-\mathrm{An}-\mathrm{NDI}(\mathbf{1})$ and $\mathrm{ZnP}-\mathrm{An}^{2}-\mathrm{NDI}_{2}$ (2), respectively (Figure 1.2). Compound 2 has two $\pi$-stacked NDI acceptors, while $\mathbf{1}$ has a photo- and electro-chemically innocent 1,2-cyclohexanedicarboximide in place of the second NDI acceptor. The An spacer places the two NDI acceptors of $\mathbf{2}$ in a $\pi$-stacked geometry, ${ }^{41}$ which has been shown previously to result in significant electronic coupling between them. ${ }^{42}$ The combination of the ZnP donor and NDI acceptor(s) yields a large free energy of reaction for charge separation and the An spacer places the SQP sufficiently close to ensure large $2 J$ values. ${ }^{43,44}$ The energy of the ZnP triplet state is $1.6 \mathrm{eV},{ }^{45}$ which opens a triplet charge recombination pathway and enables the direct measurement of $2 J$ using magnetic field-resolved transient absorption spectroscopy. The measured $2 J$ values, in combination with transient infrared absorption measurements and theoretical analysis, allow us to assess the influence of quantum coherence on the charge recombination and spin dynamics of the SQP in 2.

### 1.3 Methods

1.3.1 Steady-State Spectroscopy. UV-visible absorption spectra were obtained using a Shimadzu UV-1800 spectrometer in a quartz cuvette with a 1 mm path length.
1.3.2 Transient Absorption Spectroscopy. Femtosecond transient absorption experiments were performed using the instruments described in previous accounts. ${ }^{46}$ The $560 \mathrm{~nm} \sim 100$ fs pump pulse was generated using a commercial collinear optical parametric amplifier (TOPAS-Prime, LightConversion, LLC) while the 414 nm pump was the second harmonic of the fundamental. The pump pulse was depolarized to suppress polarization-dependent dynamics in the signal. The pump pulses had energies of $1 \mu \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{pulse}$. Spectra were collected using a customized Helios/EOS spectrometer (Ultrafast Systems, LLC). All transient absorption data sets were collected on samples with a path length of 1 mm and an optical density of $\sim 0.5$ at 414 nm for the toluene data and $\sim 0.25$ at 560 nm for the 1,4 -dioxane- $d_{8}$ data. All samples were put through three freeze-pump-thaw cycles to remove oxygen. Solution samples were stirred during run time to avoid degradation from localized heating. All datasets were background-subtracted to remove scatter from the pump pulse and corrected for time zero offsets and group delay dispersion using Surface Xplorer (Ultrafast Systems, LLC). The datasets were then each subjected to a global wavelength fitting analysis using a sequential 2-step model: $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ for the femtosecond transient absorption data and $\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ $\rightarrow \mathrm{G}$ for the nanosecond transient absorption data. For fitting the femtosecond transient absorption data, the rate constant $k_{\mathrm{BC}}$ was fixed at the value obtained from the nanosecond transient absorption data fit. The kinetic traces were fit at 5-6 wavelengths across the relevant spectral features of the species formed in each of the experiments.
1.3.3 Transient Femtosecond Infrared Spectroscopy. The femtosecond time-resolved infrared (fs IR) absorption apparatus has also been described previously, and was equipped with a HeliosFIRE IR spectrometer (Ultrafast Systems, LLC). ${ }^{47}$ The 560 nm excitation pulses were attenuated
to $2 \mu \mathrm{~J} /$ pulse and depolarized. The time resolution was $\sim 500 \mathrm{fs}$. Difference spectra were obtained by modulating the pump at 500 Hz using the optical chopper in the Helios-IR spectrometer. Spectra were acquired in 1000 nm windows spanning $5600-8200 \mathrm{~nm}\left(1219-1785 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and combined without scaling prior to kinetic analysis. The fs IR spectra were calibrated using the ground state FTIR spectra. The samples were prepared in 1,4-dioxane- $d_{8}$ with an optical density of $\sim 0.125$ at 560 nm in a demountable cell (Harrick Scientific) with a $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Teflon spacer with $2 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{CaF}_{2}$ windows. The sample holder was rastered during experiments to reduce the effects of local heating. Both datasets were background-subtracted to remove pump pulse scatter and corrected for time zero offsets and group delay dispersion using Surface Xplorer (Ultrafast Systems, LLC). The datasets were then each subjected to a global wavelength fitting analysis using a sequential 2-step model: $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$. The kinetic traces were fit at 5 wavelengths across the relevant spectral features of the species observed in each of the experiments.
1.3.4 Magnetic Field Effects. NsTA experiments were performed to monitor the charge recombination triplet yield of ${ }^{3 *} \mathrm{ZnP}$ as a function of applied magnetic field using an instrument described previously. ${ }^{48}$ A 414 nm excitation pump pulse was used to excite the Soret band of the ZnP subunit. The optical density of the samples was $\sim 0.5$ with a 1 mm path length at 414 nm . The nsTA spectra were integrated over 450-500 nm and $0.5-10 \mu$ s at each magnetic field value to ensure that only ${ }^{3 *} \mathrm{ZnP}$ was monitored. Periodically, the magnetic field was brought back to 0 mT to monitor the baseline and the ${ }^{3^{*}} \mathrm{ZnP}$ yields were then normalized to the yield at zero magnetic field. 1.3.5 Computational Details. Molecular structures of the ground and excited state species were optimized using density functional theory (DFT) and time-dependent density functional theory
(TDDFT) with the phe0 functional and the ma-def2-SVP basis set. ${ }^{49,50}$ The solvent effects were included using the PCM model (for toluene). The empirical dispersion correction with the BeckeJohnson damping ${ }^{51}$ was used in the DFT calculations. All of the computations were performed using the Gaussian 16 program. ${ }^{52}$ The donor-acceptor electron transfer coupling was computed using the generalized Mulliken-Hush approach ${ }^{53}$ with TDDFT computed dipole moments and transition dipole moments. The transition dipole moments between excited states were approximated using the response functions derived from linear response TDDFT calculations, and this approximation was validated by quadratic response TDDFT computations implemented in the DALTON2018 program. ${ }^{54}$ We benchmarked a wide range of DFT functionals and found that the donor-acceptor system of interest is best described using the pbe0 functional.

### 1.4 Results

1.4.1 Synthesis. Details of the synthetic procedures and characterization of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are given in the Supporting Information (SI).
1.4.2 Steady-State Spectroscopy. The normalized steady-state absorption spectra of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ in toluene are shown in Figure 1.3. Both $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ have similar absorption features with the NDI vibronic progression seen at 338,360 , and 381 nm . The intensity of these features is stronger in $\mathbf{2}$ owing to the second NDI unit. The normalized absorption intensity of $\mathbf{2}$ is not exactly twice that of 1 likely because of electronic coupling due to $H$-aggregation between the two NDI units. ${ }^{55,56}$ The Soret band of ZnP occurs at 425 nm along with a shoulder at 404 nm . The $\mathrm{Q}(1,0)$ band of ZnP appears at 555 nm and the $\mathrm{Q}(0,0)$ band at 595 nm in both compounds. The An absorption is
observed in the UV with small contributions to the spectrum between $300-350 \mathrm{~nm}$ that overlaps with the 338 and 360 nm NDI absorptions. ${ }^{57}$


Figure 1.3. Normalized steady-state UV-visible absorption of 1 and 2 in toluene at 295 K . Inset expands the ZnP Q-band region of the spectra.
1.4.3 Transient Absorption Spectroscopy. Femtosecond and nanosecond transient absorption spectroscopies, fsTA and nsTA, respectively, were used to elucidate the excited-state dynamics of $\mathbf{1}$ and 2. The excited singlet state of $\mathrm{ZnP}\left({ }^{1 *} \mathrm{ZnP}\right)$ was populated by exciting the Soret band using 414 nm laser pulses. Experiments were carried out in toluene at 295 K under a nitrogen atmosphere. The fsTA spectra for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ (Figure 1.4) have many of the same spectral features; however, the excited-state dynamics are different. Immediately after photoexcitation, the spectra show features of ${ }^{1 *} \mathrm{ZnP}$; namely, excited-state absorptions at 380,459 , and 1281 nm ; ground-state bleaching of the Soret band at 425 nm ; ground-state bleaching of the $\mathrm{Q}(1,0)$ band at 554 nm , overlapping ground-state bleaching and stimulated emission of the $\mathrm{Q}(0,0)$ band at 595 nm ; and stimulated emission of the $\mathrm{Q}(1,0)$ band at 652 nm . As the features of ${ }^{1 *} \mathrm{ZnP}$ decay, the absorption
features of $\mathrm{ZnP}^{++}$at 411 nm and $\mathrm{NDI}^{-}$at 473 and 608 nm , as well as the ground-state bleaches of NDI at 357 and 399 nm appear, which together are indicative of charge separation. This chargeseparated species is present throughout the $\sim 8$ ns pump-probe time delay range of the fsTA experiment and is the first species observed at the start of the nsTA experiment. At these longer times, the features of ${ }^{3 *} \mathrm{ZnP}$ appear as positive absorptions at 391 and 458 nm as the SQP features decay.


Figure 1.4. Transient absorption spectra at 295 K in toluene. FsTA spectra for (a) $\mathbf{1}$ and (b) 2. NsTA spectra for (c) $\mathbf{1}$ and (d) 2.

The fsTA and nsTA data were subjected to global analysis with states A, B, C, and G representing the ${ }^{1 *} \mathrm{ZnP}, \mathrm{ZnP}^{++}-\mathrm{An}-\mathrm{NDI}^{{ }^{-}}$or $\mathrm{ZnP}^{++}-\mathrm{An}^{-\mathrm{NDI}_{2}{ }^{-},}{ }^{3^{*}} \mathrm{ZnP}$ and the ground states, respectively. The evolution-associated spectra (EAS) along with kinetic fits and model populations are shown in Figures S1.1-S1.2. The effective ${ }^{1 *} \mathrm{ZnP}$ decay rate constant $k_{\mathrm{AB}}$ is the sum of the rate constants for the processes indicated in Figure 1.5. The rate constant $k_{\mathrm{BC}}$ is a composite of several processes involving the SQP including charge recombination from the singlet and triplet SQP states to the ground state $\left(k_{\mathrm{CRS}}\right)$ and ${ }^{3 *} \mathrm{ZnP}\left(k_{\mathrm{CRT}}\right)$, respectively, and spin mixing of the singlet and


Figure 1.5. Jablonski diagram for 1 and 2. IC = Internal Conversion, F = Fluorescence, CS = Charge Separation, ISC = Intersystem Crossing, MIX = Singlet-Triplet SQP Spin Mixing, CRS = Charge Recombination to Singlet, CRT = Charge Recombination to Triplet.

Table 1.1. Rate constants in toluene at 295 K obtained from the transient absorption spectroscopy data. $k_{\mathrm{AB}}$ values are from the fsTA data, while $k_{\mathrm{BC}}$ and $k_{\mathrm{CG}}$ values are from the nsTA data.

| Rate Constant | $\mathbf{1}\left(\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{- 1}} \mathbf{)}\right.$ | $\mathbf{2}\left(\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{- 1}}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $k_{\mathrm{AB}}$ | $(3.20 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{9}$ | $(8.90 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{9}$ |
| $k_{\mathrm{BC}}$ | $(2.71 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{7}$ | $(2.16 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{7}$ |
| $k_{\mathrm{CG}}$ | $(8.26 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{4}$ | $(7.70 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{4}$ |

triplet SQP states which can be kinetically modeled using an equilibrium constant ( $K_{\text {MIX }}$ ). ${ }^{58,59}$ The rate constant $k_{\mathrm{CG}}$ captures the decay of ${ }^{3 *} \mathrm{ZnP}$ to the singlet ground state $\left(k_{\mathrm{ISC} 2}\right)$. A summary of the rate constants obtained from global fitting are given in Table 1.1.
1.4.4 Magnetic Field Effects. NsTA measurements show that the ${ }^{3 *} \mathrm{ZnP}$ yield resulting from charge recombination within the ${ }^{3}\left(\mathrm{ZnP}^{++}-\mathrm{An}-\mathrm{NDI}^{\bullet}\right)$ and ${ }^{3}\left(\mathrm{ZnP}^{++}-\mathrm{An}-\mathrm{NDI}_{2}{ }^{\bullet-}\right)$ SQPs is magnetic field dependent (Figure 1.6). The Zeeman interaction changes the energies of the $\left|T_{+1}\right\rangle$ and $\left|T_{-1}\right\rangle$ states of these SQPs, ${ }^{37}$ which results in an observed resonance in the ${ }^{3 *} \mathrm{ZnP}$ yield at the magnetic field where $\left|T_{+1}\right\rangle$ crosses $|S\rangle(2 J>0)$ that directly yields $2 J$ (Figure 1.1 ). ${ }^{37,60}$ Fitting the data to Lorentzian functions gives maxima at $2 J_{1}=210 \mathrm{mT}$ for ${ }^{3^{*}} \mathrm{ZnP}-\mathrm{An}-\mathrm{NDI}$ and $2 J_{2}=236 \mathrm{mT}$ for
$3^{*} \mathrm{ZnP}-\mathrm{An}-\mathrm{NDI}_{2}$
(Figure
1.6).


Figure 1.6. Magnetic field dependence of the normalized yield of ${ }^{3^{*}} \mathrm{ZnP}-\mathrm{An}-$ NDI and ${ }^{3^{*}} \mathrm{ZnP}-\mathrm{An}^{2}-\mathrm{NDI}_{2}$ in toluene at 295 K with associated fits.

### 1.5 Discussion

1.5.1 Charge Transfer Dynamics. The charge separation rate constants ( $k_{\mathrm{CS}}$ ) of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are obtained by subtracting the rate constant for the decay of ${ }^{1 *} \mathrm{ZnP}$ with no acceptors $\left(4.2 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{8}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)^{61}$ from $k_{\mathrm{AB}}$, which gives $(2.78 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $(8.48 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$, respectively, with charge separation quantum yields of 0.87 and 0.95 , respectively. Given that 2 has two NDI acceptors and $\mathbf{1}$ has one NDI acceptor, using a purely statistical basis one would expect $k_{\mathrm{CS}}$ for $\mathbf{2}$ to be twice that of $\mathbf{1}$, whereas it is about three times as large. The free energy changes for the charge separation reactions ${ }^{1 *} \mathrm{ZnP}-\mathrm{An}-\mathrm{NDI} \rightarrow \mathrm{ZnP}^{++}-\mathrm{An}-\mathrm{NDI}^{-}$and ${ }^{1 *} \mathrm{ZnP}-\mathrm{An}^{1}-\mathrm{NDI}_{2}$ $\rightarrow \mathrm{ZnP}^{++}-\mathrm{An}^{-} \mathrm{NDI}_{2}{ }^{\circ-}$ are $\Delta G_{\mathrm{CS}}=-0.27 \mathrm{eV}$ and -0.36 eV , respectively, while the total nuclear reorganization energies for these same processes are $\lambda=0.29 \mathrm{eV}$ for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\lambda=0.32 \mathrm{eV}$ for $\mathbf{2}$ (see SI). Comparing $\Delta G_{C S}$ to $\lambda$ shows that charge separation for both molecules occurs near the maximum of the Marcus rate vs. free energy dependence. ${ }^{62,63}$ which suggests that a small increase in the electronic coupling matrix element, $V_{\mathrm{CS}}$, for charge separation in $\mathbf{2}$ relative to $\mathbf{1}$ may be responsible for the observed $k_{\mathrm{CS}}(\mathbf{2}) / k_{\mathrm{CS}}(\mathbf{1})$ rate ratio. Using Equation 1.1: ${ }^{64}$

## Equation 1.1

$$
k_{C S}=\frac{2 \pi}{\hbar}\left|V_{C S}\right|^{2}\left(4 \pi \lambda_{S} k_{B} T\right)^{-1 / 2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(s^{m} e^{-s} / m!\right) e^{-\left(\Delta G_{C S}+\lambda_{S}+m \omega\right)^{2} /\left(4 \lambda_{S} k_{B} T\right)}
$$

where $k_{\mathrm{CS}}$ and $\Delta G_{C S}$ are given above, the internal and solvent reorganization energies $\lambda_{i}$ and $\lambda_{S}$ are obtained as described in the SI, $S=\lambda_{i} / \hbar \omega$, and $\omega$ is assumed to be approximately $1500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ as is typical for aromatic donors and acceptors, the values of $V_{C S}$ for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are $2.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $7.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively.

The free energies of reaction for charge recombination to the singlet ground state are $\Delta G_{\text {CRS }}$
 recombination to ${ }^{3 *} \mathrm{ZnP}\left(E_{\mathrm{T}}=1.6 \mathrm{eV}\right)$ are $\Delta G_{\mathrm{CRT}}=-0.19 \mathrm{eV}$ and -0.10 eV , respectively (see SI). Charge recombination to the singlet ground state for both compounds falls well into the Marcus inverted region, ${ }^{65,66}$ while charge recombination to ${ }^{3 *} \mathrm{ZnP}$ is in the Marcus normal region for both compounds, as is common for these reactions. Given the relative values of $\Delta G_{\mathrm{CRS}}$ for ${ }^{1}\left(\mathrm{ZnP}^{\bullet+}-\mathrm{An}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{NDI}^{*}\right)$ and ${ }^{1}\left(\mathrm{ZnP}^{++}-\mathrm{An}^{-\mathrm{NDI}_{2}}{ }^{*}\right)$, electron transfer theory predicts that $k_{\mathrm{CRS}}$ for ${ }^{1}\left(\mathrm{ZnP}^{++}-\mathrm{An}^{-\mathrm{NDI}_{2}}{ }^{\bullet-}\right)$ should be larger than ${ }^{1}\left(\mathrm{ZnP}^{++}-\mathrm{An}-\mathrm{NDI}^{\bullet}\right)$, while the relative values of $\Delta G_{\mathrm{CRT}}$ for ${ }^{3}\left(\mathrm{ZnP}^{++}-\mathrm{An}^{-\mathrm{NDI}^{-}}\right.$ ) and ${ }^{3}\left(\mathrm{ZnP}^{\bullet+}-\mathrm{An}^{-\mathrm{NDI}_{2}}{ }^{\bullet-}\right)$ predict that $k_{\mathrm{CRT}}$ for ${ }^{3}\left(\mathrm{ZnP}^{+}-\mathrm{An}-\mathrm{NDI}^{\bullet}\right)$ should be larger than ${ }^{3}\left(\mathrm{ZnP}^{{ }^{+}-}\right.$ An- $\mathrm{NDI}_{2}{ }^{*}$ ). Thus, the fact that the overall experimental charge recombination rate constant $k_{\mathrm{CR}}$ for $\mathbf{1}$ is larger than that of $\mathbf{2}\left(k_{\mathrm{BC}}\right.$ in Table 1.1) suggests that $k_{\mathrm{CRT}}>k_{\mathrm{CRS}}$. However, one needs to be cautious because the kinetic competition between the singlet and triplet recombination pathways is also modulated by $K_{\text {MIX }}$ between the $|S\rangle$ and $\left|T_{0}\right\rangle$ states.

The charge recombination dynamics are well-described by a single exponential decay process in the nsTA data. This implies either that the electron hopping rate constant, $k_{\text {hop }}$, between the two NDI units in $\mathbf{2}$ is either much larger than $k_{\text {CRS }}$ and $k_{\text {CRT }}$ or that the electron is delocalized between the two NDI units. If hopping occurs, the rate is estimated to be $k_{h o p} \gtrsim 10^{10} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ at 295 K based on the observation of hopping in a similar covalent NDI dimer using continuous-wave electron paramagnetic resonance (CW-EPR) spectroscopy. ${ }^{42}$ Such a rapid hopping time is well below the instrument resolution of the nanosecond transient absorption measurement ( $\sim 0.6 \mathrm{~ns}$ ) and is indeed on the same timescale or faster than the initial charge separation event, ensuring that
electron hopping is not directly observable in this experiment. Fast hopping processes have been shown to be a source of spin decoherence in SQPs. ${ }^{8}$

Electron delocalization between the acceptors can be probed directly using time-resolved femtosecond infrared (fsIR) spectroscopy. Charge delocalization is expected to result in a change in the vibrational frequencies associated with the dimeric acceptor compared to those of the single localized anion due to mode softening from differences in bond orders. The fsIR spectra for $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 in 1,4-dioxane- $d_{8}$ following 560 nm excitation are shown in Figures S1.7 and S1.8, respectively, and were subjected to the same kinetic analysis as the fsTA data discussed above. 1,4-Dioxane- $d_{8}$ was used instead of toluene for the fsIR and FTIR measurements to avoid the vibrational modes of toluene overlapping with our desired signals. Mode assignments and fitting information are given in the SI. The IR spectrum of ${ }^{{ }^{1 *} \mathrm{ZnP}}$ is weak and featureless and is replaced by strong absorptions in both compounds at $1270,1518,1600$, and $1635 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, along with bleaches of the NDI C=O stretches at frequencies above $1650 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. These features appear with $k_{\mathrm{AB}}=(3.79 \pm 0.06)$ $\times 10^{9} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $(1.19 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, respectively, which are very somewhat faster than the rate constants observed in toluene (Table 1.1) and then decay to a combination of ground and triplet states (Figure 1.7). The CR rate constants are also faster in 1,4-dioxane- $d_{8}$ relative to those in toluene with $k_{\mathrm{BC}}=(1.36 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $(1.05 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, respectively. The difference in rate constants between 1,4-dioxane- $d_{8}$ and toluene is likely a consequence of coordination of the Zn in the ZnP donor to an oxygen atom of 1,4-dioxane- $d_{8}$ resulting in ZnP being slightly easier to oxidize and thus $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{CS}}$ becoming more negative for both $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$, which is a well-known phenomenon for zinc porphyrins. ${ }^{67}$ The corresponding fsTA spectra for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ in 1,4-dioxane- $d_{8}$
are given in Figures S1.3-S1.6. A comparison of the SQP EAS (state B) of each compound shows that the spectra are nearly identical (Figure 1.7); this finding is consistent with our previous work on $\pi$-stacked acceptors. ${ }^{68-70}$ The spectral similarity indicates that at room temperature the electron is localized on a single NDI unit and that any hopping must be occurring on a timescale slower than the vibrational periods probed and thus the electron is not delocalized between the two NDI units.


Figure 1.7. Normalized state B from the fsIR EAS for 1 and 2. Samples were prepared in 1,4-dioxane- $d_{8}$ and excited at 560 nm .

Theoretical analysis supports the extent of charge localization indicated by the IR spectra. For the equilibrium geometry of the singlet charge separated state, the singly occupied molecular orbital is only partially delocalized (Figure S1.10) between the two NDI acceptors in 2. The computed negative charges, i.e. the Hirshfeld charge populations, are -0.77 and -0.12 for the two NDI acceptors. Stretching the structure slightly along the two NDI bending modes at an energy cost of about $300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ leads to essentially full charge localization. The computed singlet charge
separation couplings (Tables S1.1-S1.4) agree within factors of 3-4 with the values derived from experiment using Equation 1.1, in addition to predicting that $V_{C S}(\mathbf{2})>V_{C S}(\mathbf{1})$. Furthermore, the computed donor-acceptor charge recombination electronic couplings, $V_{\mathrm{CR}}$, (Tables $\mathrm{S} 1.5-\mathrm{S} 1.8$ ) are comparable in magnitude irrespective of whether there are one or two NDI acceptors.

Delocalization of the charge must be supported by an appropriate acceptor-acceptor coupling interaction, $V_{\mathrm{AA}}$. Since thermal fluctuations tend to localize charges through modulations of the local electronic and environmental symmetries, for coherence to be maintained $V_{\mathrm{AA}}$ must be larger than $k_{B} T$. At the same time, the coupling cannot be so strong that the reaction free energy is dramatically changed, and the symmetric combination of acceptor orbitals is overly stabilized. Here, the splitting between the reduction potentials, $2 V_{\mathrm{AA}}=90 \mathrm{meV},{ }^{41}$ shows that $V_{\mathrm{AA}}$ is less than two times $k_{B} T$ at room temperature. While this coupling strength satisfies the first criterion, it may not be is sufficiently large to overcome the influence of thermal fluctuations that will tend to produce charge localization on a single acceptor species.

As we have discussed earlier, ${ }^{11,12}$ coherent charge recombination from a delocalized acceptor would result in a factor of $\sqrt{2}$ higher DA coupling compared to the case of localization on a single acceptor, and thus a factor of 2 enhancement in the recombination rate, according to Equation 1.1. However, the observed total recombination rate to the singlet ground and lowest triplet states in $\mathbf{2}$ is actually slower than in $\mathbf{1}$. The free energies for recombination discussed above are different enough that Equation 1.1 adequately predicts the behavior of the rates in the absence of coherent delocalization on the two acceptors. Moreover, the similarity of the fsIR spectra strongly suggests that the charge has localized prior to recombination; since charge hopping
between the two NDIs is incoherent, the electron density is always restricted to one NDI unit on the vibrational time scale.
1.5.2 Spin Dynamics. Focusing on the triplet state, the ${ }^{3 *} \mathrm{ZnP}$ quantum yield in the absence of the NDI acceptors that results from spin-orbit-induced intersystem crossing is $0.9 .{ }^{71}$ Assuming internal conversion is negligible, $\phi_{I S C 1}=\phi_{T}\left(1-\phi_{C S}\right)$, thus $\phi_{I S C 1}=0.07 \pm 0.02$ for 1 and $0.03 \pm 0.02$ for 2. In contrast, the observed ${ }^{3 *} \mathrm{ZnP}$ yields for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ determined from the nsTA data and the extinction coefficients of closely related Zn porphyrins, ${ }^{71,72}$ are $0.95 \pm 0.02$ and $0.60 \pm 0.02$, respectively (see SI ). This shows that the majority of the observed ${ }^{3 *} \mathrm{ZnP}$ is derived from SQP recombination ( $k_{\mathrm{CRT}}$, Figure 1.5) and only a small portion of ${ }^{3 *} \mathrm{ZnP}$ comes from the intersystem crossing mechanism.

The spin-spin exchange coupling $2 J$ of an SQP is related to the electronic coupling matrix elements between the SQP and nearby electronic states. ${ }^{73-76}$ Given that the principal states coupled to the SQP are the ${ }^{1 *} \mathrm{ZnP}-\mathrm{An}-\mathrm{NDI}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{NDI}_{2}\right)$ excited states that precede charge separation and the $\mathrm{ZnP}-\mathrm{An}-\mathrm{NDI}$ (or $\mathrm{NDI}_{2}$ ) ground and ${ }^{3^{*}} \mathrm{ZnP}-\mathrm{An}-\mathrm{NDI}$ (or $\mathrm{NDI}_{2}$ ) states that result from charge recombination, then

## Equation 1.2

$$
2 J=\frac{V_{C R T}^{2}}{\Delta G_{C R T}+\lambda}-\frac{V_{C R S}^{2}}{\Delta G_{C R S}+\lambda}-\frac{V_{C S}^{2}}{\Delta G_{C S}+\lambda}
$$

where the indicated matrix elements $V_{C S}, V_{C R S}$, and $V_{C R T}$ couple the singlet and triplet SQP states to ${ }^{1 *} \mathrm{ZnP}$, the singlet ground state, and ${ }^{3 *} \mathrm{ZnP}$, respectively, $\Delta G_{C S}, \Delta G_{C R S}, \Delta G_{C R T}$, and $\lambda$ were defined and given earlier, and it is assumed that $\lambda$ is the same for each charge transfer process. Given that
the donor and acceptor both involve relatively large $\pi$ systems, we also assume that $V_{C R S}^{2}=V_{C R T}^{2}$ for each SQP, so that Equation 1.2 can be simplified to

## Equation 1.3

$$
2 J=V_{C R}^{2}\left[\frac{1}{\Delta G_{C R T}+\lambda}-\frac{1}{\Delta G_{C R S}+\lambda}\right]-\frac{V_{C S}^{2}}{\Delta G_{C S}+\lambda}
$$

Using $V_{C S}$ for 1 and 2 obtained from our data and Equation 1.1, the corresponding experimental values of $2 J_{1}$ and $2 J_{2}$, as well as $\Delta G_{C S}, \Delta G_{C R S}, \Delta G_{C R T}$, and $\lambda$ for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ given above, Equation 1.3 yields $V_{C R}(\mathbf{1})=15 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $V_{C R}(\mathbf{2})=10 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which is consistent with the observation that $k_{C R}(\mathbf{1})>k_{C R}(\mathbf{2})$ (Table 1.1) and the computed values of $V_{C R}$ (Tables S1.5-S1.8). As noted above, theory predicts that CR proceeding by a coherent superexchange mechanism comprising two equivalent pathways from a delocalized acceptor would give $V_{C R}(2)=$ $\sqrt{2} V_{C R}(\mathbf{1}){ }^{41,77-79}$ Based on free energy, reorganization energy, and $V_{C S}$ estimates described above, we found $V_{C R}(\mathbf{2})=0.67 V_{C R}(\mathbf{1})$, meaning CR for $\mathbf{2}$ is not an electronically coherent process. Nevertheless, our studies of related multi-acceptor systems show that incoherent electron hopping between nearly equivalent sites occurs with $k_{\text {hop }} \gtrsim 10^{10} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ at $295 \mathrm{~K} .{ }^{42}$

Although CR is electronically incoherent, the observed magnetic field effects are consistent with CR for both $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ being spin coherent. The resonance line shapes in the magnetic field effect data (Figure 1.6) for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ provide information on hyperfine interactions, and spin decoherence and relaxation effects. ${ }^{80}$ Given the relatively small $g$-factor difference between the radicals that comprise each SQP , the hyperfine interaction primarily determines $|S\rangle \leftrightarrow\left|T_{+1}\right\rangle$ mixing. However, the mean hyperfine fields of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are only 1.46 mT and 1.38 mT , respectively,
using models ${ }^{81}$ that employ previously-reported values for the radicals. ${ }^{42,82}$ Using these mean hyperfine fields and a previously reported model (see SI), ${ }^{83}$ the resulting rate constants for hyperfine mixing of ${ }^{1,3}\left(\mathrm{ZnP}^{\bullet+}-\mathrm{An}-\mathrm{NDI}^{\bullet}\right)$ and ${ }^{1,3}\left(\mathrm{ZnP}^{\bullet+}-\mathrm{An}^{-\mathrm{NDI}_{2}}{ }^{\bullet}\right)$ are $4.1 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $3.9 \times 10^{6}$ $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$, respectively. Given the similarities of the two estimated hyperfine contributions, these interactions do not adequately account for the differences in line shape and width of the field dependent ${ }^{3 *} \mathrm{ZnP}$ yield data for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ (Figure 1.6). The large full-width at half-maximum values of 163 mT for $\mathbf{1}$ and 173 mT for $\mathbf{2}$ indicate that spin decoherence contributes to line broadening to a larger degree in $\mathbf{2}$ relative to $\mathbf{1} .{ }^{80}$ Additionally, the asymmetric baseline in $\mathbf{2}$, specifically the normalized triplet yield dropping below 1 at high magnetic fields, also supports the important role of decoherence effects. ${ }^{80}$ Interestingly, since the D-C-A and D-C-A 2 systems studied in our previous investigation had $2 J$ values that were relatively small, ${ }^{8}$ both hyperfine interactions and relaxation effects gave rise to differences in both the shape and peak position of the $2 J$ resonance. Compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ provide an advantage over that earlier study in that they illustrate the fact that rapid electron hopping between the two NDI sites in $\mathbf{2}$ affects spin decoherence in a regime where the relative hyperfine interactions are not a factor.

### 1.6 Conclusions

Following photoexcitation of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ in toluene at 295 K , femtosecond transient absorption spectroscopy shows that the ET rate constant for $\mathbf{2}$ is about three times larger than that of $\mathbf{1}$, which can be accounted for by the statistical nature of incoherent ET as well as a small change in free energy of reaction. In contrast, the rate constant for CR of $\mathbf{1}$ is about $25 \%$ faster than that of $\mathbf{2}$. Using femtosecond transient infrared spectroscopy and theoretical analysis, we find that the
electron on $\mathrm{NDI}_{2}{ }^{-}$in 2 localizes onto one of the two NDIs prior to CR , thus precluding electronically coherent CR from $\mathrm{NDI}_{2}{ }^{\circ}$. Conversely, CR in both $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ is spin coherent as indicated by the observation of a resonance in the ${ }^{3 *} \mathrm{ZnP}$ yield following CR as a function of applied magnetic field, giving spin-spin exchange interaction energies of $2 J=210$ and 236 mT , respectively, where the linewidth of the resonance for $\mathbf{2}$ is greater than $\mathbf{1}$. These data show that while CR is a spin-coherent process, incoherent hopping of the electron between the two NDIs in $\mathbf{2}$, consistent with the lack of delocalization noted above, results in greater spin decoherence in $\mathbf{2}$ relative to $\mathbf{1}$.

Designing D-A $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ systems that achieve coherent electron transfer at room temperature poses a particular challenge due to the localizing nature of thermal fluctuations. The requirements of $k_{B} T \ll 2 V_{\mathrm{AA}}$ and small $V_{\mathrm{AA}}$ necessarily restrict the operable temperature range. While lowering the temperature has been shown to enable delocalization, increasing $V_{\mathrm{AA}}$ will only reduce the probability for coherent electron transfer, because of the free energy effects discussed above. A balance of these factors may exist, where a carefully tuned $V_{\text {AA }}$ would enable this process. The acceptor-acceptor coupling must be modulated in such a way that the $V_{\text {DA }}$ between the donor and each acceptor remains approximately equal. This constraint rules out the use of asymmetric spacers and typical slip-stacking strategies, which would bias one acceptor in favor of another. Alternatively, modification of the solubilizing tails or side groups could splay the acceptors slightly, lessening their electronic coupling. The use of a different linker group, where the acceptors are non-cofacially oriented could also be used to greater effect, although this would also
impart greater flexibility and disorder, which could also disrupt the required degeneracy. Overall, much work needs to be done to identify molecules that meet all of these requirements.

### 1.7 Supporting Information

### 1.7.1 Synthesis and Characterization

Reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial sources and used as received unless otherwise noted. Compounds $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$, and $\mathbf{C}$ were synthesized according to existing literature procedures. ${ }^{12,84}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian 500 MHz spectrometer at room temperature. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ chemical shifts are listed in parts per million ( ppm ) and are referenced to residual protons or carbons of the deuterated solvents. High Resolution Mass Spectra (HRMS) were obtained with an Agilent LCTOF 6200 series mass spectrometer using electrospray ionization (ESI) and APPI.


Compound A

$\mathbf{Z n P - S n B u} 3 \mathbf{2 5 \%}$

ZnP-SnBu3. 158 mg of Compound $\mathbf{A}(0.21 \mathrm{mmol}), 600 \mathrm{mg}$ of hexabutylditin $(1.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in 10 mL anhydrous toluene and this solution was purged with nitrogen for 15 min . After adding 10 mg of tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) $(0.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ to the solution, the solution was purged with nitrogen for another 15 min . The solution was then heated at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The reaction mixture was cooled and then the solvent was then removed by rotary
evaporation. The crude product was quickly run through a 5 cm neutral alumina plug with hexanes/DCM (90/10) as the mobile phase, yielding ZnP-SnBu3 (50 mg, 25\%). The product was directly used for next step without further purification due to lack of stability.


Compound B


ZnP-An-NDI (1) 25\%

ZnP-An-NDI (1). 36 mg of $\mathbf{Z n P - S n B u} 3(0.038 \mathrm{mmol}), 20 \mathrm{mg}$ of Compound B ( 0.025 mmol ) were combined in 10 mL anhydrous DMF and this solution was purged with nitrogen for 15 min . After adding 3 mg of $\operatorname{Bis}($ triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) dichloride ( 0.004 mmol ) to the solution, the solution was purged with nitrogen for another 15 min . The solution was then heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The reaction mixture was cooled and then the solvent was then removed by rotary evaporation. The crude product was first purified by silica gel column chromatography with hexanes/ethyl acetate (70/30) as the mobile phase. The resulting product was again purified by neutral alumina column chromatography with DCM/ethyl acetate (90/10) as the mobile phase yielding 1 ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 9.64(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 9.20-9.16(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.91(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29$
$(\mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.07-5.02(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.31-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.88(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.63-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}) 1.05-1.01(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H})$, , 0.93-0.88 (m, 4H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR was not obtained due to aggregation in highly concentrated solution. HRMS (m/z) for $\mathrm{C}_{85} \mathrm{H}_{83} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Zn}$ calculated: 1361.56963, found: 1361.57197.


Compound C


ZnP-An-NDI $\mathbf{2}^{(2)} \mathbf{3 0 \%}$

ZnP-An-NDI2 (2). 36 mg of $\mathbf{Z n P - S n B u} 3$ ( 0.038 mmol ), 25 mg of Compound $\mathbf{C}$ ( 0.025 mmol ) were combined in 10 mL anhydrous DMF and this solution was purged with nitrogen for 15 min . After adding 3 mg of $\operatorname{Bis}($ triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) dichloride ( 0.004 mmol ) to the solution, the solution was purged with nitrogen for another 15 min . The solution was then heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The reaction mixture was cooled and then the solvent was then removed by rotary evaporation. The crude product was first purified by silica gel column chromatography with hexanes/ethyl acetate (70/30) as the mobile phase. The resulting product was again purified by
neutral alumina column chromatography with DCM/ethyl acetate (90/10) as the mobile phase yielding $2(17 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 9.67(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 9.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $9.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.45-8.40(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.06-5.02 (m, 5H), 4.29-4.24 (m, 3H), 2.68-2.60 (m, 4H), 1.91-1.84 (m, 4H), 1.82-1.75 (m, 3H), 1.65-1.58 (m, 5H), 1.54-1.20(m, 20H), 1.06-1.01 (m, 8H), 0.93-0.88 (m, 6H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR was not obtained due to aggregation in highly concentrated solution. HRMS (m/z) for $\mathrm{C}_{99} \mathrm{H}_{94} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Zn}$ calculated: 1586.64861, found: 1586.64549.

### 1.7.2 Visible and NIR Transient Absorption Spectroscopy

## Toluene Data



Figure S1.1. Kinetic analysis of the femtosecond (a-c) and nanosecond (d-f) transient absorption data for $\mathbf{1}$ photo excited at 414 nm in room temperature toluene. Femtosecond data were fit to an $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} \rightarrow$ C kinetic model with the $\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ rate constant held fixed from the corresponding nanosecond data fit. Nanosecond data were fit to a $\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ Ground kinetic model. (a and d) Evolution-associated spectra (EAS) with rates. Uncertainties are errors of the fits. (b and e) Kinetic time traces and their associated fits. (c and f) Population models for the species in the EAS.


Figure S1.2. Kinetic analysis of the femtosecond (a-c) and nanosecond (d-f) transient absorption data for 2 photo excited at 414 nm in room temperature toluene. Femtosecond data were fit to an $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} \rightarrow$ C kinetic model with the $\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ rate constant held fixed from the corresponding nanosecond data fit. Nanosecond data were fit to a $\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ Ground kinetic model. (a and d) Evolution-associated spectra (EAS) with rates. Uncertainties are errors of the fits. (b and e) Kinetic time traces and their associated fits. (c and f) Population models for the species in the EAS.

## 1,4-Dioxane-ds Data



Figure S1.3. Kinetic analysis of the femtosecond transient absorption data for $\mathbf{1}$ photo excited at 560 nm in room temperature 1,4-dioxane- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$. Femtosecond data were fit to an $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ kinetic model with the $\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ rate constant held fixed from the corresponding nanosecond data fit (Figure S1.4). (a) Raw data with select spectral traces. Spectral features appear at approximately the same wavelengths as described in the toluene data in the main text. (b) Evolution-associated spectra (EAS) with rates. Uncertainties are errors of the fits. Particular species and associated rates are described by the same physical phenomena as outlined in the toluene data in the main text. (c) Kinetic time traces and their associated fits. (d) Population models for the species in the EAS.


Figure S1.4. Kinetic analysis of the nanosecond transient absorption data for $\mathbf{1}$ photo excited at 560 nm in room temperature 1,4 -dioxane- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$. Nanosecond data were fit to a $\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ Ground kinetic model. (a) Raw data with select spectral traces. Spectral features appear at approximately the same wavelengths as described in the toluene data in the main text. (b) Evolution-associated spectra (EAS) with rates. Uncertainties are errors of the fits. Particular species and associated rates are described by the same physical phenomena as outlined in the toluene data in the main text. (c) Kinetic time traces and their associated fits. (d) Population models for the species in the EAS.


Figure S1.5. Kinetic analysis of the femtosecond transient absorption data for $\mathbf{2}$ photo excited at 560 nm in room temperature 1,4-dioxane- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$. Femtosecond data were fit to an $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ kinetic model with the $\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ rate constant held fixed from the corresponding nanosecond data fit (Figure S1.6). (a) Raw data with select spectral traces. Spectral features appear at approximately the same wavelengths as described in the toluene data in the main text. (b) Evolution-associated spectra (EAS) with rates. Uncertainties are errors of the fits. Particular species and associated rates are described by the same physical phenomena as outlined in the toluene data in the main text. (c) Kinetic time traces and their associated fits. (d) Population models for the species in the EAS.


Figure S1.6. Kinetic analysis of the nanosecond transient absorption data for $\mathbf{2}$ photoexcited at 560 nm in room temperature 1,4 -dioxane- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$. Nanosecond data were fit to a $\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ Ground kinetic model. (a) Raw data with select spectral traces. Spectral features appear at approximately the same wavelengths as described in the toluene data in the main text. (b) Evolution-associated spectra (EAS) with rates. Uncertainties are errors of the fits. Particular species and associated rates are described by the same physical phenomena as outlined in the toluene data in the main text. (c) Kinetic time traces and their associated fits. (d) Population models for the species in the EAS.

### 1.7.3 Transient Femtosecond IR Spectroscopy



Figure S1.7. Kinetic analysis of the corrected (see Figure S1.9) femtosecond infrared transient absorption data for $\mathbf{1}$ photo excited at 560 nm in room temperature 1,4-dioxane- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$. Femtosecond data were fit to an $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ kinetic model. (a) Raw data with select spectral traces. (b) Evolution-associated spectra (EAS) with rates. Uncertainties are errors of the fits. Particular species and associated rates are described by the same physical phenomena as outlined in the toluene data in the main text. (c) Kinetic time traces and their associated fits. (d) Population models for the species in the EAS. See section 1.7.8 for mode assignments.


Figure S1.8. Kinetic analysis of the corrected (see Figure S1.9) femtosecond infrared transient absorption data for 2 photo excited at 560 nm in room temperature 1,4-dioxane-d ${ }_{8}$. Femtosecond data were fit to an $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ kinetic model. (a) Raw data with select spectral traces. (b) Evolutionassociated spectra (EAS) with rates. Uncertainties are errors of the fits. Particular species and associated rates are described by the same physical phenomena as outlined in the toluene data in the main text. (c) Kinetic time traces and their associated fits. (d) Population models for the species in the EAS. See section 1.7.8 for mode assignments.

### 1.7.4 Fourier-Transform IR (FT-IR) Spectroscopy



Figure S1.9. FT-IR steady-state spectroscopy data for 1 and 2. These data were collected in 1,4-dioxane$\mathrm{d}_{8}$ with an optical density of $\sim 0.125$ at 560 nm with a $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ path length. The fs IR data was calibrated by correlating the wavenumber of certain features in these data with those in the fs IR data. Then a quadratic relationship was established between the two data sets to correct the frequency-axes of the fs IR data. See section 1.7.8 for mode assignments.

### 1.7.5 Calculation of Free Energies of Reaction and Reorganization Energies

The free energy of charge separation $\Delta G_{C S}$ was computed using the formulation of Weller: ${ }^{85}$

## Equation S1.1

$$
\Delta G_{C S}=\Delta G_{I P}-E_{00}=e\left(E_{D}-E_{A}\right)-\frac{e^{2}}{r_{D A} \varepsilon_{s}}+e^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2 r_{D}}+\frac{1}{2 r_{A}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{s}}-\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{s p}}\right)-E_{00}
$$

where $\Delta G_{I P}$ is the free energy of the radical ion pair, $E_{00}$ is the energy of the donor singlet excited state, and $\varepsilon_{s p}$ is the dielectric constant of the polar solvent used in the electrochemistry experiments for the donor and acceptor $e$ is the charge of the electron; $r_{D}$ and $r_{A}$ are the radii of the donor and acceptor radical ions, respectively, and $r_{D A}$ is the center-to-center distance between the radical ions. The one-electron oxidation potential of ZnP is $E_{D}=0.65 \mathrm{~V}$ vs. SCE in butyronitrile, ${ }^{86}$ while the one-electron reduction potentials $E_{A}=-0.54 \mathrm{~V}$ for NDI and -0.45 V for $\mathrm{NDI}_{2}$ (both vs. SCE ) in dichloromethane. ${ }^{12}$ For $\mathbf{1}$ and 2, $r_{D}=5.0 \AA r_{A}=4.3 \AA$ and $r_{D A}=17.2 \AA$, were determined using DFT geometry-optimized structures. Using $E_{00}=2.06 \mathrm{eV},{ }^{61}$ we calculated $\Delta G_{C S}=-0.27$ and -0.36 eV for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$, respectively.

The total reorganization energy for charge separation (and recombination) is the sum of the internal $\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ and solvent $\left(\lambda_{s}\right)$ reorganization energies: $\lambda=\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{s}$. The internal reorganization energies $\lambda_{i}$ were determined via density functional theory (DFT) computations (see section 1.7.8 below), giving $\lambda_{i}=0.24 \mathrm{eV}$ for $\mathbf{1}$ and 0.27 eV for $\mathbf{2}$. The solvent reorganization energy is calculated based on the dielectric continuum model: ${ }^{66}$

## Equation Sl. 2

$$
\lambda_{s}=e^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2 r_{D}}+\frac{1}{2 r_{A}}-\frac{1}{r_{D A}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{o p}}-\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{S}}\right)
$$

where $\varepsilon_{o p}$ is the high-frequency dielectric constant of the solvent, which is approximately equal to the square of the refractive index $\left(\varepsilon_{o p}=2.25\right.$ for toluene $)$ and $\varepsilon_{s}$ is the dielectric constant of the solvent ( $\varepsilon_{o p}=2.38$ for toluene). Using the values of $r_{D}, r_{A}$, and $r_{D A}$ given above, $\lambda_{s}=0.05 \mathrm{eV}$ for both 1 and 2. Thus, the total reorganization energies are 0.29 eV and 0.32 eV for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$, respectively.

### 1.7.6 Estimation of Observed ${ }^{3 *} \mathbf{Z n P}$ Yield in 295 K Toluene

Using the EAS of both $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 on the nanosecond to microsecond time scale shown in Figures S4b and S6b, respectively, the initial bleach of the Q band at $555 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\Delta A_{555}\right)$ was used to determine the initial amount of ZnP excited and the absorption band at 458 nm was used to determine the amount of ${ }^{3 *} \mathrm{ZnP}$ formed. The ZnP Q-band at 555 nm has an extinction coefficient $\epsilon_{555}=2.0 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1},{ }^{87}$ while the extinction coefficient of ${ }^{3 *} \mathrm{ZnP}$ at 458 nm is $8 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1} .{ }^{71,72}$ The yield of ${ }^{3 *} \mathrm{ZnP}$ produced by charge recombination was calculated using the following expression:

Equation S1. 3

$$
\phi_{T}=\frac{\Delta A_{458} \cdot \epsilon_{555}}{\Delta A_{555} \cdot \epsilon_{458}}
$$

### 1.7.7 Magnetic Field Effect Transient Absorption Experiments

Estimation of mean hyperfine fields was performed using the previously-described model ${ }^{81}$ which includes the following equations:

## Equation S1.4

$$
B_{h f i}=\sqrt{3\left(B_{1}^{2}+B_{2}^{2}\right)}
$$

Each $B_{i}$ is the hyperfine field of a particular radical species given by

## Equation S1.5

$$
B_{i}=\sqrt{\sum_{k} a_{i k}^{2} I_{i k}\left(I_{i k}+1\right)}
$$

with $a_{i k}$ being the isotropic hyperfine coupling constant of a certain nucleus $k$ as part of a certain radical $i$ and $I_{i k}$ being the nuclear spin quantum number of the nucleus $k$ interacting with radical i. Using previously-reported values, ${ }^{42,82}$ the hyperfine fields for the $\mathrm{ZnP}, \mathrm{NDI}$, and $\mathrm{NDI}_{2}$ moieties were estimated as $B_{Z n T A P}=0.75 \mathrm{mT}, B_{N D I_{1}}=0.38 \mathrm{mT}$, and $B_{N D I_{2}}=0.27 \mathrm{mT}$. These values were then used to estimate the mean hyperfine fields as 1.46 mT for NDI1 and 1.38 mT for $\mathrm{NDI}_{2}$.

The rate constants of hyperfine mixing were estimated using a model described previously: ${ }^{83}$

## Equation Sl. 6

$$
k_{h f i} \approx \frac{1}{2 \pi} g \mu_{B} B_{h f i}
$$

where $g$ is the mean $g$-value of the radical, $\mu_{B}$ is the Bohr magneton, and $B_{h f i}$ is the mean hyperfine field as described and estimated above. This gives estimated hyperfine mixing rate constants of $4.1 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $3.9 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{ZnP}-\mathrm{An}-\mathrm{NDI}$ and $\mathrm{ZnP}-\mathrm{An}-\mathrm{NDI}_{2}$, respectively.

### 1.7.8 Results of DFT and TDDFT Computations

## Internal Reorganization Energy Computations

The internal reorganization energy $\lambda_{i}$ can be decomposed into contributions from the cation and anion moieties, $\lambda_{i}=\lambda_{i+}+\lambda_{i-}$, which were determined via density functional theory (DFT) computations. The calculations were performed on the molecular fragments in neutral, singly oxidized (for $\mathrm{ZnP}-\mathrm{Ph}$ ), and/or singly reduced (for $\mathrm{An}-\mathrm{NDI}$ and $\mathrm{An}-\mathrm{NDI}_{2}$ ) states to calculate the internal reorganization energy $\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ of each unit. This quantity can be calculated using the single-point energies of the ionic species at their respective optimized geometries and the energies of the ionic species at the optimized neutral geometries, as shown in Equation S1.7. Equation S1.7

$$
\lambda_{i}^{+/-}=E_{\text {neutral }}^{+/-}-E_{\text {opt }}^{+/-}
$$

where $\lambda_{i}^{+/-}$is the internal reorganization energy for oxidation of the donor and reduction of the acceptor, $E_{\text {neutral }}^{+/-}$is the energy of the oxidized donor or reduced acceptor at the neutral geometry, and $E_{\text {opt }}^{+/-}$is the energy of the oxidized donor or reduced acceptor at the optimized ionic geometry. The ZnP cation contribution is $\lambda_{i+}=0.05 \mathrm{eV}$ and the two NDI anion contributions are $\lambda_{i-}=0.19 \mathrm{eV}$ for $\mathbf{1}$ and 0.22 eV for $\mathbf{2}$. When combined, $\lambda_{i}=0.24 \mathrm{eV}$ for $\mathbf{1}$ and 0.27 eV for $\mathbf{2}$.


Figure S1.10. Singly occupied molecular orbitals of the lowest charge separated triplet ${ }^{3} \mathrm{D}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{2}{ }^{-}$state computed using the pbe0 functional. The wave functions are partially delocalized between the two NDI fragments.

## Computed Electronic Couplings

Table S1.1. $\mathrm{DA}_{2}$ singlet charge separation coupling ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{D}^{*} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \rightarrow^{1} \mathrm{D}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{2}{ }^{-}$) evaluated at the Franck-Condon geometry.

|  | LE1 (meV) | LE2 (meV) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CS1 | 1.76 | 0.14 |
| CS2 | 0.76 | 0.16 |
| CS3 | 0.05 | 0.86 |
| CS4 | 0.10 | 0.41 |

Table S1.2. $\mathrm{DA}_{2}$ singlet charge separation coupling ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{D}^{*} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \rightarrow{ }^{1} \mathrm{D}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{2}$ ) evaluated at the optimized minimum geometry of the initial locally excited state ( $\mathrm{D}^{*} \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \mathrm{LE} 1$ ).

|  | LE1 (meV) | LE2 (meV) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CS1 | 1.50 | 0.16 |
| CS2 | 0.69 | 0.14 |
| CS3 | 0.06 | 0.68 |
| CS4 | 0.09 | 0.38 |

Table S1.3. DA charge separation coupling ( $\left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{D}^{*} \mathrm{~A} \rightarrow{ }^{1} \mathrm{D}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{-}\right)$evaluated at the Franck-Condon geometry.

|  | LE1 (meV) | LE2 (meV) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CS1 | 2.12 | 0.15 |
| CS2 | 0.06 | 1.21 |

Table S1.4. DA charge separation coupling ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{D}^{*} \mathrm{~A} \rightarrow{ }^{1} \mathrm{D}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{-}$) evaluated at the optimized minimum geometry of the initial locally excited state (D*A, LE1).

|  | LE1 (meV) | LE2 (meV) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CS1 | 2.08 | 0.93 |
| CS2 | 0.41 | 0.98 |

Table S1.5. $\mathrm{DA}_{2}$ charge recombination coupling $\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{D}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{2}{ }^{-} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{D}^{*} \mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)$ evaluated at the optimized geometry of the lowest local triplet excited state ( ${ }^{3} \mathrm{D}^{*} \mathrm{~A}_{2}$, LE1). LE and CS refer to the locally excited state and charge separated state respectively.

|  | LE1 (meV) | LE2 (meV) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CS1 | 0.62 | 0.76 |
| CS2 | 0.28 | 0.49 |
| CS3 | 0.14 | 0.29 |
| CS4 | 0.12 | 0.15 |

Table S1.6. $\mathrm{DA}_{2}$ charge recombination coupling ( ${ }^{3} \mathrm{D}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{2}^{-} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{D}^{*} \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ ) evaluated at the optimized geometry of the lowest charge separated excited state $\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{D}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{2}{ }^{-}, \mathrm{CS} 1\right)$. LE and CS refer to the locally excited state and charge separated state respectively.

|  | LE1 (meV) | LE2 (meV) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CS1 | 2.65 | 1.22 |
| CS2 | 0.10 | 0.11 |
| CS3 | 0.11 | 0.27 |
| CS4 | 0.10 | 0.15 |

Table S1.7. DA charge recombination coupling ( $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{D}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{-} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{D}^{*} \mathrm{~A}\right)$ evaluated at the optimized geometry of the lowest locally excited state ( ${ }^{3}$ D*A, LE1). LE and CS refer to the locally excited state and charge separated state respectively.

|  | LE1 (meV) | LE2 (meV) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CS1 | 0.80 | 0.95 |
| CS2 | 0.19 | 0.40 |

Table S1.8. DA charge recombination coupling ( $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{D}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{-} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{D}^{*} \mathrm{~A}\right)$ evaluated at the optimized geometry of the lowest charge separated excited state ( $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{D}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{-}, \mathrm{CS} 1\right)$. LE and CS refer to the locally excited state and charge separated state respectively.

|  | LE1 (meV) | LE2 (meV) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CS1 | 2.90 | 22.38 |
| CS2 | 0.40 | 1.47 |

Selected IR Peak Assignments and Intensities
Table S1.9. 1 (Ground State)

| Exp. $\left(\mathbf{c m}^{-1}\right)$ | Calc. $\left(\mathbf{c m}^{-1}\right)$ | Strength | Intensity $\left(\mathbf{k m ~ m o l}^{-1}\right)$ | Assignment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1345 | 1432 | strong | 272.9 | ZnP $\omega\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), \operatorname{An} \rho(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H})$ |
| 1369 | 1436.9 | weak | 128.7 | Cy. hex. dicarb. $\omega\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ |
| 1455 | 1505 | weak | 319.3 | NDI $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ |
| 1584 | 1655 | weak | 196 | NDI $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ |
| 1671 | 1772 | strong | 669.8 | NDI $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ |
| 1689 | 1791.5 | strong | 374.5 | NDI $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ |
| 1709 | 1814.2 | strong | 532.2 | NDI $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ |
| 1722 | 1832.1 | strong | 218.3 | NDI $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ |

Table S1.10. 1 (Charge-Separated State)

| Exp. $\left(\mathbf{c m}^{-1}\right)$ | Calc. $\left(\mathbf{c m}^{-1}\right)$ | Strength | Intensity $\left(\mathbf{k m}\right.$ mol $\left.^{-1}\right)$ | Assignment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1265 | 1347 | strong | 1305.7 | $\mathrm{ZnP} \omega\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ |
| 1331 | 1419 | weak | 259.3 | $\mathrm{ZnP} \omega\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ |
| 1410 | 1478.6 | weak | 233.3 | $\mathrm{ZnP} \omega\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ |
| 1519 | 1583 | strong | 1076.9 | $\mathrm{NDI} v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ |
| 1602 | 1653.2 | strong | 280.3 | $\mathrm{NDI} v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ |
| 1637 | 1701 | strong | 459 | $\mathrm{NDI} v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ |
| 1698 | 1737 | strong | 2408.3 | $\mathrm{NDI} v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ |

Table S1.11. 2 (Ground State)

| Exp. $\left(\mathbf{c m}^{-1}\right)$ | Calc. $\left(\mathbf{c m}^{-1}\right)$ | Strength | Intensity $\left(\mathbf{k m ~ m o l}^{-1}\right)$ | Assignment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1345 | 1406 | strong | 157.6 | ZnP $\omega\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, An $\rho(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H})$ |
| 1369 | 1423 | weak | 173.2 | Cy. hex. dicarb. $\omega\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ |
| 1455 | 1507 | weak | 561.3 | NDI $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ |
| 1584 | 1657.2 | weak | 404.8 | NDI $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ |
| 1671 | 1778.3 | strong | 1210 | NDI $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ |
| 1689 | 1792.1 | strong | 798.2 | NDI $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ |
| 1709 | 1817.2 | strong | 1017.4 | NDI $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ |
| 1722 | 1831.2 | strong | 249.2 | NDI $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table S1.12. 2 (Charge-Separated State)

| Exp. $\left(\mathbf{c m}^{-1}\right)$ | Calc. $\left(\mathbf{c m}^{-1}\right)$ | Strength | Intensity $\left(\mathbf{k m}\right.$ mol $\left.^{-1}\right)$ | Assignment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1265 | 1321 | strong | 3634 | $\mathrm{ZnP} \omega\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ |
| 1331 | 1389 | weak | 788.4 | $\mathrm{ZnP} \omega\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ |
| 1410 | 1481 | weak | 1001.7 | $\mathrm{ZnP} \omega\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ |
| 1519 | 1596.5 | strong | 892 | $\mathrm{NDI} v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ |
| 1602 | 1652.4 | strong | 1479.7 | $\mathrm{NDI} v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ |
| 1637 | 1743 | strong | 301.7 | $\mathrm{NDI} v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ |
| 1698 | 1775 | strong | 747.4 | $\mathrm{NDI} v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ |

## Cartesian Coordinates

Optimized single NDI acceptor (DA) structure on the ground state.

| C | -4.82275098 | 2.46200411 | -0.43248448 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | -5.76573052 | 3.43515565 | -0.57272945 |
| C | -7.05576538 | 2.78476313 | -0.53255464 |
| N | -6.87715969 | 1.43565202 | -0.37734446 |
| C | -5.53113576 | 1.20928634 | -0.32227114 |
| C | -5.88651565 | -3.48730143 | 0.18417435 |
| C | -4.90950354 | -2.54374645 | 0.07851540 |
| C | -5.57421360 | -1.26687977 | -0.02596118 |
| N | -6.92744053 | -1.44832608 | 0.01230864 |
| C | -7.15335317 | -2.79283610 | 0.14357768 |
| C | -4.90552842 | -0.03926406 | -0.16806179 |
| C | -11.86848376 | -2.39966792 | 0.28217358 |
| C | -10.92329556 | -3.37692597 | 0.36566721 |
| C | -9.63856454 | -2.73643644 | 0.21339696 |
| N | -9.82232203 | -1.39080127 | 0.04539244 |
| C | -11.17017093 | -1.15324247 | 0.07471022 |
| C | -8.40752966 | -3.41985613 | 0.23799446 |
| C | -10.80408865 | 3.52738914 | -0.54972685 |
| C | -11.78288346 | 2.59324151 | -0.39263497 |
| C | -11.12789596 | 1.31375404 | -0.25747134 |
| N | -9.77245839 | 1.49105616 | -0.32981089 |
| C | -9.54194116 | 2.82787921 | -0.50872576 |
| C | -8.28689032 | 3.45637398 | -0.62183554 |
| C | -11.80476505 | 0.09357127 | -0.07623552 |
| Zn | -8.34938673 | 0.02116298 | -0.16818537 |
| H | -3.74243661 | 2.58210878 | -0.40100115 |
| H | -5.58617761 | 4.50245213 | -0.67648826 |
| H | -5.74362668 | -4.56082477 | 0.28006599 |
| H | -3.83338392 | -2.69935434 | 0.06181260 |
| H | -12.94481488 | -2.53158741 | 0.35992519 |
| H | -11.10036980 | -4.43793018 | 0.52346918 |
| H | -10.94423807 | 4.59811047 | -0.67582750 |
| H | -12.85435272 | 2.77566855 | -0.36906773 |
| C | -13.31270070 | 0.13460120 | 0.03470092 |
| H | -13.73930239 | -0.79302213 | -0.36980056 |
| H | -13.70980293 | 0.93187866 | -0.60787641 |
| C | -13.80260342 | 0.34081511 | 1.46686552 |
| H | -14.90280764 | 0.36485099 | 1.50772572 |
| H | -13.45475014 | -0.46979651 | 2.12516383 |
| H | -13.42532423 | 1.28777794 | 1.88203217 |
| C | -8.26170693 | 4.96169393 | -0.76651237 |
| H | -7.38419929 | 5.26097260 | -1.35555279 |
| H | -9.12602769 | 5.29011105 | -1.35975556 |
| C | -8.25224233 | 5.69537862 | 0.57344560 |
| H | -7.37042824 | 5.41762894 | 1.17067432 |


| H | -8.23387893 | 6.78645136 | 0.42552024 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -9.14443454 | 5.44700272 | 1.16813295 |
| C | -8.43385496 | -4.91629963 | 0.45504813 |
| H | -9.33203703 | -5.34255715 | -0.01177055 |
| H | -7.59166254 | -5.38081196 | -0.07558655 |
| C | -8.38701753 | -5.31029216 | 1.93028266 |
| H | -9.24452185 | -4.89278779 | 2.47969309 |
| H | -8.40765592 | -6.40510639 | 2.04697253 |
| H | -7.47176659 | -4.93352000 | 2.41170108 |
| C | -3.41639304 | -0.06446761 | -0.15773755 |
| C | -2.68771870 | 0.21520247 | -1.32063273 |
| C | -2.71287884 | -0.37007819 | 1.01406345 |
| C | -1.29514102 | 0.18905885 | -1.31322801 |
| H | -3.22334185 | 0.44919607 | -2.24370994 |
| C | -1.32023271 | -0.39520146 | 1.02368360 |
| H | -3.26801963 | -0.58498204 | 1.93022698 |
| C | -0.59535318 | -0.11645018 | -0.14049855 |
| H | -0.73941779 | 0.40435972 | -2.22905574 |
| H | -0.78386839 | -0.63169116 | 1.94581130 |
| C | 0.89155110 | -0.14683720 | -0.13177466 |
| C | 1.61379900 | 1.00706351 | 0.23932998 |
| C | 1.56820110 | -1.33051455 | -0.49292188 |
| C | 3.05095218 | 0.96921264 | 0.24204667 |
| C | 0.96738524 | 2.22760258 | 0.60517617 |
| C | 3.00463860 | -1.35070636 | -0.48157455 |
| C | 0.87867809 | -2.52482707 | -0.86840605 |
| C | 3.77054737 | 2.14871338 | 0.62130220 |
| C | 3.70832929 | -0.20288485 | -0.12267758 |
| C | 1.69435048 | 3.33430221 | 0.95564153 |
| H | -0.12304399 | 2.26540804 | 0.60033858 |
| C | 3.67548097 | -2.56571389 | -0.83449984 |
| C | 1.56437384 | -3.66105130 | -1.20762117 |
| H | -0.21233161 | -2.51825478 | -0.88009806 |
| C | 3.11466929 | 3.29756538 | 0.96733084 |
| H | 4.79590769 | -0.21669098 | -0.11984575 |
| H | 1.18366361 | 4.25918132 | 1.23091650 |
| C | 2.98570482 | -3.68766600 | -1.18808607 |
| H | 1.01924363 | -4.56325084 | -1.49182174 |
| H | 3.68836761 | 4.18248218 | 1.24776763 |
| H | 3.52790477 | -4.59800319 | -1.44834483 |
| C | 5.96373727 | 1.46022826 | 1.57227621 |
| C | 5.96199527 | 2.57911107 | -0.46642217 |
| N | 5.19113159 | 2.09957339 | 0.59935928 |
| 0 | 5.52017010 | 0.90702935 | 2.54674352 |
| 0 | 5.54038599 | 3.25108748 | -1.37180097 |
| C | 5.68438343 | -2.76390867 | 0.48411030 |
| C | 5.77857598 | -2.05825031 | -1.90799988 |
| C | 7.08992234 | -2.32225725 | 0.65508451 |
| C | 7.19355419 | -1.65413599 | -1.71562054 |


| C | 7.79052042 | -1.76354310 | -0.43841633 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 7.68540169 | -2.39411510 | 1.90198581 |
| C | 7.89555713 | -1.08935885 | -2.76687447 |
| C | 9.10211819 | -1.26275098 | -0.23978575 |
| C | 8.98699462 | -1.89830694 | 2.09763120 |
| H | 7.11684143 | -2.81718539 | 2.73158508 |
| C | 9.19939854 | -0.60080880 | -2.57033248 |
| H | 7.40828421 | -1.00818425 | -3.73994882 |
| C | 9.68513531 | -1.33077610 | 1.04595580 |
| C | 9.79255866 | -0.67297977 | -1.32172777 |
| H | 9.45580862 | -1.93282212 | 3.08241903 |
| H | 9.75219784 | -0.13721370 | -3.38901054 |
| C | 11.02932569 | -0.74810868 | 1.27752869 |
| C | 11.14034068 | -0.09115959 | -1.10712757 |
| N | 11.64627302 | -0.13105548 | 0.19019620 |
| 0 | 11.56979902 | -0.78214541 | 2.36444468 |
| 0 | 11.77228939 | 0.42109356 | -2.00919972 |
| C | 12.96276383 | 0.46805980 | 0.41669620 |
| H | 13.04797026 | 1.31502357 | -0.27482407 |
| H | 12.96497484 | 0.84641466 | 1.44614034 |
| C | 14.09486527 | -0.51998207 | 0.20229472 |
| H | 14.09701457 | -0.89958889 | -0.82959580 |
| H | 15.06031217 | -0.02560659 | 0.38442848 |
| H | 14.01275569 | -1.37012831 | 0.89465911 |
| N | 5.10830449 | -2.53606627 | -0.77543590 |
| 0 | 5.03770125 | -3.24884465 | 1.38356928 |
| 0 | 5.20467111 | -1.93728972 | -2.96699346 |
| C | 8.49614711 | 2.85599394 | -0.85857711 |
| H | 9.33403335 | 2.18278986 | -1.09953476 |
| H | 8.16604359 | 3.29196210 | -1.81391023 |
| C | 8.99201683 | 3.93183005 | 0.09832670 |
| H | 8.23425967 | 4.72933429 | 0.19783330 |
| H | 9.89072184 | 4.40893778 | -0.32225438 |
| C | 9.28315089 | 3.34501932 | 1.47373927 |
| H | 9.69267625 | 4.11465079 | 2.14630840 |
| H | 10.05629595 | 2.55881063 | 1.39016036 |
| C | 8.01415633 | 2.76320660 | 2.07894275 |
| H | 7.27606620 | 3.57703116 | 2.19300273 |
| H | 8.18886299 | 2.35901749 | 3.08693036 |
| C | 7.41716147 | 1.65268864 | 1.19768093 |
| H | 7.96129089 | 0.71599192 | 1.37866466 |
| C | 7.35966076 | 2.01650899 | -0.29090482 |
| H | 7.30816975 | 1.07662007 | -0.87096856 |

Optimized single NDI acceptor (DA) structure of the triplet local excited state.
C $\quad-4.87738470$
2.50846907
$-0.69955081$
C $\quad-5.84817813$
3.43920239
$-0.89869064$

| C | -7.12015667 | 2.74994606 | -0.81801743 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | -6.90139977 | 1.41738044 | -0.58768956 |
| C | -5.54740701 | 1.23810652 | -0.52086189 |
| C | -5.83315161 | -3.45958615 | 0.19662326 |
| C | -4.86195598 | -2.48588975 | -0.01550004 |
| C | -5.54313973 | -1.24897959 | -0.08313846 |
| N | -6.89130328 | -1.44153724 | 0.07184805 |
| C | -7.07649743 | -2.78800418 | 0.25035750 |
| C | -4.89835375 | 0.01699270 | -0.30091136 |
| C | -11.80272496 | -2.47053456 | 0.78955806 |
| C | -10.83710666 | -3.42186328 | 0.87910184 |
| C | -9.58177043 | -2.78515037 | 0.53742716 |
| N | -9.80650518 | -1.46335735 | 0.25346775 |
| C | -11.15082802 | -1.24143051 | 0.38456875 |
| C | -8.34307709 | -3.43460216 | 0.50046224 |
| C | -10.89227207 | 3.36062735 | -0.81778735 |
| C | -11.85788312 | 2.41030147 | -0.50420077 |
| C | -11.16877766 | 1.20601362 | -0.23199652 |
| N | -9.81827387 | 1.39966276 | -0.36815743 |
| C | -9.63922786 | 2.71248376 | -0.72468257 |
| C | -8.37160080 | 3.36582354 | -0.93458299 |
| C | -11.81069940 | -0.02917996 | 0.14602476 |
| Zn | -8.35407577 | -0.02135571 | -0.16006750 |
| H | -3.80211728 | 2.66629170 | -0.66739013 |
| H | -5.70323278 | 4.50461104 | -1.06022571 |
| H | -5.66286957 | -4.52786151 | 0.30325640 |
| H | -3.79039621 | -2.63437450 | -0.12279451 |
| H | -12.86346499 | -2.60278874 | 0.98731741 |
| H | -10.97497517 | -4.46218422 | 1.16326846 |
| H | -11.07215540 | 4.40089236 | -1.07675602 |
| H | -12.93261073 | 2.56996708 | -0.47471045 |
| C | -13.30025932 | 0.02299871 | 0.36377747 |
| H | -13.74509683 | -0.95997944 | 0.16138041 |
| H | -13.75200725 | 0.70476819 | -0.36986441 |
| C | -13.67370405 | 0.47157547 | 1.77753110 |
| H | -14.76719311 | 0.52006651 | 1.89469512 |
| H | -13.28064013 | -0.22865178 | 2.53020076 |
| H | -13.26153572 | 1.46692014 | 1.99989546 |
| C | -8.40897283 | 4.84707517 | -1.20644919 |
| H | -7.53241284 | 5.14009697 | -1.79943191 |
| H | -9.27934161 | 5.07819727 | -1.83628511 |
| C | -8.46506816 | 5.68492092 | 0.07208232 |
| H | -7.57393177 | 5.51459802 | 0.69503486 |
| H | -8.51355757 | 6.75817826 | -0.16775193 |
| H | -9.34788007 | 5.42904281 | 0.67619022 |
| C | -8.29825281 | -4.90495254 | 0.82294045 |
| H | -9.24483111 | -5.38143612 | 0.53615454 |
| H | -7.52841364 | -5.38749690 | 0.20455320 |
| C | -8.01367420 | -5.17954332 | 2.30045624 |


| H | -8.80287391 | -4.75534953 | 2.93959356 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -7.96411802 | -6.26230447 | 2.49241715 |
| H | -7.05718034 | -4.73342347 | 2.61023075 |
| C | -3.41473619 | 0.01188393 | -0.29250777 |
| C | -2.68310310 | 0.33980602 | -1.44134936 |
| C | -2.71452061 | -0.33654722 | 0.87100297 |
| C | -1.29036489 | 0.31634195 | -1.42893763 |
| H | -3.21472468 | 0.60133484 | -2.35920178 |
| C | -1.32345413 | -0.34855430 | 0.88666615 |
| H | -3.27229299 | -0.59705814 | 1.77316873 |
| C | -0.59394657 | -0.02766172 | -0.26504927 |
| H | -0.73249674 | 0.56337350 | -2.33539013 |
| H | -0.78997218 | -0.61633093 | 1.80185783 |
| C | 0.89188923 | -0.06807702 | -0.24895247 |
| C | 1.61794930 | 0.99746063 | 0.32576183 |
| C | 1.56496777 | -1.17986860 | -0.79892138 |
| C | 3.05453289 | 0.94073318 | 0.34914123 |
| C | 0.97750923 | 2.15094401 | 0.87426671 |
| C | 3.00066766 | -1.21646845 | -0.76923995 |
| C | 0.87444277 | -2.29062675 | -1.37593862 |
| C | 3.77855722 | 2.02770601 | 0.93814487 |
| C | 3.70751564 | -0.15877551 | -0.20119503 |
| C | 1.70849777 | 3.17217129 | 1.42102223 |
| H | -0.11163876 | 2.20908068 | 0.84870517 |
| C | 3.66970199 | -2.35694221 | -1.31917695 |
| C | 1.55847213 | -3.35697795 | -1.89692618 |
| H | -0.21627583 | -2.27916877 | -1.39429850 |
| C | 3.12723087 | 3.11130705 | 1.45950288 |
| H | 4.79430404 | -0.18888965 | -0.17832351 |
| H | 1.20192030 | 4.04713994 | 1.83303215 |
| C | 2.97928888 | -3.39575416 | -1.86993810 |
| H | 1.01141674 | -4.19507955 | -2.33298706 |
| H | 3.70377455 | 3.92755577 | 1.89793571 |
| H | 3.51995261 | -4.25113966 | -2.27778360 |
| C | 5.93908517 | 1.16287342 | 1.81669964 |
| C | 6.00600736 | 2.62261372 | 0.00778013 |
| N | 5.19904440 | 1.96392068 | 0.94305758 |
| 0 | 5.46265070 | 0.44621452 | 2.66031973 |
| 0 | 5.61399217 | 3.44094640 | -0.78322220 |
| C | 5.66197854 | -2.80091621 | -0.03483435 |
| C | 5.78358062 | -1.66257233 | -2.25282915 |
| C | 7.06536296 | -2.40107922 | 0.23106381 |
| C | 7.19306793 | -1.29620093 | -1.96923151 |
| C | 7.77618088 | -1.64482938 | -0.72902832 |
| C | 7.64879987 | -2.71010075 | 1.44698829 |
| C | 7.90537114 | -0.54299532 | -2.88696242 |
| C | 9.08629325 | -1.19458870 | -0.42665577 |
| C | 8.94924201 | -2.26498930 | 1.74495728 |
| H | 7.07210282 | -3.28239483 | 2.17514255 |


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | 9.20703134 | -0.10266707 | -2.58824254 |
| H | 7.42872606 | -0.27887264 | -3.83244487 |
| C | 9.65808811 | -1.51002144 | 0.82680637 |
| C | 9.78835636 | -0.41298537 | -1.37095660 |
| H | 9.40938740 | -2.48950074 | 2.70860717 |
| H | 9.76819889 | 0.50627500 | -3.29883890 |
| C | 11.00392005 | -0.99240087 | 1.17451080 |
| C | 11.13690775 | 0.10892852 | -1.03923119 |
| N | 11.63317107 | -0.18364710 | 0.22925830 |
| O | 11.53570578 | -1.23846203 | 2.23814833 |
| O | 11.77844478 | 0.78095709 | -1.82167689 |
| C | 12.95293336 | 0.34864342 | 0.57302889 |
| H | 13.04826210 | 1.31339147 | 0.06023654 |
| H | 12.95289722 | 0.51887442 | 1.65648418 |
| C | 14.07852951 | -0.58825664 | 0.17412060 |
| H | 14.08242082 | -0.76044983 | -0.91177894 |
| H | 15.04677994 | -0.14650753 | 0.45155224 |
| H | 13.98726205 | -1.55589831 | 0.68805924 |
| N | 5.10158784 | -2.34565731 | -1.23848698 |
| O | 5.00475151 | -3.44131874 | 0.75281551 |
| O | 5.22208228 | -1.34879703 | -3.27833496 |
| C | 8.54907606 | 2.98029021 | -0.21968432 |
| H | 9.40730678 | 2.36722285 | -0.53822258 |
| H | 8.25317263 | 3.57549161 | -1.09713868 |
| C | 8.98674046 | 3.87356728 | 0.93317326 |
| H | 8.21179710 | 4.63304754 | 1.13998024 |
| H | 9.89329110 | 4.42688465 | 0.64313734 |
| C | 9.23226870 | 3.05376573 | 2.19343807 |
| H | 9.60073265 | 3.69498214 | 3.00920788 |
| H | 10.02172697 | 2.30310753 | 2.00457145 |
| C | 7.95039630 | 2.36084356 | 2.63090193 |
| H | 7.19628247 | 3.13476220 | 2.86001742 |
| H | 8.09214838 | 1.78295847 | 3.55602385 |
| H | 7.40429098 | 1.42193218 | 1.54091414 |
| C | 7.95117191 | 0.46980172 | 1.57578283 |
| H | 7.40259555 | 2.04447702 | 0.13955431 |
|  | 7.39174250 | 1.22370946 | -0.60071889 |

## Optimized single NDI acceptor (DA) structure of the triplet charge separated excited state.

| C | -4.87171529 | 2.46478800 | -0.70619529 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | -5.84022978 | 3.39989270 | -0.92623937 |
| C | -7.10536717 | 2.71602259 | -0.84435603 |
| N | -6.89876542 | 1.39077619 | -0.59783305 |
| C | -5.54916573 | 1.20837078 | -0.52051253 |
| C | -5.81163887 | -3.46109387 | 0.29989431 |
| C | -4.85418080 | -2.51333782 | 0.08612815 |
| C | -5.54244867 | -1.25516960 | -0.03387733 |


| N | -6.88481699 | -1.44286899 | 0.09262308 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | -7.07979881 | -2.77872086 | 0.30761372 |
| C | -4.89564868 | -0.01923388 | -0.27611615 |
| C | -11.79333201 | -2.42253495 | 0.73528343 |
| C | -10.82677871 | -3.37706956 | 0.85582228 |
| C | -9.57381520 | -2.74147915 | 0.54234996 |
| N | -9.78580017 | -1.42815654 | 0.24650094 |
| C | -11.12979978 | -1.20573221 | 0.34475644 |
| C | -8.32323554 | -3.40687850 | 0.53514908 |
| C | -10.88093529 | 3.38421374 | -0.84757231 |
| C | -11.83528529 | 2.45935343 | -0.54139931 |
| C | -11.14647765 | 1.22464851 | -0.27102887 |
| N | -9.80209512 | 1.40953645 | -0.40698718 |
| C | -9.60973549 | 2.71550555 | -0.75857007 |
| C | -8.36412252 | 3.34788582 | -0.97481771 |
| C | -11.79054955 | 0.01565676 | 0.08742945 |
| Zn | -8.34094461 | -0.01849084 | -0.17354466 |
| H | -3.79709252 | 2.61975356 | -0.66272022 |
| H | -5.68798835 | 4.46166856 | -1.10061507 |
| H | -5.64835403 | -4.52699453 | 0.43229152 |
| H | -3.78140400 | -2.66176063 | -0.00211870 |
| H | -12.85830223 | -2.55598904 | 0.90450618 |
| H | -10.97383617 | -4.41578144 | 1.13912447 |
| H | -11.04825325 | 4.42665927 | -1.10449229 |
| H | -12.90853931 | 2.62494343 | -0.50772800 |
| C | -13.28406745 | 0.05316407 | 0.27188960 |
| H | -13.71443609 | -0.92291738 | 0.01641409 |
| H | -13.72720964 | 0.76271523 | -0.43809927 |
| C | -13.68376821 | 0.43599742 | 1.69887607 |
| H | -14.77916315 | 0.45596725 | 1.79454058 |
| H | -13.28950951 | -0.28520177 | 2.42985374 |
| H | -13.29876747 | 1.43067045 | 1.96766122 |
| C | -8.37299799 | 4.82184483 | -1.28006373 |
| H | -7.50704112 | 5.07317678 | -1.90536128 |
| H | -9.25404667 | 5.06674458 | -1.88704963 |
| C | -8.36393826 | 5.68359533 | -0.01504380 |
| H | -7.46998114 | 5.48773751 | 0.59501187 |
| H | -8.36780051 | 6.75026304 | -0.28237094 |
| H | -9.24634503 | 5.48400232 | 0.61043344 |
| C | -8.31262939 | -4.87323299 | 0.87304713 |
| H | -9.23933359 | -5.34246751 | 0.51979869 |
| H | -7.50332725 | -5.37273153 | 0.32569602 |
| C | -8.14542272 | -5.12218003 | 2.37423581 |
| H | -8.96319959 | -4.66312249 | 2.94912384 |
| H | -8.14500626 | -6.20196011 | 2.58222513 |
| H | -7.19836388 | -4.70255329 | 2.74388870 |
| C | -3.41561232 | -0.02109193 | -0.26513061 |
| C | -2.68635489 | 0.32985532 | -1.40992429 |
| C | -2.72120699 | -0.38494480 | 0.89773508 |


| C | -1.29543857 | 0.30233588 | -1.39347970 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -3.21453046 | 0.60177905 | -2.32670091 |
| C | -1.33086666 | -0.38746862 | 0.91567194 |
| H | -3.27756891 | -0.65171500 | 1.79921451 |
| C | -0.59972165 | -0.05207259 | -0.23084290 |
| H | -0.73420978 | 0.55780852 | -2.29496120 |
| H | -0.79707791 | -0.66053799 | 1.82860218 |
| C | 0.88555714 | -0.08323446 | -0.21145421 |
| C | 1.59704527 | 0.96263756 | 0.41407921 |
| C | 1.56541887 | -1.16495435 | -0.81016467 |
| C | 3.03363433 | 0.91514208 | 0.43763509 |
| C | 0.95062349 | 2.08937503 | 1.01007723 |
| C | 3.00112036 | -1.18808156 | -0.78216747 |
| C | 0.88920181 | -2.25899347 | -1.43429394 |
| C | 3.75204345 | 1.97633470 | 1.08047276 |
| C | 3.69644205 | -0.14992322 | -0.16609357 |
| C | 1.67609858 | 3.08867090 | 1.60345247 |
| H | -0.13850309 | 2.14997190 | 0.98440031 |
| C | 3.69560955 | -2.28987334 | -1.38039766 |
| C | 1.59244773 | -3.29038354 | -1.99826541 |
| H | -0.20151302 | -2.26758126 | -1.45529388 |
| C | 3.09510012 | 3.03282409 | 1.64772369 |
| H | 4.78388517 | -0.17693217 | -0.15376402 |
| H | 1.16352770 | 3.94277172 | 2.05105900 |
| C | 3.01387460 | -3.31085682 | -1.97395430 |
| H | 1.05792068 | -4.11751532 | -2.47060903 |
| H | 3.66697740 | 3.82871723 | 2.12718725 |
| H | 3.56616104 | -4.13886190 | -2.42012702 |
| C | 5.89029858 | 1.03816672 | 1.92093133 |
| C | 5.98920344 | 2.58967138 | 0.18607875 |
| N | 5.16860323 | 1.90377155 | 1.09099608 |
| 0 | 5.39040605 | 0.32796155 | 2.75672096 |
| 0 | 5.61209152 | 3.47432009 | -0.54048557 |
| C | 5.69121104 | -2.73718635 | -0.11221401 |
| C | 5.77913606 | -1.52496787 | -2.31370966 |
| C | 7.07034294 | -2.34567497 | 0.13519834 |
| C | 7.16381549 | -1.17341157 | -2.04124193 |
| C | 7.76311957 | -1.56146834 | -0.81785602 |
| C | 7.68733678 | -2.69287182 | 1.35339110 |
| C | 7.87989391 | -0.38097166 | -2.96186107 |
| C | 9.08930242 | -1.11342198 | -0.51863305 |
| C | 8.96670782 | -2.25658646 | 1.64240020 |
| H | 7.11703034 | -3.29020525 | 2.06521982 |
| C | 9.16398081 | 0.04195818 | -2.67495425 |
| H | 7.38573475 | -0.09778544 | -3.89195323 |
| C | 9.67443519 | -1.45725724 | 0.72250515 |
| C | 9.77629355 | -0.30597504 | -1.45478461 |
| H | 9.44776545 | -2.50119027 | 2.59008482 |
| H | 9.72392485 | 0.66767135 | -3.37091605 |


| C | 10.99410932 | -0.94564362 | 1.06778556 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | 11.10280979 | 0.20455560 | -1.13635294 |
| N | 11.61272472 | -0.11974316 | 0.12421198 |
| O | 11.55683778 | -1.19173575 | 2.13266336 |
| O | 11.75687176 | 0.90940871 | -1.90272971 |
| C | 12.92684603 | 0.41098828 | 0.46613372 |
| H | 13.01815340 | 1.38392321 | -0.03230902 |
| H | 12.94004075 | 0.55650024 | 1.55341171 |
| C | 14.05498314 | -0.51257273 | 0.04025578 |
| H | 14.04836048 | -0.65946386 | -1.04954760 |
| H | 15.02710217 | -0.07963795 | 0.32102447 |
| H | 13.96293736 | -1.49255914 | 0.53056675 |
| N | 5.12138440 | -2.25789151 | -1.30849050 |
| O | 5.00995086 | -3.39822646 | 0.66045747 |
| O | 5.16366119 | -1.18699036 | -3.31804452 |
| C | 8.54338253 | 2.84489348 | -0.06789179 |
| H | 9.35140280 | 2.20812897 | -0.45746675 |
| H | 8.25772493 | 3.51847305 | -0.89029099 |
| C | 9.06730378 | 3.62249832 | 1.13184538 |
| H | 8.34719552 | 4.40868421 | 1.42332028 |
| H | 9.99753187 | 4.14093154 | 0.85121944 |
| C | 9.30243813 | 2.69575265 | 2.31794222 |
| H | 9.73826862 | 3.24884139 | 3.16489906 |
| H | 10.03318143 | 1.91378088 | 2.04394566 |
| C | 7.99374888 | 2.05162840 | 2.75018244 |
| H | 7.29742491 | 2.85060469 | 3.06342731 |
| H | 8.13082817 | 1.39631548 | 3.62297167 |
| C | 7.35731227 | 1.23055706 | 1.61523097 |
| H | 7.84460509 | 0.24786098 | 1.56396881 |
| C | 7.35730518 | 1.94658087 | 0.25755632 |
| H | 7.29467382 | 1.17436851 | -0.53186034 |

## Optimized single NDI acceptor (DA) structure of the singlet local excited state.

| C | -4.87608401 | 2.47722294 | -0.70176049 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | -5.85075533 | 3.41802296 | -0.91058488 |
| C | -7.11370886 | 2.73882210 | -0.82112052 |
| N | -6.90142972 | 1.40526267 | -0.57854128 |
| C | -5.54922082 | 1.22026690 | -0.51063564 |
| C | -5.82295283 | -3.45512105 | 0.31744628 |
| C | -4.86405934 | -2.50653077 | 0.10968719 |
| C | -5.54817818 | -1.24137387 | -0.01952753 |
| N | -6.89652832 | -1.43466398 | 0.10002113 |
| C | -7.09589257 | -2.77883635 | 0.31406601 |
| C | -4.89822708 | -0.01501373 | -0.26733379 |
| C | -11.81117243 | -2.42947964 | 0.73397139 |
| C | -10.84067967 | -3.38985650 | 0.84648520 |


| C | -9.58900518 | -2.75651243 | 0.53832155 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | -9.80388972 | -1.43291074 | 0.25033141 |
| C | -11.14958715 | -1.20919348 | 0.35007433 |
| C | -8.33396630 | -3.41499224 | 0.52782594 |
| C | -10.89105898 | 3.39615326 | -0.79438847 |
| C | -11.84529098 | 2.46895487 | -0.49385418 |
| C | -11.16043841 | 1.22171667 | -0.24265310 |
| N | -9.81013285 | 1.41132047 | -0.38415587 |
| C | -9.61543256 | 2.72892301 | -0.72340581 |
| C | -8.37815032 | 3.36665093 | -0.94136149 |
| C | -11.80965348 | 0.01901509 | 0.09795206 |
| Zn | -8.35371562 | -0.01288221 | -0.16058426 |
| H | -3.80085971 | 2.63327286 | -0.66971450 |
| H | -5.70013370 | 4.48094915 | -1.08203535 |
| H | -5.65944934 | -4.52101343 | 0.45491363 |
| H | -3.79034452 | -2.65699078 | 0.03079721 |
| H | -12.87646471 | -2.56055329 | 0.90590752 |
| H | -10.98701056 | -4.43027532 | 1.12568346 |
| H | -11.05884415 | 4.44309308 | -1.03443744 |
| H | -12.91845540 | 2.63596728 | -0.44875937 |
| C | -13.30677826 | 0.04789590 | 0.27174383 |
| H | -13.73075788 | -0.92229618 | -0.02346858 |
| H | -13.74907307 | 0.77711079 | -0.42072028 |
| C | -13.73759141 | 0.37896560 | 1.70160804 |
| H | -14.83526578 | 0.38086532 | 1.79089762 |
| H | -13.33796400 | -0.35655361 | 2.41614405 |
| H | -13.36799936 | 1.37032500 | 2.00480598 |
| C | -8.38893564 | 4.84581099 | -1.23273945 |
| H | -7.53228901 | 5.09921788 | -1.87272899 |
| H | -9.27828093 | 5.10074012 | -1.82519718 |
| C | -8.35528314 | 5.70704270 | 0.03116729 |
| H | -7.45582375 | 5.49827132 | 0.62962017 |
| H | -8.35412615 | 6.77776523 | -0.22551423 |
| H | -9.23058015 | 5.50858842 | 0.66778919 |
| C | -8.32286395 | -4.88785989 | 0.84837187 |
| H | -9.24449132 | -5.35315996 | 0.47241262 |
| H | -7.50632609 | -5.38039402 | 0.30313331 |
| C | -8.17910215 | -5.17259682 | 2.34445354 |
| H | -9.00295339 | -4.71894939 | 2.91568190 |
| H | -8.18481880 | -6.25644161 | 2.53810731 |
| H | -7.23690942 | -4.76024796 | 2.73569283 |
| C | -3.41687435 | -0.01804300 | -0.26550937 |
| C | -2.68753379 | 0.32910015 | -1.41292078 |
| C | -2.70528870 | -0.37569911 | 0.89005398 |
| C | -1.29584458 | 0.30594040 | -1.40880950 |
| H | -3.22369086 | 0.60186997 | -2.32485509 |
| C | -1.31388340 | -0.38799252 | 0.89790863 |
| H | -3.25635367 | -0.63704032 | 1.79638885 |
| C | -0.59063261 | -0.05224371 | -0.25296282 |


| H | -0.74355555 | 0.56450142 | -2.31560621 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -0.77559922 | -0.66343998 | 1.80814089 |
| C | 0.89484997 | -0.08687142 | -0.24422124 |
| C | 1.61874898 | 0.96651587 | 0.35603779 |
| C | 1.57137460 | -1.18095230 | -0.82543142 |
| C | 3.05564564 | 0.91582727 | 0.37241462 |
| C | 0.97563229 | 2.10321794 | 0.93541657 |
| C | 3.00719321 | -1.21329630 | -0.79885343 |
| C | 0.88414554 | -2.27959176 | -1.42865549 |
| C | 3.77736587 | 1.99165403 | 0.98417458 |
| C | 3.71142288 | -0.16715440 | -0.20661484 |
| C | 1.70435865 | 3.11354506 | 1.50525042 |
| H | -0.11377993 | 2.15799573 | 0.91432733 |
| C | 3.67936194 | -2.33854059 | -1.37559604 |
| C | 1.57105369 | -3.33068356 | -1.97650651 |
| H | -0.20664627 | -2.27132230 | -1.44504466 |
| C | 3.12342899 | 3.05858528 | 1.53584559 |
| H | 4.79827365 | -0.19361281 | -0.18664833 |
| H | 1.19545589 | 3.97578878 | 1.94055152 |
| C | 2.99189543 | -3.36606086 | -1.95084571 |
| H | 1.02626649 | -4.15970212 | -2.43235773 |
| H | 3.69818811 | 3.86688262 | 1.99106830 |
| H | 3.53493576 | -4.21019320 | -2.37851675 |
| C | 5.95046889 | 1.12438574 | 1.83059994 |
| C | 5.99360001 | 2.61741757 | 0.04843964 |
| N | 5.19834805 | 1.93653319 | 0.97790596 |
| 0 | 5.48560625 | 0.38784759 | 2.66353850 |
| 0 | 5.58982332 | 3.44677793 | -0.72496413 |
| C | 5.66932834 | -2.80140281 | -0.09558279 |
| C | 5.79448992 | -1.62351632 | -2.29256802 |
| C | 7.07082280 | -2.40301203 | 0.18172656 |
| C | 7.20262386 | -1.25962613 | -1.99857055 |
| C | 7.78284428 | -1.62865764 | -0.76290756 |
| C | 7.65132235 | -2.73238471 | 1.39368669 |
| C | 7.91631718 | -0.48935233 | -2.90095093 |
| C | 9.09136346 | -1.18169140 | -0.44893257 |
| C | 8.95033428 | -2.29084746 | 1.70293904 |
| H | 7.07351679 | -3.31827289 | 2.11003793 |
| C | 9.21616081 | -0.05169221 | -2.59057026 |
| H | 7.44204630 | -0.20977718 | -3.84318685 |
| C | 9.66048867 | -1.51884921 | 0.80011285 |
| C | 9.79452434 | -0.38204202 | -1.37711991 |
| H | 9.40829359 | -2.53179806 | 2.66365538 |
| H | 9.77816435 | 0.57071219 | -3.28873128 |
| C | 11.00484193 | -1.00599724 | 1.16033519 |
| C | 11.14081503 | 0.13708096 | -1.03198557 |
| N | 11.63473606 | -0.17844011 | 0.23191877 |
| 0 | 11.53486343 | -1.27137841 | 2.22020752 |
| 0 | 11.78255812 | 0.82547267 | -1.79992135 |


| C | 12.95250520 | 0.35001511 | 0.58898462 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | 13.04728154 | 1.32413913 | 0.09415173 |
| H | 12.94923663 | 0.50045827 | 1.67536282 |
| C | 14.08100537 | -0.57726394 | 0.17608571 |
| H | 14.08813106 | -0.72948265 | -0.91278101 |
| H | 15.04764758 | -0.13887575 | 0.46424097 |
| H | 13.99015538 | -1.55437029 | 0.67187479 |
| N | 5.11114888 | -2.32591379 | -1.29244733 |
| O | 5.01139062 | -3.45750609 | 0.67845534 |
| O | 5.23535297 | -1.29270314 | -3.31399086 |
| C | 8.53229770 | 2.99782826 | -0.19089885 |
| H | 9.39283833 | 2.39701855 | -0.52625851 |
| H | 8.22566811 | 3.60629551 | -1.05548609 |
| C | 8.97116900 | 3.87396824 | 0.97459157 |
| H | 8.19198275 | 4.62377446 | 1.19996649 |
| H | 9.87151328 | 4.43922227 | 0.68825877 |
| C | 9.23161803 | 3.03411995 | 2.21856537 |
| H | 9.60043345 | 3.66391358 | 3.04303726 |
| H | 10.02588355 | 2.29345079 | 2.01126293 |
| C | 7.95830088 | 2.32323889 | 2.65237738 |
| H | 7.19986865 | 3.08687275 | 2.90080883 |
| H | 8.11121712 | 1.72996219 | 3.56591933 |
| C | 7.41163454 | 1.39977309 | 1.54950005 |
| H | 7.96595390 | 0.45151800 | 1.56349974 |
| C | 7.39532286 | 2.04724039 | 0.15961733 |
| H | 7.38553377 | 1.23977007 | -0.59514916 |

## Optimized single NDI acceptor (DA) structure of the singlet charge separated excited state.

| C | -4.87191890 | 2.46512715 | -0.70592145 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | -5.84055375 | 3.40010020 | -0.92602393 |
| C | -7.10559715 | 2.71606377 | -0.84419697 |
| N | -6.89890475 | 1.39094181 | -0.59760609 |
| C | -5.54921796 | 1.20863496 | -0.52022053 |
| C | -5.81135247 | -3.46104464 | 0.29894954 |
| C | -4.85400845 | -2.51310503 | 0.08549049 |
| C | -5.54244973 | -1.25500167 | -0.03409689 |
| N | -6.88473439 | -1.44283585 | 0.09235923 |
| C | -7.07959915 | -2.77883150 | 0.30695021 |
| C | -4.89569699 | -0.01887429 | -0.27600369 |
| C | -11.79296900 | -2.42278667 | 0.73619808 |
| C | -10.82633118 | -3.37727213 | 0.85637904 |
| C | -9.57354088 | -2.74165139 | 0.54225164 |
| N | -9.78563697 | -1.42845872 | 0.24636442 |
| C | -11.12966490 | -1.20599387 | 0.34519429 |
| C | -8.32286485 | -3.40707803 | 0.53453736 |
| C | -10.88130947 | 3.38371330 | -0.84819346 |
| C | -11.83552422 | 2.45874753 | -0.54189573 |
| C | -11.14650390 | 1.22428357 | -0.27102180 |


| N | -9.80221615 | 1.40933916 | -0.40679579 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | -9.60998627 | 2.71532110 | -0.75875230 |
| C | -8.36452332 | 3.34780428 | -0.97484543 |
| C | -11.79047668 | 0.01522286 | 0.08786694 |
| Zn | -8.34091222 | -0.01845238 | -0.17353808 |
| H | -3.79731701 | 2.62023229 | -0.66238125 |
| H | -5.68844143 | 4.46187800 | -1.10049649 |
| H | -5.64794931 | -4.52697906 | 0.43092709 |
| H | -3.78121225 | -2.66135207 | -0.00282517 |
| H | -12.85785254 | -2.55623813 | 0.90597263 |
| H | -10.97318740 | -4.41596469 | 1.13986052 |
| H | -11.04881127 | 4.42602861 | -1.10551572 |
| H | -12.90882342 | 2.62410294 | -0.50858210 |
| C | -13.28395103 | 0.05282298 | 0.27264365 |
| H | -13.71439005 | -0.92346018 | 0.01808703 |
| H | -13.72726589 | 0.76178973 | -0.43783105 |
| C | -13.68329544 | 0.43681412 | 1.69942520 |
| H | -14.77866700 | 0.45693064 | 1.79534515 |
| H | -13.28891763 | -0.28387941 | 2.43083722 |
| H | -13.29816548 | 1.43165541 | 1.96739491 |
| C | -8.37342890 | 4.82172994 | -1.28021210 |
| H | -7.50753754 | 5.07301044 | -1.90563203 |
| H | -9.25455140 | 5.06665473 | -1.88706939 |
| C | -8.36422269 | 5.68349203 | -0.01517716 |
| H | -7.47027801 | 5.48756961 | 0.59487206 |
| H | -8.36801150 | 6.75016652 | -0.28248402 |
| H | -9.24664597 | 5.48394495 | 0.61029014 |
| C | -8.31226675 | -4.87354498 | 0.87192679 |
| H | -9.23895904 | -5.34263119 | 0.51841453 |
| H | -7.50292079 | -5.37284551 | 0.32446549 |
| C | -8.14513739 | -5.12306621 | 2.37303310 |
| H | -8.96290261 | -4.66422047 | 2.94810455 |
| H | -8.14474421 | -6.20292760 | 2.58060148 |
| H | -7.19807611 | -4.70359833 | 2.74285843 |
| C | -3.41565509 | -0.02071602 | -0.26496996 |
| C | -2.68634656 | 0.33037909 | -1.40967904 |
| C | -2.72127384 | -0.38477369 | 0.89784557 |
| C | -1.29542170 | 0.30290138 | -1.39317883 |
| H | -3.21449920 | 0.60237791 | -2.32645031 |
| C | -1.33093278 | -0.38737767 | 0.91581073 |
| H | -3.27767117 | -0.65172498 | 1.79925376 |
| C | -0.59974809 | -0.05175744 | -0.23059931 |
| H | -0.73417243 | 0.55850275 | -2.29460493 |
| H | -0.79718462 | -0.66070787 | 1.82868238 |
| C | 0.88554399 | -0.08296407 | -0.21126991 |
| C | 1.59709613 | 0.96301173 | 0.41397397 |
| C | 1.56533118 | -1.16485734 | -0.80973935 |
| C | 3.03369088 | 0.91544661 | 0.43751263 |
| C | 0.95074434 | 2.08992185 | 1.00974357 |


| C | 3.00103308 | -1.18801683 | -0.78185139 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 0.88903231 | -2.25900231 | -1.43358624 |
| C | 3.75217035 | 1.97672497 | 1.08015671 |
| C | 3.69641308 | -0.14974894 | -0.16603668 |
| C | 1.67628798 | 3.08932617 | 1.60283439 |
| H | -0.13838313 | 2.15053640 | 0.98410643 |
| C | 3.69545706 | -2.28995589 | -1.37988858 |
| C | 1.59220580 | -3.29053435 | -1.99737217 |
| H | -0.20168622 | -2.26754663 | -1.45451083 |
| C | 3.09529155 | 3.03338424 | 1.64713213 |
| H | 4.78385775 | -0.17677948 | -0.15380978 |
| H | 1.16379304 | 3.94358327 | 2.05022943 |
| C | 3.01363389 | -3.31103654 | -1.97315432 |
| H | 1.05763363 | -4.11777968 | -2.46946578 |
| H | 3.66720775 | 3.82934933 | 2.12643077 |
| H | 3.56584154 | -4.13917349 | -2.41918152 |
| C | 5.89029392 | 1.03837641 | 1.92078268 |
| C | 5.98943157 | 2.58977862 | 0.18585124 |
| N | 5.16871118 | 1.90403113 | 1.09076080 |
| 0 | 5.39030275 | 0.32831313 | 2.75662802 |
| 0 | 5.61247438 | 3.47441576 | -0.54082790 |
| C | 5.69103916 | -2.73706286 | -0.11166600 |
| C | 5.77892994 | -1.52513973 | -2.31333870 |
| C | 7.07022652 | -2.34558469 | 0.13560527 |
| C | 7.16364641 | -1.17368979 | -2.04102118 |
| C | 7.76298457 | -1.56157209 | -0.81759424 |
| C | 7.68724539 | -2.69260479 | 1.35383783 |
| C | 7.87974759 | -0.38147806 | -2.96183643 |
| C | 9.08919568 | -1.11349551 | -0.51849165 |
| C | 8.96662686 | -2.25628630 | 1.64274382 |
| H | 7.11693710 | -3.28979332 | 2.06578528 |
| C | 9.16387297 | 0.04141435 | -2.67508057 |
| H | 7.38554800 | -0.09841147 | -3.89194134 |
| C | 9.67433824 | -1.45709669 | 0.72269944 |
| C | 9.77619237 | -0.30628103 | -1.45483139 |
| H | 9.44769639 | -2.50070711 | 2.59046939 |
| H | 9.72385394 | 0.66692272 | -3.37119564 |
| C | 10.99407138 | -0.94552535 | 1.06782393 |
| C | 11.10280153 | 0.20411774 | -1.13660964 |
| N | 11.61269292 | -0.11985735 | 0.12405137 |
| 0 | 11.55688705 | -1.19157999 | 2.13266371 |
| 0 | 11.75698029 | 0.90861202 | -1.90322076 |
| C | 12.92695446 | 0.41067824 | 0.46566987 |
| H | 13.01825188 | 1.38367754 | -0.03265459 |
| H | 12.94043435 | 0.55603726 | 1.55296113 |
| C | 14.05488328 | -0.51294827 | 0.03940031 |
| H | 14.04800720 | -0.65967091 | -1.05041948 |
| H | 15.02709952 | -0.08014391 | 0.32004542 |
| H | 13.96283650 | -1.49299613 | 0.52959350 |


| N | 5.12122113 | -2.25806865 | -1.30803616 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 5.00980419 | -3.39791341 | 0.66118249 |
| 0 | 5.16335902 | -1.18725246 | -3.31763260 |
| C | 8.54368136 | 2.84464428 | -0.06800833 |
| H | 9.35162325 | 2.20762470 | -0.45735181 |
| H | 8.25821902 | 3.51805261 | -0.89061876 |
| C | 9.06757313 | 3.62243920 | 1.13160227 |
| H | 8.34754197 | 4.40879433 | 1.42281152 |
| H | 9.99791039 | 4.14066877 | 0.85096501 |
| C | 9.30246724 | 2.69589543 | 2.31791281 |
| H | 9.73817305 | 3.24911449 | 3.16484883 |
| H | 10.03323721 | 1.91386604 | 2.04416301 |
| C | 7.99369866 | 2.05187941 | 2.75006580 |
| H | 7.29732410 | 2.85091568 | 3.06305485 |
| H | 8.13062846 | 1.39673797 | 3.62300375 |
| C | 7.35733984 | 1.23065615 | 1.61516904 |
| H | 7.84457138 | 0.24791076 | 1.56409621 |
| C | 7.35746144 | 1.94652981 | 0.25743991 |
| H | 7.29477892 | 1.17430419 | -0.53196484 |

## Optimized double NDI acceptor ( $\mathrm{DA}_{2}$ ) structure on the ground state.

| C | -6.24743649 | 2.39749223 | -0.88758264 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | -7.25338027 | 3.25283152 | -1.22299335 |
| C | -8.49341407 | 2.52256022 | -1.08946585 |
| N | -8.22221951 | 1.24191243 | -0.68700966 |
| C | -6.86601982 | 1.13366870 | -0.56510148 |
| C | -6.90065871 | -3.40863470 | 0.78417935 |
| C | -5.99075780 | -2.43060549 | 0.51677656 |
| C | -6.74138318 | -1.24538100 | 0.17715082 |
| N | -8.07876263 | -1.51691200 | 0.23415668 |
| C | -8.21219901 | -2.82814251 | 0.60755054 |
| C | -6.15773835 | -0.01573401 | -0.17449139 |
| C | -12.94571813 | -2.76692787 | 0.62542054 |
| C | -11.93590464 | -3.63841162 | 0.90169159 |
| C | -10.69642140 | -2.94380638 | 0.64597942 |
| N | -10.97121436 | -1.67041465 | 0.22775548 |
| C | -12.33260718 | -1.53239613 | 0.19593311 |
| C | -9.42054716 | -3.51752804 | 0.80769635 |
| C | -12.28369956 | 2.96299419 | -1.29641537 |
| C | -13.19726217 | 2.00435579 | -0.97732201 |
| C | -12.45664977 | 0.82556911 | -0.59469654 |
| N | -11.11584893 | 1.08746660 | -0.68251148 |
| C | -10.97637230 | 2.38038872 | -1.10823832 |
| C | -9.76692870 | 3.06988471 | -1.32060783 |
| C | -13.04955975 | -0.38625134 | -0.19466290 |
| Zn | -9.59632090 | -0.21633437 | -0.23295590 |
| H | -5.18076186 | 2.60634118 | -0.85545930 |


| H | -7.14805251 | 4.29457827 | -1.51605064 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -6.68360624 | -4.43380133 | 1.07390514 |
| H | -4.90636575 | -2.50865695 | 0.53674541 |
| H | -14.01156615 | -2.96207191 | 0.71386329 |
| H | -12.04133310 | -4.66169438 | 1.25342506 |
| H | -12.49649533 | 3.97734937 | -1.62463338 |
| H | -14.27925316 | 2.10755524 | -1.00163385 |
| C | -14.55893493 | -0.43798283 | -0.11245029 |
| H | -14.90789436 | -1.45352569 | -0.34262099 |
| H | -14.99427291 | 0.19492717 | -0.89729920 |
| C | -15.09993352 | -0.00788468 | 1.24988573 |
| H | -16.19975096 | -0.05830283 | 1.27125278 |
| H | -14.71340473 | -0.65502866 | 2.05187598 |
| H | -14.80138812 | 1.02471670 | 1.48651327 |
| C | -9.84624491 | 4.51948724 | -1.74487225 |
| H | -8.97507829 | 4.77019226 | -2.36508118 |
| H | -10.71344064 | 4.66442670 | -2.40353696 |
| C | -9.93094052 | 5.48838840 | -0.56686107 |
| H | -9.05026565 | 5.39496777 | 0.08659120 |
| H | -9.98647942 | 6.53090992 | -0.91704231 |
| H | -10.82086193 | 5.28769938 | 0.04881875 |
| C | -9.34536393 | -4.94489067 | 1.30165753 |
| H | -10.20010648 | -5.51638241 | 0.91546790 |
| H | -8.46040426 | -5.43696836 | 0.87602990 |
| C | -9.30434820 | -5.05082941 | 2.82506957 |
| H | -10.20193727 | -4.60297059 | 3.27802161 |
| H | -9.24913496 | -6.10275319 | 3.14596104 |
| H | -8.42968610 | -4.52316889 | 3.23480023 |
| C | -4.67239724 | 0.07391399 | -0.12703995 |
| C | -3.92336933 | 0.23039938 | -1.30035397 |
| C | -3.99003942 | -0.00166453 | 1.09409763 |
| C | -2.53360806 | 0.30436308 | -1.25515204 |
| H | -4.44035620 | 0.28652410 | -2.26121758 |
| C | -2.60070523 | 0.07462788 | 1.14125261 |
| H | -4.56035870 | -0.11863306 | 2.01860511 |
| C | -1.85351684 | 0.22631866 | -0.03345376 |
| H | -1.96351721 | 0.42274275 | -2.17986176 |
| H | -2.08342394 | 0.01364021 | 2.10187742 |
| C | -0.37091781 | 0.29700704 | 0.01693495 |
| C | 0.26126303 | 1.44342360 | 0.54764379 |
| C | 0.40018794 | -0.78629014 | -0.46200420 |
| C | 1.69485748 | 1.48490390 | 0.61718352 |
| C | -0.46268889 | 2.58458300 | 1.01439683 |
| C | 1.83408579 | -0.70009554 | -0.42392284 |
| C | -0.18511729 | -1.98540837 | -0.97351934 |
| C | 2.32737451 | 2.63178904 | 1.19144131 |
| C | 2.44413803 | 0.42150500 | 0.12405506 |
| C | 0.18719639 | 3.67125491 | 1.53826968 |
| H | -1.55129468 | 2.58178602 | 0.94534226 |


| C | 2.60762112 | -1.78103718 | -0.94596039 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 0.59510420 | -3.00949644 | -1.44213162 |
| H | -1.27178305 | -2.07878951 | -0.98405965 |
| C | 1.60522489 | 3.69666206 | 1.64166762 |
| H | 3.53056824 | 0.45949350 | 0.16438058 |
| H | -0.38643183 | 4.53258576 | 1.88614036 |
| C | 2.01209232 | -2.90521846 | -1.44170242 |
| H | 0.12867109 | -3.91887940 | -1.82599310 |
| H | 2.11611848 | 4.55666599 | 2.07732671 |
| H | 2.62668112 | -3.71625282 | -1.83631334 |
| C | 4.74127739 | -2.02838089 | 0.18620523 |
| C | 4.59812547 | -1.04859287 | -2.10261186 |
| C | 6.22048078 | -1.92993616 | 0.11831637 |
| C | 6.07776322 | -0.96165381 | -2.14245528 |
| C | 6.84098027 | -1.43543246 | -1.05192750 |
| C | 6.99148844 | -2.36653558 | 1.18144291 |
| C | 6.70915671 | -0.46094749 | -3.26799785 |
| C | 8.25589244 | -1.43736780 | -1.14364287 |
| C | 8.39530831 | -2.37555505 | 1.08722954 |
| H | 6.49016179 | -2.73041083 | 2.07984350 |
| C | 8.11253573 | -0.46078621 | -3.35614017 |
| H | 6.09689456 | -0.09171284 | -4.09251198 |
| C | 9.02161186 | -1.93972747 | -0.06737067 |
| C | 8.87868829 | -0.96136106 | -2.31847475 |
| H | 9.00912476 | -2.74612485 | 1.91007517 |
| H | 8.61754434 | -0.08926256 | -4.24939666 |
| C | 10.49694131 | -2.04252017 | -0.19665816 |
| C | 10.35412156 | -1.03724613 | -2.45498206 |
| N | 11.06277250 | -1.56786705 | -1.37637442 |
| O | 11.18472034 | -2.52936619 | 0.67895137 |
| 0 | 10.92764608 | -0.68432822 | -3.46398556 |
| C | 12.50978948 | -1.71141228 | -1.54213441 |
| H | 12.85215351 | -0.83880189 | -2.11210641 |
| H | 12.94846070 | -1.67824587 | -0.53863619 |
| C | 12.88408687 | -3.00384552 | -2.24509591 |
| H | 12.44582143 | -3.04851087 | -3.25216195 |
| H | 13.97721292 | -3.06883296 | -2.34706328 |
| H | 12.54451992 | -3.87715934 | -1.66940525 |
| N | 4.03821537 | -1.64847083 | -0.96450194 |
| 0 | 4.15700050 | -2.43353869 | 1.16640537 |
| 0 | 3.89542137 | -0.65236202 | -3.00490603 |
| C | 4.47901173 | 2.87729846 | 0.12571624 |
| C | 4.27065159 | 1.94254072 | 2.43129274 |
| C | 5.90570705 | 2.47304642 | 0.10868849 |
| C | 5.70440872 | 1.57014562 | 2.39369829 |
| C | 6.47229867 | 1.83905002 | 1.23833931 |
| C | 6.67354091 | 2.70262020 | -1.01979720 |
| C | 6.28084218 | 0.94167290 | 3.48389175 |
| C | 7.84167032 | 1.47420317 | 1.21570130 |


| C | 8.03153975 | 2.33936461 | -1.04137204 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | 6.20736507 | 3.17905903 | -1.88383051 |
| C | 7.64087232 | 0.58774984 | 3.46295856 |
| H | 5.66131183 | 0.73501360 | 4.35813578 |
| C | 8.61449401 | 1.74812571 | 0.06581185 |
| C | 8.41615520 | 0.85717631 | 2.34879204 |
| H | 8.64879845 | 2.53060864 | -1.92049147 |
| H | 8.10724030 | 0.10608742 | 4.32400591 |
| C | 10.06189581 | 1.42819516 | 0.05725576 |
| C | 9.86130778 | 0.52737318 | 2.35471576 |
| N | 3.75703899 | 2.57246229 | 1.28762721 |
| N | 10.58984282 | 0.84920092 | 1.20917876 |
| O | 3.55885053 | 1.70266875 | 3.38023692 |
| O | 3.94080007 | 3.41388675 | -0.81654571 |
| O | 10.76475924 | 1.66231454 | -0.90625736 |
| O | 10.40317232 | 0.01388055 | 3.31177193 |
| C | 12.03645245 | 0.62930973 | 1.26083954 |
| H | 12.37073689 | 0.51012475 | 0.22516349 |
| H | 12.19973834 | -0.31199236 | 1.79854206 |
| C | 12.76484752 | 1.78251367 | 1.92702111 |
| H | 13.84546228 | 1.57923452 | 1.94264576 |
| H | 12.60391985 | 2.72155392 | 1.37743565 |
| H | 12.43026134 | 1.91625502 | 2.96555084 |

## Optimized double NDI acceptor $\left(\mathrm{DA}_{2}\right)$ structure of the triplet local excited state.

| C | -6.256238 | 2.394220 | -0.806962 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | -7.283483 | 3.266701 | -1.152917 |
| C | -8.487496 | 2.528942 | -1.070265 |
| N | -8.223780 | 1.238701 | -0.693175 |
| C | -6.864179 | 1.145638 | -0.540137 |
| C | -6.878041 | -3.449603 | 0.735157 |
| C | -5.969129 | -2.471221 | 0.480450 |
| C | -6.720371 | -1.271579 | 0.178748 |
| N | -8.059522 | -1.536723 | 0.247136 |
| C | -8.192114 | -2.857161 | 0.589802 |
| C | -6.147414 | -0.038479 | -0.158170 |
| C | -12.949369 | -2.724098 | 0.678486 |
| C | -11.919247 | -3.617428 | 0.950872 |
| C | -10.710378 | -2.944075 | 0.658891 |
| N | -10.977780 | -1.670924 | 0.225613 |
| C | -12.341627 | -1.529917 | 0.225354 |
| C | -9.401214 | -3.533146 | 0.790127 |
| C | -12.316716 | 2.973885 | -1.349101 |
| C | -13.228368 | 2.021839 | -1.020547 |
| C | -12.485950 | 0.848141 | -0.607620 |
| N | -11.144551 | 1.110023 | -0.684786 |
| C | -11.005595 | 2.397288 | -1.135064 |


| C | -9.797772 | 3.074687 | -1.335978 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | -13.067610 | -0.356141 | -0.189922 |
| Zn | -9.600225 | -0.218003 | -0.235298 |
| H | -5.194059 | 2.616902 | -0.743995 |
| H | -7.177731 | 4.315527 | -1.418700 |
| H | -6.663086 | -4.482875 | 0.996100 |
| H | -4.885046 | -2.554456 | 0.481843 |
| H | -14.013532 | -2.913230 | 0.794325 |
| H | -12.028097 | -4.634207 | 1.319698 |
| H | -12.527384 | 3.981607 | -1.698399 |
| H | -14.310396 | 2.120805 | -1.056341 |
| C | -14.569719 | -0.420302 | -0.094877 |
| H | -14.906631 | -1.438892 | -0.330672 |
| H | -15.021654 | 0.222383 | -0.861816 |
| C | -15.092178 | -0.012483 | 1.283683 |
| H | -16.189514 | -0.090814 | 1.322946 |
| H | -14.675205 | -0.655442 | 2.072845 |
| H | -14.815599 | 1.026403 | 1.519118 |
| C | -9.855372 | 4.514647 | -1.774649 |
| H | -8.976660 | 4.739600 | -2.394721 |
| H | -10.724037 | 4.671346 | -2.428378 |
| C | -9.919388 | 5.492307 | -0.599782 |
| H | -9.050343 | 5.374182 | 0.064070 |
| H | -9.935606 | 6.532460 | -0.959744 |
| H | -10.824385 | 5.325676 | 0.003790 |
| C | -9.343661 | -4.964004 | 1.257533 |
| H | -10.206467 | -5.513164 | 0.856555 |
| H | -8.458587 | -5.459451 | 0.836529 |
| C | -9.317929 | -5.087927 | 2.782038 |
| H | -10.204801 | -4.620104 | 3.234387 |
| H | -9.296597 | -6.145701 | 3.086114 |
| H | -8.429226 | -4.593877 | 3.202990 |
| C | -4.667007 | 0.059281 | -0.115285 |
| C | -3.927726 | 0.282188 | -1.284806 |
| C | -3.978245 | -0.074187 | 1.097406 |
| C | -2.538384 | 0.353560 | -1.244091 |
| H | -4.451512 | 0.390155 | -2.237166 |
| C | -2.589182 | 0.009573 | 1.139945 |
| H | -4.542190 | -0.239014 | 2.018430 |
| C | -1.851075 | 0.219517 | -0.030851 |
| H | -1.973702 | 0.516978 | -2.165173 |
| H | -2.065465 | -0.092856 | 2.093450 |
| C | -0.368226 | 0.293436 | 0.015353 |
| C | 0.261860 | 1.434833 | 0.557938 |
| C | 0.403357 | -0.782836 | -0.477010 |
| C | 1.695463 | 1.478844 | 0.624983 |
| C | -0.464975 | 2.567387 | 1.040682 |
| C | 1.837118 | -0.696207 | -0.436857 |
| C | -0.181877 | -1.974642 | -1.005144 |


| C | 2.326000 | 2.621546 | 1.209580 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 2.445915 | 0.421101 | 0.121413 |
| C | 0.183141 | 3.650225 | 1.574487 |
| H | -1.553931 | 2.560824 | 0.975839 |
| C | 2.611162 | -1.772244 | -0.968069 |
| C | 0.598884 | -2.993517 | -1.484025 |
| H | -1.268754 | -2.065849 | -1.020771 |
| C | 1.601524 | 3.679186 | 1.673066 |
| H | 3.532352 | 0.460446 | 0.161276 |
| H | -0.392085 | 4.505429 | 1.934595 |
| C | 2.016019 | -2.890570 | -1.477420 |
| H | 0.133053 | -3.897474 | -1.881209 |
| H | 2.110890 | 4.536034 | 2.116668 |
| H | 2.631119 | -3.697808 | -1.878947 |
| C | 4.740683 | -2.028106 | 0.169734 |
| C | 4.605845 | -1.034592 | -2.113800 |
| C | 6.220084 | -1.928059 | 0.108168 |
| C | 6.085664 | -0.949758 | -2.148861 |
| C | 6.844847 | -1.428802 | -1.057833 |
| C | 6.987292 | -2.368413 | 1.172500 |
| C | 6.721148 | -0.445525 | -3.270536 |
| C | 8.260072 | -1.431374 | -1.144890 |
| C | 8.391466 | -2.376669 | 1.083469 |
| H | 6.482763 | -2.735907 | 2.067637 |
| C | 8.124761 | -0.447401 | -3.354656 |
| H | 6.111870 | -0.072128 | -4.095393 |
| C | 9.021912 | -1.937355 | -0.067558 |
| C | 8.887049 | -0.952578 | -2.316387 |
| H | 9.002349 | -2.749806 | 1.907337 |
| H | 8.632993 | -0.073808 | -4.245219 |
| C | 10.497649 | -2.040283 | -0.192059 |
| C | 10.362672 | -1.031434 | -2.449339 |
| N | 11.067535 | -1.564503 | -1.369416 |
| 0 | 11.182417 | -2.528527 | 0.685103 |
| 0 | 10.939388 | -0.678921 | -3.456643 |
| C | 12.514573 | -1.711840 | -1.531932 |
| H | 12.860407 | -0.840561 | -2.101820 |
| H | 12.951277 | -1.679157 | -0.527564 |
| C | 12.886913 | -3.005676 | -2.233357 |
| H | 12.450565 | -3.049795 | -3.241287 |
| H | 13.980072 | -3.073585 | -2.333060 |
| H | 12.543910 | -3.877758 | -1.657837 |
| N | 4.041832 | -1.640808 | -0.981123 |
| 0 | 4.152774 | -2.440104 | 1.144898 |
| 0 | 3.906231 | -0.631801 | -3.015596 |
| C | 4.475551 | 2.877888 | 0.141773 |
| C | 4.272868 | 1.930443 | 2.442692 |
| C | 5.902702 | 2.475320 | 0.119649 |
| C | 5.707619 | 1.562438 | 2.401230 |


| C | 6.472718 | 1.837647 | 1.245496 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | 6.667703 | 2.710344 | -1.009637 |
| C | 6.287491 | 0.931187 | 3.487992 |
| C | 7.842655 | 1.475264 | 1.218667 |
| C | 8.026093 | 2.348738 | -1.035723 |
| H | 6.199019 | 3.189642 | -1.870734 |
| C | 7.648264 | 0.580495 | 3.463234 |
| H | 5.669995 | 0.719793 | 4.362551 |
| C | 8.612435 | 1.754295 | 0.067955 |
| C | 8.420677 | 0.855455 | 2.348442 |
| H | 8.641037 | 2.543914 | -1.915601 |
| H | 8.117467 | 0.096921 | 4.321666 |
| C | 10.060217 | 1.436199 | 0.054921 |
| C | 9.866579 | 0.528949 | 2.350512 |
| N | 3.755950 | 2.564945 | 1.303097 |
| N | 10.591790 | 0.854857 | 1.204024 |
| O | 3.563104 | 1.683336 | 3.391322 |
| O | 3.934849 | 3.419404 | -0.796195 |
| O | 10.760458 | 1.673850 | -0.909618 |
| O | 10.411703 | 0.014643 | 3.305252 |
| C | 12.038945 | 0.637770 | 1.251838 |
| H | 12.371041 | 0.521387 | 0.215142 |
| H | 12.205304 | -0.304222 | 1.787417 |
| C | 12.766591 | 1.791044 | 1.918724 |
| H | 13.847661 | 1.589972 | 1.931278 |
| H | 12.602386 | 2.730918 | 1.371537 |
| H | 12.434258 | 1.921853 | 2.958352 |

## Optimized double NDI acceptor ( $\mathrm{DA}_{2}$ ) structure of the triplet charge separated excited state.

| C | 6.313449 | -2.478316 | -0.864007 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | 7.344476 | -3.274068 | -1.269658 |
| C | 8.544006 | -2.482295 | -1.174917 |
| N | 8.235876 | -1.229578 | -0.727113 |
| C | 6.885701 | -1.197109 | -0.544398 |
| C | 6.780965 | 3.291268 | 1.002398 |
| C | 5.898727 | 2.303354 | 0.676907 |
| C | 6.685160 | 1.152556 | 0.318284 |
| N | 8.012357 | 1.447561 | 0.409099 |
| C | 8.101763 | 2.744650 | 0.829084 |
| C | 6.138054 | -0.085975 | -0.091581 |
| C | 12.846173 | 2.849886 | 0.789119 |
| C | 11.810965 | 3.653121 | 1.166952 |
| C | 10.598445 | 2.943126 | 0.854691 |
| N | 10.903210 | 1.731198 | 0.307111 |
| C | 12.264515 | 1.649637 | 0.247324 |
| C | 9.297985 | 3.456068 | 1.072176 |
| C | 12.344758 | -2.715330 | -1.616186 |


| C | 13.229925 | -1.736294 | -1.273372 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 12.456003 | -0.639251 | -0.754392 |
| N | 11.129064 | -0.957576 | -0.785990 |
| C | 11.031303 | -2.216060 | -1.305106 |
| C | 9.837684 | -2.952228 | -1.492976 |
| C | 13.011725 | 0.568093 | -0.272243 |
| Zn | 9.566973 | 0.250300 | -0.205666 |
| H | 5.263493 | -2.744504 | -0.776984 |
| H | 7.276729 | -4.312936 | -1.581280 |
| H | 6.532838 | 4.300849 | 1.318043 |
| H | 4.813953 | 2.362134 | 0.663217 |
| H | 13.905592 | 3.072193 | 0.883414 |
| H | 11.887241 | 4.637235 | 1.621047 |
| H | 12.585196 | -3.685960 | -2.041013 |
| H | 14.311068 | -1.778331 | -1.372251 |
| C | 14.512843 | 0.679599 | -0.242409 |
| H | 14.808910 | 1.727644 | -0.374051 |
| H | 14.939543 | 0.145461 | -1.100746 |
| C | 15.114140 | 0.132549 | 1.054489 |
| H | 16.209258 | 0.232250 | 1.037456 |
| H | 14.734731 | 0.677775 | 1.931229 |
| H | 14.869655 | -0.931221 | 1.190195 |
| C | 9.962803 | -4.367202 | -1.990665 |
| H | 9.067530 | -4.637642 | -2.564083 |
| H | 10.797580 | -4.436941 | -2.699743 |
| C | 10.170261 | -5.370089 | -0.853071 |
| H | 9.327707 | -5.351263 | -0.146321 |
| H | 10.255146 | -6.389642 | -1.256280 |
| H | 11.087113 | -5.147806 | -0.287578 |
| C | 9.180378 | 4.831827 | 1.670783 |
| H | 10.026449 | 5.451794 | 1.349700 |
| H | 8.285645 | 5.330442 | 1.277267 |
| C | 9.115620 | 4.795436 | 3.199743 |
| H | 10.019525 | 4.336394 | 3.626685 |
| H | 9.028094 | 5.815660 | 3.600664 |
| H | 8.248147 | 4.215833 | 3.547921 |
| C | 4.665496 | -0.219417 | -0.053295 |
| C | 3.944645 | -0.472292 | -1.229643 |
| C | 3.964502 | -0.070183 | 1.152453 |
| C | 2.556105 | -0.543689 | -1.203043 |
| H | 4.476939 | -0.583228 | -2.177156 |
| C | 2.577891 | -0.166623 | 1.177442 |
| H | 4.513753 | 0.110974 | 2.079181 |
| C | 1.852854 | -0.388945 | -0.001085 |
| H | 2.001828 | -0.718653 | -2.127766 |
| H | 2.040603 | -0.055233 | 2.121805 |
| C | 0.369791 | -0.437756 | 0.025520 |
| C | -0.286833 | -1.528770 | 0.636258 |
| C | -0.369346 | 0.620699 | -0.549297 |


| C | -1.721458 | -1.537778 | 0.689106 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 0.407481 | -2.644423 | 1.199063 |
| C | -1.804870 | 0.565747 | -0.518505 |
| C | 0.242151 | 1.765968 | -1.146476 |
| C | -2.396393 | -2.623632 | 1.333296 |
| C | -2.441238 | -0.498401 | 0.108302 |
| C | -0.277605 | -3.672794 | 1.791392 |
| H | 1.496769 | -2.675175 | 1.148726 |
| C | -2.556108 | 1.619469 | -1.124626 |
| C | -0.515959 | 2.764662 | -1.699427 |
| H | 1.330018 | 1.844460 | -1.156087 |
| C | -1.697168 | -3.663630 | 1.871132 |
| H | -3.527584 | -0.518198 | 0.141964 |
| H | 0.271443 | -4.517081 | 2.214172 |
| C | -1.934439 | 2.690659 | -1.699933 |
| H | -0.028983 | 3.632261 | -2.149597 |
| H | -2.231927 | -4.477921 | 2.361656 |
| H | -2.530400 | 3.481678 | -2.158039 |
| C | -4.671082 | 1.874767 | 0.032351 |
| C | -4.569499 | 0.988588 | -2.302995 |
| C | -6.145436 | 1.772657 | -0.011576 |
| C | -6.047678 | 0.942527 | -2.331960 |
| C | -6.786876 | 1.362562 | -1.204716 |
| C | -6.897773 | 2.135063 | 1.092998 |
| C | -6.703139 | 0.532013 | -3.483141 |
| C | -8.202476 | 1.398162 | -1.278256 |
| C | -8.300901 | 2.176109 | 1.017280 |
| H | -6.381350 | 2.415743 | 2.012264 |
| C | -8.103748 | 0.562378 | -3.551426 |
| H | -6.107659 | 0.200675 | -4.335332 |
| C | -8.945917 | 1.849266 | -0.163903 |
| C | -8.848413 | 1.006577 | -2.470437 |
| H | -8.898392 | 2.494409 | 1.873133 |
| H | -8.628691 | 0.253959 | -4.456873 |
| C | -10.412006 | 2.027875 | -0.282395 |
| C | -10.322818 | 1.111265 | -2.578684 |
| N | -11.001976 | 1.628767 | -1.477496 |
| 0 | -11.075086 | 2.525500 | 0.608269 |
| 0 | -10.919916 | 0.812376 | -3.594480 |
| C | -12.446689 | 1.798044 | -1.610347 |
| H | -12.813127 | 0.947678 | -2.198653 |
| H | -12.866034 | 1.739483 | -0.599721 |
| C | -12.817775 | 3.116946 | -2.264924 |
| H | -12.397298 | 3.188116 | -3.278284 |
| H | -13.911847 | 3.201040 | -2.343806 |
| H | -12.453772 | 3.966641 | -1.668816 |
| N | -3.986621 | 1.516330 | -1.139819 |
| 0 | -4.059379 | 2.266341 | 1.003412 |
| 0 | -3.877776 | 0.628681 | -3.231485 |


| C | -4.527066 | -2.797260 | 0.225537 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | -4.336146 | -1.931308 | 2.578145 |
| C | -5.915311 | -2.364126 | 0.199949 |
| C | -5.742891 | -1.560466 | 2.532511 |
| C | -6.490675 | -1.772729 | 1.350629 |
| C | -6.678479 | -2.524137 | -0.971977 |
| C | -6.348089 | -0.967737 | 3.656798 |
| C | -7.867015 | -1.388560 | 1.320060 |
| C | -8.007961 | -2.143756 | -1.001914 |
| H | -6.195563 | -2.962945 | -1.846136 |
| C | -7.683094 | -0.606770 | 3.629274 |
| H | -5.737286 | -0.808814 | 4.546549 |
| C | -8.618540 | -1.596338 | 0.141156 |
| C | -8.454737 | -0.816385 | 2.471266 |
| H | -8.615067 | -2.270747 | -1.898841 |
| H | -8.167571 | -0.160316 | 4.498783 |
| C | -10.035620 | -1.262877 | 0.115410 |
| C | -9.875508 | -0.485012 | 2.471248 |
| N | -3.818777 | -2.530081 | 1.412295 |
| N | -10.582243 | -0.746442 | 1.293999 |
| 0 | -3.602779 | -1.737823 | 3.538944 |
| 0 | -3.950571 | -3.330088 | -0.714477 |
| 0 | -10.741076 | -1.422438 | -0.879474 |
| $\bigcirc$ | -10.456837 | -0.013241 | 3.444512 |
| C | -12.021748 | -0.516401 | 1.328095 |
| H | -12.337285 | -0.348716 | 0.293078 |
| H | -12.192414 | 0.397165 | 1.909789 |
| C | -12.774232 | -1.693446 | 1.924563 |
| H | -13.855398 | -1.488415 | 1.927479 |
| H | -12.602029 | -2.607098 | 1.336376 |
| H | -12.458778 | -1.875745 | 2.961913 |

## Optimized double NDI acceptor ( $\mathrm{DA}_{2}$ ) structure of the singlet local excited state.

| C | 6.026225 | -2.480868 | -0.311599 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | 6.949428 | -3.478982 | -0.428380 |
| C | 8.248588 | -2.854376 | -0.444570 |
| N | 8.100023 | -1.490436 | -0.348753 |
| C | 6.757717 | -1.236202 | -0.277873 |
| C | 7.232798 | 3.479783 | 0.061484 |
| C | 6.225101 | 2.556536 | -0.041575 |
| C | 6.850778 | 1.261323 | -0.051595 |
| N | 8.207087 | 1.402269 | 0.033613 |
| C | 8.468018 | 2.747850 | 0.106217 |
| C | 6.153841 | 0.031976 | -0.164005 |
| C | 13.179697 | 2.217685 | 0.390076 |
| C | 12.257235 | 3.220649 | 0.449444 |
| C | 10.963557 | 2.618096 | 0.246476 |
| N | 11.114869 | 1.263070 | 0.073844 |


| C | 12.456407 | 0.990677 | 0.146277 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 9.751759 | 3.335909 | 0.224663 |
| C | 11.987198 | -3.693514 | -0.477446 |
| C | 12.993299 | -2.776748 | -0.322884 |
| C | 12.366993 | -1.487610 | -0.183779 |
| N | 11.008143 | -1.632090 | -0.247389 |
| C | 10.749357 | -2.967097 | -0.428816 |
| C | 9.465200 | -3.558610 | -0.526991 |
| C | 13.067344 | -0.269641 | 0.000165 |
| Zn | 9.607764 | -0.112556 | -0.131249 |
| H | 4.946426 | -2.583081 | -0.239274 |
| H | 6.746947 | -4.546222 | -0.472855 |
| H | 7.120678 | 4.560469 | 0.096279 |
| H | 5.157915 | 2.746691 | -0.121665 |
| H | 14.255261 | 2.319168 | 0.510968 |
| H | 12.457322 | 4.274345 | 0.626998 |
| H | 12.102402 | -4.766830 | -0.605409 |
| H | 14.060569 | -2.981934 | -0.304880 |
| C | 14.568355 | -0.346544 | 0.116859 |
| H | 15.020191 | 0.582012 | -0.257633 |
| H | 14.948667 | -1.137987 | -0.544652 |
| C | 15.046300 | -0.605627 | 1.546577 |
| H | 16.145100 | -0.666526 | 1.588801 |
| H | 14.722241 | 0.200127 | 2.222667 |
| H | 14.636521 | -1.549881 | 1.936006 |
| C | 9.403965 | -5.060394 | -0.643257 |
| H | 8.502273 | -5.353517 | -1.197410 |
| H | 10.246562 | -5.414939 | -1.253457 |
| C | 9.423445 | -5.768244 | 0.712757 |
| H | 8.560666 | -5.468971 | 1.326749 |
| H | 9.388635 | -6.861100 | 0.583452 |
| H | 10.334629 | -5.519558 | 1.277179 |
| C | 9.809928 | 4.830418 | 0.411838 |
| H | 10.751740 | 5.221465 | 0.004855 |
| H | 9.018135 | 5.304960 | -0.184796 |
| C | 9.668628 | 5.255794 | 1.874373 |
| H | 10.479038 | 4.834378 | 2.488139 |
| H | 9.703541 | 6.352338 | 1.967628 |
| H | 8.714869 | 4.906992 | 2.297975 |
| C | 4.673476 | 0.091036 | -0.169528 |
| C | 3.938535 | -0.356085 | -1.278103 |
| C | 3.968909 | 0.599471 | 0.932547 |
| C | 2.547792 | -0.297071 | -1.284770 |
| H | 4.470431 | -0.740902 | -2.151260 |
| C | 2.578191 | 0.651729 | 0.929445 |
| H | 4.523818 | 0.943962 | 1.808254 |
| C | 1.850231 | 0.205777 | -0.180451 |
| H | 1.990801 | -0.640426 | -2.159890 |
| H | 2.044257 | 1.040310 | 1.800019 |


| C | 0.364861 | 0.267476 | -0.188938 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | -0.384647 | -0.797230 | 0.354991 |
| C | -0.285546 | 1.389449 | -0.743950 |
| C | -1.819874 | -0.736158 | 0.321799 |
| C | 0.228495 | -1.950778 | 0.933090 |
| C | -1.720381 | 1.443844 | -0.735787 |
| C | 0.426220 | 2.492752 | -1.308708 |
| C | -2.569110 | -1.836823 | 0.838328 |
| C | -2.451075 | 0.381406 | -0.211972 |
| C | -0.529464 | -2.972912 | 1.440489 |
| H | 1.317773 | -2.006108 | 0.965151 |
| C | -2.368745 | 2.601921 | -1.268085 |
| C | -0.238903 | 3.573367 | -1.825491 |
| H | 1.516889 | 2.462024 | -1.321939 |
| C | -1.948754 | -2.922386 | 1.387381 |
| H | -3.538750 | 0.417717 | -0.216342 |
| H | -0.044562 | -3.845928 | 1.881638 |
| C | -1.659441 | 3.637056 | -1.801561 |
| H | 0.323096 | 4.405514 | -2.253988 |
| H | -2.545731 | -3.751364 | 1.771494 |
| H | -2.183148 | 4.509278 | -2.195846 |
| C | -4.325393 | 3.129804 | 0.029671 |
| C | -4.509964 | 1.893360 | -2.131004 |
| C | -5.759369 | 2.861059 | 0.288362 |
| C | -5.936538 | 1.619363 | -1.833571 |
| C | -6.515153 | 2.112134 | -0.641507 |
| C | -6.346659 | 3.324750 | 1.452688 |
| C | -6.692944 | 0.868401 | -2.716675 |
| C | -7.883566 | 1.855873 | -0.376308 |
| C | -7.705616 | 3.075811 | 1.710943 |
| H | -5.736052 | 3.889916 | 2.158774 |
| C | -8.050557 | 0.615578 | -2.452929 |
| H | -6.217876 | 0.487446 | -3.622221 |
| C | -8.468829 | 2.358377 | 0.806691 |
| C | -8.644406 | 1.114196 | -1.306591 |
| H | -8.180526 | 3.449521 | 2.619594 |
| H | -8.658834 | 0.037849 | -3.150543 |
| C | -9.912391 | 2.141782 | 1.064047 |
| C | -10.090726 | 0.894155 | -1.067036 |
| N | -10.628983 | 1.432630 | 0.099648 |
| 0 | -10.462545 | 2.568673 | 2.058156 |
| 0 | -10.783698 | 0.275308 | -1.850643 |
| C | -12.074603 | 1.316986 | 0.300587 |
| H | -12.395039 | 0.426074 | -0.249018 |
| H | -12.238250 | 1.152467 | 1.372066 |
| C | -12.819706 | 2.543858 | -0.193204 |
| H | -12.657147 | 2.697532 | -1.270087 |
| H | -13.899100 | 2.413357 | -0.028226 |
| H | -12.501290 | 3.446658 | 0.347110 |


| N | -3.799131 | 2.620969 | -1.167244 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O | -3.624053 | 3.733918 | 0.809315 |
| O | -3.962592 | 1.490449 | -3.132785 |
| C | -4.552060 | -2.328273 | -0.448036 |
| C | -4.713731 | -1.131217 | 1.734242 |
| C | -6.030971 | -2.311956 | -0.550466 |
| C | -6.192514 | -1.132200 | 1.606189 |
| C | -6.803285 | -1.749360 | 0.490305 |
| C | -6.652619 | -2.889706 | -1.644071 |
| C | -6.972802 | -0.569265 | 2.601114 |
| C | -8.217530 | -1.828181 | 0.425609 |
| C | -8.055311 | -2.963082 | -1.708785 |
| H | -6.033315 | -3.307911 | -2.439426 |
| C | -8.375937 | -0.655622 | 2.541074 |
| H | -6.479319 | -0.085176 | 3.445491 |
| C | -8.830279 | -2.459643 | -0.679092 |
| C | -8.992700 | -1.296763 | 1.480929 |
| H | -8.552869 | -3.437318 | -2.556441 |
| H | -8.996756 | -0.241623 | 3.337561 |
| C | -10.304721 | -2.621056 | -0.713179 |
| C | -10.466996 | -1.471610 | 1.473843 |
| N | -4.000959 | -1.795492 | 0.727555 |
| N | -11.022561 | -2.109430 | 0.368379 |
| O | -4.137805 | -0.606525 | 2.661218 |
| O | -3.842576 | -2.792235 | -1.311866 |
| O | -10.869503 | -3.196392 | -1.619633 |
| O | -11.162128 | -1.089672 | 2.394539 |
| C | -12.467530 | -2.341750 | 0.371850 |
| H | -12.807513 | -2.244937 | -0.666750 |
| H | -12.915423 | -1.541647 | 0.971472 |
| C | -12.831192 | -3.701213 | 0.941320 |
| H | -13.923197 | -3.830991 | 0.925759 |
| H | -12.384449 | -4.511933 | 0.348565 |
| H | -12.493366 | -3.793769 | 1.983797 |

## Optimized double NDI acceptor ( $\mathrm{DA}_{2}$ ) structure of the singlet charge separated excited state

| C | -6.239403 | 1.133037 | -2.327225 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | -7.249388 | 1.544105 | -3.145310 |
| C | -8.479231 | 1.084295 | -2.552007 |
| N | -8.209477 | 0.394415 | -1.407277 |
| C | -6.854863 | 0.397609 | -1.253189 |
| C | -6.885959 | -2.126056 | 2.768886 |
| C | -5.975920 | -1.593127 | 1.904995 |
| C | -6.728133 | -0.886765 | 0.901129 |
| N | -8.060966 | -1.002615 | 1.149022 |


| C | -8.189681 | -1.746419 | 2.287707 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | -6.142384 | -0.205508 | -0.193118 |
| C | -12.923964 | -1.455652 | 2.410343 |
| C | -11.913175 | -1.962484 | 3.171258 |
| C | -10.687545 | -1.697393 | 2.463678 |
| N | -10.960414 | -1.040762 | 1.301695 |
| C | -12.315288 | -0.887335 | 1.235433 |
| C | -9.404061 | -2.077697 | 2.925820 |
| C | -12.283052 | 1.350912 | -2.951766 |
| C | -13.193316 | 0.907665 | -2.039357 |
| C | -12.446544 | 0.303255 | -0.966819 |
| N | -11.111949 | 0.391420 | -1.230435 |
| C | -10.980689 | 1.023519 | -2.432878 |
| C | -9.765215 | 1.347773 | -3.077522 |
| C | -13.033222 | -0.282794 | 0.180095 |
| Zn | -9.584494 | -0.321074 | -0.051355 |
| H | -5.175703 | 1.324478 | -2.438637 |
| H | -7.148713 | 2.129244 | -4.055286 |
| H | -6.670248 | -2.726645 | 3.648208 |
| H | -4.894105 | -1.688816 | 1.937733 |
| H | -13.984496 | -1.475778 | 2.645527 |
| H | -12.012223 | -2.463907 | 4.130045 |
| H | -12.498369 | 1.858352 | -3.888047 |
| H | -14.274087 | 0.993680 | -2.108978 |
| C | -14.530148 | -0.196888 | 0.314642 |
| H | -14.902814 | -1.066235 | 0.870481 |
| H | -14.994647 | -0.263353 | -0.677411 |
| C | -14.977436 | 1.091302 | 1.008676 |
| H | -16.073754 | 1.121272 | 1.087004 |
| H | -14.558922 | 1.161492 | 2.023556 |
| H | -14.649088 | 1.979222 | 0.448457 |
| C | -9.844845 | 2.106154 | -4.375540 |
| H | -8.979914 | 1.853828 | -5.002132 |
| H | -10.723881 | 1.772213 | -4.942071 |
| C | -9.909636 | 3.621011 | -4.168298 |
| H | -9.020235 | 3.988878 | -3.635882 |
| H | -9.964634 | 4.135291 | -5.138476 |
| H | -10.793710 | 3.904815 | -3.578551 |
| C | -9.325993 | -2.820476 | 4.232456 |
| H | -10.214230 | -3.453874 | 4.351275 |
| H | -8.473418 | -3.511296 | 4.212849 |
| C | -9.196431 | -1.878423 | 5.431407 |
| H | -10.057500 | -1.197054 | 5.497766 |
| H | -9.143628 | -2.456231 | 6.365299 |
| H | -8.287418 | -1.263705 | 5.356728 |
| C | -4.665538 | -0.115575 | -0.219830 |
| C | -3.927467 | -0.677204 | -1.271257 |
| C | -3.980876 | 0.537398 | 0.815400 |
| C | -2.539240 | -0.595218 | -1.279466 |


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | -4.446546 | -1.200027 | -2.077781 |
| C | -2.594970 | 0.636370 | 0.790590 |
| H | -4.543856 | 0.986979 | 1.636467 |
| C | -1.853136 | 0.067000 | -0.252971 |
| H | -1.971872 | -1.046957 | -2.096135 |
| H | -2.071276 | 1.158847 | 1.594085 |
| C | -0.372066 | 0.165937 | -0.262030 |
| C | 0.241315 | 1.414826 | -0.505951 |
| C | 0.407196 | -0.985297 | -0.009873 |
| C | 1.673542 | 1.505733 | -0.474032 |
| C | -0.494662 | 2.605452 | -0.795453 |
| C | 1.839848 | -0.873026 | -0.016522 |
| C | -0.161478 | -2.264222 | 0.276388 |
| C | 2.307557 | 2.771674 | -0.691474 |
| C | 2.432021 | 0.364276 | -0.235861 |
| C | 0.149797 | 3.795388 | -1.007678 |
| H | -1.583294 | 2.558773 | -0.850418 |
| C | 2.634724 | -2.037486 | 0.221437 |
| C | 0.635342 | -3.352985 | 0.512789 |
| H | -1.247040 | -2.367801 | 0.311419 |
| C | 1.566726 | 3.888387 | -0.947363 |
| H | 3.516075 | 0.440407 | -0.218216 |
| H | -0.430756 | 4.694402 | -1.226822 |
| C | 2.050790 | -3.245305 | 0.478840 |
| H | 0.182336 | -4.322448 | 0.731279 |
| H | 2.068327 | 4.844762 | -1.099818 |
| H | 2.676377 | -4.122059 | 0.654191 |
| C | 4.712900 | -1.354670 | 1.264653 |
| C | 4.674794 | -2.331361 | -1.042316 |
| C | 6.184545 | -1.261142 | 1.179403 |
| C | 6.151309 | -2.258790 | -1.074755 |
| C | 6.858879 | -1.759415 | 0.039352 |
| C | 6.905628 | -0.739380 | 2.242059 |
| C | 6.838433 | -2.730855 | -2.185221 |
| C | 8.276493 | -1.778573 | 0.025837 |
| C | 8.309404 | -0.766994 | 2.232058 |
| H | 6.358542 | -0.334833 | 3.095222 |
| C | 8.238959 | -2.742864 | -2.198971 |
| H | 6.261685 | -3.101880 | -3.034119 |
| C | 8.989385 | -1.310949 | 1.152949 |
| C | 8.954957 | -2.286828 | -1.101611 |
| H | 8.887847 | -0.392151 | 3.078070 |
| H | 8.793450 | -3.122438 | -3.058821 |
| C | 10.460750 | -1.462758 | 1.208776 |
| C | 10.432764 | -2.377223 | -1.094254 |
| N | 11.080037 | -1.981208 | 0.075860 |
| O | 11.103153 | -1.172950 | 2.200352 |
| O | 11.060979 | -2.825941 | -2.031567 |
| C | 12.531598 | -2.128320 | 0.103690 |
|  |  |  |  |


| H | 12.893742 | -1.881712 | -0.902185 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | 12.909434 | -1.384487 | 0.814099 |
| C | 12.966607 | -3.524540 | 0.509823 |
| H | 12.590539 | -4.274470 | -0.201050 |
| H | 14.064978 | -3.588149 | 0.522808 |
| H | 12.599600 | -3.771859 | 1.517042 |
| N | 4.061353 | -1.919388 | 0.153895 |
| O | 4.075640 | -0.993945 | 2.229631 |
| O | 4.011047 | -2.747507 | -1.965662 |
| C | 4.451129 | 2.214594 | -1.632954 |
| C | 4.244162 | 3.191742 | 0.680248 |
| C | 5.850650 | 1.916674 | -1.357902 |
| C | 5.663882 | 2.930763 | 0.888468 |
| C | 6.422533 | 2.291630 | -0.118919 |
| C | 6.624498 | 1.247263 | -2.322342 |
| C | 6.266551 | 3.292930 | 2.106271 |
| C | 7.805392 | 2.026357 | 0.115400 |
| C | 7.963193 | 0.982766 | -2.089487 |
| H | 6.140839 | 0.956072 | -3.255993 |
| C | 7.609761 | 3.044665 | 2.328281 |
| H | 5.643719 | 3.776763 | 2.860089 |
| C | 8.568063 | 1.384072 | -0.885990 |
| C | 8.388861 | 2.415114 | 1.341737 |
| H | 8.583099 | 0.475524 | -2.829767 |
| H | 8.097583 | 3.331067 | 3.261119 |
| C | 9.995510 | 1.162872 | -0.686328 |
| C | 9.818870 | 2.211072 | 1.564238 |
| N | 3.728463 | 2.799553 | -0.573489 |
| N | 10.536545 | 1.622559 | 0.518744 |
| O | 3.506871 | 3.690801 | 1.513957 |
| O | 3.880688 | 1.950411 | -2.679203 |
| O | 10.706465 | 0.609672 | -1.520381 |
| O | 10.393423 | 2.545649 | 2.592497 |
| C | 11.978327 | 1.512041 | 0.701147 |
| H | 12.315789 | 0.721302 | 0.022730 |
| H | 12.152275 | 1.196504 | 1.737173 |
| C | 12.697921 | 2.813900 | 0.397430 |
| H | 13.782868 | 2.686906 | 0.531752 |
| H | 12.517391 | 3.128290 | -0.641622 |
| H | 12.361079 | 3.612296 | 1.074071 |
|  |  |  |  |
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Preparation $\xrightarrow{\tau_{\mathrm{D}}}$ Measurement



### 2.1 Abstract

We recently demonstrated photodriven quantum teleportation of an electron spin state in a covalent donor-acceptor-radical (D-A-R*). Following specific spin state preparation on $R^{\bullet}$ with a microwave pulse, photoexcitation of A results in two-step electron transfer producing $\mathrm{D}^{\bullet+}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{R}^{-}$, where the spin state on $\mathrm{R}^{\bullet}$ is teleported to $\mathrm{D}^{\bullet+}$. This study examines the effects of varying the time ( $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ ) between spin state preparation and photoinitiated teleportation. Using pulse-EPR spectroscopy, the spin echo of $\mathrm{D}^{+}$resulting from teleportation shows a complex damped oscillation as a function of $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ that is simulated using a density matrix model, which provides a fundamental understanding of the echo behavior. Teleportation fidelity calculations also show oscillatory behavior as a function of $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ due to the accumulation of a phase factor between $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{y}}\right\rangle$. Understanding experimental parameters intrinsic to quantum teleportation in molecular systems is crucial to leveraging this phenomenon for quantum information applications.

### 2.2 Introduction

Transferring a quantum state over an arbitrary distance from one location to another without destroying the information it contains is possible through the agency of quantum entanglement. ${ }^{89}$ The process is known as quantum teleportation ${ }^{90}$ and has been demonstrated using both light and matter. ${ }^{91-99}$ Recently, we achieved electron spin state teleportation in an ensemble of covalent organic donor-acceptor-stable radical molecules comprising a 2,2,6,6-tetramethylbenzo[1,2-d:4,5-d']bis([1,3]dioxole) donor (D), a 4-aminonaphthalene-1,8-imide acceptor/chromophore (A), and a partly deuterated $\alpha, \gamma$-bisdiphenylene- $\beta$-phenylallyl radical ( $\mathrm{R}^{*}$ ) (D-A-R', Figure 2.1). ${ }^{23}$ Following preparation of a specific electron spin state on $R^{\bullet}$ in a magnetic field using a microwave pulse, photoexcitation of A results in the formation of an entangled electron spin pair ${ }^{1}\left(\mathrm{D}^{+}-\mathrm{A}^{\bullet}\right)$. The spontaneous ultrafast electron transfer reaction $\mathrm{D}^{\cdot+}-\mathrm{A}^{\bullet-}-\mathrm{R}^{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\cdot+}-$ A-R ${ }^{-}$constitutes the Bell state ${ }^{100}$ measurement step necessary to carry out spin state teleportation. Quantum state tomography of the $\mathrm{R}^{\bullet}$ and $\mathrm{D}^{++}$spin states using pulse-EPR spectroscopy showed that the spin state of $\mathrm{R}^{\circ}$ is teleported to $\mathrm{D}^{\cdot+}$ with about $90 \%$ fidelity.


Figure 2.1. Structure of D-A-R'.

In our previous work, we noted that phase coherent rotation of the electron spin state teleported to $\mathrm{D}^{\bullet+}$ occurs when the time interval between the initial $\pi / 2$ microwave pulse that places
$\mathrm{R}^{\bullet}$ into a superposition state and laser pulse that initiates teleportation is varied. A better understanding of this observation and how it relates to the teleportation fidelity will inform both future experimental and molecular design. Utilizing the $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{R}^{\cdot}$ molecule shown in Figure 2.1, we observe a damped oscillation of the teleported state when the time between the initial $\pi / 2$ microwave pulse and laser pulse is incremented. By simulating this behavior using density matrix methods, we show that it is a consequence of the differing resonant frequencies and magnetic environments of $\mathrm{R}^{\cdot}$ and $\mathrm{D}^{\cdot+}$.


Figure 2.2. Microwave and laser pulse sequences for (a) 2-pulse and (b) 3-pulse teleportation experiments wherein the laser pulse is delayed by $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ relative to the initial $\pi / 2$ microwave pulse. Blue rectangles signify microwave pulses resonant with $\mathrm{R}^{\cdot}$ and red rectangles signify microwave pulses resonant with $\mathrm{D}^{+}$. Purple spikes signify the 416 nm laser pulse. Pulse turning angles are given above each pulse. The inter-pulse spacing of the microwave pulses is 200 ns .

The synthesis, structural characterization, photoinduced charge separation dynamics, and full quantum state tomography (QST) of teleportation in D-A-R• have been described elsewhere. ${ }^{23}$ Briefly, following photoexcitation of A , the triradical $\mathrm{D}^{\bullet+}-\mathrm{A}^{\circ-}-\mathrm{R}^{\bullet}$ is formed in 10 ps . Given that the
spins of $\mathrm{A}^{\bullet}$ and $\mathrm{R}^{\bullet}$ are uncorrelated, $\mathrm{D}^{++}{ }^{1}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{\bullet-}-\mathrm{R}^{\bullet}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{+}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{R}^{-}$in 108 ps with a $25 \%$ yield, while $\mathrm{D}^{\bullet+}{ }^{3}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{\bullet-}-\mathrm{R}^{\bullet}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{R}^{\bullet}$ in 5 ns with a $75 \%$ yield.

### 2.3 Results, Analysis, and Discussion

2.3.1 Pulse-EPR Spectroscopy. Partial QST was performed for teleportation of the +x prepared state using the 2-and 3-pulse, 2-frequency experiments as a function of the time between the initial $\pi / 2$ microwave pulse and laser pulse, $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ (Figure 2.2). The data was collected in triplicate on three separate days with three separate samples. Additional experimental parameters for the triplicate data sets are included in Table S2.4. The phase cycles used in all experiments are provided in Table S2.5.

Figure 2.3 shows the QST of a state prepared along the +x direction of the Bloch sphere as a function of $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ for data set 1. The 2-pulse teleportation experiment, Figures 2.3a,b, provides $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{y}}\right\rangle$ from the in-phase and quadrature channels of the EPR spectrometer. A damped complex oscillation of the spin echo intensity is observed as $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ is incremented to longer values, where the amplitude oscillates between $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{y}}\right\rangle$. In addition, the spin echo moves closer in time to the


Figure 2.3. The spin echoes as a function of $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$, which represent the measurement of a) $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}\right\rangle$, b) $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{y}}\right\rangle$ and c) $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{z}\right\rangle$ of a state prepared along the $+\mathrm{x} . \mathrm{a}$ ) and b) were collected using 2-pulse teleportation scheme, c) was collected with the 3 -pulse scheme. All three panels are from data set 1 .
$\pi$ microwave pulse with increasing $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ values. As expected, the 3-pulse teleportation experiment, which provides $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{z}\right\rangle$, shows no spin echo (Figure 2.3c).

Fitting a line across the echo maxima and minima in the $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}\right\rangle$ data, Figure 2.4a, gives a data slice, Figure 2.4b, that emphasizes the oscillatory and damping behavior of the echo. A fit to the data slice using an exponentially damped sine wave yields a frequency and a decay lifetime, which averaged over the three data sets gives values of $42.2 \pm 0.7 \mathrm{MHz}$ and $38 \pm 3 \mathrm{~ns}$, respectively. The oscillation frequencies and decay lifetimes from fitting the individual data sets are provided in Table S2.1. Additional fitting details are also provided in section 2.5.3.

b)


Figure 2.4. a) Data for $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}\right\rangle$ with linear fit across echo maxima and minima. b) Data slice with corresponding sinusoidal and exponential fit. Both figures are for data set 1 .
2.3.2 Density Matrix Simulations. Simulation of the 2-pulse echo vs $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ spectra was performed by evolution of the density matrix. Due to fast charge separation sequence $D^{-1 *} A-R^{\cdot} \rightarrow D^{+}-{ }^{1}\left(A^{-}-R^{*}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{D}^{+}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{R}$, the evolution of the density matrix can be divided into two discrete $S=1 / 2$ evolution periods, one before the laser pulse and one after it, greatly simplifying the analysis compared to previous treatments. ${ }^{101-103}$ Based on the fact that the spins on $A^{*}$ and $\mathrm{R}^{\cdot}$ are uncorrelated, ${ }^{104}$ the corresponding
triplet intermediate $\mathrm{D}^{\cdot+}{ }^{3}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{\circ}-\mathrm{R}^{\circ}\right)$, which constitutes $75 \%$ of the total triradical intermediate population, decays to $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{R}^{\bullet}$ in 5 ns and thus can be safely neglected.

Initially, the thermal density matrix for each $\mathrm{R}^{\bullet}$ spin packet is rotated by the initial $\pi / 2$ microwave pulse generating the +x state. This density matrix then evolves for time $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$, acquiring a phase of $\left(\omega_{R}+\Delta \omega_{R}\right) \tau_{D}$, where $\Delta \omega_{R}$ represents the resonance offset of the individual spin packets of $\mathrm{R}^{\bullet}$. Following the teleportation event, the density matrix begins evolving under the $\mathrm{D}^{\bullet+}$ spin Hamiltonian adding an addition phase of $\left(\omega_{\mathrm{D}}+\Delta \omega_{\mathrm{D}}\right)\left(\tau-\tau_{\mathrm{D}}\right)$, where $\Delta \omega_{\mathrm{D}}$ represents the resonance offset of the individual spin packets of $\mathrm{D}^{\bullet+}$, which are uncorrelated with those of $\mathrm{R}^{\bullet}$. The density matrix is then refocused with a $\pi$ microwave pulse resonant with $\mathrm{D}^{\bullet+}$, subtracting a phase of $\left(\omega_{\mathrm{D}}+\right.$ $\left.\Delta \omega_{\mathrm{D}}\right) \tau$ and forming a spin echo. The spin echo carries the residual phase of $\left(\omega_{\mathrm{R}}+\Delta \omega_{\mathrm{R}}\right) \tau_{\mathrm{D}}-\left(\omega_{\mathrm{D}}+\right.$ $\left.\Delta \omega_{\mathrm{D}}\right) \tau_{\mathrm{D}}$.

The density matrix simulation was coded in Matlab, ${ }^{105}$ and the spin echo was generated using the Easyspin ${ }^{106}$ function evolve. The simulations used the experimentally determined $g_{-}$ values and line widths for both $\mathrm{R}^{\bullet}$ and $\mathrm{D}^{\bullet+} .{ }^{23}$ Integration over the spin packet distributions utilized 30 evenly-spaced frequency points in the $\mathrm{R}^{\bullet}$ spectrum over 45 MHz and 1024 evenly-spaced frequency points over 100 MHz in the $\mathrm{D}^{++}$spectrum. Specific frequency ranges were chosen to symmetrically capture the entirety of each spectrum. Experimental microwave pulse lengths, rectangular pulse shapes, and phase cycles were also incorporated into the numerical simulations for each experimental data set.


Figure 2.5. a) Simulated spin echoes as a function of $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$, which represent the $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}\right\rangle$ measurement of a state prepared along $+x$. b) Simulated spin echoes with linear fit across the echo maxima and minima. c) Data slice along linear fit with corresponding fit. Simulations were generated using the experimental parameters from data set 1 .

The simulation of the 2-pulse teleportation experiment as a function of $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ is shown in Figure 2.5a. Qualitatively, the simulation agrees well with the experimental results, showing a damped complex oscillation. A linear fit, Figure 2.5 b, and data slice, Figure 2.5 c , were obtained in the same manner as the experimental data. The fit of all simulated data sets, as shown for data set 1 in Figure 2.5 c , with the same model as the experimental data yields an average frequency of $42.2 \pm 0.4 \mathrm{MHz}$ and an average decay lifetime of $35.6 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{~ns}$. The oscillation frequencies and decay lifetimes from fitting the simulations of the individual data sets are provided in Table S2.2.
2.3.3 Teleportation Fidelity. The teleportation fidelity $F(\rho, \sigma)$, where $0 \leq F \leq 1$, was calculated as a function of $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ using the well-known density matrix expression shown here. ${ }^{23,98,107}$

## Equation 2.1

$$
F(\rho, \sigma) \equiv\left(\operatorname{tr} \sqrt{\rho^{1 / 2} \sigma \rho^{1 / 2}}\right)^{2}
$$

where $\rho$ and $\sigma$ are density matrices for the starting and final spin states. The previously reported ${ }^{23}$ entanglement fidelity of 0.91 was used and the values for the input density matrix were replaced
with an idealized input density matrix for which $F=1$. Our previously reported experimental input fidelity of 0.99 suggests that this change should not influence the fidelity calculation and the idealized input density matrix will allow an accurate comparison of teleportation fidelities as a function of $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$.


Figure 2.6. Teleportation fidelity calculated as a function of $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ for data set 1 with corresponding fit.

The teleportation fidelity data as a function of $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ were fit to extract an oscillation frequency from each data set. The fit protocol involved a sine wave to capture the oscillations and an exponential component to capture the shallower troughs particularly for data sets 2 and 3 (see section 2.5 ). The teleportation fidelity was calculated as a function of $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$, and these data are presented in Figure 2.6 for data set 1. The fidelity oscillates with an average frequency for the triplicate data sets of $41 \pm 2 \mathrm{MHz}$. Each peak returns to roughly the same maximum fidelity well above the $2 / 3$ needed to confirm quantum entanglement. ${ }^{108}$ Data sets 2 and 3 show similar oscillatory behavior, only with shallower troughs after the trough at around $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}=12 \mathrm{~ns}$ (see section 2.5).
2.3.4 Analysis of the Time Delay Effects. The QST as a function of $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ has three main features: 1) oscillatory behavior of the echo intensity, 2) damping of the signal intensity as $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ is increased, and 3) the movement of the echo closer in time to the $\pi$ microwave pulse at longer $\tau_{D}$ values.

The oscillation of the spin echo can be understood in the context of the evolution of the density matrix. The spin state evolves under two different spin Hamiltonians resulting in the accumulation of a phase equal to their frequency difference. The experimentally obtained frequency of $42.2 \pm 0.7 \mathrm{MHz}$ agrees well with the frequency difference of the microwave pulses $(40 \mathrm{MHz})$ used to target $\mathrm{R}^{\bullet}$ and $\mathrm{D}^{\cdot+}$ and is in good agreement with average oscillation frequency of the simulated data, $42.2 \pm 0.4 \mathrm{MHz}$.

The damping of the echo, quantitatively illustrated with the $38 \pm 3 \mathrm{~ns}$ damping lifetime, is much shorter than the previously reported phase memory time of $\mathrm{D}^{++}, T_{m}=890 \mathrm{~ns} .{ }^{23}$ However, the damping is reproduced quite well in the density matrix simulation and can be interpreted as resulting from spectral diffusion. Initially, the spins dephase due to the magnetic environment of $\mathrm{R}^{\bullet}$, following teleportation of the spin states to $\mathrm{D}^{\bullet+}$, they continue to dephase, but now the spins are in a different magnetic environment and do so in an uncorrelated fashion. The $\pi$ microwave pulse is unable to completely refocusing the coherence and the spin echo is damped due to destructive interference from the dephasing caused by two different magnetic environments.

This mechanism is fully supported by the density matrix simulation, with an average damping lifetime of $35.6 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{~ns}$. The simulation does not consider any $T_{m}$ relaxation, and the damping is due solely to the two uncorrelated dephasing processes. If the dephasing occurs in a correlated fashion, i.e. dephasing of $\mathrm{R}^{*}$ occurs with a given frequency offset and continues with
the same offset after teleportation to $\mathrm{D}^{++}$, the echo amplitude does not damp out as a function of $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$. This understanding provides clues to how this teleportation experiment could be made more robust to avoid this particular mechanism of dephasing; for example, rather than initiate teleportation immediately following the generation of the quantum state, a Carr-Purcell-MeiboomGill ${ }^{109,110}$ pulse train could first be applied with the laser pulse after the echo is refocused.

The observation that the spin echo moves closer in time to the $\pi$ pulse with increasing $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ can be explained by considering the time period just before and after the laser pulse that initiates teleportation. The prepared spin state of $\mathrm{R}^{\bullet}$ evolves initially under its spin Hamiltonian, then dephases under the spin Hamiltonian of $\mathrm{D}^{\bullet+}$ after the laser pulse occurs at $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$. As a result, the spin ensemble of $\mathrm{R}^{\cdot}$ prior to the laser pulse cannot be refocused completely following teleportation. This process is somewhat analogous to shortening the time between the microwave pulses in a Hahn echo sequence, only here it is the time between the laser pulse and the $\pi$ pulse, which determines when the echo will appear. Since the time between the microwave pulses is fixed in the experiment, the time at which the spin echo of $\mathrm{D}^{\cdot+}$ appears also changes as $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ changes. This is somewhat analogous to varying the time between the $\pi / 2$ and $\pi$ pulses in a standard Hahn echo experiment, so that the echo should appear at $\left(\tau-\tau_{\mathrm{D}}\right)$ after the $\pi$ microwave pulse. By fitting the maxima and minima in the echo intensity, the average slope of the linear fit is $-0.70 \pm 0.10$ with an average intercept of $165 \pm 5 \mathrm{~ns}$. These values are close to the ideal situation for these experimental conditions, where the slope should be -1 and the $y$-intercept should be 150 ns . Analyzing the corresponding fit lines to each 2-dimensional simulated data set, the average slope is $-1.019 \pm 0.014$ and the average intercept is $201 \pm 1 \mathrm{~ns}$. The difference between the intercepts of
the experimental and simulated data is due to microwave resonator deadtime. Since the simulations are not subject to resonator deadtime, the simulated echo data begins directly after the $\pi$ microwave pulse and is not delayed. The simulated fits show good agreement with the idealized situation, giving credence to the argument that the echo moves closer to the $\pi$ microwave pulse with increasing $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ analogous to adjusting the time delay between Hahn echo microwave pulses. Overall, the high level of agreement between the experimental data and simulations suggests that our approach to the density matrix evolution can serve as a predictive model to explore other pulse sequences and protocols for spin teleportation experiments.

In the 3-pulse teleportation experiment, only a very weak signal is present. This is the desired outcome as this experiment measures $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{z}}\right\rangle$ and with properly calibrated turning angles, all of the spins should be in the xy-plane. While no interesting dynamics occur in this experiment, it is nevertheless necessary to collect this data in order to calculate the fidelity.

As seen in Figure 2.6 (and Figure S2.6), the teleportation fidelity oscillates as a function of $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$. The fidelity plots might, at first glance, appear to suggest that the system is not teleporting when $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ is in a trough as $F_{\text {teleport }}<2 / 3$ required to confirm quantum teleportation. And in a manner of speaking that is true, the initially prepared state is not perfectly teleported, it is however teleported with an additional phase factor related to the difference between the spin Hamiltonians and $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$, i.e. the oscillation frequency of the spin echo. Fitting the fidelity oscillation gives an average frequency of $41 \pm 2 \mathrm{MHz}$, which not surprisingly, agrees very well with the oscillation frequency of the observed spin echo.

### 2.4 Conclusions

This study examined the effects of changing the interval between producing particular spin state on a stable radical and initiating the quantum measurement event, in this case the laser-driven electron transfer, on electron spin state teleportation in a D-A-R molecule. The 2-pulse teleportation experiment as a function of $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ showed a damped oscillation of the teleported spin echo. A density matrix model was used to simulate these results and provide the insight necessary to understand the spin echo behavior. The teleportation fidelity calculations show oscillations as a function of $\tau_{D}$ due to the accumulation of a phase factor between $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}\right\rangle$, and $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{y}}\right\rangle$. Overall, these investigations elucidate how spin state evolution and the ability to control it by varying both optical and microwave pulse input to the system can enhance the observation and leveraging of teleportation in molecular systems for QIS applications.

### 2.5 Supporting Information

### 2.5.1 Sample Preparation

Samples of the D-A-RH precursor were oxidized to form D-A-R• before performing EPR experiments. First, $\sim 7.5 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{MnO} 2$ was sonicated in 0.25 mL anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (THF) using a 20 mL scintillation vial for 10 minutes. Then $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} \sim 0.1 \mathrm{mM}$ solution of D-A-RH in THF, $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 1,5 -diazabicyclo[4.3.0]non-5-ene, and a magnetic stir bar were added to the scintillation vial and the contents were stirred vigorously for 20 minutes. The resulting mixture was filtered through a pipet column containing silica gel and eluted with more THF. The THF was then evaporated by a stream of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ gas and the resulting dry sample was reconstituted in $\sim 3$ drops of filtered PrCN that had been dried and stored over molecular sieves.

Solutions of D-A-R ${ }^{\bullet}$ were placed in a 10 cm -long quartz tube (Vitrocom 1.50 mm ID x 1.80 mm OD). Sample solution was added to a height of $\sim 1 \mathrm{~cm}$ in the tube, which was quickly equipped with an optical fiber (core diameter of 1 mm and a numerical aperture of 0.39 (Thorlabs)) was threaded into a Bruker sample holder rod. The protective layers of the fiber were removed from one end to expose the core and prevent chemical interactions with the sample. This $\sim 2 \mathrm{~cm}$ exposed tip was positioned $\leq 1 \mathrm{~mm}$ above the surface of the sample for optimal photoexcitation. The fiber was held in position relative to the sample surface by $\sim 4 \mathrm{~cm}$ of heat-shrink tubing positioned far enough from the sample, so that it was protected from heat degradation while securing the fiber. The sample was secured in the sample holder rod so the bottom of the fiber was 22 mm below the bottom of the sample holder rod. The sample was slowly submerged into liquid $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to ensure proper glassing of $\operatorname{PrCN}$ to aid photoexcitation before quickly inserting the sample into the pre-cooled resonator at 85 K .

The short length of fiber from the sample holder rod was coupled to a longer fiber coming from a pulsed laser set-up for photoexcitation. Laser pulses were produced by a frequency-tripled 10 Hz Nd:YAG laser (Spectra-Physics Quanta-Ray Lab 150 or Continuum Precision II 8000) directed into an optical parametric oscillator (Spectra-Physics Basi-scan or Continuum Panther, respectively). Pulses were 7 ns at full-width half-max, 416 nm , and 0.3 mJ as measured coming out of the fiber before being directed into the sample holder rod fiber. Once the longer fiber was connected to the sample holder rod fiber and secured, the resonant frequency was adjusted by moving the sample vertically and rotating it within the resonator so the resonant frequency was between $33.62-33.63 \mathrm{GHz}$.

### 2.5.2 Pulse-EPR Experiments

All electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) experiments were conducted at 85 K using instrumentation described previously ${ }^{111}$ with one exception, support for the Keysight M8190A arbitrary waveform generator has been integrated into the control software SpecMan ${ }^{112}$, so all aspects of the pulse programming were handled by the software.

Three-pulse transient nutation experiments ${ }^{113}$ were conducted at the resonator frequency $\pm 20 \mathrm{MHz}$ on $\mathrm{R}^{\cdot}$ at a constant $g$-value to calibrate the pulse lengths.

All data was processed in the same fashion, first the dark spectrum, collected 50 ms following photoexcitation, was subtracted from the corresponding photoexcited light spectra. The spectra were then Fourier filtered to remove excess noise and a minor off-resonance feature at $\sim 40$ MHz due to $\mathrm{R}^{\circ}$ by applying a low-pass 60 MHz Gaussian frequency filter centered around 0 MHz . The spectrum at $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}=0 \mathrm{~ns}$ (defined as when the laser pulse occurs immediately after the first $\pi / 2$ microwave pulse) was then phased to maximize the real part of the signal, and that phase was applied to all subsequent laser delays.

### 2.5.3 Additional Teleportation Laser Delay Experiments

Real components of the 2-pulse teleportation laser delay experiments from data sets 2 and 3 are in Figure S2.1. The plots include the 2-dimensional processed data with linear fits across the echo maxima and minima and data slices with corresponding fits of summed echo intensity across the linear fit. The data are very similar to data set 1 , with only small differences in signal intensity and some noise at longer $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ values.


Figure S2.1. Additional 2-pulse teleportation laser delay experiments for data sets 2 and 3, as indicated by the number above the plots. Panels (a) and (c) are the 2-dimensional processed data sets with linear fits across the echo peak maxima and trough minima and (b) and (d) are normalized slices taken from integrating around the fitted lines with corresponding fits.

The equation used to fit the summed slice data is
Equation S2.1

$$
\text { fit }_{D}=A_{1}+A_{2} * \sin \left(A_{3} * 2 \pi * \tau_{D}+A_{4}\right) * e^{-\tau_{D} / A_{5}}
$$

where $A_{l}$ is the y-axis offset of the signal, $A_{2}$ is the amplitude of the oscillation, $A_{3}$ is the frequency of the sine modulation, $A_{4}$ is the phase offset of the sine wave, and $A_{5}$ is the decay or damping
lifetime of the oscillations. Values denoted by $A_{n}$ were given initial guesses and then fit to the data using the fminsearch function in MATLAB. Note that only the real parts of the data were used in these fits for simplicity.

The fit values for the summed slices of the 2-dimensional data are included in Table S2.1. The oscillation frequencies average at 42.2 MHz with a standard deviation of 0.7 MHz . The decay lifetime of the signals average to 38 ns with a standard deviation of 3 ns . Discussion of these values is included in the main text.

| Data Set | Oscillation <br> Frequency <br> (MHz) | Decay <br> Lifetime (ns) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 42.4 | 42.4 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 41.3 | 36.0 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 43.0 | 36.9 |
| Average | 42.2 | 38 |
| Standard <br> Deviation | 0.7 | 3 |

Table S2.1. Individual oscillation frequency and damping lifetime fit parameters for the 2pulse teleportation $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}\right\rangle$ data slice. Average and standard deviation values also included.

Real components of the 3-pulse teleportation laser delay data from data sets 2 and 3 are shown in Figure S2.2. The data look similar to data set 1 with slight differences in the signal intensity and the presence of some noise at longer $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ values, particularly in data set 3 .


Figure S2.2. Additional 2-dimensional processed data sets for 3-pulse teleportation laser delay experiments from data sets 2 and 3 , as indicated by the number above the plots.

Figure S 2.3 compares the real and imaginary components of the 2-pulse teleportation laser delay experiments. Although the 2-pulse teleportation laser data were phased to minimize the imaginary component for the $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}=0 \mathrm{~ns}$ slice, there is still signal in the imaginary channel. This imaginary signal is out-of-phase with the real channel signal by $\pi / 2$. This phase offset is also present in the laser delay simulations, Figure S2.5, and comes from the teleportation event not being observed by the detector designated as the real channel. The teleported echo appears instead in the detector designated as the imaginary channel. Other than the phase offset, the signals have similar amplitudes and noise associated with the real and imaginary components of the same data set.

### 2.5.4 Additional 2-Pulse Teleportation Laser Delay Simulation Details

In Figure S 2.4 are the 2-dimensional real-component simulations of the 2-pulse teleportation laser delay experiments using experimental parameters from data sets 2 and 3 along with linear fit through the peak maxima and trough minima. Linear fit equation is included in the


Figure S2.3. Comparison of real and imaginary components for 2-pulse teleportation $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ experiments. Left-side data are the real components and right-side data are the imaginary components. Numbers above the plots refer to the data set number.
upper right corner of each plot. The simulated data look similar to the experimental data with quantitative values provided below in Table S2.2 for the data slice along the linear fit. Figure S2.4 also contains the simulation slices taken along the linear fit with corresponding fit using the same fit routine as described above for the experimental data. As with the 2-dimensional simulations, the slice along the linear fit of the simulation looks similar to the experimental data. Table S2.2


Figure S2.4. Additional real-component simulations of the 2 -pulse teleportation $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ experiments with experimental parameters from data sets 2 and 3 , as indicated by the number above the plots. Panels (a) and (c) are the normalized 2 -dimensional processed data sets with linear fits across the echo peak maxima and trough minima and (b) and (d) are normalized slices taken from integrating around the fitted lines with corresponding fits.
gives oscillation frequency and damping lifetime of each simulation set along with the average and standard deviation values. These values are similar to the fit parameters from the experimental data given in Table S 2.1 shown earlier.

Figure S 2.5 contains the side-by-side comparisons of the real and imaginary components of the 2-pulse teleportation laser delay simulations. As with the experimental data, the real and imaginary components of the simulations look similar except the phase offset for a given $\tau_{D}$ value. The origin of the phase offset is also the same as with the experimental data - that the teleportation event is occurring, but it cannot be detected in-phase with the same detector as the nutation frequencies of $\mathrm{R}^{\bullet}$ and $\mathrm{D}^{\bullet+}$ beat against each other to constructively and destructively interfere as a function of $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$.

| Data Set <br> Parameters <br> Used | Oscillation <br> Frequency <br> (MHz) | Decay <br> Lifetime (ns) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 42.5 | 35.4 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 41.6 | 35.9 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 42.4 | 35.4 |
| Average | 42.2 | 35.6 |
| Standard <br> Deviation | 0.4 | 0.2 |

Table S2.2. Individual Oscillation frequency and damping lifetime fit parameters for the simulated 2pulse teleportation $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}\right\rangle$ data slice. Average and standard deviation values also included.

The magnetic field values in the simulations were varied slightly from experimental values for the resulting linear slice oscillation frequencies to be within $1-2 \mathrm{MHz}$ to experimental values. The magnetic field value was kept at 11.989 kG for each set of simulations. All other values, such


Figure S2.5. Comparison of real and imaginary components for 2-pulse teleportation $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ simulations. Left-side plots are the real components and right-side plots are the imaginary components. Numbers above the plots refer to the data set number corresponding to the experimental parameters that were input into the simulations.
as center frequency and pulse length, were kept consistent with experimental conditions. This deviation is attributed to magnetic field instability and non-linear calibration inconsistencies and is within a few Gauss of experimental values.

### 2.5.5 Additional Fidelity Data and Calculation Details

The data below in Figure S 2.6 show the additional teleportation fidelity values versus $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ for data sets 2 and 3. The data appear similar to that presented in data set 1 in the main text, only with decreasing troughs in the oscillations at longer $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ values. Peak teleportation fidelities stay above $2 / 3$ and are therefore evidence of entanglement and quantum teleportation.


Figure S2.6. Teleportation fidelity as a function of $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ and corresponding fits for data sets 2 and 3 .

Teleportation density matrices were normalized starting with the following equation for the data at each $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ value

## Equation S2.2

$$
d=a b s(X+i Y+Z)
$$

where $d$ is some divisor that, when applied to each input of the density matrix, will normalize the density matrix to $\sqrt{\left\langle S_{x}\right\rangle^{2}+\left\langle S_{y}\right\rangle^{2}+\left\langle S_{z}\right\rangle^{2}}=1$. $X, Y$, and $Z$ are the non-normalized expectation values of a particular $\tau_{D}$ value for the real 2-pulse, imaginary 2-pulse, and real 3-pulse data, respectively. These values were obtained from summing across the full-width at half-max of each echo along the fit line determined from the real 2-pulse teleportation laser delay data as described in the main text. The final normalization step divides $X, Y$, and $Z$ by $d$ to result in $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}\right\rangle,\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{y}}\right\rangle$, and $\left\langle S_{\mathrm{Z}}\right\rangle$.

The teleportation density matrix, $\rho_{\text {teleport }}$, for each value of $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ is then constructed with the following format

Equation S2.3

$$
\rho_{\text {teleport }}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1+\left\langle S_{z}\right\rangle & \left\langle S_{x}\right\rangle-i\left\langle S_{y}\right\rangle \\
\left\langle S_{x}\right\rangle+i\left\langle S_{y}\right\rangle & 1-\left\langle S_{z}\right\rangle
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then, using the idealized input density matrix, $\rho_{\text {ideal }}$,
Equation S2.4

$$
\rho_{\text {ideal }}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0.5 & 0.5 \\
0.5 & 0.5
\end{array}\right]
$$

and the entanglement fidelity, $F_{\text {ent }}$, reported previously as 0.91 , the teleportation fidelity, $F_{\text {teleport }}$, was calculated for each $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ value using

## Equation S2.5

The teleportation fidelity data as a function of $\tau_{D}$ were fit using the following function, fitfid, for each data set

Equation S2.6

$$
\text { fit }_{f i d}=B_{1}+B_{2} * \sin \left(B_{3} * 2 \pi * \tau_{D}+B_{4}\right) * e^{-\tau_{D} / B_{5}}
$$

where $B_{1}$ is the vertical offset of the sine wave, $B_{2}$ is an amplitude modifier for the signal, $B_{3}$ is the frequency of sinusoidal oscillations, $B_{4}$ is the phase offset of the sine wave, and $B_{5}$ captures the amplitude evolution time of the shallower troughs at later $\tau_{D}$ values, particularly in data sets 2 and 3. All $B_{n}$ values were given initial guesses and then fit to the data using the fminsearch function in MATLAB.

The fit value of primary importance to this study is the oscillation frequency, $B_{3}$. The individual frequency values, as well as average and standard deviation of these values, is provided in Table S 2.3 below.

| Data Set | Fidelity <br> Oscillation <br> Frequency <br> (MHz) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 44.2 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 39.0 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 41.1 |
| Average | 41 |
| Standard <br> Deviation | $\mathbf{2}$ |

Table S2.3. Oscillation frequencies with standard deviation from fits of teleportation fidelity versus $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ data.

### 2.5.6 Experimental Instrument Parameters

In Table S2.4 are the experimental parameters for all data sets. In Table S2.5, the phase cycles used in the 2- and 3-pulse teleportation laser delay experiments are included.

| Data Set | Center <br> Microwave <br> Frequency <br> $(\mathbf{G H z})$ | R•Resonant <br> Magnetic Field <br> at Center <br> Microwave <br> Frequency (kG) | Microwave <br> Frequency R• <br> (GHz) | Microwave <br> Frequency D•+ <br> $(\mathbf{G H z})$ | Laser Delay <br> Experimental <br> Magnetic Field <br> $(\mathbf{k G})$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 33.6274 | 11.992 | 33.6074 | 33.6474 | 11.985 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 33.6254 | 11.997 | 33.6054 | 33.6454 | 11.990 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 33.6265 | 11.998 | 33.6065 | 33.6465 | 11.991 |


| Data Set | R• $\boldsymbol{\pi} / \mathbf{2}$ <br> Microwave <br> Pulse <br> Length (ns) | $\mathbf{D}^{++\pi / 2}$ <br> Microwave <br> Pulse <br> Length (ns) | $\mathbf{D}^{++\pi}$ <br> Microwave <br> Pulse <br> Length (ns) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 35 | 38.5 | 73 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 33 | 36 | 68 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 33 | 34 | 65 |

Table S2.4. Microwave frequency, magnetic field, and microwave pulse length parameters for triplicate 2 - and 3-pulse $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ teleportation experiments.

|  | 2-Pulse Laser Delay Experiments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Step |  | $\mathrm{P}_{1}(\pi / 2)$ |  | $\mathrm{P}_{2}(\pi)$ |  | Det 1 |  | Det 2 |  |
|  | 1 |  | +x |  | +x |  | +a |  | +b |  |
|  | 2 |  | $+\mathrm{x}$ |  | -x |  | +a |  | +b |  |
|  | 3 |  | $+\mathrm{x}$ |  | +y |  | -a |  | -b |  |
|  | 4 |  | +x |  | -y |  | -a |  | -b |  |
| 3-Pulse Laser Delay Experiments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Step |  | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ ( |  |  |  | $\mathbf{P}_{3}(\pi)$ |  | Det 1 |  | Det 2 |
| 1 |  | +x |  | +x |  | +x |  | +a |  | +b |
| 2 |  | +x |  | +x |  | -x |  | +a |  | +b |
| 3 |  | +x |  | +x |  | +y |  | -a |  | -b |
| 4 |  | +x |  | +x |  | -y |  | -a |  | -b |
| 5 |  | +x |  | +y |  | +x |  | +b |  | -a |
| 6 |  | +x |  | +y |  | -x |  | +b |  | -a |
| 7 |  | +x |  | +y |  | +y |  | -b |  | $+\mathrm{a}$ |
| 8 |  | +x |  | +y |  | -y |  | -b |  | $+\mathrm{a}$ |
| 9 |  | +x |  | -x |  | +x |  | -a |  | -b |
| 10 |  | +x |  | -x |  | -x |  | -a |  | -b |
| 11 |  | +x |  | -x |  | +y |  | +a |  | +b |
| 12 |  | +x |  | -x |  | -y |  | +a |  | +b |
| 13 |  | +x |  | -y |  | +x |  | -b |  | +a |
| 14 |  | +x |  | -y |  | -x |  | -b |  | +a |
| 15 |  | +x |  | -y |  | +y |  | +b |  | -a |
| 16 |  | +x |  | -y |  | -y |  | +b |  | -a |

Table S2.5. Phase cycles used for (top) 2-pulse and (bottom) 3-pulse $\tau_{D}$ EPR experiments. $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ refers to the phase of the $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ pulse in the indicated pulse sequence and Det n refers to the phase of each of the quadrature detectors.
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