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As the interest in rational synthesis for solid-state materials accelerates, there is an urgent need to understand the design principles concealed within these reactions. In situ material synthesis provides such an avenue to not only uncover these assembling rules, but also for finding new materials even in seemingly familiar phase spaces. Historically, this technique was largely employed for crystallization observations. However, as described in this dissertation, the increased accessibility of in-house diffractometer setups - and consequent decreased requirement for synchrotron or spallation sources - enabled the application of this powerful technique to the chalcogenide and heteroanionic systems. As detailed in the first chapters, all in situ material synthesis measurements yield novel information that build toward an overall understanding of the driving force for reaction progressions and assembly rules. The in situ investigations of the $\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ $(\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se})$ and BiOCuSe reactions highlight the power of panoramic synthesis to uncover new phases in a well-known system, while tuning precursor ratios, and to unveil a structural intermediate that underlies the formation of the compounds in the $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{Pn}-\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{A}=$ alkali metal; $\mathrm{Pn}=$ $\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Bi})$ compositional space. The study of the $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}+\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ versus $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{Bi}+3 \mathrm{Cu}+3 \mathrm{Se}$ reaction pathways to form BiOCuSe underscores the influence the selection of precursors has on the reaction progression and establishes an understanding of the effects of the chosen precursors. As heteroanionic materials are further investigated, six new materials are discovered. The four
novel heteroanionic materials, $\mathrm{In}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{3-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Te}_{6+\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{Te}_{2}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{x}=0.18), \mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnS}_{1.2} \mathrm{Te}_{2.8}, \mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{4} \mathrm{Te}$, and $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{5.62} \mathrm{Te}_{0.13}$ are comprised of $\mathrm{S}^{2-}, \mathrm{Te}^{2-}, \mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ and/or $\mathrm{Te}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ with their crystallographically distinct sites. Two solid solutions $\mathrm{Ba}_{7} \mathrm{Sn}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{15-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Te}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathrm{Ba}_{8} \mathrm{Sn}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{15-\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{Te}_{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{x}=0.7, \mathrm{y}=0.76)$ are also discovered in these investigations. The advances in in situ PXRD as well as interrogations into novel compositional spaces, point the way towards more wide-ranging studies to fully flesh out the design principles that can be applied to larger families of materials, as well as combinatorial studies with complementary probes or calculations that can guide or confirm these design principles to enable rational design of complex solid-state materials.
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## Chapter 1. Introduction to in situ synthesis

The current technological revolution demands new materials with higher efficiencies and new capabilities. To that point, there have been many research thrusts centered on material design correlated with targeted properties, ${ }^{1-4}$ and recently there has been a push to utilize machine learning to design materials $a b$ initio. ${ }^{5-7}$ However, the synthetic insight needed to realize these compounds does not yet exist for most systems. This is due to the historically ex situ nature of traditional solidstate synthesis, in which only indirect information about the reaction pathways is obtainable. In situ techniques can address this dearth of insight as they are powerful tools for the direct observation of changes in long- or short-range order over the course of a reaction. Depending on the technique(s) employed, information gleaned may include crystallization kinetics and/or processes (e.g. such as those effecting particle size or morphology), nucleation kinetics and/or processes, changes in coordination environments, or observation of transient phases, including competitive product or polymorph formation. ${ }^{8-13}$ With the ability to uncover transient phases, in situ approaches neatly address both the themes of materials discovery and synthetic insight towards the rational design of materials.

Traditionally, syntheses of inorganic materials have been determined through heuristic means, with knowledge of only the inputs (starting materials) and outputs (final products). Using this approach, the observer does not gain intuition for the reaction progression leading to products. The observer is not privy to information on when the product(s) begin to grow or, moreover, if transient phases form that do not remain on completion of the reaction. Previously, product crystal growth was monitored by quenching mid-reaction. These ex situ studies can be conducted in laboratory conditions and may also reveal previously unknown transient phases, such as
polymorphs or intermediate phases. However, these studies are performed under the assumption that quenching does not alter the reaction or crystal growth process. In contrast, in situ studies enable continuous monitoring of the reactions with minimal or no alteration to reaction conditions.

Using in situ powder X-ray diffraction, all crystalline phases, even transient ones, may be observed in snapshots from simultaneously collected diffraction data. This "panoramic" view of phase evolution not only illuminates the reaction progression, but also unveils possible metastable phases. The realization of metastable phases has been sought through methods such as chimie douce, ${ }^{14}$ "turning down the heat," ${ }^{15}$ flux syntheses, ${ }^{16-20}$ and metathesis reactions, ${ }^{21-22}$ as they widen the accessible compositional space. As more panoramic syntheses are conducted in increasingly broader compositional space, reaction progressions can be systematically cataloged to build understanding of syntheses. Comparisons can be drawn within similar reaction types to determine patterns in ordering principles and those ordering principles will then subsequently be tested, as illustrated in Figure 1. Ultimately, these ordering principles combine to formulate synthetic design principles akin to retrosynthesis used by organic chemists. The experimental synthesis design strategies compliment of this the ongoing in silico materials design efforts however a lot of new information will need to be generate and added before theory and experiment can converge to a single coherent synthesis science. ${ }^{23}$


Figure 1: In situ panoramic synthesis: a route to rational synthesis of inorganic extended solids.

This chapter will focus on in situ X-ray or neutron diffraction, as other panoramic methods have been summarized elsewhere. ${ }^{8,24-25}$ Historically, this panoramic technique was primarily used for hydrothermal syntheses of molecular sieves, such as zeolites. Early studies sought to exploit control of product particle size, crystal growth rate, structural transformations, porosity, and/or morphology. ${ }^{26-27}$ Later studies shifted to enlightening the pathways to these materials. ${ }^{13,26,28}$ As studies of panoramic syntheses continue to proliferate, systematic alterations to the synthetic conditions should be applied for optimal comparison across similar reaction types. Known syntheses can then be probed by altering parameters to evaluate the phase and energetic landscape. Such parameterization can include the effects of (1) starting materials, whether that be their ratio ${ }^{29}$ or composition ${ }^{30}$, (2) heating conditions, or (3) use of non-reactive fluxes ${ }^{31}$.

In the following sections, we explore and summarize the panoramic syntheses that have been reported to date. The experimental and analytical requirements are detailed, followed by a discussion of the in situ diffraction studies reported for oxides, chalcogenides, heteroanions as well as intermetallics and pnictogens, which have been grouped according to these chemical classes. These works highlight the utility of panoramic synthesis to derive the chemistry that drives
compound formation in these diverse systems, showcasing this technique as a pathway towards materials by design.

### 1.1. Experimental Approaches

Pivotal to the success of this technique is the spatial and temporal resolution of the instrument's detector and optics. The spatial resolution of the detector is crucial for distinguishing Bragg peaks, particularly when using high energy X-ray sources. The spatial resolution of the optics is also important: the radiation source should be focused on a small area of the sample, particularly when working with reaction vessels such as capillaries. High temporal resolution data collection is desired to accurately capture phase evolution and glean insight into the kinetics of a reaction. Overall, the data collection rate for two-dimensional detectors, such as image plates, pixel array detectors, and charge-coupled devices (CCDs), are higher than that of strip or point detectors. Near-simultaneous data collection ${ }^{32-33}$ is coming to fruition with the continually improved detector technologies.

Panoramic syntheses can be conducted using in-house radiation sources as well as synchrotron or spallation sources. ${ }^{34}$ In-house in situ experiments have been conducted using both angular ${ }^{10,} 29-30,35$ and energy dispersive ${ }^{10,36-37}$ X-ray diffractometers coupled with a furnace attachment. Angular dispersive X-ray diffraction (ADXRD) is the most common detection method for in-house X-ray diffractometers. In ADXRD, a monochromatic energy beam irradiates the sample and a diffractogram is measured at multiple scattering angles. Energy dispersive XRD (EDXRD), on the other hand, uses a fixed scattering angle and irradiates the sample using a broad energy range. The fixed scattering angle enables a faster acquisition time relative to ADXRD. When working with highly attenuating samples, such as heavy metals, or when thick sample
vessels must be penetrated such as with hydrothermal reactions, the in-house radiation source needs to be of sufficiently high energy to penetrate the sample. Commonly, molybdenum and silver radiation are used in place of copper radiation. In cases where the samples are too attenuating for these sources, radiation from particle accelerators can be employed. Neutrons, from reactors or spallation sources, are usually intrinsically more penetrating than X-rays, due to their weak interaction with mater, and synchrotrons can provide high fluxes of X-rays at energies greater than those readily available from laboratory tubes sources, providing greater penetrating power. ${ }^{34}$

While powder diffraction data for materials under non-ambient conditions have been recorded since the earliest days of X-ray and neutron diffraction, panoramic synthesis requires more specialized equipment. ${ }^{38-39}$ Depending on the reaction type, a specific, specialized reaction cell is required. To date, hydrothermal, bulk solid-state, gas-flow, flux, and self-propagating hightemperature syntheses have been conducted in situ. Capillary reactors have been coupled with Xray sources for hydrothermal, solid-state, flux, and gas-flow syntheses. ${ }^{40-41}$ The capillary reactors use a furnace, resistive coil heater, or hot air blower. Designs for X-ray furnaces are widely available in the literature (Figure 2); ${ }^{4-43}$ in addition, furnaces are commercially available as attachments for in-house diffractometers. Resistive coil heaters, such as those designed by Chupas and coworkers, can be used with flow cells or furnaces, depending on if the starting materials are placed in the cell's capillary or sealed in an inner capillary (Figure $\mathbf{3}$ and Figure 4). ${ }^{41}$ Other X-ray diffraction panoramic syntheses were conducted, on flat sample holders or on layers mounted on a resistive strip heater, to monitor gas-flow or self-propagating high-temperature syntheses. ${ }^{44-48}$ Hydrothermal reaction cells have been developed as pressure cells or flow cells, as shown in a representative schematic in Figure 5Error! Reference source not found. ${ }^{13,}$ 24, 28, 34, 37, 49-52


Figure 2: Left: cross-sectional view of the imaging-plate holder and vacuum chamber. The main components of the experimental station are: (1) thermal barrier; (2) hot zone; (3) cold zone; (4) heating element; (5) sample alignment stage; (6) gas-flow system; (7) the detector system which consists of a translating curved holder that supports the imaging plate; (8) thermocouple. Right: cross-sectional view of the open chamber. ${ }^{42}$


Figure 3: (a) An 'exploded' representation of the flow-cell/furnace components, indicating how they fit together; (b) the fully assembled flow-cell/furnace; (c) an expanded view of the sample region, indicating the relative position of the sample and thermocouple tip within the furnace hot zone; (d) a top view of the flow-cell/furnace, with a corresponding cross section through the sample plane showing the gas/fluid path; (e) a photograph of the flow-cell/furnace mounted in a goniometer head. Heat shields have been omitted for clarity. ${ }^{41}$


Figure 4: a) First published in situ capillary setup by Norby et al. ${ }^{53}$ Capillary mounted on goniometer. A: Capillary, measuring $0.5-1 \mathrm{~mm}$. B: Goniometer head. C: Swagelok T-piece. D: Pressure tube. b) In situ capillary setup by Becker et al. ${ }^{54}$ capable of reaching supercritical conditions for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and other solvents. ${ }^{10}$


Figure 5: Schematic of pressure cell developed for hydrothermal in situ reactions. ${ }^{37}$

While in situ diffraction techniques detect the phase evolution of crystalline materials,
changes in local order or the growth and identity of amorphous phases are inaccessible with these techniques. Therefore, complementary in situ probes are crucial when evaluating local order and the amorphous phases that may also inform the guiding synthetic principles of a reaction. ${ }^{10,23,34}$ Spectroscopic techniques, like Raman or infrared spectroscopy, can indicate functional groups or bonding schemes present in a reaction. Simultaneous scattering techniques, such as small-angle X-ray scattering, can distinguish between amorphous and crystalline nanostructure. Absorption techniques, such as X-ray absorption spectroscopy, can determine the local coordination or electronic structure. Simultaneous collection of these complementary in situ probes is optimal as it guarantees the reaction conditions are exactly matched.

Beyond these, other experimental approaches deserve mention due to their value when preparing for, or trying to understand, an in situ study. They include co-refinements using in situ neutron and X-ray diffraction data, where the different dependence of scattering power on atomic number for these two techniques can enable the distribution of elements over the available crystallographic sites to be determined even in complex materials. X-ray scattering techniques, such as Pair Distribution Function, can also be paired with diffraction techniques to elucidate changes in local structure. Thermal analyses such as differential thermal analyses (DTA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) indicate when an exothermic or endothermic event occurs. These events may indicate phase formation, phase transition, or melting/crystallization. DSC also enables the calculation of reaction enthalpies for these events, which provides insight into the driving energetics of the reaction. Knowledge of the temperatures for the events also proves advantageous, as it indicates noteworthy temperature points for further study. This is particularly useful for in situ diffraction setups that do not have continuous collection, but stepwise collection.

Conducting successful panoramic syntheses involves surmounting many challenges including sample size effects, adverse reactions (such as reactions with the container and incongruent evaporation)), poor signal-to-noise ratio, and sample movement. For X-ray diffraction, very small samples are investigated. Therefore, obtaining data from a representative portion of the reaction mixture may be difficult and the results may be difficult to reproduce. In contrast, neutron diffraction is not similarly limited. This is because neutron sources produce large, relatively weak neutron beams, so the sample volume required for neutron diffraction is inherently large and better reflects the behavior of a bulk reaction mixture. The selection of the sample vessel must also be thoughtfully considered, as reactions between the reaction mixture and vessel are possible. For example, the attack of glass capillaries by alkali binaries ${ }^{55}$ has been observed, and the attack of silica-containing capillaries by some oxides would be expected. Carbon coating the capillary can mitigate these problems. Care must be taken not only when preparing the vessel, but also the reaction mixture. When using fluxes or highly attenuating samples, signal-to-noise ratios may suffer, ${ }^{56}$ and dilution should be considered. Glassy carbon and ground fused silica are amorphous and have been used as diluents. Test runs should be done ex situ prior to the in situ experiment to ensure that there is no reaction between the reaction materials and the diluent. Finally, when measuring highly mobile samples such as reactions using fluxes or those going to the melt, one must be careful to ensure the reaction mixture does not move outside the beam during data collection. ${ }^{57}$ When possible, the sample vessel should be oriented vertically or the sample should be rastered for full data collection. To jump start future investigators, guidance and tips are detailed in the next chapter.

## Chapter 2. Experimental Methods for In Situ Syntheses in Capillaries

### 2.1. Preface

In order to facilitate future investigators, I detail here the tricks of the trade, so to speak. The following chapter details how to determine sample preparation requirements, carbon coat a capillary, prepare and pack a sample, and seal the capillary. The trickiest part of the process involves torch-handling and thus, where possible, I have created figures that indicate the suggested heat of the flame as well as where to place the capillary. These guidelines are applicable for measurements loading just one phase (e.g. variable temperature powder x-ray diffraction (VTPXRD)) or a mixture of phases or precursors (e.g. in situ PXRD). As detailed in Chapter 1, VTPXRD provides information on phase changes and thermal expansion or compression, while in situ PXRD gives insight to reaction pathways, presence of intermediate or transient phases, and/or reaction or crystallization rates.

### 2.2. Determine Radiation, Capillary Diameter, and Dilution (if applicable) to Use

Once a reaction or sample is selected, the radiation required should first be determined. In the IMSERC and Cohen facilities at Northwestern University, $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Mo}$, and Ag radiations are possible. The first step is to calculate the attenuation of your sample. As of 2021, the attenuation can be calculated at $11-\mathrm{BM}$ website ${ }^{1}$. If the constituents of the synthesis are light elements, they will be lowly attenuating and Cu radiation can be first used. If the constituents are heavier elements, they will be more highly attenuating, and Mo or Ag radiation should be selected. Enter

[^0]the wavelength or energy of the chosen radiation in the first box shown in Figure 6, then enter the chemical formula of your sample or reaction mixture (e.g. $1 \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: 1 \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ becomes $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ or $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ ). The capillary diameters most often used in this work are $0.3,0.5$, and 0.7 mm from Charles Supper. If the precursor materials are highly attenuating, you should input 0.15 mm radius. (Please note the website asks for the radius, while the commercial capillaries are labeled with the diameters.) If you are unsure, use 0.25 mm as a placeholder. Unless you know the density of your final product and can put in the density, leave the packing fraction as is. Once you hit calculate, a new page will open.


Figure 6: Screenshots of the user interface for x-ray absorption computations. The boxes indicate the default settings of the interface that it is recommended the user modify for this calculation.

In the new window demonstrated in Figure 7, a graph with the calculated x-ray absorption for your sample appears. In the upper left of the graph, you can find the legend that shows the contribution for each of the elemental constitutents as well as the line that shows the total. On the graph, there is also a vertical line indicating the wavelength input as well as two horizontal lines
that highlight when the $\mu \mathrm{r}$ (i.e. x-ray absorption) is 5 or 1 . The intersection of the vertical and horizontal lines show you where your $\mu \mathrm{r}$ value lies. If your $\mu \mathrm{r}$ value is much greater than 1 , you must decrease the capillary size, consider dilution, and/or change your radiation source. (These are given in an order of increasing difficulty to implement.) If your $\mu \mathrm{r}$ value is much lower than 1 , you must either increase the capillary size to increase the volume of material interacting with the beam or change your radiation source. For reference, a $\mu \mathrm{r}$ value of 1 corresponds to $\sim 10 \%$ transmission of x-rays, which is the lower bound of transmission for acceptable signal-to-noise ( $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ).


Figure 7: Graph of the calculated x-ray absorption that has a black vertical line indicating the provided radiation wavelength and two horizontal lines indicating a $\mu \mathrm{r}$ value (i.e. x -ray absorption) of 5 (red line) or 1 (blue line).

If you care considering diluting your sample, consider what dilutant makes sense with your material or reaction. Common options are glassy carbon, boron, and fused silica as they are amorphous (i.e. they will minimally impact your diffractograms) and are low Z (i.e. they will likely
have less attenuation than your material). However, these materials are known to react with certain classes of materials. For example, glassy carbon reacts with oxides and elemental transition metals, while boron reacts with oxides. Fused silica has been shown to crystalize during in situ reactions. ${ }^{58}$

Once the dilutant is selected, the material can be incorporated into the chemical formula and the $\mu \mathrm{r}$ recalculated. For convenience of the future weight percent calculations, I recommend using a convenient ratio (e.g. 1:9 so weight percents can be calculated using 1/10 and 9/10 fractions). For example, to generate calculation in Figure 7 for a dilution of $9 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{:} 1 \mathrm{~mol}_{\mathrm{KBiS}}^{2}$, " $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{9}$ " was entered.

If your $\mu \mathrm{r}$ value is greater than 1 and you decide to change your radiation source, please note that a decrease in radiation wavelength may not be suitable depending on your sample. I give the example in Figure $\mathbf{8}$ of $\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$ where I test the composition at copper, molybdenum, and silver wavelengths. Copper radiation does not penetrate the sample sufficiently; however, when the radiation is exchanged for molybedum, we do not see the expected penetration gains. This is a result of the proximity of the molybdenum radiation to the band edge of selenium. Be sure to be mindful of this when selecting the x-ray source to use.


Figure 8: Comparing $\mu \mathrm{r}$ values for $\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$ using copper, molybdenum, and silver radiation.

Before moving on to preparing your sample, it is important to determine the composition of the capillary that will be used. The composition is largely dictated by the maximum temperature of the reaction. If the capillary is subjected to temperatures higher than its softening temperature, the structural integrity of the capillary will be compromised. The softening temperatures of special glass, borosilicate, and quartz are $715^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 820^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $1730^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The price of the capillary from our vendor (Charles Supper) typically increases in correspondence with the increase in softening temperature. If the constituents of the synthesis may react with the capillary, the capillary can be carbon coated as described in the following section.

### 2.3. How to Carbon Coat a Capillary

Once you have determined the composition and size of your capillary, gather the open ended, funneled capillary, acetone, and gentle wipes, such as Kim-Wipes (Figure 9-1). First you will gently spray acetone into the capillary using its funneled neck (Figure 9-2). Through capillary action, the acetone will travel through the capillary and bead at the bottom. To expedite the process, you may use a Kim-Wipe to gently dab the tip of the capillary to remove the solution. Once complete, you will attach the small torch tip and ignite the methane torch flame or retrieve a lighter. If using the torch, have a moderate flame similar to the one shown in Figure 9-3. You will hold the capillary such that the length of it is perpendicular to the flame, then gently and briefly pass it through the very top of the cone, as shown in Figure 9-3. The capillary should begin to turn a soft grey wherever it has touched the flame. You will only need to carbon coat the bottom two-thirds to three-fourths of the capillary. Pass the capillary through the flame as needed until you start to
see a carbon coat. You may also need to repeat step 2.


Figure 9: Pictorial guide on how to carbon coat a capillary. (1) Gather open-ended quartz capillaries of desired size. (2) Run acetone through capillaries. (3) Carbon coat with gentle flame and using small torch tip or a lighter. (4) Seal tip of capillary using torch. (5) Soak capillary in centrifuge tube with acetone soaked kim-wipe. (6) Carbon coat tip with gentle flame.

Once the bottom two-thirds to three-fourths of the capillary are carbon coated, you will then seal the tip of the capillary followed by a second carbon coating. First, increase the intensity of the flame (as shown in Figure 9-4) and seal the top of capillary. Be sure to rotate as you do so in order to avoid having the glass asymmetrically seal, such that one side of the tip has more of the glass and then it will not fit into the capillary holder described in Section 2.6. Likely the tip of the capillary no longer has a carbon coat. (If it conserves its carbon coat, move onto the next section.) In order to reapply the carbon coat, the capillary will be place in acetone atmosphere and reheated. Place acetone-soaked wipes into a centrifuge tube that is longer than the capillary (e.g. a 50 mL centrifuge tube). Then place the capillaries inside with the funnel-end directly above or on the soaked wipes (Figure 9-5). After at least 15 minutes, the tip can again be placed into a gentle flame to carbon coat it. Repeat steps 5-6 as needed to carbon coat the tip.

### 2.4. How to Sieve and Pack a Capillary

To properly pack the capillary, the material or reaction constituents must be sieved to a size smaller than the diameter of your capillary. (I often use sieves in the $32-76 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ range for the $0.3-0.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ o.d. capillaries.) If you are running a reaction or diluting, I recommend first calculating the appropriate ratios for the precursors and/or dilutant. Once calculated, sieve your materials with the selected sieve (Figure 10-2). If you are running a reaction, mix the precursors thoroughly using a mortar and pestle (Figure 10-3) - even past when they visually appear to be mixed. Next add the appropriate amount of your dilutant to your material or reaction and thoroughly combine the mixture.


Figure 10: Pictorial guide on how to prepare your samples. (1) Calculate appropriate ratios (also dilution, if applicable). (2) Sieve material or precursors. [Skip (3) if not running a reaction.] (3) Mix the precursors together thoroughly. [Skip (4-5) if not diluting the material or mixture.] (4) Add appropriate amount of glassy carbon or sieved fused silica. (5) Combine dilutant with sample thoroughly.

Once your material is prepared, you can use the funnel of the capillary to transfer the sample to the capillary and take one (or a combination) of the below approaches to pack the capillaries. First option is to place the capillary in a 20 mL scintillation vial (Figure 11-1) and tap the edge of the vial on counter. I recommend you keep your fingers close to either side of the capillary to prevent the capillary from flying out. The second option is to hold the capillary by the
neck and gently slide it across the ridges of a pair of tweezers (Figure 11-2). Likely this will make a "zipper" sound. Be careful not to hold the neck of the capillary too tightly or it will break. The final option is to place an open 13-15 o.d. glass or quartz tube perpendicular to a benchtop, such that its bottom opening is on the bench, and drop the capillary through the tube. The capillary will then bounce with the tube. Each method can be repeated or combined with other methods until the material is fully packed. If the capillary is carbon coated, I found bringing it up to the light will help you to better see where the sample is in the capillary.


Figure 11: Three ways to pack your capillary using (1) a 20 mL scintillation vial, (2) tweezers, or (3) 13-15 mm o.d. glass or quartz tube.

When working in the glovebox with the capillaries, extra care should be taken. For example, when cycling-in capillaries, you should have them contained within foil or similar material, so they will not get lost and the foil is flexible enough to not break the capillaries. Also, if the glovebox gloves are too large for your hands, be careful with the tips of the fingers. They may get in the way of mixing or packing the materials. You can fold them protruding tips sideways between your fingers, so your two fingers can fix the excess glove away from your capillary or material as its mixed. Finally, when you are covering the capillary after you prepared and packed
it, stretch out the parafilm before wrapping it onto the capillary. If you try to stretch it using the capillary, the capillary neck will likely break off.

### 2.5. How to Evacuate and Seal a Capillary

When evacuating the capillary in preparation for sealing it, it is vital that you proceed slowly so that you do not have to reprepare or repack your materials. This is particularly crucial if the sample is air or moisture sensitive. Before you attach the capillary to the sealing line, evaluate to where the material fills in the capillary. If the capillary is carbon coated, this can be done by lifting it to the light. Come time, you will seal the capillary just about this line of sample.

Once the level of material fill is noted, carefully remove the parafilm, if applicable, and attach the capillary to an Ultra-Torr adaptor as shown in Figure 12a. The most ideal situation would be to have a glass adapter with a stopcock so that the evacuating pressure can be fine-tuned. However, in the absence of such an adapter, very slowly evacuate the capillary using the main stopcock on the stealing line. Evacuation should be done extremely slowly and methodically to start. When you first open the line to the capillary, stop when the pressure is in the high $\times 10^{-3}$ or low $\times 10^{-2}$ mbar. If you evacuate too quickly, your material will separate (i.e. unpack) and you will need to repack your sample. Continue to slowly evacuate, and only allow the pressure values to increase by $5-10 \times 10^{-2}$ mbar; any faster and the material will likely unpack. As of 2021, you can
fully open the stopcock when the vacuum settles at $2-5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{mbar}$.

(B)


Figure 12: Picture of the (A) Ultra-Torr adapter from Swagelok for connecting the capillary to a sealing line and (B) the recommended flame intensity and position for sealing the capillary.

When preparing to seal the capillary, use the small torch tip and adjust the flame such that it is similar to the flame illustrated in Figure 12b. Sealing will be easier with a strong flame, as the flame will be steady and the cone is smaller. Take a deep, steadying breath - your hands need to be as steady as possible. Then hover the flame just to the side and just above the sample height. When you are ready, move the flame onto the capillary. Once the capillary irradiates, begin to pull down on the capillary. If you are using a 0.5 or 0.7 o.d. quartz capillary, slightly twist as you pull down do avoid creating a hook at the end of the sealed portion of the capillary.

### 2.6. How to Prepare the Capillary for PXRD Measurements

Once finished at the sealing line, confirm your capillary is sealed and does not have a hooked end using a microscope. If a hook is present, remove only as much as necessary using a capillary cutting stone (Figure 13, top right). Too much and you may break your capillary and need to start over. Depending on the instrumentation set up, you may need to place your capillary inside
an outer capillary (e.g. at IMSERC or Cohen facilities at Northwestern University) or tube (e.g. gas-flow set-up at 17-BM-B at the Advanced Photon Source at Argonne National Laboratories). If applicable, place or test that your capillary fits in the appropriately sized outer vessel (Figure 13, left). If using the in-house facilities at Northwestern, use a 0.7 mm o.d. capillary for 0.3 and 0.5 mm o.d sealed capillaries. If using the in-house facilities, be sure to secure your sample inside the larger capillary using silica wool as pictured in Figure 13. An unused 0.5 mm capillary can facilitate moving the wool into the capillary. If using the facilities at the Advanced Photon Source, please see Appendix A for suggestions and guidelines.


Figure 13: Image of a sealed capillary place within an outer, larger capillary and secured with silica wool (left) as well as of a cutting stone (right).
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### 3.1. Abstract

Solid-state synthesis has historically focused on reactants and end products; however, knowledge of reaction pathways, intermediate phases and their formation may provide mechanistic insight of solid-state reactions. With an increased understanding of reaction progressions, design principles can be deduced, affording more predictive power in materials synthesis. In pursuit of this goal, in-situ powder X-ray diffraction is employed to observe crystalline phase evolution over the course of the reaction, thereby constructing a "panoramic" view of the reaction from beginning to end. We conducted in situ diffraction studies in the K-Bi- $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se})$ system to understand the formation of phases occurring in this system in the course of their reactions. Powder mixtures of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Q}$ to $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Q}_{3}$ in 1:1 and 1.5:1 ratios were heated to $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while simultaneously collecting diffraction data. Three new phases, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}, \beta-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$, and $\beta-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$, were discovered. Panoramic synthesis showed that $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiQ}_{3}$ serves an important mechanistic role as a structural intermediate in both chalcogen systems $(\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se})$ in the path to form the $\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ structure. Thermal analysis and calculations at the Density Functional level (DFT) show that the cationordered $\beta-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ polymorphs are the thermodynamically stable phase in this compositional space, while Pair Distribution Function (PDF) analysis shows that all $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ and $\beta-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ structures
have local disorder due to stereochemically active lone pair expression of the bismuth atoms. The formation of the $\beta-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ structures, both of which crystallize in the $\alpha-\mathrm{NaFeO}_{2}$ structure type, show a boundary where the structure can be disordered or ordered with regards to the alkali metal and bismuth. A cation radius tolerance for six-coordinate cation site sharing of $\left(\frac{r^{+}}{r^{3+}}\right) \sim 1.3$ is proposed. The mechanistic insight the panoramic synthesis technique provides in the K-Bi-Q system is progress towards the overarching goal of synthesis-by-design.

### 3.2. Introduction

The discovery of new materials has led to revolutions in many scientific and technological fields, such as magnetism ${ }^{59}$, radiation detection ${ }^{60}$, optics ${ }^{61-64}$, superconductivity ${ }^{65-66}$, lithium-ion batteries ${ }^{67-68}$, thermoelectrics ${ }^{69}$, and phase-change memory ${ }^{70}$. Traditionally, materials discovery of inorganic structures involves exploratory synthesis to identify compounds using heuristically determined reaction conditions, where the compounds are isolated and identified upon completion of the reaction. Within this paradigm, it is unclear whether the desired products form upon heating, cooling, or while dwelling at maximum temperature, or if metastable, short-lived products appear and re-dissolve during the reaction. In-situ diffraction techniques are a powerful tool that enable the observation of transient phases during the reaction in efforts towards materials discovery. Moreover, observing these transient phases leads to mechanistic understanding of the reaction; thereby advancing efforts to more rationally design or discover solid-state materials. ${ }^{8,71-73}$ In particular, rational design is crucial to the pursuit of structural complexity for many fields, such as in thermoelectrics to achieve materials with lower thermal conductivity ${ }^{74}$ and in nonlinear optics for large second harmonic generation. ${ }^{63}$ To fully realize the potential for rational synthetic design,
a comprehensive long-term approach to analyze solid-state reactions at all stages of the reaction is required.

A powerful tool that provides full awareness of phase identity and phase evolution during a reaction is in-situ powder X-ray diffraction. This technique enables the direct observation of all crystalline phases, including intermediate ones, during a solid-state synthesis. ${ }^{32,} 75$ This "panoramic" view of the phase space explored during the reaction enables an understanding of the reaction pathway required to form the final products. To this end, in-situ powder X-ray diffraction promotes the discovery of new materials and increased mechanistic understanding of solid-state chemistry.

However, simply conducting in-situ powder X-ray diffraction on systems in isolation is not sufficient to achieve the generalized understanding of solid-state reaction dynamics necessary to maximize the potential for discovery. Comprehensive studies of multiple closely related compositions and systems are needed to paint a full picture of reactions that can then be extended to new systems. Therefore, the aggregation of mechanistic data describing material formation and progression of intermediate phases is the first step to determining reaction pathways for rational synthesis. ${ }^{8,18,22,71,76-78}$ This technique must therefore be applied to a board, diverse set of systems and phases spaces. Once these reaction pathways of these systems are cataloged and codified, they can be data mined for design principles among similar reaction types. ${ }^{71}$ With reaction types and assembly rules, predictive power of material synthesis can be achieved, leading to intentional synthesis of targeted materials with chosen, desired properties. ${ }^{34}$

In-situ powder X-ray diffraction has been utilized for various interests such as materials discovery ${ }^{32,} 35,75$ and mechanism elucidation ${ }^{30,} 34,45,57,79-82$, as well as the investigation of
metathesis reactions ${ }^{79,83}$, self-propagating high temperature syntheses ${ }^{8,34,80}$, phase change ${ }^{8,84}$, decomposition ${ }^{85}$, and crystallization ${ }^{8,34,80}$. This approach has shown success in discovering new materials, even in systems that were previously investigated. ${ }^{32,75}$ Furthermore, it has been shown that, by mechanistic insight from in-situ studies, the reaction pathway can be influenced. ${ }^{30}$ Studies focused on building a database of reaction formation and mechanistic understanding for a specific reaction type can be combined in aggregate towards the goal of rational synthesis.

A promising phase space to study is in the A-Pn-Q systems, where A is an alkali metal, Pn is a pnicogen such as bismuth or antimony, and Q is a chalcogenide, ${ }^{86-87}$ as many are reported structures and have been of interest for their thermoelectric ${ }^{88-94}$ and optical ${ }^{63,84,95-96}$ properties. Moreover, a number of the known compounds are isostructural disordered analogues, such as $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}, \mathrm{NaBiS}_{2}, \mathrm{NaBiSe}_{2}, \mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{NaSbS}_{2}$, while ordered phases contain similar structural motifs. ${ }^{97-99}$ Investigation of this family of structures expands the library of known materials, reaction pathways, and understanding of structure-property relationships. Reaction pathways can then be compared within this phase space to understand their design principles. The combination of experimental observation and computational data-mining can accelerate materials discovery and expedite the development of the mechanistic understanding required to achieve rational synthesis of materials. ${ }^{78,100}$

Herein, we have applied this in-situ diffraction technique using both in-house and synchrotron to the $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{Se}$ system, by monitoring the reaction between the respective binaries, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Q}$ and $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Q}_{3}\left(\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{S}\right.$, Se). Powder mixtures were heated to $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while diffraction data was simultaneously collected. From this investigation, we found that the two systems share an isostructural intermediate phase, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiQ}_{3}$. The presence of this intermediate phase
in both reactions suggests $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiQ}_{3}$ is mechanistically important for $\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ formation from the binary starting materials. Two additional compounds were discovered: $\beta-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ and $\beta-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$. These compounds crystallize in the $\alpha-\mathrm{NaFeO}_{2}$ structure type and are cation-ordered polymorphs of the known rocksalt ternaries. The ability of $\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ to form both a disordered and ordered phase, while $\mathrm{NaBiQ}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{RbBiQ}_{2}$ crystallize in the rocksalt and $\alpha-\mathrm{NaFeO}_{2}$ type structures, respectively, suggests that there is a cation radius tolerance $\left(\frac{r^{+}}{r^{3+}}\right)$ of 1.33 or greater for high symmetry rocksalt formation. This work is one of the pioneer studies in proposing a tolerance factor for a chalcogenide system. ${ }^{101-103}$ We have also performed density functional theory (DFT) calculations to show that the cation-ordered $\beta-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ polymorphs are thermodynamically stable and demonstrated that DFT can be used as a complimentary tool in the panoramic studies to determine the phase stabilities.

### 3.3. Experimental details

Reagents. Chemicals in this work were used as-obtained: bismuth metal $\mathbf{~} 99.99 \%$, American Elements), sulfur, (sublimed, $99.99 \%$, Spectrum), selenium pellets ( $99.99 \%$, American Elements), potassium metal ( $99.5 \%$, Aldrich), glassy carbon ( $99.9 \%$, Aldrich). $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ were synthesized using stoichiometric amounts of the elements in liquid ammonia as described elsewhere. ${ }^{96}$

## Synthesis.

Bismuth Sulfide, Bi $_{2} S_{3}$. A 1.1226-g amount ( 0.035 mol ) of elemental sulfur was combined with $4.8774 \mathrm{~g}(0.023 \mathrm{~mol})$ of bismuth shots in a 9 mm (O.D.) fused silica tube. The tube was flame sealed under vacuum ( $10^{-3} \mathrm{mbar}$ ). The sample was heated to $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 24 hours and soaked for 24
hours before furnace cooling.
Bismuth Selenide, $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$. A 2.7104 g amount ( 0.028 mol ) of elemental selenium was combined with 3.8296 g ( 0.018 mol ) of bismuth shots in a 9 mm (O.D.) fused silica tube. The tube was flame sealed under vacuum ( $10^{-3}$ mbar). The sample was heated to $850^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 8.5 hours and soaked for 12 hours, then cooled to $450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 8 hrs . The sample was annealed at this temperature for 48 hours to minimize selenium vacancies. The sample was then furnace cooled. Sample was ball milled in a Retsch Mixer Mill MM 200 at 20 rps with 20 balls for an accumulative 2.5 hours.
$\beta-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2} . \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(0.071 \mathrm{~g}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}(0.329 \mathrm{~g}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in a mortar and pestle and transferred in a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ glovebox to a carbon coated 9 mm (O.D.) fused silica tube, which was flame sealed under vacuum ( $10^{-3}$ mbar). The sample was heated to $875^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 12 hrs and soaked for 3 hrs , then cooled to $775^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 16 hours before furnace cooling. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) shows that the sample is bulk phase pure.
$K_{3} B i S_{3} . \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(0.157 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}(0.243 \mathrm{~g}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in a mortar and pestle in a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ glovebox. The mixture was transferred to a carbon coated 9 mm (O.D.) fused silica tube and flame sealed under vacuum ( $10^{-3} \mathrm{mbar}$ ). The sample was heated to $450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 6 hrs and soaked for 192 hrs before furnace cooling. The sample was rehomogenized using a mortar and pestle in an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ glovebox, then subsequently annealed at $450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 hours; Rietveld refinement of the sample reveals a $7.7(2) \mathrm{wt} \%$ impurity of $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$.
$\beta-$ KBiSe $_{2} . \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Se}(0.077 \mathrm{~g}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}(0.0 .323 \mathrm{~g}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to a carbon coated 9 mm fused silica tube, which was flame sealed under vacuum ( $\left.10^{-3} \mathrm{mbar}\right)$. The sample was heated to $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 9 hrs and soaked for 30 minutes before cooling to $575^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 72 hrs . The sample was then cooled to $475^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 96 hrs before furnace cooling. Phase purity confirmed
using PXRD.

## Physical Measurements.

In-Situ Powder X-ray Diffraction. A STOE STADI MP high-resolution diffractometer with an oven attachment (STOE HT) were used to collect temperature-dependent data. The diffractometer was equipped with an asymmetric curved Germanium monochromator and onedimensional silicon strip detector (MYTHEN2 1K from DECTRIS). The starting materials were sieved ( $<45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), mixed, and diluted using glassy carbon. Dilutions were required to mitigate sample attenuation of the X-ray beam and, therefore, optimize the signal-to-noise ratio of the diffraction measurement. Samples were then packed into 0.3 mm diameter quartz capillaries, which were flame sealed under vacuum (ca. $3.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{mbar}$ ). All capillaries were carbon coated to prevent glass attack. Ground fused silica was used as a spacer at the tip of the capillary, where the capillary was re-sealed and bare of carbon coating. Diffraction data was collected every $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using pure- $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha 1$ radiation ( $1.54056 \AA$ ) operated at 40 kV and 40 mA for the sulfide system and pure-MoK $\alpha 1$ radiation $(0.70930 \AA$ A ) operated at 50 kV and 40 mA for the selenide system. Samples were heated to $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a heating rate of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ and a dwell time at max temperature of 90 minutes. Temperature stability is typically $0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Samples were spun during collection. The instrument was calibrated against a NIST Silicon standard (640d) prior the measurement.

Ex-Situ Powder X-ray Diffraction. X-ray powder diffraction patterns were collected on a Rigaku Miniflex600 diffractometer with $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation (1.5406 $\AA$ ) operating at 40 kV and 15 mA with a high-speed silicon strip detector. A zero-background silicon sample holder with 0.2 $\mathrm{mm} \times 4 \mathrm{~mm}$ well was used. Visualization of the crystal structures was done with Vesta software. ${ }^{104}$

PXRD data used for $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ Rietveld refinement was collected at room temperature on a

STOE-STADI-P powder diffractometer equipped with an asymmetric curved Germanium monochromator (pure-CuK $\alpha 1$ radiation, $\lambda=1.54056 \AA$ ) and one-dimensional silicon strip detector (MYTHEN2 1K from DECTRIS). The line focused Cu X-ray tube was operated at 40 kV and 40 mA . Powder was packed in a 3 mm metallic mask and sandwiched between two polyimide layers of tape. Intensity data from 3 to 124 degrees two theta were collected over a period of 45 mins. Instrument was calibrated against a NIST Silicon standard (640d) prior to the measurement.

High resolution synchrotron powder diffraction data were collected using beamline 11-BM at the Advanced Photon Source (APS), Argonne National Laboratory using an average wavelength of $0.4577 \AA$. Discrete detectors covering an angular range from 2 to $24^{\circ} 2 \theta$ are scanned over a $6^{\circ}$ $2 \theta$ range, with data points collected every $0.001^{\circ} 2 \theta$ and scan speed of $0.01 \%$.

Pair Distribution Function Measurements and Analysis. Kapton capillaries ( 0.8 mm diameter) were filled with sieved powder samples ( $<45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) inside a glovebox. X-ray scattering data for alpha- and beta- $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ and alpha- $\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$ samples were collected at Sector 11-ID-B of the Advanced Photon Source at Argonne National Laboratory using an incident wavelength of 0.143 $\AA$, up to a $Q_{\max }$ of $36.4 \AA^{-1}$. The sample-to-detector distance was approximately 175 mm . The sample-to-detector distance was approximately 250 mm resulting in a $Q_{\max }$ of $29.1 \AA^{-1}$.

GSAS-II was used to calibrate the sample-to-detector distance, detector alignment, and instrumental resolution parameters using a NIST $\mathrm{CeO}_{2}$ standard and to integrate the data. ${ }^{105}$ The empty Kapton capillary signal was subtracted from each scattering data pattern using PDFgetX3 ${ }^{106}$ prior to obtaining the Pair Distribution Functions (PDFs). PDFgui ${ }^{107}$ was used to fit PDFs in the range $2 \AA<r<30 \AA$. Subgroups were generated using the Bilbao Crystallographic Server; ${ }^{108-110}$ space groups investigated included $R \overline{3} m, P m \overline{3} m, C 2 / m, P \overline{3} m 1, I 4 / m m m, P n \overline{3} m, P 3_{2} 2_{1}$.

Optical Measurements. Optical diffuse reflectance measurements were made at room temperature with a Shimadzu UV-3600 PC double-beam, double-monochromator spectrophotometer. The measurement of diffuse reflectivity can be used to obtain values for the band gap from a powder sample at room temperature. $\mathrm{BaSO}_{4}$ powder was used as a reference. The reflectance data was converted to absorbance using the Kubelka-Munk equation: $\alpha / S=(1-R) 2 / 2 R$. $R$ is the reflectance at a given wavelength, $\alpha$ is the absorption coefficient, and S is the scattering coefficient. Valence band maximum energy (VBM) was measured by photoemission spectroscopy in air on a Riken-Keiki PESA AC-2. Samples are scanned under ambient conditions using UV light (4.20-6.20 eV). The number of photoelectrons generated at each excitation energy is measured and the VBM is the onset of the PESA spectra, as photoelectrons are only generated when the photon energy is higher than the VBM energy. Conduction band minimum energies are found by subtracting the optical band gap from the VBM energy.

Differential Thermal Analysis. Differential thermal analysis (DTA) was performed using a Netzsch STA 449 F3 Jupiter simultaneous thermal analysis (STA) instrument. Samples were loaded into carbon-coated fused silica tube and flame-sealed under vacuum (ca. $3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{mbar}$ ). Samples were heated to $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, cooled to $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then heated once more to $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, before cooling to room temperature. The rate for cooling and heating was set to $7.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$.

## Computational Methods.

All density functional theory (DFT) calculations are performed using the projector augmented wave (PAW) method, ${ }^{111-112}$ as implemented in the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP) ${ }^{113-114}$. The Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) exchange-correlation functional ${ }^{115}$ and a plane wave basis set with a cutoff energy of 520 eV were used. A $\Gamma$-centered k-mesh with $\approx 8000$
k-points per reciprocal atom (KPPRA) was used to sample the Brillouin zone. The Open Quantum Material Database (OQMD) ${ }^{100,16}$ was used for convex hull construction. To calculate the formation energy of the cation-disordered $\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2} \mathrm{Fm} \overline{3} m$ structures, a 32-atom special quasirandom structure (SQS) ${ }^{117}$ was generated by using the Monte Carlo algorithm (mcsqs) as implemented in the Alloy Theoretic Automated Toolkit (ATAT). ${ }^{118,119}$ The cluster correlations used to define the SQS were specified using a distance-based cutoff of all 2-, 3-, and 4-body clusters with a maximum distance of 5-6 $\AA$. To calculate the effective band structure of the $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{Bi}$ disordered cubic phase $(F m \overline{3} m)$, a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ supercell was generated based on the perfect rocksalt structure with bismuth and potassium alternatively stacking along [111] direction. The potassium and bismuth atoms are randomly distributed in the supercells to simulate the cation-disordered $\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2} F m \overline{3} m$ structures. Effective band structures were recovered from the supercell calculations using the band unfolding technique proposed by Medeiros et al. ${ }^{120-121}$

### 3.4. Results and Discussion

Panoramic Synthesis KBiS2. The synthesis of $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ has been previously reported using potassium carbonate, elemental bismuth, and elemental sulfur. ${ }^{97,122} \mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ crystallizes in the simple rocksalt structure, ${ }^{97,122}$ where potassium and bismuth have equivalent occupancy in the sodium site and sulfur is in the chloride site. Moreover, the $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{S}$ phase space is of interest for thermoelectric materials ${ }^{123}$ and photovoltaics, ${ }^{124}$ in efforts towards rational design within this phase space, we began with this simple structure.

To monitor the reaction progression in the $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{S}$ system, panoramic syntheses were conducted using a commercial STOE high-temperature furnace (HT) attachment for a STOE STADI-MP powder X-ray diffractometer. In previously reported syntheses, an excess of potassium
starting material was used to form $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$, therefore a ratio of $1.5 \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: 1 \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ is used. ${ }^{122}$ The reaction has a maximum temperature of $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The overlaid diffraction patterns from the panoramic synthesis are shown in Figure 14a. Phases are identified primarily through pattern matching. Different colors are used to highlight different phases that form during the reaction, beginning with the starting materials in blue. A schematic of the reaction pathway with relative mole fractions of the phases is shown in Figure 14b. The relative mole fraction of the starting material amorphous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ was determined according to the experimental loading of the material. It is useful to regard the reaction between $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ and $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ as a Lewis acid-base reaction in which $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ is the base and $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ is the acid. In this context, basic activity increases with the $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ fraction and acidic activity increases with the $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$. The end crystalline products shown in brown are $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ and cristobalite, a high temperature polymorph of $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$. The ground amorphous silica, which was used as a spacer to protect the glass tip of the capillary, crystallized after reaching temperatures above $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To avoid this, all subsequent panoramic syntheses in this system have a maximum temperature of $650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. An intermediate phase is observed during the reaction, appearing at $270^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and disappearing at $510^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as the product, $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$, emerges (in red). The intermediate phase was isolated ex-situ as described in the Experimental section and determined to be $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ via Rietveld refinement (Figure S1, Table S1-4).


Figure 14: (a) Heat map of $1.5 \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}+1 \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$. Asterisks indicate observed peaks from cristobalite formation. (b) Reaction map of $1.5 \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}+1 \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$. relative compositions are shown; dashed lines represent an amorphous phase.

The intermediate phase, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$, shown in Figure 15, crystallizes in the cubic $\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{AsS}_{3}$ structure type (space group $P 2_{1} 3 ; \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{c}=9.4425(1) \AA$ ) and is isostructural to $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{SbS}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{SbS}_{3} .{ }^{125}$ The formation of an intermediate phase of this composition is surprising because it implies a reaction of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ and $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ in a 3:1 ratio, which is a more basic condition than what is being used in this reaction, where the ratio is $3: 2$. This suggests that $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ is a kinetic product.
$\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ has two structural motifs: trigonal-pyramidal $\mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ units and distorted octahedral $\mathrm{KS}_{6}$ units. The isolated pyramidal molecule of $\left[\mathrm{BiS}_{3}\right]^{3-}$ makes the structure of $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ essentially
molecular. We synthesized this intermediate ex-situ using the conditions identified by the panoramic synthesis experiments and refined its structure using Rietveld refinement (Figure S1). The trigonal-pyramidal units of $\mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ have bond lengths and bond angles of 2.593(7) $\AA$ and $99.2(3)^{\circ}$, respectively. The distorted $\mathrm{KS}_{6}$ octahedra exhibit three different coordination environments, shown in different colors in Figure 15b. The distortion of octahedra give rise to a range of bond lengths between $3.11(2)$ and $3.53(1) \AA$. The distorted octahedra of K1, shown in blue, is capped by a bismuth and shares a corner with the three other $\mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ units in the structure. K 2 , in turquoise, is edge-sharing with three $\mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ units, while K 3 , shown in purple, is exclusively corner-sharing with the $\mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ polyhedra.


Figure 15: (a) Ball and stick representation of $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ (left) and an illustration of the three different octahedra of $\mathrm{KS}_{6}$ and all $\mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ units (right). (b) Isolated representations of the $\mathrm{KS}_{6}$ distorted octahedra.

By comparing the structures in the $1.5 \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ reaction, shown in Figure 16, the role of $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ as an intermediate phase in the formation of $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ is examined. The coordination environment of potassium can be described as a gradual progression from tetrahedral to octahedral. In the starting material, the potassium cation begins in the $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ anti-fluorite structure consisting of KS4 tetrahedra with bond lengths of $3.191 \AA,{ }^{126}$ and over the course of the reaction expands to the distorted $\mathrm{KS}_{6}$ octahedra in $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$. By the final product, potassium forms ideal $\mathrm{KS}_{6}$ octahedra in
$\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$. Of note, the $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{S}$ bond lengthens in $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$, before shortening to $3.02 \AA$ in the final $F m \overline{3} m$ structure. ${ }^{127}$ In contrast, the bismuth coordination sphere does not undergo a gradual progression. In fact, bismuth undergoes an unexpected decrease in coordination number from square pyramidal and distorted octahedral to trigonal-prismatic, before transitioning to octahedral in $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$. In $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$, bismuth stereochemically expresses its lone pair into a void created by the potassium cations, then no longer expresses its lone pair in the final product, $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$. The bismuth precursor, $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$, contains square-pyramidal $\mathrm{BiS}_{5}$ units and distorted $\mathrm{BiS}_{6}$ octahedra, as illustrated in Figure 16. Three different $\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{S}$ bond lengths are present in the $\mathrm{BiS}_{5}$ units. The bond lengths in the basal plane are divided equally between $2.74(1)$ and $2.96(1) \AA$, while the apical $\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{S}$ in $\mathrm{BiS}_{5}$ is the shortest bond length in the structure at $2.56(1) \AA .{ }^{126}$ The $\mathrm{BiS}_{6}$ octahedra has two bond lengths at $2.67(1) \AA$, another two at 2.96(1) $\AA$, as well as one at $3.05(1)$ and $2.69(1) \AA$. The Bi-S bond length shortens to $2.62(1) \AA$ in $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$, before increasing to $3.02 \AA$ in $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$. A concurrent shortening of the Bi-S bonds and lengthening of the $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{S}$ bonds in $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ is likely a result of the concomitant separation of the $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ chains and incorporation of the $\mathrm{KS}_{4}$ units. Owing to its utility as a structural transition framework, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ therefore can be considered a structural intermediate for the formation of $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$.


Figure 16: Reaction progression of the starting materials, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ and $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$, to $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ through the intermediate phase $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$. Unique cation coordination environment is shown for each structure. A bismuth atom in $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ is shown within the cavity formed by the $\mathrm{KS}_{6}$ distorted octahedra.

To explore if the formation of rocksalt $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ persists, an increase in amount of $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ relative to $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ was investigated. The overlaid diffraction patterns and reaction map of the $1 \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ : $1 \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ panoramic synthesis are shown in Figure 17 a and b, respectively. As before, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ forms first and appears between $240-250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which is similar to the formation temperature of $270^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$-rich reactions featuring a ratio of 1.5:1. The continued formation of $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ before the crystallization of $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$, despite a different final product, corroborates that this kinetic intermediate is mechanistically important for the formation of the rocksalt structure. The $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ rocksalt structure emerges at ca. $435^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (red). The rocksalt phase persists through the maximum temperature and, on cooling, a third phase is observed between $465-480^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (purple). $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ persists until approximately $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on cooling, where the third phase is the sole final product.


Figure 17: (a) Overlaid diffraction patterns of $1 \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}+1 \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$. (b) Reaction map of $1 \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}+1$ $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$. Nominal compositions are shown; dashed lines represent a noncrystalline phase. (c) Structure solution for $\beta-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ (d) Structural representation of the ordered rocksalt, $\beta-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$.

The third and final phase in this reaction is a cation-ordered polymorph of $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ in the rhombohedral $\alpha-\mathrm{NaFeO}_{2}$ structure type $(R \overline{3} m, \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}=4.12983(3) \AA, \mathrm{c}=22.09484(17) \AA$ ). The structure of the $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ polymorph, hereafter named $\beta-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$, was determined using Rietveld refinement on synchrotron PXRD data (Figure 17 c ). $\beta-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ is an ordered rocksalt that is isostructural to $\mathrm{RbBiS}_{2} .{ }^{128}$ In this structure type, cation trigonal anti-prisms are connected through edge-sharing as in the disordered rocksalt structure (Figure 17d). ${ }^{129}$ The layers alternate between $\mathrm{CdCl}_{2}$-type $\left[\mathrm{BiS}_{2}\right]^{-}$layers and potassium cations. It is notable that the ordered $R \overline{3} m$ forms only
when stoichiometric amounts of bismuth and potassium precursors are used; an excess of just 5\% of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ yields the rocksalt structure (Figure $\mathrm{S4}$ ). The original synthesis for the cation-disordered $F m \overline{3} m \mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ (now called $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ ) also uses an excess of the potassium precursor, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} .{ }^{122}$ This suggests that an excess of potassium may have a role in destabilizing the lower symmetry structure.

Thermal analysis data of $\beta-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ shown in Figure $\mathbf{S 6}$ and Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations, described further below, corroborate the experimental evidence that cationordered $\beta-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ is the thermodynamically stable phase. The endothermic peak at ca. $480^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ upon heating and broad exothermic peak at ca. $405^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ upon cooling in the thermal analysis data indicate $\beta-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ undergoes a phase transition. The temperature of these peaks corresponds to the transition temperature for the $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ to $\beta-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ transition in Figure 17 a. This, in conjunction with the identity of the post-thermal analysis product as $\beta-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$, confirms these signals result from phase transitions from a low to high temperature phase. Moreover, DFT calculations show that cationordered $\beta-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ sits on the convex hull and, thus, is the thermodynamically stable phase, while cation-disordered $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ sits at $75 \mathrm{meV} /$ atom above the convex hull (i.e. above $\beta-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ ).

Panoramic Synthesis of $\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2} . \mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$ is reported to crystallize in the rocksalt structure and was hypothesized to proceed through a similar mechanism to $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ owing to their isostructural nature. The panoramic synthesis on $1 \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{Se}+1 \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ was conducted and is illustrated in Figure 5. At $195^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, again the analogous material, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiSe}_{3}$, forms (Figure $\mathrm{S7} ; P 2_{13} 3 ; \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{c}=$ 9.773(2) $\AA$ ). ${ }^{98}$ The appearance of $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiSe}_{3}$ as an intermediate phase also in the selenide analogue reaction supports that this molecular salt phase may be important mechanistically to the reaction path.

The reaction selectivity of $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiQ}_{3}(\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se})$ directly proceeding the formation of the
$\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ ternary is postulated to be structural in origin as opposed to energetic. In-situ studies observing the phase formation found the composition of the first transient phase to be that which yielded the largest energy release ${ }^{130}$ or the fastest energy release ${ }^{30}$. The former does not apply for these chalcogenide systems as the formation of $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiQ}_{3}$ is less energetically favorable than the $\beta$ $\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ phases. Specifically, the formation energies of $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiSe}_{3}$ are calculated to be $48 \mathrm{meV} /$ atom and $-28 \mathrm{meV} /$ atom below the convex hull, respectively, while those of $\beta-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ are $-68 \mathrm{meV} /$ atom and $-60 \mathrm{meV} /$ atom below the hull for the sulfide and selenide, respectively. The alpha phases have positive formation energies relative to the convex hull according to DFT calculations and are, therefore, considered unstable. $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiQ}_{3}$ also does not provide the fastest energy release as diffusion must occur for this phase to form as compared to $\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$. The precursor loading in this work are $3 \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: 2 \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ (e.g. 1.5:1) and $1 \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{Q}: 1 \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Q}_{3}(\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se})$. The precursors were sieved and thoroughly mixed, such that the average contact between particles are expected to mirror that of the loading ratio. Correspondingly, no notably $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Q}$-rich or poor areas are expected. The fastest energy release would therefore be the formation of $\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$, however, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiQ}_{3}$ reproducibly forms first in both chalcogenide system regardless of loading ratio. The formation of the $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiQ}_{3}$ structural intermediate therefore cannot be easily rationalized by current thermodynamic or kinetic theories. However, the formation of the potassium-rich intermediate, persistent even in relatively potassium-poor reactant loading, underscores that this compound is necessary for the formation of the $\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ ternary in these conditions.

The structural intermediate, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiSe}_{3}$, shown in green in Figure 5, persists until a new phase emerges at $285^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, shown in purple. This new phase disappears at $555^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before reappearing at $525^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on cooling as the final product. This phase is isostructural to $\beta-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ and hereafter called
$\beta-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}(R \overline{3} m, \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}=4.26442(1) \AA, \mathrm{c}=23.0290(1) \AA)$. When $\beta-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$ disappears, the known rocksalt structure-type $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$ begins to emerge. Upon cooling, $\beta-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$ reappears by $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In order to isolate $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$, the product was quenched at $650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and annealed. Attempts to synthesize $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$ using the binaries without quenching, even with an excess of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$, were unsuccessful.


Figure 18: (a) Overlaid diffraction pattern for $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Se}+\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ Panoramic synthesis. Asterisks indicate cristobalite $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ crystallization (b) Reaction map of $1 \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{Se}+1 \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$. Nominal compositions are shown; dashed lines represent an amorphous phase.

The overall reaction pathway in the selenide and sulfide systems is similar. Both systems proceed through a $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiQ}_{3}(\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se})$ intermediate. The coordination sphere of potassium increases from an anti-fluorite tetrahedron to a distorted octahedron in $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiSe}_{3}$, as it does in the sulfide system. The coordination sphere of bismuth in the selenide system, however, decreases
during the intermediate phase from an octahedron in $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$, shown in Figure $\mathbf{S 8}$, to trigonal pyramidal in the discrete ions in $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiSe}_{3}$, before returning to an octahedron in the highly dense ordered and disordered rocksalt type $\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$. The formation temperature of the selenide ternaries is observed lower than that of the sulfide likely as a result of the lower melting points of the selenide binaries, the higher basicity of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ than $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$, and the more polarizable anionic framework of the selenium ternary, which facilitates ion mobility. ${ }^{131}$ Similar to the $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ system, thermal analysis (Figure S9) and DFT calculations show that the ordered, $\alpha-\mathrm{NaFeO}_{2}$ type polymorph of $\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$ is the thermodynamically stable phase. Comparable to its sulfur analogue, cation-disordered $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$ sits $76 \mathrm{meV} /$ atom above the ordered, stable $\beta-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ phase.

Investigation of Local Structure. Local off-centering from $\mathrm{ns}^{2}$ lone pairs has been demonstrated previously for materials containing heavy main-group elements as for example the emphanisis effects in $\mathrm{PbQ} .{ }^{132-137}$ The structure of the titular $\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ compounds is therefore expected to be locally distorted as a result of stereochemical activity of the $\mathrm{Bi}^{3+}$ lone pair, while the global structure remains in the rocksalt or $\alpha-\mathrm{NaFeO}_{2}$ structure type for the alpha and beta phases, respectively. Pair distribution function (PDF) analysis confirms that the data are well fit using $F m \overline{3} m$ for $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ and $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$ at long $r$ ranges (Error! Reference source not found.a and e). However, the split of the first PDF peak is not well fit, as shown in Figure 6 c and 6 g . The Bilbao Crystallographic Server ${ }^{108-110}$ was used to generate possible subgroups of space group $F m \overline{3} m$ assuming the Wyckoff positions 4 a and 8 c . Those subgroups were used to fit the PDF data. The short range ( $r<6 \AA$ ) were better fit using the lower symmetry space group $P 2{ }_{1} 3$ with partial site occupations in a cubic lattice cell as shown in Error! Reference source not found.Error!

Reference source not found.b, d, f, and h. The split in the first coordination shell was better
modeled by off-centering the atoms from the ideal rocksalt positions as illustrated in Error! Reference source not found.Error! Reference source not found.i and j.


Figure 19: PDF fits for $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ using a (a) $\mathrm{Fm} \overline{\mathbf{3}} \mathrm{m}$ model and (b) $\mathrm{P}_{2}{ }_{1} 3$ model. These models are zoomed in to the short range ( $\mathrm{r}<8 \AA$ ), highlighted in grey, for the Fm $\overline{3} \mathrm{~m}$ model (c) and for the $\mathrm{P}_{1} 3$ model (d). In the PDF fits, red is the calculated PDF pattern, blue is the experimental data, and green is the difference pattern. PDF fits for $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$ using a (e) $\mathrm{Fm} \overline{\mathbf{3}} \mathrm{m}$ model and (f) $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} 3$ mode; zoomed in region shown for the $\mathrm{Fm} \overline{\mathbf{3}} \mathrm{m}$ model (g) and for the $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} 3$ model (h). (i) Shows a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ unit cell representation of $\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ and (j) highlights the off-centering of bismuth in the $\left[\mathrm{BiS}_{6}\right]^{3-}$ and $\left[\mathrm{BiSe}_{6}\right]^{3-}$ octahedra.

Optical Properties of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ - and $\boldsymbol{\beta}-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$. As the ratio of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Q}$ to $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Q}_{3}$ becomes stoichiometric in the syntheses, the final product transitions from $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ to $\beta-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ with band gap energies of $0.84(2) \mathrm{eV}$ and $1.09(2) \mathrm{eV}$, respectively, for the selenides (red, Figure 20a) and $1.23(2) \mathrm{eV}$ and $1.55(2) \mathrm{eV}$ for the sulfides (blue, Figure 20a). As the compounds undergo a decrease in symmetry moving from the disordered $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ to the ordered $\beta-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$, we observe a subsequent increase in band gap. The dimensional reduction from the ordering of $\beta-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ into layers of $\left[\mathrm{BiQ}_{2}\right]^{-}$and $\mathrm{K}^{+}$(Figure 20b) yields a blue shift in the experimental band gap, as similarly observed for other semiconductors. ${ }^{133,}{ }^{138}$ Figure 20c shows the energies of the valence band maxima for $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ and $\beta-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ measured using a Kelvin Probe (see experimental section) as well as for four well-known semiconductors ( $\mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{CdTe}$, methylammonium lead iodide $\left(\mathrm{MAPbI}_{3}\right)$, and $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ ) for reference. The energies of the conduction band minima are included and were extrapolated using the valence band maxima energies and experimental or known band gaps.


Figure 20: (a) Experimental band gaps for the known $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}(\mathrm{Fm} \overline{\mathbf{3}} \mathrm{m})$ and discovered $\beta-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ phases ( $\mathrm{R} \overline{\mathbf{3}} \mathrm{m}$ ). (b) Illustration of dimension reduction from the 3D covalent framework in the high temperature (HT) phase, $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$, to the layered 2D covalent framework in the low temperature (LT) phase, $\beta-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$. For both structures, only covalent bonds (e.g. Bi-Q bonds) are shown. The site occupancy of bismuth and potassium in $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ was randomized, while constrained to maintain equivalent amounts of the cations. The inset for $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ tilts the indicated portion of the structure to highlight the 3D connectivity through the plane. (c) Depiction of valence band maxima, conduction band minima, and band gap energies for $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}, \beta-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}, \mathrm{Si}^{139-140}$, $\mathrm{CdTe}^{141}, \mathrm{MAPbI}_{3}{ }^{142}$, and $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}(\text { anatase })^{143-144}$.

## Calculation of Thermodynamic Stability and Electronic Band Structure. DFT

 calculations were performed to determine the thermodynamic stabilities and electronic structures of the $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ and $\beta-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ materials. When compiling the phase diagram for the $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{K}-$Bi-Se phase spaces in Figures 8a and b, all compounds stored in the Open Quantum Materials Database ${ }^{100}$ are considered and the grand canonical linear programming (GCLP) is used to construct the thermodynamic stability convex hull. The energy calculation for the structure of $\mathrm{KBi}_{6.33} \mathrm{~S}_{10}$ and its partial occupancies ${ }^{88}$ is computationally intensive; thus, the compound is included in the phase diagram assuming it has $\mathrm{T}=0 \mathrm{~K}$ stability. Notably, all ternary phases discovered to date in these phase diagrams lay along the $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ composition line, including the titular compounds. The intermediate phases, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiSe}_{3}$, are also on the convex hull, and hence are considered thermodynamically stable ground state phases at $\mathrm{T}=0 \mathrm{~K}$. Our calculations show that the ordered phases, $\beta-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ and $\beta-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$, are on the convex hull, while the disordered $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ and $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$ structures are 75 and $76 \mathrm{meV} /$ atom above the hull, respectively. This indicates the beta phases are the thermodynamically stable polymorphs, while the alpha phases are metastable. This agrees with the experimental observations as the beta phases are stable at room temperature and the alpha phases are formed at high temperature.


Figure 21: Phase diagram of (a) K-Bi-S and (b) K-Bi-Se phase space. The band structure and partial density of states (PDOS) of (c) $\beta-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}(\mathrm{R} \overline{\mathbf{3}} \mathrm{m})$ and (d) $\beta-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}(\mathrm{R} \overline{\mathbf{3}} \mathrm{m})$.

The band structures of $\beta-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ and $\beta-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$ are shown in Figures 8 c and d, respectively. These two materials are indirect band gap semiconductors with calculated band gaps of 1.45 and 1.12 eV for $\beta-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ and $\beta-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$, respectively, using PBE functional method. These are consistent with the experimental band gaps of $1.55(2) \mathrm{eV}$ and $1.09(2) \mathrm{eV}$ as well as the expectation of the more electronegative sulfur analogue having a wider band gap than the selenium. For both $\beta-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ phases, the conduction band minimum is at the Z point of the Brillouin zone, while the valence band maxima are in the region along $\Gamma-L, \mathrm{Z}-B$ and $\Gamma-X$ directions. The conduction band maximum is primarily comprised of the bismuth $6 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbital with contribution from the sulfur 3s orbital (Figure S11). The bismuth 6 s and sulfur 3p orbitals form the valence band maxima
regions (Figure S11). The $\Gamma-L$ and $\Gamma-X$ direction have more contribution from the sulfur $3 p_{x}$ orbital and the bismuth $6 s$, sulfur $3 p_{x}$ and $3 p_{y}$ orbitals, respectively. Overall, the conduction band is far more dispersive than the top of the valence band as shown in Figures 8c and d, indicating that, in the beta phase, the charge transport of electrons would be far more efficient than that of holes. The partial density of states (PDOS) shows that the relatively flat valence band maxima is a consequence of the localized $S / S e \mathrm{p}$ orbitals that make up this band.

In order to understand the electronic structures of the alpha phases, the effects of bismuth off-centering and $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{Bi}$ disorder must be separated. The band gaps of the rocksalt-ordered $\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ as a function of coherent bismuth off-centering are shown in Figure 9a. As the off-centering of bismuth from its ideal positions increases, the band gaps of $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ and $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$ are calculated to increase. The disordering of $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ is simulated by randomly arranging potassium and bismuth atoms in supercells and the calculated band gaps using the same PBE functional method are 0.77 and 0.58 eV for $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ and $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$, respectively. The band structures of the alpha phases are shown in Figures 9b and c. Both dimensional reduction, ${ }^{138}$ manifesting as cation ordering (Figure 20b), and stereochemical lone pair expression, ${ }^{133}$ presenting as the off-centering of the bismuth atom, increase the band gap. Therefore, the band gaps of these compounds observed at finite temperature is a combination of these two effects (i.e. increasing the temperature yields a reduction in cation ordering and, on the other hand, an increase in off-centering). The comparative lower value for the experimental band gaps of disordered $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ than those of the ordered $\beta$ $\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ materials indicates the influence of ordering has a larger effect than that of off-centering on the band gap, which is consistent with our calculations.


Figure 22: (a) The DFT-calculated change in band gap as bismuth is increasingly off-centered in the $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{K}$ direction from its ideal position. The bandgaps are calculated with the ordered $\mathrm{R} \overline{\mathbf{3}} \mathrm{m}$ rocksalt structures for $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ and $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$. The unfolded band structure of (b) $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ and (c) $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$, which is unfolded to the Brillouin zone of $\mathrm{R} \overline{\mathbf{3}} \mathrm{m}$ structures. The color bar indicates the band intensity.

Comparison of $\mathbf{A}-\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{Q}$. When looking at $\mathrm{ABiQ}_{2}(\mathrm{~A}=$ alkali metal, $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se}, \mathrm{Te})$ compounds, simultaneous trends of decreasing symmetry and dimensionality are observed as the size of the alkali metal increases relative to bismuth (Figure 23). As we move across the sulfides in Figure 23d, the $\left[\mathrm{BiQ}_{2}\right]^{1-}$ framework is progressively broken up by the increasingly larger alkali metal illustrated in Figure 23a-c. This is consistent with the so-called "counterion effect," where, given an anionic framework, a countercation can induce a specific structural change as result of steric effects as a function of its size. ${ }^{145-148}$ Previous work ${ }^{63,146}$ has shown that the smaller the countercation, the higher the dimensionality and the higher coordination numbers of the metal in the covalent framework.


Figure 23: Representative illustrations of $\mathrm{ABiQ}_{2}(\mathrm{~A}=$ alkali metal, $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se}, \mathrm{Te})$ structures that crystallize in the (a) rocksalt structure type ( $\mathrm{Fm} \overline{\mathbf{3}} \mathrm{m}$ ) (b) $\alpha-\mathrm{NaFeO}_{2}$ structure type ( $\mathrm{R} \overline{\mathbf{3}} \mathrm{m}$ ) and (c) $\mathrm{CsSbS}_{2}$ structure type $\left(\mathrm{P} 2{ }_{1} / \mathrm{c}\right)$. Monovalent cation shown in blue, trivalent cation in black, and chalcogenide in yellow. (d) Chart of $\mathrm{ABiQ}_{2}$ reported in literature and in this paper.

As the alkali metal increases in size, there is a decrease in dimensionality in agreement with the counterion effect that results from the end of site-sharing for the alkali metal and pnictogen. ${ }^{145-146}$ The previously reported lithium, sodium, and potassium $\mathrm{ABiQ}_{2}$ compounds crystallize in the rocksalt structure with the alkali metal and bismuth sharing the same octahedral cation site, shown in Figure 10a. As observed in this work, $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$ can be directed to crystallize in the $\beta$-phase (Figure 23b), which is in the $\alpha-\mathrm{NaFeO}_{2}$ structure type. DFT mixing entropy calculations, shown in Figure S13, corroborate these experimental results and underscore that the rocksalt structure cannot be stabilized by finite temperature compared to the $\alpha-\mathrm{NaFeO}_{2}$ structure type. This structure consists of $\left[\mathrm{BiS}_{2}\right]^{-}$layers stacked perpendicular to the c -axis and
separated by $\mathrm{K}^{+}$cations (Figure 23b). The potassium ternary marks an inflection point of sitesharing of bismuth and the alkali metal, as the rubidium and cesium ternaries also do not exhibit site-sharing of the two cations. The framework here transitions from a three dimensional covalent framework to a two dimensional layered framework, as highlighted previously in Figure 7b. $\mathrm{RbBiS}_{2}$ crystallizes in the $\alpha-\mathrm{NaFeO}_{2}$ structure type, similar to $\beta-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ and $\beta-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$, where bismuth and potassium separately occupy sites in alternate layers. $\mathrm{RbBiSe}_{2}$ was synthesized using a $1.5 \mathrm{Rb}_{2} \mathrm{Se}: 1 \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ ratio and is indexed to be in the $R \overline{3} m$ space group. $\mathrm{CsBiS}_{2}$ crystallizes in the $\mathrm{CsSbS}_{2}$ structure type $(P 21 / c)$, shown in Figure 23c. This structure exemplifies the transition from a 2D covalent framework to a 1D framework as it comprises extended, corrugated $\left[\mathrm{BiS}_{2}\right]^{-}$chains separated by $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$atoms.

With potassium acting as an inflection point in the $\mathrm{ABiQ}_{2}$ system, there is a region of stability for six-coordinate site-sharing of bismuth and alkali metal corresponding to a cation radii ratio $\left(\frac{r^{+}}{r^{3+}}\right)$ of 1.33 or less, using Shannon ionic radii. ${ }^{151}$ For ratios higher than 1.33 , the cationordered structure forms (e.g. $\mathrm{RbBiS}_{2}, \mathrm{RbBiSe}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{CsBiS}_{2}$ ). A similar cation radii tolerance is found in the $\mathrm{ALnS}_{2}{ }^{103}$ and $\mathrm{AAn}_{2} \mathrm{Q}_{6}{ }^{152}$ structures $(\mathrm{A}=$ alkali metal or $\mathrm{Tl}, \mathrm{Ln}=$ lanthanide, $\mathrm{An}=\mathrm{Th}$, $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{Np}$ ), thus supporting the plausibility of this proposed site-sharing tolerance. Inspection of antimony analogues corroborates the cation radii tolerance for metal site sharing. $\mathrm{NaSbS}_{2}$ forms in both the rocksalt structure ${ }^{99}$ and in the $\mathrm{KSbS}_{2}$ structure type. ${ }^{153}$ The $\frac{N a^{+}}{S b^{3+}}$ ratio is 1.3 , which within approximation agrees with the previous trend for bismuth. $\mathrm{KSbS}_{2}$ crystallizes only in a cation-ordered structure and is not observed in a disordered rocksalt phase; the radius ratio for potassium and antimony $\left(\frac{r^{+}}{r^{3+}}\right)$ in $\mathrm{KSbS}_{2}$ is 1.8 , thereby following the proposed cation radii
tolerance. ${ }^{154}$
To demonstrate the robustness of the tolerance factor, the total energies of the three prototypes (Figure 10a-c) were calculated for all reported structures in Figure 10d and their accuracy were subsequently compared to the room-temperature structures. The DFT-calculated predictions found that at $\mathrm{T}=0 \mathrm{~K}$ all structures are most stable in the ordered $\alpha-\mathrm{NaFeO}_{2}$ structure type. Notably, the energy difference for $\mathrm{CsBiS}_{2}$ between the rhombohedral and monoclinic structures, as shown in Table S 13 , is quite small $(\leq 10 \mathrm{meV} /$ atom $)$ at 0 K and, thus, the monoclinic $\mathrm{CsSbS}_{2}\left(P 2_{1} / c\right)$ structure type is very likely stabilized by temperature. The transition temperature between the rocksalt and $\alpha-\mathrm{NaFeO}_{2}$ structure types, and therefore their temperature dependence, were investigated by introducing ideal mixing entropy (i.e. the configurational entropy) to the $\mathrm{T}=$ 0 K energies and fitting the transition temperatures using the experimental transition temperature of $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$. As shown in Table S14, the calculated transition temperatures follow the disorder-toorder trend predicted by the tolerance factor. The lithium ternaries have a transition temperature below room temperature, indicating that the rocksalt phase would be present at room temperature. The sodium ternaries have similarly low transition temperatures, while the potassium, rubidium, and cesium ternaries have a transition temperature of over $\sim 700 \mathrm{~K}$, thus confirming the robustness of the proposed tolerance factor.

Understanding and employing this proposed cation radii tolerance profitably impacts the numerous fields using ternary chalcogenide semiconductors. More specifically, alkali metal pnictogen chalcogenide ternaries are of interest for thermoelectrics and non-linear optics. In the field of thermoelectrics, binary chalcogenide semiconductors (i.e. $\mathrm{GeQ}, \mathrm{SnQ}, \mathrm{PbQ}$ ) that crystallize in the rocksalt structure type have historically been of great interest. Current studies now capitalize
on structure-property relationships to optimize properties by exploring solid solutions of rocksalt materials. ${ }^{93-94}$ These solid solutions of rocksalt structures reduce the lattice thermal conductivity in the thermoelectric material through phonon scattering as well as exploit band gap engineering. The proposed cation radii ratio $\left(\frac{r^{+}}{r^{3+}}\right)$, therefore, provides guidance for the compositional requirements to form a cation-disordered $\mathrm{APnQ}_{2}$ ternary $(\mathrm{A}=$ alkali metal, $\mathrm{Pn}=\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Bi}, \mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se})$ that may then be mixed with another rocksalt structure. For non-linear optical materials, noncentrosymmetry is a structural requirement. The probability of achieving a noncentrosymmetric space group greatly increases with cation ordering as well as expression of the pnictogen $n s^{2}$ lone pair. Therefore, the proposed cation radii ratio can similarly be used to guide cation selection criterion for non-linear optical materials.

### 3.5. Conclusion

In this work, panoramic synthesis is shown to be a valuable tool for materials discovery and mechanistic insight into structure formation of $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$. Three new compounds were identified, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}, \beta-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$, and $\beta-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$. The $\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ however do not form directly. The appearance of $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiQ}_{3}(\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se})$ preceding the formation of $\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ suggests that this compound is mechanistically important as an intermediate in the reaction pathway. $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiQ}_{3}$ serves as a transition point for the potassium coordination environment and for cation intermixing. The observation of the $\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ as both a rocksalt and $\alpha-\mathrm{NaFeO}_{2}$ type structure, while $\mathrm{NaBiQ}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{RbBiQ}_{2}$ crystallize in the $F m \overline{3} m$ and $R \overline{3} m$ space groups, respectively, suggests that there is a cation radius tolerance $\left(\frac{r^{+}}{r^{3+}}\right)$ of 1.33 or greater for high symmetry rocksalt formation. Thermal analysis data and DFT calculations show that the cation-ordered polymorphs are the thermodynamically
stable phases, while PDF analysis shows that the structures have local off-centering from stereochemically active $6 s^{2}$ lone pair expression on the bismuth. DFT calculations also corroborate the increase in band gap from $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ to $\beta-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ as a result of dimensional reduction. By continuing to develop and catalog reactions using in-situ diffraction techniques, we can work to expand our mechanistic understanding and predictive power towards the ultimate goal of synthesis-by-design.

# Chapter 4. In Situ Mechanistic Studies of Two Divergent Synthesis Routes forming the Heteroanionic BiOCuSe 
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### 4.1. Abstract

Heteroanionic materials are a burgeoning class of compounds that offer new properties via the targeted selection of anions. However, understanding the design principles and achieving successful syntheses of new materials in this class is in its infancy. To obtain mechanistic insight and a panoramic view of the reaction progression from beginning to end of the formation of a heteroanionic material, we selected BiOCuSe , a well-known thermoelectric compound, and utilized in situ synchrotron powder diffraction as a function of temperature and time. BiOCuSe is a layered material, which crystallizes in a common mixed anion structure type: ZrSiAsFe . Two reactions of starting materials $\left(\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}+\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{Bi}+3 \mathrm{Cu}+3 \mathrm{Se}\right)$ were studied to determine the effect of precursors on the reaction pathway. Our in situ investigation shows that the ternary-binary $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}+\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ reaction proceeds without intermediates to directly form BiOCuSe , while the binary-elemental $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{Bi}+3 \mathrm{Cu}+3 \mathrm{Se}$ reaction generates many intermediates before the final product forms. These intermediates include $\mathrm{CuSe}, \mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}, \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$. While the stoichiometric loading of the precursors necessarily dictates the identity of the first intermediates, kinetics also plays a contributing role in stabilizing unexpected
intermediates such as CuSe and $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$. This in situ study also observed that BiOCuSe formation occurs as low as $430^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Understanding and establishing a link between the selection of precursors and the reaction pathways improves the potential for rational synthesis of heteroanionic materials and solid-state reactions in general.

### 4.2. Introduction

Technological advances are often driven by the discovery and development of functional solid-state materials. Many of these discoveries are predicated on substituting metal cations in the material or introducing co-occupancy in a material's anionic or cationic sites. ${ }^{155}$ To date, there has been limited exploration of materials with heteroanionic compositions; ${ }^{155}$ that is, materials with more than one anion, which each have crystallographically distinct sites. The heteroanionic approach not only widens the possible combination of elements, but also introduces an additional dimension of tunability through the choice and ordering of each anion. This presents the opportunity for anionic contrasts in electronegativity, charge, ionic radii, and polarizability, providing knobs with the potential to combine desired properties of individual anionic species or even realize emergent potential inaccessible to their single-anion components. ${ }^{155-157}$ The rational selection of anions enables the control of the electronic and atomic structure as observed, for example, in valence band engineering. ${ }^{156,158}$ This approach has yielded many technologically important materials; however, historically, members of this material class have been discovered accidentally during efforts to prepare other targets. To access the broad structural diversity expected in this special class of materials, specific synthetic methodologies need to be invented that currently are not available. Currently, we have a limited understanding of what synthetic routes stabilize these compounds or how they form during the reaction progression, thus
motivating this study.
The structural scaffold of heteroanionic materials consists of either homoleptic polyhedra, where each cation is coordinated by a single type of anion, or heteroleptic polyhedra, where the cations are bonded to more than one type of anion. The former can be explained using hard-soft acid-base (HSAB) theory, where the anions segregate and preferentially bond with their coordinating hard or soft pair. ${ }^{159-160}$ The latter occurs when the metal cation are borderline soft or hard Lewis acids and anions are of similar size (e.g. $\mathrm{N}^{3-}, \mathrm{O}^{2-}, \mathrm{S}^{2-}, \mathrm{F}^{-}$) and are small enough to coordinate about the same metal cation. Homoleptic polyhedra produce long range ordering, whereas heteroleptic polyhedra may in addition to long range ordering have short range order. ${ }^{161}$ If the anions are similar in size and have similar bonding requirements, they are able to occupy similar crystallographic sites such as in oxynitrides and oxyflourides. ${ }^{160}$

Many mixed-anion materials adopt the ZrCuSiAs structure-type, such as the homoleptic LnOFeAs, $\mathrm{LnOCuQ}, \mathrm{BiOCuQ}$ families $(\mathrm{Ln}=$ lanthanide, $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se}, \mathrm{Te})$, which have attracted great interest for their optoelectronic, photocatalytic, thermoelectric, and superconductiing properties. ${ }^{162-167} \mathrm{BiOCuSe}$, in particular, has been of great interest specifically for thermoelectric applications. ${ }^{164,} 167-172 \mathrm{BiOCuSe}$ has alternating conductive $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{2}\right]^{2-}$ and insulating $\left[\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]^{2-}$ layers, which are stacked along the c-axis, and stabilize the structure by electron transfer between the layers. ${ }^{165-166}$ Often synthetic attempts for this class of materials yield a mixture of homoanionic products that are phase segregated according to HSAB theory instead of integrating into a single heteroanionic compound. Successful reactions leading to heteroanionic formation should then be examined to mechanistically inform its full reaction path and provide generalizable insight.

Using in situ diffraction techniques, the reaction progression of successful syntheses may
be panoramically observed and studied. ${ }^{22,173}$ The mechanistic understanding achieved by monitoring such synthetic routes will ultimately enable the better rationalization of synthesis design. ${ }^{32,58,75}$ By monitoring the synthesis of heteroanionic materials, an understanding of the synthetic guiding principles can be identified and, in turn, applied to future heteroanionic reactions. Initial efforts have been made in this direction by investigating crystallographic ordering principles for oxyfluorides ${ }^{161,174}$ and oxynitrides, ${ }^{156,175}$ but oxychalcogenides have not yet been explored in this manner. Studies have also begun investigating the effects of precursors in solid-state reactions. ${ }^{30,}{ }^{176}$ Additionally, text-mining efforts have been put forth to investigate the interchangeability of precursors ${ }^{177}$ and to catalog both successful and unsuccessful inorganic material syntheses. ${ }^{78,178}$

This work investigates the formation of a prototypical oxychalocogenide in the ZrCuSiAs family, BiOCuSe , employing in situ variable temperature X-ray diffraction (VT-PXRD) to elucidate the formation mechanism of this material and using different starting materials to explore precursor effects on product formation. Herein, we describe the reaction progression for a ternarybinary loading of $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}+\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ and an elemental-binary loading of $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{Bi}+3 \mathrm{Cu}+3 \mathrm{Se}$. The former proceeds without intermediates, while the latter progresses through a number of intermediates. Initial intermediate formation is determined according to stoichiometric considerations. For the bismuth intermediate, we describe the surprising formation of Se-poor $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$ before $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ in the elemental reaction, attributed to the preference of Se to coordinate with Cu according to HSAB theory. In the same vein, we observe the formation of a Se-rich binary, CuSe . Both of these intermediates contain the incorrect oxidation states for $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Bi}$ and Se . with respect to the oxidation states exhibited by the target compound. Remarkably in the stages before
the formation of BiOCuSe there is a reversal to the correct oxidation states in these elements.

### 4.3. Experimental details

Reagents. Chemicals in this work were used as-obtained: bismuth oxide $\mathbf{( 9 9 . 9 9 9 \%}$, Aldrich), bismuth metal (99.5\%, 325 mesh, Alfa Aesar), selenium pellets ( $99.99 \%$, American Elements), and copper metal ( $99.999 \%$, 100 mesh, Alfa Aesar).

## Synthesis

$\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$. A molar ratio of 2:2:3 of $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{Bi}: \mathrm{Se}$ were combined and homogenized before loading into an alumina crucible. The crucible was placed in a 15 mm (O.D.) fused silica tube, which was flame sealed under vacuum (ca. $3.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{mbar}$ ). The sample was heated to $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in four hours and soaked for six hours, then ramped to $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in four hours and soaked for 12 hours before furnace cooling. The sample was then re-homogenized and annealed at $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for eight hours. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) shows that the sample is bulk phase pure.
$\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$. A molar ratio of 2:1 of $\mathrm{Cu}: \mathrm{Se}$ were combined and homogenized before loading into a 9 mm (O.D.) fused silica tube, which was flame sealed under vacuum (ca. $3.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{mbar}$ ). The sample was heated to $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 12 hours and soaked for 48 hours before furnace cooling to room temperature. No unreacted copper or selenium is present in the final product. $\mathrm{The}_{\mathrm{Cu}}^{2} \mathrm{Se}$ starting material was confirmed as a 60:40 molar ratio of $\alpha$ - and $\beta-\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$, respectively.

## Starting Material Preparation for In Situ Synchrotron Powder Diffraction (PXRD)

The starting materials were ground, sieved ( $<45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), and subsequently thoroughly mixed. The $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}: \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ were combined in a $1: 1$ molar ratio, while $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{Bi}: \mathrm{Cu}:$ Se were in a 1:1:3:3 molar ratio. Mixtures were then packed into 0.5 mm diameter fused silica capillaries, which
were flame sealed under vacuum (ca. $3.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{mbar}$ ). All capillaries were carbon coated to prevent glass attack.

## Physical Measurements

High-Resolution In Situ PXRD with Synchrotron Radiation. In situ synchrotron powder diffraction data was collected using beamline 17-BM-B at the Advanced Photon Source (APS), Argonne National Laboratory using an average wavelength of 0.24125 Å. An electrical resistance furnace ${ }^{41}$ was used to heat the capillaries to $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a heating rate of $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{hr}$ and a soaked at max temperature for three hours. Data was collected every minute using a PerkinElmer a-Si Cwindow detector at a distance of $700 \mathrm{~mm} . \mathrm{LaB}_{6}$ was used as a standard to refine the sample-todetector distance and imaging plate tilt relative to the beam. Each PXRD pattern is a sum of twenty 0.5 -second exposure frames, with a dark frame collected between each PXRD pattern. Samples were rastered during collection to increase measured sample size and decrease effects of preferred orientation. All raw images were processed in GSAS II. ${ }^{105}$ Sequential multi-phase Rietveld refinements were performed up to $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on heating and after $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on heating. Between $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the refinements were unstable due to the number of phases present; consequently, only Le Bail method was used in this temperature range.

Differential Thermal Analysis. Differential thermal analysis (DTA) was performed using a Netzsch STA 449 F3 Jupiter simultaneous thermal analysis (STA) instrument. Samples were loaded into carbon-coated fused silica tube and flame-sealed under vacuum (ca. $3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{mbar}$ ). Samples were heated to $650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before cooling to room temperature. The rate for cooling and heating was set to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{hr}$ to mirror the synthetic conditions.

In-Situ Powder X-ray Diffraction. A STOE STADI MP high-resolution diffractometer with
an oven attachment (STOE HT) was used to collect temperature-dependent data. The diffractometer was equipped with an asymmetric curved Germanium monochromator and onedimensional silicon strip detector (MYTHEN2 1K from DECTRIS). Elemental copper, selenium, and bismuth were sieved $(<65 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ and mixed in proportions of $2 \mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{Se}$ and $2 \mathrm{Bi}+3 \mathrm{Se}$. As a result of attenuation and to maximize signal-to-noise ratios, the Bi-Se mixture was diluted to 75 wt \% using glassy carbon. The Cu-Se mixture was packed into a 0.5 mm diameter quartz capillary, while the $\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{Se}$ diluted mixture was packed into a 0.3 mm diameter quartz capillary. Both capillaries were flame sealed under vacuum (ca. $3.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{mbar}$ ). Diffraction data was collected every $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ up to $580^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the Cu -Se system and every $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ up to $640^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the $\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{Se}$ system using pure-AgK $\alpha 1$ radiation $(0.55941 \AA$ ) operated at 50 kV and 50 mA . Samples were heated at a rate of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ and a dwell time at max temperature of less than 30 minutes. Temperature stability is typically $0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Samples were spun during collection. The instrument was calibrated against a NIST Silicon standard (640d) prior the measurement.

## Computational Methods

The Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP) ${ }^{113-114}$ was used to perform density functional theory (DFT) calculations in this work. The projector augmented wave (PAW) method ${ }^{111-112}$ and the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) exchange-correlation functional ${ }^{115}$ were applied and 520 eV were chosen as the cutoff energy for the plane wave basis set. The Brillouin zone was sampled using a $\Gamma$-centered k -mesh with $\approx 8000 \mathrm{k}$-points per reciprocal atom (KPPRA). The Open Quantum Material Database (OQMD) ${ }^{100,116}$ was used for convex hull construction ${ }^{179-}$ ${ }^{180}$. The structures were fully relaxed until the total energy was converged to $10^{-8} \mathrm{eV}$ and the force on each atom was less than $0.001 \mathrm{eV} / \AA$. Phonon calculations were performed with the $2 \times 2 \times 2$
supercell for $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ and $3 \times 3 \times 3$ supercell for Bi using the PHONOPY package ${ }^{181}$.

### 4.4. Results and Discussion

To understand the step-by-step reaction pathway to BiOCuSe , panoramic syntheses experiments were conducted using in situ synchrotron PXRD. This highly attenuating material necessitated synchrotron radiation in order to have optimal radiation penetration; moreover, the capabilities at Argonne National Laboratory's 17-BM-B at the Advanced Photon Source enabled simultaneous heating and fast diffraction collection. Two synthetic routes are commonly employed to synthesize BiOCuSe : the reaction of elementary precursors $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{Bi}: 3 \mathrm{Cu}: 3 \mathrm{Se}$ and that of complex precursors $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}: \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ (referred to here as the ternary-binary reaction). The elementary precursor route is most frequently selected; this route is paired with a wide range of synthetic conditions, including maximum temperatures ranging from $300-750^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and soaking times of 6 to 48 hours. ${ }^{165,167,182-183}$ Often, the products are subsequently annealed or sintered at high temperatures $\left(>600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Time-saving methods for this route have been explored by exchanging the typical solid-state reaction with self-propagating high-temperature synthesis (SHS). ${ }^{184-185}$ This method uses an ignition source (e.g. ignition flame or current) and yields the final product in minutes. Yang, et al. observed $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ as an intermediate in the SHS reaction, which then reacted with $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ to form BiOCuSe . This ternary-binary reaction of complex precursors $\left(\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}+\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}\right)$ has also been conducted via typical solid-state reaction at a maximum temperature of $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and soaking time of $24 \mathrm{hrs} .{ }^{186}$ To monitor the two synthetic routes, both the ternary-binary reaction and elementary precursor routes for BiOCuSe are investigated and compared.

## The Ternary-Binary Reaction to BiOCuSe

The reaction $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}+\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ commences with the conversion of $\alpha-\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ into hightemperature $\beta-\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$. The $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ precursor began as a $60: 40$ molar ratio of $\alpha$ - and $\beta-\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$, respectively, until the binary fully converts by $145^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Figure 24 Figure 24 a displays the VT-PXRD heat map of the reaction as well as the thermal data from Differential Thermal Analysis (DTA), while Figure $24 b$ highlights the phase evolution in relative moles of $\alpha-\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ to $\beta-\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$. In this phase change, the monoclinic $\alpha-\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ becomes super-ionic as it evolves into the cubic $\beta$ $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se} .{ }^{187-189}$ The copper cations disorder and increase in mobility, an advantageous feature for the formation of the target quaternary. This gradual phase transformation is not detected by DTA (heating rate of $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) as indicated by the absence of a thermal peak near $145^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, indicating that the transformation is not appreciably exothermic.


Figure 24: (a) In situ VT-PXRD for the reaction of $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}+\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$. Below is the DTA of the heating portion of the reaction, which is intentionally aligned with the heat map and reaction map to show the corresponding temperatures in the reaction. (b) Reaction map of $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}+\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$, comparing the relative moles of each material over the duration of the reaction. A combination of $\alpha-\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ and $\beta-\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ are present at the start of the reaction and, as temperature increases the $\alpha-$ $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ fully converts to the high temperature $\beta-\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ polymorph. Upon further heating, $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ and $\beta-\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ react to form the target quaternary, BiOCuSe .

The reaction proceeds with no intermediates to bulk phase pure ( $>90 \%$ ) BiOCuSe from $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}+\beta-\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ at $440^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after dwelling for 50 minutes at $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure 24). The DTA reveals
there is no detectable enthalpic event for the formation of BiOCuSe . The cubic $\beta-\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ is an antifluorite structure with disordered $\mathrm{Cu}^{+}$in the tetrahedral holes (Figure 25). The Cu -Se bond length at $390^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before BiOCuSe formation is $2.411(5) \AA$ with a $\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Se}$ bond angle of $118.22(17)^{\circ}$. The $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ structure, on the other hand, comprises of $\left[\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]^{2+}$ layers between planar square arrays of $\mathrm{Se}^{2-}$ (Figure 25Figure 25). Already within this heteroanionic ternary compound, the segregated layers expected based on HSAB theory are present. In $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ at $390^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the distorted $\mathrm{OBi}_{4}$ tetrahedra have $\mathrm{Bi-O}$ bond lengths of $2.3302(4) \AA$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Bi-O}$ bond angles of $72.750(13)^{\circ}$. The reaction of $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}+\beta-\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ to form BiOCuSe can be considered a melding of the precursor structures. The tetrahedral $\mathrm{Cu}^{+}$ions combine with the selenium arrays in $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ to form a $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{2}\right]^{2+}$ covalent layer, while the insulating $\left[\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]^{2-}$ layer is largely retained in the final structure. These structural similarities are reflected in the bond lengths and angles of BiOCuSe compared to the precursors, as the quaternary oxide layer has Bi-O lengths of 2.379(9) $\AA$ and O-Bi-O bond angles of $72.2(4)^{\circ}$ at $445^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while the chalcogenide layer has $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Se}$ bond lengths of $2.532(17) \AA$ and $\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Se}$ bond angles of approximately $113^{\circ}$. The formal oxidation states of copper, bismuth and selenium in the precursor compounds are the same as the ones in the target compound $\left(\mathrm{Cu}^{+}, \mathrm{Bi}^{3+}, \mathrm{Se}^{2-}\right)$, and as expected nowhere in the reaction path is there a change in oxidation state. The oxidation states situation, however, is different in the following reaction type.


Figure 25: Reaction pathway of $\beta-\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}+\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ into BiOCuSe .

## BiOCuSe Synthesis through Elementary Precursors

The reaction with elemental precursors, $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{Bi}+3 \mathrm{Cu}+3 \mathrm{Se}$, is significantly more complex than the above reaction type and harbored several surprises. It begins with the crystallization of the originally amorphous selenium, followed by the formation of a particular copper selenide, CuSe, as shown in the heat map of the VT-PXRD (Figure 26). Multiphase, sequential Rietveld refinements show that selenium begins to crystallize at ca. $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The amount of amorphous selenium is deduced by subtracting the current moles of crystalline selenium from the maximum moles that is present in the reaction. This gradual crystallization event is reflected in the DTA as a broad peak and a $93.8(1)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ onset (Figure 26Figure 26). It should be noted that shortly thereafter a sudden change in relative moles appears between $100-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and is likely a result of powder drift within the capillary. Following this, the CuSe binary (space group $P 6_{3} / \mathrm{mmc}$ ) forms, but does not appear in the DTA and thus is not appreciably exothermic. This hexagonal structure appears starting at $145^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ alongside the consumption of elemental copper and selenium. As shown in Figure 28, the atoms in face-centered packed $\mathrm{Cu}^{0}$ react with the helical, polymeric chains of $\mathrm{Se}^{0}$ to form the binary CuSe comprised of trigonal planar CuSe and tetrahedral $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{2}$ layers, $\mathrm{Se}^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{Se}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ species and formally mixed valent $\mathrm{Cu}^{+/ 2+}$ centers. ${ }^{190}$ Remnants of the polymeric nature of selenium can be seen in the $\mathrm{Se}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ anions attached to the tetrahedral copper atoms in CuSe . The structure connects the alternating layers by a shared selenium. As the melting point of selenium approaches $\left(\sim 220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, the disappearance of elemental copper and selenium into CuSe accelerates.

## Reaction time (hr)



Figure 26: (a) In situ VT-PXRD for the reaction of $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{Bi}+3 \mathrm{Cu}+3 \mathrm{Se}$. Below is the DTA of the heating portion of the reaction, which is intentionally aligned with the heat map and reaction map to show the corresponding temperatures in the reaction. The thermal signals have been highlighted in the DTA graph for clarity. (b) Reaction map of $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{Bi}+3 \mathrm{Cu}+3 \mathrm{Se}$, comparing the moles of each material over the course of the reaction.


Figure 27: Schematic pathway of $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{Se} \rightarrow \mathrm{CuSe}$.

The formation of higher-valent CuSe , instead of monovalent $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$, is a surprising occurrence. It can be rationalized, however, using stability and stoichiometric considerations. The thermodynamic stability of all potential copper selenide binaries can be assessed theoretically using DFT calculations, couple with an energy convex hull construction. ${ }^{179-180}$ This calculation determined that only three binaries lie on the convex hull: $\mathrm{CuSe}_{2}, \mathrm{CuSe}, \mathrm{Cu}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{2}$. All polymorphs of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ lie above the convex hull. The $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ polymorph with the lowest formation energy is the hypothetical $P 2_{1}$ structure, which lies $30 \mathrm{meV} /$ atom above the hull; thus, the three aforementioned binaries are more probable to form than $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$. The formation of CuSe over $\mathrm{CuSe}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{2}$, is rationalized by the $1: 1$ stoichiometric loading of copper and selenium.

To investigate further the formation of CuSe as the first copper-selenium binary, we performed DFT calculations of the (A) $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{Se} \rightarrow$ and (B) $2 \mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{Se} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ reactions. The respective reaction energies are $-26.80 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{CuSe}$ and $-28.21 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$. As the values are still relatively close, we conducted panoramic synthesis on $2 \mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{Se}$ to monitor the reaction progression, which yielded the heat map shown in Figure S14. Despite the low signal-to-noise, a similarly progression is observed as in the quaternary reaction. Selenium begins to crystallize at ca. $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure $\mathbf{S 1 6}$ ). Copper and selenium persist as the only two reactants present until two phases concurrently grow starting at ca. $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. These phases are the comparatively copper-poor

## $\mathrm{CuSe}_{2}$ and CuSe (Figure S17).

Here, the identity of the binary formed is not dictated by stoichiometry; rather, the selenium appears able to solubilize copper thus producing selenium-rich phase compared to $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$. Notably, both CuSe and $\mathrm{CuSe}_{2}$ both maintain remnants of the selenium polymeric chains by way of selenium dimers within the structures. At ca. $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CuSe}_{2}$ begins to disappear alongside the disappearance of elemental copper still present in the reaction and the appearance of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Se}$ (Figure S18). This can be rationalized as two concurrent reactions of (A) $\mathrm{CuSe}_{2}+\mathrm{Cu} \rightarrow \mathrm{CuSe}$ and (B) $\mathrm{CuSe}+\mathrm{Cu} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}_{2-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Se}$ until $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ when only $\mathrm{Cu}_{2-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Se}$ is present in the reaction. This control experiment shows us that not only CuSe , but also $\mathrm{CuSe}_{2}$ are inherent part of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ formation from the elements.

As the reaction proceeds and the melting point of selenium is progressively approached, selenium and bismuth begin to react to form $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$ between 175 and $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure 26). $\mathrm{The}^{\mathrm{Bi}}{ }^{0}$ atoms combine with the polymeric chains of $\mathrm{Se}^{0}$ into covalently bonded layers comprised of planar septuple sheets (e.g. Se-Bi-Se-Bi-Se-Bi-Se), as illustrated in Figure 28a. The structure of $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$ is closely related to that of $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ but features septuple instead of quintuple sheets.


Figure 28: (a) Reaction pathway of $\mathrm{Bi}+\mathrm{Se} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$. (b) Gibbs reaction energy of $4 \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}+\mathrm{Bi}$ $\rightarrow 3 \mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$ calculated at increasing temperatures to determine the stability of $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$. Positive Gibbs reaction energy values indicate the reverse reaction (i.e. the decomposition of $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$ ) is spontaneous, while negative values indicate the spontaneous formation of $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$.

The formation of low-valent $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$ instead of trivalent $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ is also a surprising occurrence. The DFT energetics of these compounds provide some insight. The formation energies of $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ are $-0.32 \mathrm{eV} /$ atom and $-0.39 \mathrm{eV} /$ atom, respectively. According to the Open Quantum Materials Database (OQMD) ${ }^{100}, \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ is on the convex hull (i.e. stable), while $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$ is $60 \mathrm{meV} /$ atom above the convex hull at $\mathrm{T}=0 \mathrm{~K}$. Hence, the Bi-rich binary is energetically unstable with respect to decomposition into $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ and Bi metal $\left(3 \mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bi}+4 \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}\right)$. To investigate if this thermodynamic preference for $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ persists at higher temperatures, we must compute the entropic contributions to the free energy. One such contribution is the vibrational
entropy, which can be obtained from a calculation of the harmonic phonon density of states (we use the PHONOPY package ${ }^{181}$ ). Including these entropic contributions, the free energy of the reaction, $4 \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}+\mathrm{Bi} \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$, remains positive through the entire temperature range of 0 K to 1500 K , as shown in Figure 28Figure 28b. Hence, the $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$ intermediate phase formed is thermodynamically unstable with respect to $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ for all temperatures considered. The presence of this intermediate phase in the experimental synthesis indicates it is metastable.

The formation of $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$ preceding that of $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ can be thus considered a result of kinetically-controlled competing reactions for selenium-uptake. The reaction loading ratio of Bi : $\mathrm{Se}: \mathrm{Cu}$ is 1:3:3; where the Cu and Bi are in competition for selenium. Not only are the two cations in competition for selenium, but selenium also preferentially and rapidly bonds with copper over bismuth according to HSAB theory. Therefore, the copper depletes the reaction medium of selenium when forming CuSe , leaving it selenium-poor. As $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$ sequesters less selenium per bismuth atom than $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$, it is consequently advantageous to form the relatively selenium-poor bismuth binary over $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$.

Notably, when tracking the evolution of $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$ and the associated structural changes, anisotropic negative thermal expansion is observed within a relatively narrow range of ca. $270^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to ca. $375^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the a- and b-directions, as shown in Figure 30. The maximal coefficient of negative thermal expansion along these two axes can be calculated using $\frac{l_{T_{2}}-l_{T_{1}}}{l_{T_{2}}\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)}$, where $l$ is the cell edge at $T_{1}$ or $T_{2}$, and is found to be $\alpha_{a}=-3.6 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$. (The full description of the calculation using the a-axis as the cell edge and a table of values are presented in Table S1.) Negative thermal expansion has been observed in oxides, ${ }^{191-192}$, zeolites, ${ }^{193-194}$ nitrides, ${ }^{195}$ and other two dimensional materials, such as Ruddlesden-Popper layered perovskites ${ }^{196}$, graphene, ${ }^{197}$ and silicene ${ }^{197-198}$. Other
chalcogenides, such as $\mathrm{ZnCr}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{4}, \mathrm{CdCr}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{Sm}_{0.67} \mathrm{Y}_{0.33} \mathrm{~S}$, have also demonstrated negative thermal expansion; however, these materials present lower thermal expansion coefficients and at lower temperatures $\left(\mathrm{ZnCr}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{4}:-0.36 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}\right.$ between $21-40 \mathrm{~K} ;{ }^{199} \mathrm{CdCr}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}:-0.18 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ between $4.2-120 \mathrm{~K} ;{ }^{200}$ and $\mathrm{Sm}_{0.67} \mathrm{Y}_{0.33} \mathrm{~S}:-3.0 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ between $\left.10-255 \mathrm{~K}\right)$. The origins of negative thermal expansion are often an interplay between lattice, electrons, and phonons ${ }^{201}$ and the precise origins for this material are beyond the purview of this study, though show potential for future investigations.


Figure 29: Lattice parameter and volume changes in $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$ as the reaction progresses. By symmetry, the a and b axes are equivalent.

Concurrent with selenium's melting temperature, $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ begins to appear. The DTA shows a sharp endothermic peak at $219.5(1)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with an onset at $214.9(1)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; the endothermic nature of the peak indicates that the melting of selenium is the origin of this peak as opposed to the near simultaneous formation of $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ at $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. As the accessibility and mobility increase upon melting, the "selenium-rich" $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ is able to form. Notably, the bismuth-rich binary, $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$, does
not decompose for $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ to appear in the reaction. In fact, the amount of $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$ increases parallel to that of $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$. A similar control in situ experiment was attempted to determine if $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$ is a natural intermediate phase to $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ (Figure S20). However, as the ordering reflections are the primary difference in the diffractograms of the Bi-Se binaries (Figure S21), the experiment was unable to conclusively determine the presence of intermediate phases. The heat map of the reaction (Figure S20) does indicate one of the phases undergoes negative thermal expansion, which suggests that one of the bismuth-rich binaries may be an intermediate phase.

Between $235^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $245^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, CuSe and Cu begin to disappear and, by $275^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, are no longer present in the reaction. $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ rapidly forms starting at $\mathrm{ca} .260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as CuSe and Cu correspondingly disappear. The melting of bismuth is abrupt as seen in the heat map (Figure 26) and as an endothermic peak in the DTA at $269.0(1)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with an onset at $268.0(1)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Interestingly, the formation of $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ do not notably accelerate upon the melting of bismuth. Overall, the phase largely plateau until BiOCuSe consumes them for its formation.

The target material, BiOCuSe , begins to form at $430^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and is a gradual process as seen by the broad thermal event in the DTA with an onset at $455.9(1)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Four binaries are still present as BiOCuSe forms: $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}, \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}, \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$. By the end of the reaction, these intermediates are consumed and there is $98.9 \%$ conversion to BiOCuSe . Notably, this experiment reveals the absence of $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ as an intermediate phase, although this compound can serve as a precursor to the synthesis of BiOCuSe as described above. Similar to the ternary-binary reaction, the oxide present in this reaction, $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, can be considered the scaffold in the oxide layer in BiOCuSe .
$\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, when viewed along the [010] as shown in Figure 30a, comprises of alternating sheets of bismuth and oxygen parallel to the c-axis, ${ }^{202}$ with five-coordinate bismuth in a pseudo-
square pyramidal geometry. These polyhedra are then connected into a three-dimensional framework via corner and edge sharing. The expressed $6 s^{2}$ bismuth lone pair points into the open columns that are parallel to the c -axis in $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (Figure 30a) and, in BiOCuSe , the lone pairs will be directed between the oxide and chalcogenide layers, nestled between the selenium atoms. To transform the polyhedra in $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ into the required coordination in the $\left[\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]^{2}$, the apical oxygen must be relinquished and imparted to the chalcogenide bound bismuth in $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$ as illustrated in Figure 30b. The distorted $\mathrm{BiSe}_{6}$ octahedra will have the largest transformation from complete coordination sphere of selenide bonding to oxide. The copper selenide, as in the $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ $+\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ reaction above, is well situated to be incorporated into the target quaternary as the copper is already present in the final tetrahedral coordination in this high temperature cubic phase.


Figure 30: (a) Structure of $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, represented as ball-and-stick and polyhedra. The ball-and-stick representation is viewed down the b -axis and highlights the alternating sheets of bismuth and oxygen in the material. The polyhedra, viewed down the c-axis, highlights the bismuth lone pair expression into the material's columns running parallel to the c-axis. (b) Coordination spheres for the reaction of $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}, \mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ to form BiOCuSe .

## Reaction Comparison

The two reaction types can be viewed as being different in the following manner: the first reaction uses more complex but fewer precursors, whereas the second one uses more but simpler precursors. Overall, both reaction types arrive at the same product ( $98.9 \%$ for the elemental precursors and $96.3 \%$ for the ternary-binary loading) while proceeding through divergent pathways. The ternary-binary $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}+\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ reaction involved a simple phase transformation followed by direct BiOCuSe formation. The atom coordination spheres in the target phase are already inherently scaffolded in the complex precursor materials and thus have a straightforward pathway to product formation. Contrastingly, the elemental-binary reaction progressed through multiple binary intermediates, remarkably $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ not being one of them, as summarized in Figure 31. The occurrence of the intermediates, CuSe and $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$, is surprising because the elements in their structure are in incorrect oxidation states with respect to those present in BiOCuSe . Specifically, a portion of the selenium in CuSe is in the -1 oxidation state from the $\mathrm{Se}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ dimers and Cu is formally mixed valent $1+/ 2+$, whereas the bismuth in $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$ is in a reduced oxidation state of +2.67 . Ultimately, when the reaction progression is in its latter stages, the $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$ and CuSe react to adjust the incorrect oxidation states to those needed for the formation of BiOCuSe . This finding is entirely unanticipated. Two examples of this unexpected redox activity during a panoramic synthesis is in a double replacement metathesis reaction. ${ }^{79,203}$ It is as if this elementalbinary reaction pathway takes a counterintuitive, circuitous route, but eventually finds its way to the final product. The authors would have liked to conduct additional studies to explore alternative binary combinations (e.g. $2 \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}+3 \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ ) or cation-anion pairs contrary to those found in the final product (e.g. $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}+\mathrm{Bi}+3 \mathrm{CuO}$ ) to determine if precursors lead to similarly
unexpected pathways, however the current COVID situation places these studies as potential future studies.


Figure 31: Summary of product formation temperatures and reaction pathway for $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{Bi}+$ $3 \mathrm{Cu}+3 \mathrm{Se}$ upon heating from room temperature (RT) to maximum temperature $\left(500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

Even with the structural motifs inherently present in $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$, the elemental precursors formed BiOCuSe at a lower temperature and with a higher overall conversion than the complex precursors. The elemental-binary reaction forms the quaternary first at $430^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while the ternary-binary reaction does not form the target until $440^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Notably, the formation temperature of BiOCuSe required for both pathways is lower than that previously determined heuristically, as also observed in other in situ studies. ${ }^{30}$

DFT corroborates the favorable formation of BiOCuSe relative to both the elemental and complex pathways. The reaction energy between BiOCuSe and the precursors is ten-fold more negative for
the elemental precursor pathway compared to that of the complex precursors $(-58.6 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ BiOCuSe and $-5.8 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively). The slighter lower temperature of formation via the elemental pathway may be because the complex precursors must unzip and meld their structures, whereas the elemental precursors begin with bond formation. Additionally, thermal events are observed in the DTA of the binary-elemental reaction (Figure 26a) and not in the ternary-binary synthesis (Figure 24a). These thermal events may provide additional heat to the reaction that can spur the synthesis forward, despite the greater structural reformations and diffusion requirements necessary to generate the final product from elementary precursors.

### 4.5. Conclusion

In situ variable temperature PXRD provides an exclusive view of reaction types and paths and can be utilized to ultimately develop strong synthetic rationales for new materials. Our panoramic synthesis results show that the ternary-binary reaction proceeded without intermediates to direct BiOCuSe formation, while the binary-elemental reaction formed many intermediates before the final product forms. These intermediates include $\mathrm{CuSe}, \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}, \mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$. Surprisingly, "selenium-rich" CuSe and "selenium-poor" $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$ form first, despite their incorrect oxidation states relative to their later forming counterparts $\left(\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}\right)$ and the final product. While CuSe forms as a result of high stability and stoichiometric considerations, $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$ formation can be attributed to competition for selenium with copper in accordance with HSAB theory. This study links the selection of precursors to the reaction progression in order to establish an understanding of the effects of precursors and, ultimately, to work towards rational synthetic design for heteroanionic materials and solid-state reactions at large. The panoramic synthesis approach to unraveling the reaction paths of inorganic solids is a powerful tool in further
developing synthesis science and future rational synthetic methodologies.

# Chapter 5. Synthesis, Structure, and Electronic and Physical Properties of the Mixed Anion Semiconductor $\operatorname{In}_{8} S_{3-x} T_{e_{6+x}}\left(\operatorname{Te}_{2}\right)_{3}(x=$ 0.18) 

### 5.1. Abstract

A new heteroanionic ternary, $\operatorname{In}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{3-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Te}_{6+\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{Te}_{2}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{x}=0.18)$, was synthesized using traditional solid-state reaction methods. The compound crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $C 2 / c$, with lattice parameters $a=14.2940(6) \AA, b=14.3092(4) \AA, c=14.1552(6) \AA$, and $\beta=$ $90.845(3)^{\circ}$. The 3D framework of $\operatorname{In}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{3-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Te}_{6+\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{Te}_{2}\right)_{3}$ is composed of a complex 3D network of corner-connected $\mathrm{InQ}_{4}$ tetrahedra with $3 \mathrm{Te}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ dumbbell dimers per formula unit. The optical band gap is $1.12(2) \mathrm{eV}$. First principles electronic structure calculations using density functional theory (DFT) indicate this material has potential as a p-type thermoelectric material as it is a narrow band gap semiconductor, incorporates several heavy elements, and has multiple overlapping bands near the valence band maximum.

### 5.2. Introduction

The frontiers of modern chemistry and physics is driven by the discovery and development of functional solid-sate materials. Historically, many of these have had multiple cations and single cation which serve to create and control a variety of useful properties. In contrast, heteroanionic materials are underexplored due to their relative complexity and constitute the next chemical horizon to pursue in search of new materials. ${ }^{155,161}$ Heteronanionic materials are those that have multiple anions, each with their own crystallographically distinct site. The anionic diversity
introduced to the material adds new dimensions of control and functionality, resulting from the different characteristics of the anions, such as charge, radii, electronegativity, and polarizability. ${ }^{155,}$ ${ }^{161}$ The introduction of these additional anions, as well as potential structural transformations that result, offer the opportunity to capitalize on structure-property relationships. This class of materials provides the potential to combine desired properties of the individual anionic species or even yield advantageous properties inaccessible to their single-anion predecessors. ${ }^{155-157, ~ 204}$

The difference in chemical nature of the anions in heteroanionic materials often leads to ordering or layering according to hard-soft acid-base (HSAB) theory, where the anions segregate and preferentially bond with their coordinating hard or soft pair. ${ }^{159-160}$ Heteroanionic materials often consist of homoleptic polyhedra, where each cation is coordinated by a single type of anion, or heteroleptic polyhedra, where the cations are bonded to more than one type of anion. Heteroleptic bonding can occur when the metal cations are borderline soft or hard Lewis acids and the anions are of similar size (e.g. $\mathrm{N}^{3-}, \mathrm{O}^{2-}, \mathrm{S}^{2-}, \mathrm{F}^{-}$) as well as small enough to coordinate about the same metal cation. This in turn may generate irregularities in bonding, such as the lengths of metalanion bonds, which influence local polarizations and crystal fields. ${ }^{155,205}$ On the whole, the long range ordering of either of these bonding types yield global changes in the material properties. ${ }^{161,}$ 205

A particularly rich system for such exploration is that of the chalcogenides, which are well known due to the impressive structural and bonding diversity inherent to this materials class. ${ }^{18,206-}$ ${ }^{209}$ Heteroanionic exploration of chalcogenides can harness these features, including the tendency for sulfur, selenium, and tellurium to catenate, so beyond introducing two different anions (such as $\mathrm{S}^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{Se}^{2-}$ ), oligomerized anionic units can also be introduced. ${ }^{207}$ The plethora of
polychalcogenide anions is vast and can introduce interesting physical properties to these materials alongside the already present new structure types. In addition to discrete anions, chalcogenides have also presented as dumbbells ${ }^{210-216}$, chains ${ }^{18,208,217-220}$, rings ${ }^{214,221}$, nets or sheets ${ }^{209,214,222-223}$, and cross-shaped units ${ }^{221}$. The versatility of individual chalcogenides coupled with the introduction of an additional chalcogenide leads to great possibilities in what can be achieved in turns of a material's bonding and structure.

Though limited to date, the synthesis of mixed chalcogenides has been demonstrated with the heteroanionic compounds crystallizing in either the same structure type as the parent compound or in a new structure type. ${ }^{205,} 224$ The differences in electronegativity and size is sufficient to produce compounds with anions on distinct crystallographic sites (as opposed to solid solutions, where the anions are positionally disordered). ${ }^{225-226}$ In fact, it has been observed that a $10 \%$ difference in anionic size stabilizes an ordered structure as opposed to resulting in a solid solution. ${ }^{205,}{ }^{227}$ It has also been suggested the closer the $\mathrm{Q}: \mathrm{Q}^{\prime}$ ratio is to unity, the higher the dimensionality of the structure; for example, a 1:1 ratio often yields a 3D lattice, 2:1 a 2D lattice, and 6:1 a 0D lattice. ${ }^{205}$ When the anions order, they may remain in the parent structure type or crystallize in a different structure type. Ibers and coworkers exchanged one sulfur for a tellurium in $\mathrm{LnCuS}_{2}(\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{La}, \mathrm{Sm}) .{ }^{218}$ The two sulfurs in the parent structure were on independent crystallographic sites. When Te was introduced, it fully substituted for $S$ in both compounds and preferentially bonded to Cu in accordance with HSAB theory. The resulting compound, LaCuSTe , crystallized in the same parent structure type, but SmCuSTe crystallized in a different structure type. Crystallizing in the parent structure type is also seen when substituting in the compounds $\beta$ $\mathrm{US}_{2}$ and $\beta$ - $\mathrm{USe}_{2} .{ }^{228}$ More frequently a new structure type forms. ${ }^{205,} 224,229-230$ There has been
limited exploration into main group elements, particularly for heteroanionic ternaries.
Herein, we describe the synthesis, structure, and properties on the indium heteroanion, $\mathrm{In}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{3-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Te}_{6+x}\left(\mathrm{Te}_{2}\right)_{3}$, which contains the three anions $\mathrm{S}^{2-}, \mathrm{Te}^{2-}$, and $\mathrm{Te}_{2}{ }^{2-}$. In pursuit of a heteroanionic ternary, indium was used as it is amphoteric and therefore willing to bond with both S and Te according to HSAB theories. Additionally, as a narrow band gap semiconductor (1.12(2) eV) and incorporation of heavy elements, this compound shows promise as a thermoelectric material. Its complex structure is expected to scatter phonons and minimize lattice thermal conductivity, while its ordered, crystalline framework would maintain a high carrier mobility.

### 5.3. Experimental Methods

Reagents. Chemicals in this work were used as-obtained: indium metal $(99.99 \%$, American Elements), sulfur (sublimed, $99.99 \%$, Spectrum), tellurium (99.99\%, American Elements), and glassy carbon ( $99.9 \%$, Aldrich).

## Synthesis

Indium sulfide, InS. A $1.9542-\mathrm{g}$ amount $(17.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ of indium was combined with $0.5458 \mathrm{~g}(17.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ of elemental sulfur in a 9 mm (O.D.) fused silica tube. The tube was flame sealed under vacuum ( $10^{-3}$ mbar). The sample was heated to $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 24 hours, soaked for 24 hours, and air quenched.
$I_{8} S_{2.82} T e_{6.18}\left(T e_{2}\right)_{3}$. A $0.3174-\mathrm{g}$ amount ( 2.16 mmol ) of synthesized InS was combined with 0.4826 g ( 3.78 mmol ) of elemental tellurium in a 9 mm (O.D.) fused silica tube. The tube was flame sealed under vacuum ( $10^{-3}$ mbar). The sample was heated to $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 6 hours and soaked for 24 hours before furnace cooling for batch synthesis. Single crystals were prepared using the
above procedure, but with a maximum temperature of $650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and slow cooling at a rate of $2.75^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before furnace cooling to room temperature.

## Physical Measurements

Powder X-ray Diffraction. X-ray powder diffraction patterns were collected on a Rigaku Miniflex600 diffractometer with Ni-filtered $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation (1.5406 $\AA$ ) operating at 40 kV and 15 mA with a high-speed silicon strip detector. The diffraction pattern used a scan width of $0.02^{\circ}$ and a scan rate of $10 \% \mathrm{~min}$. Visualization of the crystal structures was done with Vesta software. ${ }^{104}$

Single Crystal X-ray Diffraction. Intensity data of a black rectangular single crystal of $\mathrm{In}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2.82} \mathrm{Te}_{6.18}\left(\mathrm{Te}_{2}\right)_{3}$ was collected at $100(2) \mathrm{K}$. A suitable single crystal mounted on a glass fiber $\mid$ with glue on a STOE StadiVari diffractometer equipped with an $\mathrm{AXO} \mathrm{Ag} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ micro-focus sealed Xray A-MiXS source $(\lambda=0.560834 \AA)$, running at 65 kV and 0.68 mA , and a Dectris Pilatus3 R CdTe 300K Hybrid Photon Counting detector. Temperature of the crystal was controlled with an Oxford Cryosystems low-temperature device. Data reduction was performed with the X-Area software package using a numerical absorption correction. The structure was solved with the ShelXT structure solution program using direct methods solution method and by using Olex2 as the graphical interface. The model was refined with ShelXL using least squares minimization.

In-Situ Powder X-ray Diffraction. A STOE STADI MP high-resolution diffractometer with an oven attachment (STOE HT) were used to collect temperature-dependent data. The diffractometer was equipped with an asymmetric curved Germanium monochromator $\operatorname{AgK} \alpha 1$ radiation $(\lambda=0.559407 \AA$ ) and one-dimensional silicon strip detector (MYTHEN2 1 K from DECTRIS). The line focused Ag X-ray tube was operated at 40 kV and 40 mA . The starting materials were sieved ( $<45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), mixed, and diluted using glassy carbon. Dilutions were required
to mitigate sample attenuation of the X -ray beam and, therefore, optimize the signal-to-noise ratio of the diffraction measurement. Samples were then packed into 0.3 mm diameter quartz capillaries, which were flame sealed under vacuum (ca. $3.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{mbar}$ ). Diffraction data was collected at 25 , $100,150,200,250,300,350,375,400,425,450,500$, and $550^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ upon heating and the reverse upon cooling, with temperature stability typically at $0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The heating rate was $10.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ and collection range was 2 to $22^{\circ} 2 \theta$. Samples were spun during collection. The instrument was calibrated against a NIST Silicon standard (640d) prior to the measurement.

Optical Measurements. Optical diffuse reflectance measurements were made at room temperature with a Shimadzu UV-3600 PC double-beam, double-monochromator spectrophotometer. The measurement of diffuse reflectivity can be used to obtain values for the band gap from a powder sample at room temperature. $\mathrm{BaSO}_{4}$ powder was used as a reference. The reflectance data was converted to absorbance using the Kubelka-Munk equation: $\alpha / S=(1-R) 2 / 2 R$. $R$ is the reflectance at a given wavelength, $\alpha$ is the absorption coefficient, and S is the scattering coefficient. Valence band maximum energy (VBM) was measured by photoemission spectroscopy in air on a Riken-Keiki PESA AC-2. Samples are scanned under ambient conditions using UV light (4.20-6.20 eV). The number of photoelectrons generated at each excitation energy is measured and the VBM is the onset of the PESA spectra, as photoelectrons are only generated when the photon energy is higher than the VBM energy. Conduction band minimum energies are found by subtracting the optical band gap from the VBM energy.

Differential Thermal Analysis. Differential thermal analysis (DTA) was performed using a Netzsch STA 449 F3 Jupiter simultaneous thermal analysis (STA) instrument. Samples were loaded into a fused silica tube and flame-sealed under vacuum (ca. $3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{mbar}$ ). Samples were
heated to $750^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and cooled to room temperature. The rate for cooling and heating was set to $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} /$ min.

## Computational Methods

All density functional theory (DFT) calculations are performed using the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP) ${ }^{113-114}$ along with the projector augmented wave (PAW) ${ }^{111-112}$ method to performed the density functional theory (DFT) ${ }^{[4][5]}$ calculations. The Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof $(\mathrm{PBE})^{115}$ generalized gradient approximation (GGA) was used as the exchange-correlation functional. The $x=0$ structure $\operatorname{In}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{Te}_{12}$ structure was constructed by letting the $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{Te}$ mixing sties fully occupied by $S$ atoms, resulting in an ordered 46 -atom unit cell. The plane wave cutoff energy was set as 520 eV and the structure was fully relaxed until the total energy converged to within $10^{-}$ ${ }^{8} \mathrm{eV}$ and the force on each atom was less than $10^{-3} \mathrm{eV} / \AA$. We sampled the Brillouin zone using gamma-centered k-point meshes of $6 \times 6 \times 5$ for the unit cell $\mathrm{In}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{Te}_{12}$. Phonon calculations were performed with the $1 \times 1 \times 1$ unit cell using the PHONOPY package ${ }^{181}$. The transport properties were calculated using the semiclassical Boltzmann transport theory implemented in the BoltzTraP ${ }^{231}$ package.

### 5.4. Results and Discussion

$\mathrm{In}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2.82} \mathrm{Te}_{12.18}$ was discovered in search of the In-analogue to the mixed chalcogenide, $\mathrm{Ga}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Te}$. Our synthesis approach for $\mathrm{Ga}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Te}$ used GaS and Te as starting materials. Therefore, the analogous binary InS was used targeting $\operatorname{In}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Te}$. By systematically increasing the amount of tellurium present in the reaction, $\operatorname{In}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2.82} \mathrm{Te}_{12.18}$ was discovered. Single crystals were grown after making a bulk phase pure batch and running thermal analysis (Figure S22), which in turn allowed
for an optimal heating profile to be used.
Single-crystal X-ray diffraction reveals that $\operatorname{In}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2.82} \mathrm{Te}_{12.18}$ crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $C 2 / c$, with lattice parameters $a=14.2940(6) \AA, b=14.3092(4) \AA, c=14.1552(6) \AA$, and $\beta=90.845(3)^{\circ}$ at room temperature (Table 1, Table S17-

Table S20). The structure of $\operatorname{In}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2.82} \mathrm{Te}_{12.18}$ is comprised of a complex 3D network of corner-connected $\mathrm{InQ}_{4}$ tetrahedra with $3 \mathrm{Te}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ dumbbell dimers per formula unit, as shown in Figure 32. There are 4 distinct tetrahedral sites, each of which has 3 Te vertices and 1 S vertex, and these tetrahedra are corner-connected to neighboring tetrahedra as well as bridged by $\mathrm{Te}_{2}{ }^{2-}$.

Table 1: Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathrm{In}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2.82} \mathrm{Te}_{12.18}$ at 100 K .

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{In}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2.82} \mathrm{Te}_{12.18}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula weight | 2563.38 |
| Temperature | 100 K |
| Wavelength | 0.56083 A |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | C2/c |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=14.2940(6) \AA, \alpha=90^{\circ} \\ & \mathrm{b}=14.3092(4) \AA, \beta=90.845(3)^{\circ} \\ & \mathrm{c}=14.1552(6) \AA, \gamma=90^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |
| Volume | 2894.93(19) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $5.881 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $9.750 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 4282 |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | 2.246 to $27.863^{\circ}$ |
| Index ranges | $-23<=\mathrm{h}<=23,-23<=\mathrm{k}<=23,-23<=1<=23$ |
| Reflections collected | 94832 |
| Independent reflections | $7021\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0937\right]$ |
| Completeness to $\theta=19.664^{\circ}$ | 99.9\% |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 7021 / 0 / 106 |
| Goodness-of-fit | 1.031 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {obs }}=0.0433, \mathrm{wR}_{\mathrm{obs}}=0.1108$ |
| R indices [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {all }}=0.0520, \mathrm{wR}_{\text {all }}=0.1166$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 3.305 and -4.804 e $\cdot \AA^{-3}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{R}=\Sigma\| \| \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\left\|-\left\|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}\right\|\right\| / \Sigma\left\|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right\|, \mathrm{wR}=\left\{\Sigma\left[\mathrm{w}\left(\left\|\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right\|^{2}-\left\|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}\right\|^{2}\right)^{2}\right] / \Sigma\left[\mathrm{w}\left(\left\|\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right\|^{4}\right)\right]\right\}^{1 / 2} \text { and } \mathrm{w}=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}\right)+(0.0786 \mathrm{P})^{2}\right] \\ & \text { where } \mathrm{P}=\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}+2 \mathrm{Fc}^{2}\right) / 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |



Figure 32: Crystal structure of $\operatorname{In}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2.82} \mathrm{Te}_{12.18}$ viewed down the c-axis. Purple spheres are indium, yellow sulfur, and brown tellurium.

The Te-Te bond lengths for the 3 distinct sites $\mathrm{Te} 02, \mathrm{Te} 04$, and Te 07 are $2.9246(9) \AA$, $2.8917(9) \AA$, and $2.9030(6) \AA$, respectively; these lengths are similar to those of previous examples of compounds with only Te-Te dimers $(2.70-2.86 \AA)^{208,214}$ as well as with a mixture of individual tellurium anions and chains $(2.74-2.82 \AA)^{215-216}$. The vertices of these $\mathrm{Te}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ dimers are threecoordinate, sharing corners with two tetrahedra each, while non-dimer $\mathrm{Te}^{2-}$ atoms are twocoordinate and bridge neighboring tetrahedra. S02 links the three tetrahedra $\operatorname{In} 01, \operatorname{In} 02$, and $\operatorname{In} 03$ for 3-fold coordination, while S01 is two-coordinate and bridges two neighboring In04 tetrahedra.

As viewed down the c-axis, In01 and In03 tetrahedra form infinite chains down the c-axis surrounding the Te 04 dimer and are tilted in-phase with each other in mirror-like symmetry. In contrast, the $\operatorname{In} 02$ and $\operatorname{In} 04$ tetrahedra form similar chains corner-connected to the Te 07 dimer,
however the In04 tetrahedra tilt out-of-phase with $\operatorname{In} 02$ and the other two tetrahedra, forcing the bridging S01 site out of the a-b plane of the S 02 atoms shared by $\operatorname{In} 01, \operatorname{In} 02$, and $\operatorname{In} 03$.

The electronic band structure of $\operatorname{In}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2.82} \mathrm{Te}_{12.18}$ is shown in Figure 33a. This heteroanionic material is an indirect band gap semiconductor with a calculated band gap of 0.99 eV using the PBE functional method. This is consistent with the experimental band gap of 1.12(2) eV (Figure 33b). The conduction band minimum (CBM) is at the $\Gamma$ point of the Brillouin zone, while the valence band maxima (VBM) can be found along the $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{I}_{2}$ direction. The conduction bands near the Fermi level are mainly contributed by Te 5 p and In 5 s orbits while the valence bands near the Fermi level are mainly contributed by Te 5 p and $S 3$ p orbits. In $\operatorname{In}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2.82} \mathrm{Te}_{12.18}$, sulfur acts as a structural templating agent, but does not significantly affect the electronic behavior, as the S states lie nearly 1 eV below the VBM. Overall, the top of the valence band is more dispersive than the bottom of the conduction band, which is due to the dominated contribution of localized $\mathrm{Te} 5 p$ orbitals at the top of the valence band as shown in Figure 33. Thus, the charge transport of electrons would be more efficient than that of holes. This is supported by the electron effective mass calculations of 0.627 and 0.571 (in $\mathrm{m}_{0}$ ) for the directions $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{2}$ and $\Gamma-Y$, respectively; meanwhile the hole effective mass is calculated to be 4.353 and 0.672 for the directions $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{2}$ and $\Gamma-Y$, respectively.


Figure 33: (a) The band structure and partial density of states (PDOS) of $\mathrm{In}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2.82} \mathrm{Te}_{12.18}$. (b) Experimental band gap of $\operatorname{In}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2.82} \mathrm{Te}_{12.18}$.

As this material is a relatively narrow band gap semiconductor, incorporates several heavy elements, and has multiple overlapping bands near the VBM, it shows potential as a thermoelectric material. Moreover, the complicated structure of this material is expected to scatter phonons and minimize lattice thermal conductivity, while the material's ordered, crystalline framework would maintain a high electric conductivity. Therefore, Seebeck (S), electrical conductivity ( $\sigma$ ), and power factor $\left(\mathrm{S}^{2} \sigma\right)$ calculations were conducted as shown in Figure 34. (The thermal conductivity could not be calculated as the ordered structure used for this calculation has imaginary phonon modes as shown in Figure S24.) The calculated electrical conductivity (as shown in Figure 34) aligns with the argument that the electrical conductivity is higher for holes and electrons. By fixing the relaxation time to 10 fs , our predictions showed that the power factor along yy direction at holes can be over $3 \mathrm{mWm}{ }^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-2}$ at 300 K . $\mathrm{In}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2.82} \mathrm{Te}_{12.18}$ shows promise as a p-type thermoelectric, with a potential power factor similar to that of bulk n-type $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Te}_{3}$ at $300 \mathrm{~K} .{ }^{232}$


Figure 34: The electrical transport properties of $\mathrm{In}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{Te}_{12}$ including (a) Seebeck coefficient (b) electrical conductivity and (c) power factor calculated at 300 K with relaxation time fixed at 10 fs. Blue lines and red lines are holes and electrons, respectively.

### 5.5. Conclusion

This new heteroanionic ternary, $\operatorname{In}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{3-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Te}_{6+x}\left(\mathrm{Te}_{2}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{x}=0.18)$ features a novel structure in that it comprises three heteroanions: $\mathrm{S}^{2-}, \mathrm{Te}^{2-}$, and $\mathrm{Te}_{2}{ }^{2-}$. While the initial target, $\mathrm{In}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Te}$, was never discovered, a novel structure was uncovered during the investigative work. The 3D framework of $\mathrm{In}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{3-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Te}_{6+\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{Te}_{2}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{x}=0.18)$ is complex and consists of corner-sharing $\operatorname{InQ}_{4}$ tetrahedra with $3 \mathrm{Te}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ dumbbell dimers per formula unit. This material's complicated structure in conjunction with its physical and electric properties of the material, that is its narrow band gap, heavy element constituents, and overlapping bands in the valence band maximum, indicate promise as a p-type
thermoelectric material.

# Chapter 6. Harnessing HSAB Theory to Create Novel Heteroanionic 

Materials in the Ba-Sn-Q $(Q=S, T e)$ Phase Space

### 6.1. Abstract

Five new quaternaries are discovered, with three being heteroanionic, $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnS}_{1.2} \mathrm{Te}_{2.8}$, $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{4} \mathrm{Te}$, and $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{5.62} \mathrm{Te}_{0.13}$, and two as solid solutions of $\mathrm{Ba}_{7} \mathrm{Sn}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{15-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Te}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathrm{Ba}_{8} \mathrm{Sn}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{15-\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{Te}_{\mathrm{y}}$ $(\mathrm{x}=0.7, \mathrm{y}=0.76)$. A new structure type is also introduced with $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{4} \mathrm{Te}$. All materials were synthesized using traditional solid-state reaction methods. The heteroanionic materials are composed of the $\mathrm{S}^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{Te}^{2-}$ anionic units as well as, in the case of $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{5.62} \mathrm{Te}_{0.13}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ dumberlls. All five materials conserve the hallmark $\mathrm{QM}_{4}$ units indicative of this A-M-Q phase space.

### 6.2. Introduction

As discussed in previous chapters, heteroanionic materials offer a phase space that has great potential for novel structures and advantageous properties. ${ }^{155-157,161,204}$ The A-M-Q phase space, where A is an alkaline earth metal, B is $\mathrm{Ge}, \mathrm{Sn}$, or Pb , and Q is $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se}$ or Te , already presents extraordinary structural diversity. ${ }^{233-239}$ Within this class of material, compounds with discrete tetrahedral $\mathrm{MQ}_{4}$ anions form a large subsection owing to the flexibility and distortion of these tetrahedral units. ${ }^{233}$ Figure 35 shows how the structures build on each other with the simple addition of $\mathrm{AQ}, \mathrm{MQ}$, or $\mathrm{Q}_{2}$ units. It also highlights the relationship between structures that include polymeric anionic units (e.g. $\mathrm{Q}_{2}{ }^{2-}, \mathrm{Q}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ ). For streamlining the interpretation, Ba and Sn are used as A and M , respectively.


Figure 35: Compositional map of A-M-Q, where $A$ is an alkaline earth metal, M is $\mathrm{Ge}, \mathrm{Sn}$, or Pb , and Q is $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se}$, or Te . The shaded pentagonal arrows are to indicate that these relationships are identical and were not connected for clarity of reading the scheme.

To being the exploration, the composition $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{MQ}_{4}$ is selected as the $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Sn}^{4+}$ will promote selective bonding with the introduced chalcogens S and Te according to Hard/Soft Acid/Base (HSAB) theory. This approach has yielded five discovered compounds. More specifically, three mixed anion phases $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnS}_{1.20} \mathrm{Te}_{2.80}, \mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{4} \mathrm{Te}$, and $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{5.62} \mathrm{Te}_{0.13}$ with anions of $\mathrm{S}^{2-}, \mathrm{Te}^{2}$ and, in the case, of the latter $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ as well as the solid solutions of $\mathrm{Ba}_{7} \mathrm{Sn}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{15-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Te}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathrm{Ba}_{8} \mathrm{Sn}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{15-\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{Te}_{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{x}=0.7, \mathrm{y}=0.76)$.

### 6.3. Experimental Methods

Reagents. Chemicals in this work were used as-obtained: barium sulfide ( $99.9 \%$, American Elements), tin (powder, 97\%, Aldrich), sulfur (sublimed, 99.99\%, Spectrum), tellurium (99.99\%, American Elements).

## Synthesis

$B a_{2} \mathrm{SnS}_{1.2} \mathrm{Te}_{2.8}$. Compound was discovered in the reaction of $0.147 \mathrm{~g}(0.868 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{BaS}$, $0.082 \mathrm{~g}(0.69 \mathrm{mmol})$ elemental tin, and $0.118 \mathrm{~g}(0.925 \mathrm{mmol})$ elemental tellurium, which were combined in a carbon coated 9 mm (O.D.) fused silica tube. The tube was flame sealed under vacuum ( $10^{-3} \mathrm{mbar}$ ). The sample was heated to $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 12 hours and soaked for 6 hours before cooling to $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 24 hours then furnace cooling to room temperature. Efforts towards bulk phase pure have not yet been successful, however a reaction of $1 \mathrm{BaS}: 1 \mathrm{BaTe}: 1 \mathrm{Sn}: 1 \mathrm{Te}$ reacted to $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 12 hrs , dwelled for 6 hrs , and furnace cooled have been most successful with BaTe and BaS impurities.
$\beta-\mathrm{Ba}_{2} S^{2} S_{4}$. A $0.778-\mathrm{g}$ amount of $(4.60 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{BaS}, 0.272 \mathrm{~g}(2.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ powder tin, and $0.148 \mathrm{~g}(4.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ elemental sulfur were combined in a carbon coated 9 mm (O.D.) fused silica tube. The tube was flame sealed under vacuum ( $10^{-3} \mathrm{mbar}$ ). The sample was heated to $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 12 hours and soaked for 6 hours before cooling to $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 24 hours then furnace cooling to room temperature. The reaction yielded a pure material.
$B a_{3} S_{n S 4} T e$. Compound was discovered in the reaction of $0.237 \mathrm{~g}(0.90 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{BaTe}, 0.080$ $\mathrm{g}(0.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ elemental tin, and $0.225 \mathrm{~g}(0.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ elemental sulfur, which were combined in a carbon coated 9 mm (O.D.) fused silica tube. The tube was flame sealed under vacuum ( $10^{-3}$ mbar). The sample was heated to $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 12 hours and soaked for 6 hours before cooling to
$700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 24 hours then furnace cooling to room temperature. Bulk phase pure material was achieved by combining stoichiometric amounts of $\beta-\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnS}_{4}$ and BaTe then reacting them to $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 7 hours, soaking for 6 hours, and then furnace cooling. The reaction had $71.3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{4} \mathrm{Te}$ with SnO and $\mathrm{BaTe}_{3}$ impurities.
$B a_{3} S_{n S} S_{5.62} T e_{0.13}$. Compound was discovered in the reaction of $0.147 \mathrm{~g}(0.868 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{BaS}$, $0.082 \mathrm{~g}(0.69 \mathrm{mmol})$ elemental tin, and $0.118 \mathrm{~g}(0.925 \mathrm{mmol})$ elemental tellurium, which were combined in a carbon coated 9 mm (O.D.) fused silica tube. The tube was flame sealed under vacuum ( $10^{-3} \mathrm{mbar}$ ). The sample was heated to $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 12 hours and soaked for 6 hours before cooling to $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 24 hours then furnace cooling to room temperature. The reaction led to a bulk phase pure material with SnTe impurities.
$\mathrm{Ba}_{7} \mathrm{Sn}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{14.3} \mathrm{Te}_{0.7}$ and $\mathrm{Ba}_{8} \mathrm{Sn}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{14.24} \mathrm{Te}_{0.76}$. Compound was discovered in the reaction of 1 BaS : $1 \mathrm{Sn}: 1 \mathrm{Te}$, which were combined in a carbon coated 9 mm (O.D.) fused silica tube and subsequently flame sealed under vacuum ( $10^{-3} \mathrm{mbar}$ ). The sample was heated to $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 12 hours and soaked for 6 hours before cooling to $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 24 hours then furnace cooling to room temperature. As these compounds yielded solid solutions, not new phases, bulk phase pure syntheses were not pursued.

## Physical Measurements

Powder X-ray Diffraction. X-ray powder diffraction patterns were collected on a Rigaku Miniflex600 diffractometer with Ni-filtered $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation (1.5406 $\AA$ ) operating at 40 kV and 15 mA with a high-speed silicon strip detector. The diffraction pattern used a scan width of $0.02^{\circ}$ and a scan rate of $10^{\circ} / \mathrm{min}$. Visualization of the crystal structures was done with Vesta software. ${ }^{104}$

Single Crystal X-ray Diffraction. Suitable single crystals were mounted on a glass fiber
with glue on a STOE StadiVari diffractometer equipped with a micro-focus sealed X-ray tube PhotonJet (Mo) X-ray source and a Hybrid Pixel Array Detector (HyPix) detector. When applicable, temperature of the crystal was controlled with an Oxford Cryosystems low-temperature device. Data reduction was performed with the X-Area software package using a numerical absorption correction. The structure was solved with the ShelXT structure solution program using direct methods solution method and by using Olex2 as the graphical interface. The model was refined with ShelXL using least squares minimization.

Optical Measurements. Optical diffuse reflectance measurements were made at room temperature with a Shimadzu UV-3600 PC double-beam, double-monochromator spectrophotometer. The measurement of diffuse reflectivity can be used to obtain values for the band gap from a powder sample at room temperature. $\mathrm{BaSO}_{4}$ powder was used as a reference. The reflectance data was converted to absorbance using the Kubelka-Munk equation: $\alpha / S=(1-R) 2 / 2 R$. $R$ is the reflectance at a given wavelength, $\alpha$ is the absorption coefficient, and S is the scattering coefficient. Valence band maximum energy (VBM) was measured by photoemission spectroscopy in air on a Riken-Keiki PESA AC-2. Samples are scanned under ambient conditions using UV light (4.20-6.20 eV). The number of photoelectrons generated at each excitation energy is measured and the VBM is the onset of the PESA spectra, as photoelectrons are only generated when the photon energy is higher than the VBM energy. Conduction band minimum energies are found by subtracting the optical band gap from the VBM energy.

Second Harmonic Generation Measurements. Currently pending.
Scanning Electron Microscopy. A Hitachi 3400 scanning electron microscope was used to image the microstructure. The accelerating voltage and probe current were set to 20 keV and 70 -

90 mA , respectively. Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) was performed using a PGT energy-dispersive X-ray analyzer. Aztec software from Oxford Instruments was used to analyse the EDS data.

## Computational Methods

All density functional theory (DFT) calculations are performed using the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP) ${ }^{113-114}$ along with the projector augmented wave (PAW) ${ }^{111-112}$ method to performed the density functional theory (DFT) calculations. The Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof $(\mathrm{PBE})^{115}$ generalized gradient approximation (GGA) was used as the exchange-correlation functional. The plane wave cutoff energy was set as 520 eV and the structure was fully relaxed until the total energy converged to within $10^{-8} \mathrm{eV}$ and the force on each atom was less than $10^{-3}$ eV/Å. Phonon calculations were performed with the $1 \times 1 \times 1$ unit cell using the PHONOPY package ${ }^{181}$. The transport properties were calculated using the semiclassical Boltzmann transport theory implemented in the BoltzTraP ${ }^{231}$ package.

### 6.4. Results and Discussion

When investigating this family of $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{MQ}_{4}$ compounds $(\mathrm{A}=$ alkaline earth, $\mathrm{M}=$ Group IV, $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se}, \mathrm{Te})$, a computational study found that the ionic radius ratio $\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{A}} /\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{M}}+\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{Q}}\right)\right)$ versus force constant of the cations could be used to predict these ternaries' crystal structures. ${ }^{240}$ The computations indicated that compositions containing large A cations favor the $\beta-\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ structure, while compositions with small A cations primarily crystallize in the olivine structure type. Further inspection of these predictions more specifically showed that the $\beta-\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ type is favored when the M cation is highly electronegative and has a small ionic radius, while the alkaline earth cation
has a large ionic radii and small electronegativity value. ${ }^{241}$
When applying this study to the $\mathrm{Ba}-\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{Q}$ phase space, the predictions align with the experimental results, showing that the structures crystallize in $\beta-\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ type as they consist of $\mathrm{MQ}_{4}$ isolated units linked together and charge balanced by barium cations. ${ }^{242-243}$ Previous studies of the sulfide ternaries show that the high and low temperature polymorphs of $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnS}_{4}$ form in a modified $\beta-\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ structure type, with the low temperature being closer to the true $\beta-\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ structure type. ${ }^{242}$ The sulfide polymorphs both conserve their discrete, distorted $\mathrm{SnS}_{4}$ tetrahedra that are connected by edge-sharing with the Ba-S polyhedra. ${ }^{241-242}$ The coordination number (CN) of Ba at low temperature ( $\alpha$-phase) is seven and eight, while it is six, seven, and eight in the high temperature phase. The selenium analogue is isostructural to the low temperature phase, $\alpha$ $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnS}_{4}{ }^{243}$

When exchanging tellurium for sulfur in $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnS}_{4}$, the heteroanion $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnS}_{1.2} \mathrm{Te}_{2.8}$ was discovered and crystallizes in the non-centrosymmetric Ama2 space group with lattice parameters $a=11.0905(5) \AA, b=11.5151(4) \AA, c=8.0728(3) \AA, \alpha=\beta=\gamma=90^{\circ}$ (

Table 2, Table S\#). As in the $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnQ}_{4}$ structures $(\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se}), \mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnS}_{1.2} \mathrm{Te}_{2.8}$ consists of isolated, distorted $\mathrm{MQ}_{4}$ units connected into a three-dimensional network by its alkaline earth cation, $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$. The distorted tetrahedral units are of the composition $\mathrm{SnS}_{1.2} \mathrm{Te}_{2.8}$ and have bond lengths of $2.421(3) \AA, 2.6815(11) \AA$, and $2.7225(7) \AA$ for $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{Te} / \mathrm{S}$, and $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{Te}$, respectively (Figure 36a). The $\mathrm{Sn}^{4+}-\mathrm{S}^{2-}$ bond lengths are consistent with the $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{S}$ bond lengths in the isostructural $\mathrm{Sr}_{2} \mathrm{SnS}_{4}(2.372(3)-2.409(3) \AA)^{244}$ and those in the parent structure $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnS}_{4}$ (low temperature polymorph, $2.353(3)-2.427(2) \AA) .{ }^{242}$ The $\mathrm{Sn}^{4+}-\mathrm{Te}^{2-}$ bond lengths are in line with those found in tetrahedral $\mathrm{SnTe}_{4}$ in $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{BaSnTe}_{4}(2.7600(7) \AA) .{ }^{245}$ As expected, the bond length of Sn and the disordered chalcogenide site falls between those of the $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{Te}$ bond lengths and has more $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{Te}$ character according to its higher occupancy of Te on the crystallographic site.

Table 2: Crystal data and structure refinements for $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnS}_{1.20} \mathrm{Te}_{2.80}, \mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{4} \mathrm{Te}$, and $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{5.62} \mathrm{Te}_{0.13}$.

$\mathrm{w}=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}\right)+(0.0126 \mathrm{P})^{2}+2.3411 \mathrm{P}\right]$ where $\mathrm{P}=\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}+2 \mathrm{Fc}^{2}\right) / 3$


Figure 36: Illustration of (A) the four-coordinate, distorted $\operatorname{SnSTe}_{2} \mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Q}: \mathrm{S}=0.2, \mathrm{Te}=0.8$; $\left.\mathrm{SnS}_{1.2} \mathrm{Te}_{2.8}\right)$ tetrahedra, (B) the eight-coordinate $\mathrm{BaS}_{2} \mathrm{Te}_{4} \mathrm{Q}_{2}\left(\mathrm{BaS}_{2.4} \mathrm{Te}_{5.6}\right)$ polyhedra for Ba 1 and (C) the seven-coordinate $\mathrm{BaSTe}_{4} \mathrm{Q}_{2}\left(\mathrm{BaS}_{1.4} \mathrm{Te}_{5.6}\right)$ polyhedra for Ba 2 .
$\mathrm{Ba}-\mathrm{S}$ polyhedra connect the $\mathrm{SnQ}_{4}$ units together via corner- and edge-sharing, while the Ba polyhedra are connected via edge- and face-sharing. With two barium positions shown in Figure 36b-c, the Ba coordination sphere has a composition of $\mathrm{BaS}_{2.4} \mathrm{Te}_{5.6}$ and forms a highly distorted tetragonal antiprism $(\mathrm{CN}=8)$, while the Ba 2 polyhedra has a composition of $\mathrm{BaS}_{1.4} \mathrm{Te}_{5.6}$ and forms a distorted pentagonal dipyramid $(\mathrm{CN}=7)$. The bond lengths range from 3.064(3) to 3.6301(6) $\AA$, which are detailed in Table 3, and are consistent with the $\mathrm{Ba}-\mathrm{S}$ values in $\alpha-\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnS}_{4}$ that range from 3.091(3) to $3.416(3) \AA$ as well as the $\mathrm{Ba}-\mathrm{Te}$ values in $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{GeSe}_{2} \mathrm{Te}_{2}$ ranging 3.445(2) $3.7606(6)$ A. ${ }^{242,246}$

Table 3: Bond lengths for $\mathrm{Ba}-\mathrm{Q}$ in $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnS}_{1.2} \mathrm{Te}_{2 \text {.s. }}$

| Bond | Length (in $\AA$ ) | Bond | Length (in $\AA$ ) |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ba} 1-\mathrm{S}$ | $3.165(1)$ | $\mathrm{Ba} 2-\mathrm{S}$ | $3.064(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba} 1-\mathrm{Te}$ | $3.6102(7)$ | $\mathrm{Ba} 2-\mathrm{Te}$ | $3.5842(8)$ |
|  | $3.6301(6)$ |  | $3.6975(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba} 1-\mathrm{Te} / \mathrm{S}$ | $3.4838(7)$ | $\mathrm{Ba} 2-\mathrm{Te} / \mathrm{S}$ | $3.433(1)$ |
|  |  |  | $3.601(1)$ |

The computation studies of the $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{MQ}_{4}$ compounds $(\mathrm{A}=$ alkaline earth, $\mathrm{M}=$ Group $\mathrm{IV}, \mathrm{Q}$ $=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se}, \mathrm{Te}$ ) indicate $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnS}_{1.2} \mathrm{Te}_{2.8}$ should be in the same space group as $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnS}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnSe}_{4}$, however the introduction of tellurium undermines this prediction. ${ }^{240-243}$ The isovalent Te -analogue align with the parent structures in so much as it consists of $\mathrm{MQ}_{4}$ isolated units linked together and charge balanced by barium cations. Studies into $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{GeQ}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SiQ}_{4}(\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se})$ also observed that, while the A and M radii largely dictate the structure, the chalcogen identity cannot be ignored in structure predictions. Moreover, when comparing the structures of $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnS}_{1.2} \mathrm{Te}_{2.8}$ and its parent composition, $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnQ}_{4}(\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se})$, the heteroanionic compound is more densely packed than $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnQ}_{4}$. Figure 37a and c compare the packing of both compounds when oriented such that the distorted $\mathrm{SnQ}_{4}$ tetrahedra on stacked parallel to the viewing vector. $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnSe}_{4}$ is used as its tetrahedral distortions resulting from Se is more correspondent with the Te -containing $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnQ}_{4}$. When viewed down the [403] vector (Figure 37a) can see the close packing as compared to down [llll 001 1] in $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnS}_{4}$ (Figure 37c).


Figure 37: (A) Illustration of the more closely packed $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnS}_{1.2} \mathrm{Te}_{2.8}$ by viewing down the $\left[\begin{array}{ll}4 & 0\end{array}\right]$ vector, where the distorted $\mathrm{SnQ}_{4}$ tetrahedra are stacked parallel to the viewing vector. (B) A view of $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnS}_{1.2} \mathrm{Te}_{2.8}$ down the a-axis highlights the polarity of the $\mathrm{SnQ}_{4}$ units, indicating potential as a non-linear optical material. (C) A view of $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnSe}_{4} \mathrm{c}$-axis to contrast the packing of the Se -
analogue and heteranionic analogues. The barium sites are colored light and dark green for clarity for Ba 1 and Ba 2 , respectively.

The polarity of the $\mathrm{SnQ}_{4}$ polyhedra observed in Figure 37a and c as well as the absence of an inversion center in the structure indicates this material may be a promising non-linear optical (NLO) material. ${ }^{63-64}$ Second harmonic generation measurements are currently ongoing to investigate this material's potential as a NLO material. To further investigate potential applications, attempts were made to synthesize this material without disorder, e.g. $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnSTe}_{3}$. However, these attempts were not fruitful and likely indicate that this structure requires sulfurtellurium disorder to form.

As we increase the complexity of the structure by adding another $\mathrm{BaQ}(\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Te})$ unit, the ternaries $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{4} \mathrm{Te}$ and $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{5.62} \mathrm{Te}_{0.13}$ were discovered. $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{4} \mathrm{Te}$ crystallizes in Cmcm with lattice parameters $a=6.95190(10), b=16.4208(3), c=9.7624(2), \alpha=\beta=\gamma=90^{\circ}$ (

Table 2, Table S\#), while $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{5.62} \mathrm{Te}_{0.13}$ crystallizes in Pnma with lattice parameters $a=$ 9.0694(3), $b=9.7874(3), c=12.2373(4), \alpha=\beta=\gamma=90^{\circ}($

Table 2, Table S\#). Even with the addition of $\mathrm{BaTe}, \mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{4} \mathrm{Te}$ conserves the isolated, distorted $\mathrm{SnS}_{4}$ units found in the parent composition, $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnQ}_{4}(\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se})$ as seen in Figure 38. Moreover, it is also connected into a three-dimensional network by its alkaline earth cation, $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$. The $\mathrm{SnS}_{4}$ tetrahedra shown in Figure 38c, have $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{S} 1$ bond lengths of 2.3553(6) and $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{S} 2$ bond lengths of $2.3421(6) \AA$. These $\mathrm{Sn}^{4+}-\mathrm{S}^{2-}$ bond lengths are consistent with those in the low temperature $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnS}_{4}$ parent composition $\left.2.353(3)-2.427(2) \AA\right)^{242}$ and are ca. $3.5 \%$ shorter than those found in $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnS}_{1.2} \mathrm{Te}_{2.8}$. The longer bond length of $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{S}$ in the latter structure is likely a result of Te presence in the tetrahedra.


Figure 38: Crystal structure of $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{4} \mathrm{Te}$. $\mathrm{SnS}_{4}$ tetrahedra shown (A) without differentiating Ba and S sites and ( B ) with differentiating between Ba 1 (light green), Ba 2 (dark green), S 1 (yellow) and S2 (orange) sites. The polyhedra of (C) $\mathrm{SnS}_{4}$, (D) $\mathrm{BaS}_{6} \mathrm{Te}_{2}$ for Ba 1 and (E) $\mathrm{BaS}_{6} \mathrm{Te}_{2}$ for Ba 2 are shown, with S1 and S2 sites labeled accordingly.

Ba-S polyhedra connect the $\mathrm{SnQ}_{4}$ units into a three-dimensional framework, where the Ba 1 coordination sphere connects via corner-sharing and the Ba 2 sphere via edge-sharing, and the two Ba-S polyhedra connect via face-sharing. The Bal coordination sphere forms a distorted monocapped pentagonal bipyramid (Figure 38d) with Ba-S bond lengths of 3.0538(6) - 3.3683(6) $\AA$ and a Ba-Te bond length of $3.5562(1) \AA$. The highly distorted square antiprism of the Ba 2 coordination sphere (Figure 38e) has Ba-S bond lengths of 3.4640(4) - 3.5749(2) $\AA$ and Ba-Te bond lengths of $3.4570(4)$ and $3.5756(4)$ A. These bond lengths are in line with the $\mathrm{Ba}-\mathrm{S}$ values in $\alpha-\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnS}_{4}$ that range from 3.091(3) to 3.416(3) $\AA$ as well as the $\mathrm{Ba}-\mathrm{Te}$ values in $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{GeSe}_{2} \mathrm{Te}_{2}$ ranging $3.445(2)-3.7606(6)$ Å..$^{242,246}$

With the addition of a BaTe unit to the $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnS}_{4}$ composition, a BaTe rocksalt interlay can be observed. As illustrated in Figure 39a, the structure shown in Figure 38a can be rendered to highlight the $\mathrm{TeBa}_{6}$ distorted octahedra. When any bonds to sulfur are removed, as shown in


Figure 39b, the BaTe rocksalt interlayer becomes apparent. The Ba-Te bond lengths $3.4570(4), 3.5562(1)$, and 3.5756(4) $\AA$ are also in good agreement with the Ba-Te bond of 3.503(5)


Figure 39c). ${ }^{247}$


Figure 39: Structure of (A) $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{4} \mathrm{Te}$ with the distorted $\mathrm{TeBa}_{6}$ octahedra indicated, (B) $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{4} \mathrm{Te}$ with the distorted $\mathrm{TeBa}_{6}$ octahedra highlighted and all M-S bonds removed, and (C) the $\mathrm{TeBa}{ }_{6}$ distorted octahedra with bond lengths shown.

While $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{4} \mathrm{Te}$ is nominally $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{MQ}_{5}, \mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{5.62} \mathrm{Te}_{0.13}$ is closer in structure to the only known 3-1-5 structure, $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{GeS}_{5}$, and is, in fact isotypic to the structure (Figure 40a-b). ${ }^{233} \mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{GeS}_{5}$ can be considered to have the formula $\left(\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{GeS}_{4}{ }^{4-}\right) \mathrm{S}^{2-}$, where the $\mathrm{S}^{2-}$ anions are isolated on the 4 c Wykoff site. This site is then replaced with a mixed occupancy $\mathrm{S}^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{Te}^{2-}(68 \% \mathrm{~S} 1: 32 \% \mathrm{Te} 1)$. This mixed chalcogenide site is then one-fourth occupied, and the charge is counter balanced with the partial occupation of sulfides on neighboring 4 c and 8 d Wykoff sites. The distance of these
sulfides are $2.222(5)$ and $2.249(6) \AA$, confirming these are disulfide bonds as they closely align with the disulfide bond length, 2.118(7) $\AA$, in $\mathrm{BaS}_{2} .{ }^{248}$ Each of these anions (mixed $\mathrm{S}^{2-/} \mathrm{Te}^{2-}$ and three $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ units) is one-fourth occupied, such that the summed occupancy is shown of the left side of Figure 40 c and the individual arrangements are shown on the right. One of the sulfurs on the 4 c Wykoff site bonded to Sn also has mixed occupancy of Te that totals 5\%. With the introduction of $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ anions to the structure, the formula evolves from $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{5}$ to $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{4}\left(3 / 4 \mathrm{~S}_{2} 1 / 4 \mathrm{~S}\right)$. The mixed occupancy of tellurium further changes the general formula to be $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{3}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Te}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)\left(3 / 4 \mathrm{~S}_{2} 1 / 4\left[\mathrm{~S}_{1-}\right.\right.$ $\left.{ }_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{Te}_{\mathrm{y}}\right]$ ), where $\mathrm{x}=0.05$ and $\mathrm{y}=0.32$. When reduced, we arrive at the formula $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{5.62} \mathrm{Te}_{0.13}$. Syntheses to form the full disulfide $\left(\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{6}\right)$ or without the telluride $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{4}\left(3 / 4 \mathrm{~S}_{2} 1 / 4 \mathrm{~S}\right)$ using combinations of binaries and elemental precursors were attempted and ultimately unsuccessful. Perhaps an alternative synthesis approach may be fruitful as when $\mathrm{Sr}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}$ and elemental S was used to make $\mathrm{Sr}_{2} \mathrm{SnS}_{4}$ when other synthetic attempts were unsuccessful. ${ }^{244}$


Figure 40: Crystal structure of (A) $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{GeS}_{5}$ with the $\mathrm{GeS}_{4}$ tetrahedra shown in pink and of (B) $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{5.62} \mathrm{Te}_{0.13}$ with the $\mathrm{SnS}_{4}$ tetrahedra shown in purple. (C) The heteronanionic unit comprised of $\mathrm{S}^{2-}, \mathrm{Te}^{2-}$, and $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ is highlighted (left) and the occupancy distribution (right) is shown.

During the phase exploration, solid solutions of $\mathrm{Ba}_{7} \mathrm{Sn}_{5} \mathrm{Q}_{15}$ and $\mathrm{Ba}_{8} \mathrm{Sn}_{4} \mathrm{Q}_{15}$ were also discovered. Both compounds are isostructural and show very little size deviation from their parent sulfide structures. When tellurium is introduced to these reactions, $\mathrm{Ba}_{7} \mathrm{Sn}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{14.3} \mathrm{Te}_{0.7}$ and $\mathrm{Ba}_{8} \mathrm{Sn}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{14.24} \mathrm{Te}_{0.76}$ form. $\mathrm{Ba}_{7} \mathrm{Sn}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{14.3} \mathrm{Te}_{0.7}$ (Figure 41a) crystallizes in a slightly larger $\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{~cm}$ structure $\left(a=b=25.3104(6) \AA, c=8.5782(2) \AA, \alpha=\beta=90^{\circ}, \gamma=120^{\circ}\right)$ than the full sulfide $(a=$ $b=25.1234(4) \AA, c=8.4120(2) \AA$ ) $\AA .{ }^{249}$ Contrastingly, $\mathrm{Ba}_{8} \mathrm{Sn}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{14.24} \mathrm{Te}_{0.76}$ (Figure 41b) crystallizes in a slightly smaller Pca2 ${ }_{1}$ structure $(a=28.730(5) \AA, b=8.510(2) \AA, c=25.256(5) \AA, \alpha=\beta=\gamma$ $\left.=90^{\circ}\right)$ compared to the full sulfide $(a=28.727(5) \AA, b=8.522(1) \AA, c=25.438(4) \AA) .{ }^{250}$ Notably, the tellurium is largely non-selective when incorporating into the structures. By virtue of their non-
centrosymmetry, potential anionic tunability, and favorable second harmonic generation (SHG) in their isostructural parent compounds, $\mathrm{Ba}_{7} \mathrm{Sn}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{14.3} \mathrm{Te}_{0.7}$ and $\mathrm{Ba}_{8} \mathrm{Sn}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{14.24} \mathrm{Te}_{0.76}$ show great promise as SHG materials.


Figure 41: Crystal structure of (A) $\mathrm{Ba}_{7} \mathrm{Sn}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{14.3} \mathrm{Te}_{0.7}$ and (B) $\mathrm{Ba}_{8} \mathrm{Sn}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{14.24} \mathrm{Te}_{0.76}$ shown with $2 \times 2 \times 2$ unit cells.

### 6.5. Conclusion

With the harnessing of HSAB theory, five new quaternaries were discovered, where three are heteroanionic, $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnS}_{1.2} \mathrm{Te}_{2.8}, \mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{4} \mathrm{Te}$, and $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{5.62} \mathrm{Te}_{0.13}$, and two are solid solutions, $\mathrm{Ba}_{7} \mathrm{Sn}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{15-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Te}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathrm{Ba}_{8} \mathrm{Sn}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{15-\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{Te}_{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{x}=0.7, \mathrm{y}=0.76)$. For each structure, the $\mathrm{QM}_{2}$ motif that is prevalent in this structure type is conserved. Additionally, a new structure type is introduced with $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{4} \mathrm{Te}$ that is otherwise not seen in the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{MQ}_{5}(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{Sr}, \mathrm{Ba} ; \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ge}, \mathrm{Sn}, \mathrm{Pb} ; \mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se} \mathrm{Te})$ compounds. With $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnS}_{1.2} \mathrm{Te}_{2.8}, \mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{4} \mathrm{Te}, \mathrm{Te}^{2-}$ anionic units are introduced into the material, while $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{5.62} \mathrm{Te}_{0.13}$ structure introduces $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ dumbbells.

## Concluding Remarks and Outlook

Historically, in situ studies of materials synthesis had specialized use in crystallization rate observations. With the advent of more commercially available diffractometer setups, thereby no longer necessitating synchrotrons for certain systems, the synthesis science community will be able to access and use this powerful technique more routinely. As demonstrated in the studies discussed in this dissertation, panoramic synthesis has immense potential for materials discovery and unveiling the assembling principles for solid-state materials.

The investigation into the $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{Pn}-\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{A}=$ alkali metal; $\mathrm{Pn}=\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Bi})$ compositional space encompassed three important features: (1) materials discovery in well-investigated systems, (2) investigations with different precursors, and (3) the discovery of a structural intermediate. Most importantly, a design principle was proposed that extends beyond the investigated system. The ability of $\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ to form both disordered and ordered phases, as discussed in Chapter 3, while $\mathrm{NaBiQ}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{RbBiQ}_{2}$ crystallize in the rocksalt and $\alpha-\mathrm{NaFeO}_{2}$ structure types, respectively, suggests that there is a site-sharing tolerance requirement for the six-coordinate cations: $\left(\frac{r^{+}}{r^{3+}}\right)$ should be 1.33 or less in order to form a cation-disordered rocksalt structure. Inspection of the antimony analogues, where $\mathrm{NaSbS}_{2}$ crystallizes in both the rocksalt and $\mathrm{KSbS}_{2}$ structure types, while $\mathrm{KSbS}_{2}$ only forms a cation-ordered structure, yields a six-coordinate cation ratio $\left(\frac{\mathrm{Na}^{+}}{\mathrm{Sb}{ }^{3+}}\right)$ of 1.3 , corroborating that found for the bismuth ternaries. While this pioneering study proposes a new design principle for a chalcogenide system, the following chapter exploits the utility of in situ variable temperature PXRD to further our understanding of underexplored systems.

The potential insights provided by in situ variable temperature PXRD can be used to further
our understanding of burgeoning phase spaces, such as heteroanionic materials. Chapter 4 directs its focus to the heteroanionic BiOCuSe material. This study uncovers that the $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}+\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ reaction to form BiOCuSe proceeds without intermediates to direct product formation, while the $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{Bi}+3 \mathrm{Cu}+3$ Se reaction forms many intermediates before the final product forms. These intermediates include $\mathrm{CuSe}, \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}, \mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$, where, surprisingly, "selenium-rich" CuSe and "selenium-poor" $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$ form first. It is determined that CuSe forms as a result of high stability and stoichiometric considerations and $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$ forms as competition for selenium with copper in accordance with HSAB theory. Chapter 6 links the selection of precursors to the reaction progression and establishes an understanding of the effects of the chosen precursors.

Chapter 7 and 8 harness HSAB theory to discover six new materials. First, a heteroanionic ternary, $\mathrm{In}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{3-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Te}_{6+\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{Te}_{2}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{x}=0.18)$ is discussed. While the initial target was another composition, a novel structure comprising three heteroanions: $\mathrm{S}^{2-}, \mathrm{Te}^{2-}$, and $\mathrm{Te}_{2}{ }^{2-}$. Is uncovered during the investigative work. The 3D framework of $\operatorname{In}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{3-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Te}_{6+\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{Te}_{2}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{x}=0.18)$ is complex and consists of corner-sharing $\operatorname{InQ}_{4}$ tetrahedra with $3 \mathrm{Te}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ dumbbell dimers per formula unit. In the $\mathrm{Ba}-\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Q}$ $=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se}$ ) phase space, five new quaternaries are discovered. $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnS}_{1.2} \mathrm{Te}_{2.8}, \mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{4} \mathrm{Te}$, and $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{5.62} \mathrm{Te}_{0.13}$ are heteroanionic materials that introduce $\mathrm{Te}^{2-}$ anionic units into the material, while $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{5.62} \mathrm{Te}_{0.13}$ structure introduces $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ dumbbells, similar to the ditellurides in $\mathrm{In}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2.82} \mathrm{Te}_{6.18}\left(\mathrm{Te}_{2}\right)_{3}$. The solid solutions $\mathrm{Ba}_{7} \mathrm{Sn}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{15-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Te}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathrm{Ba}_{8} \mathrm{Sn}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{15-\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{Te}_{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{x}=0.7, \mathrm{y}=0.76)$ were also discovered. Notably the $\mathrm{SnM}_{4}$ motif that is prevalent in this phase space is conserved. The complicated structure of $\operatorname{In}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2.82} \mathrm{Te}_{6.18}\left(\mathrm{Te}_{2}\right)_{3}$ in conjunction with its physical and electric properties of the material, that is its narrow band gap, heavy element constituents, and overlapping bands in the valence band maximum, indicate promise as a p-type thermoelectric material. Meanwhile the
non-centrosymmetric structures, $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{SnS}_{1.2} \mathrm{Te}_{2.8}, \mathrm{Ba}_{7} \mathrm{Sn}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{15-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Te}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathrm{Ba}_{8} \mathrm{Sn}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{15-\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{Te}_{\mathrm{y}}$ show great promise as non-linear optical materials.

Given that this approach to materials discovery and reaction path identification is in its infancy, there is a lot of room for exploration. By more routinely pairing in situ panoramic materials syntheses with computational insight, to not only select productive phase spaces, but also rationalize the observed trends and progressions, work focusing on rational design may quickly advance. ${ }^{4,35}$ At present, computational and text mining studies have already been used to investigate precursor choice on anthropogenic biases, ${ }^{77}$ switchability, ${ }^{251}$ and synthesis recipe. ${ }^{178}$ Cataloging failed reactions is also of interest. ${ }^{78}$ Rapid progress continues to be made in computationally-driven predictive syntheses of inorganic materials, but it too is in its infancy. ${ }^{23}$ Other steps include extending parameters to other synthetic variables, such as pressure. ${ }^{252}$

# Appendix A: Tips and Procedures for PXRD work at the Advanced Photon Source at Argonne National Laboratory 

## General APS Tips

- Plan out your heating profiles and order of samples beforehand. Have planned which reactions/samples you will drop, just in case. Also, have extra on hand just in case you have time or a previous reaction failed.
- Book the guesthouse right when you are allotted beamtime. It fills up quickly.
- It's cold inside - bring a jacket.
- Bring your own epoxy and/or clay if mounting or silica wool if at $17-\mathrm{BM}$ and using furnace
- Have a plan for food, whether you: eat on-site at 401 Grill (check when they close), plan to drive and go out to eat, or bring your own food.


## Tips for 17-BM-B

- If using the heat blower (goes up to max of $600-650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )
- Capillary should be $30-40 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length
- Use epoxy when doing high temp - if you use clay, your sample may move as you rock or become soft (when heated) and therefore your sample will no longer be aligned
- If using resistive heater furnace (max of $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )
- Capillary should be $20-30 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length. Inner diameter of furnace is 0.9 mm .
- If you are reaching a melt in your sample, you should raster since your material will diffuse out of the beam. However, be careful, rastering loosens the ceramics that the resistive heater is wrapped around. They may fall out and the coils may subsequently fail.
- Test your hard drive on the computer beforehand - I've had issues with the computer reading them. Also, FYI, the download of that data will take at least 30 minutes.


## Tips for 11-BM-B

- When using the resistive heater, be careful you do not have a hook or wisp at the end of your capillary. As the capillary spins it will move the air by the thermocouple, making the
temperature reading more inaccurate. This will also prolong your run since the program measures the temperature every minute and, if that temperature reading is not within the given temperature window (due to fluctuations from the hook, for example), the program will keep the reaction at that target temperature until the temperature reads within that window.
- Widen the temperature window


## Walk through guide to 17-BM-B

## General need to know

- All motor movements are in mm.
- $\mathrm{Ctrl}+\mathrm{C}$ is universal stop command in spec (the program they use to run commands)


## Moving the detector

- To move absolute position of detector use "umv detz position" (e.g. position could $=700$ $\rightarrow$ umv detz 700)
- To tweak the position of the motor, use "tw samh 2"
- Will ask which way to go, hit enter to keep moving that direction in steps of 2 mm , switch $+/$ - to change direction. To exit hit $\mathrm{ctrl}+\mathrm{c}$


## Setting up the scan

1. Setting up sample using resistive coil furnace:
a. Align the center of rotation of the furnace using the goniometer head
i. Once it's done, it should be set for the rest of the experiment. If necessary, loosen screw beneath rocker and lift motor to turn goniometer and adjust goniometer as needed.
b. Set-up furnace
i. Slide your capillary into the 0.9 mm ID tubing and secure it using silica wool
2. In order to fit a 0.5 or 0.7 mm capillary, you cannot have a hook or wisp where you sealed your capillary.
ii. Thread thermocouple through furnace then slide into tubing so it is along the side of your capillary.
iii. Attach resistive coils and assemble furnace.
3. Setting up sample using heat blower:
a. Mount your sample. Move your sample horizontally as needed using "umvr samh distance" (This moves relative distance. If you put in "umvr samh - 2 " it will move 2 mm in the negative direction [e.g. to the right. Positive direction is to the left].)
i. Set samh $0 \rightarrow$ sets x direction you have to be the zero point
b. Align your sample on goniometer.
i. Note: Camera underneath so adjust $90^{\circ}$ from camera.
4. Align your sample (vertically) in the beam
a. Open the B-Station shutter, put the diode up, and open the experimental shutter.
b. Type in "lup samv -2 21000.2 " (Scans from 2 to -2 for a total of 4 mm in space in 100 steps with 0.2 sec exposure)
c. Right click in the middle of the transmission dip and hit "umv samv..." The motor will now move to this position.
d. Close experimental shutter and put the diode down.
5. Optimize detector distance (on computer that shows the 2 D diffraction patterns)
a. Can click "Live View" under Acquire tab to view at idle. You shouldn't see anything - no remnants of rings. If so, make sure experimental shutter is closed then wait until the disappear.
b. Once dark, open experimental shutter and test detector distances using "umv detz \#\#\#", then close experimental shutter.
i. 900 mm detector distance is good for resolution and Rietveld balance; 700 nm is good for Rietveld.
6. Run test scan to see if you need to increase exposure and/or number of files to be summed.
a. Rocking: Use scanRPE("filename", $1,10,1,20$ ) - listed on document near computer. This will give 1 sec exposure, 10 summed frames, one total file, and $20^{\circ}$ rocking angle. (If you don't want rocking, use "scanPE" and drop the last number: scanPE("filename",1,10,1).)
b. Rastering: Use scanTHPE("filename",exposure,summed files,\# images, range). The last number will be the range scanned; for example " 8 " would be $+/-4 \mathrm{~mm}$ from the starting point. Be sure to take this into account when setting time interval!
i. Find thermocouple: "lup samh -8 $82000.2 " \leftarrow$ using large range to find thermocouple
c. On computer with 2D pattern, change view by selecting "Display based on abs values" from dropdown menu.
d. Make sure you are not overexposing.
i. The maximum of each pixel is 50,000 counts. If you have ten files that will be summed with 1 second exposures, the maximum overall intensity
should be 600,000 . (For 20 summed files, it would be 1,200,000 counts.) About half the intensity of maximum is fine as well.
ii. On the window that shows the 2 D diffraction plate, adjust the maximum according to the number of summed files you used. Zoom in on your brightest ring and see what the counts for that ring is. If it is less than half or $3 / 4$ to the maximum, adjust your exposure and/or number of summed scans accordingly.
iii. The last number in the first line is your counts.
iv. p best $\exp () \rightarrow$ negative number shows number pixels overexposed
7. Make your macro. You can modify previous macros.
a. Transfer your exposure and summed files to the macro.
b. When indicating how many files, add a couple extra, so that you ensure you reach that temperature.
c. Note: if you need to adjust your heating profile during the reaction, you can do so.

You will have to hit ctrl+c to stop all commands. Type or copy (by copying from the macro and hitting the scroll wheel when in the spec window) "qdo your_macros_name.mac" into spec (the command window) and hit enter. Next type in the name you used for your program (it was whatever comes after 'def' in your macro). Hit enter and it will restart!
i. You can also control the heating ramp manually using QPidCtrl Window.
6. Integrate your frames in GSAS
a. Import image
i. Import $>$ Image $>$ from TIF image file
b. Load parameter file
i. Parms $>$ Load controls $>$ Bkgnd\&Calib $>$ Select appropriate standard and detector distance
c. Integrate file
i. Integration $>$ Integrate
ii. To auto integrate, go to Integration > Auto Integrate. This will open up a new window. Make a new folder to put the integrated files. Select the formats you want (e.g. .csv, .fxye, .xye) and check "Separate dir for each format." This will make a new directory for each type of file. Then hit start. (To manipulate the graph in GSAS you'll have to hit pause, otherwise it will continually upload files and return graph to default zoom settings. When you hit pause, also take a moment to save your GSAS file!)
7. Before you start:
a. Resistive coil furnace controller should read -0.750 V
b. Your main folder should be clean of images. (After experiment, transfer them to a new folder.)
c. Check list:
i. Controller on
ii. B-station shutter (main shutter) open
iii. Ion counts $>2,500$ counts
iv. Pin diode down
v. Experimental shutter closed
vi. Enter in "qdo ..."
vii. Enter in name of defined program

## How to make the calibration file

1. Run standard then import image into GSAS
2. Show rings and determine the minimum d-spacing $\rightarrow$ mm calibration
a. Calibration $>$ Calibration, then go to $1^{\text {st }}$ ring (highest 2Theta) and put at least four spots spread around the ring.
b. Right click in the middle and then auto calibrates
3. Check "Penetration" then go to Calibration $>$ Recalibration
a. Do this a few times until penetration number remains constant
4. Check "Show Int. Limits"
5. Find beam stop and hover over to find degrees. Then enter it into inner 2Theta. Do the same for the outer 2Theta
6. Make mask " 5 " to "-5"
7. Parm $>$ Save controls
8. Integrate $>$ Integrate

## Types of scans

- ScanTRPE - sleeps for specific amount of time. The intervals in seconds. (If you want a scan every 60 seconds, the last number should be 60. FYI, dark image takes ca. 20 seconds and your exposure time * number of summed files is your image collection time.)
- ScanRPE - takes an immediate scan. Can you use if you want continuous collections
- ScanPE - takes an immediate scan as with RPE, but this one doesn't have rocking.
- ScanTPE - similar to TRPE, but doesn't have rocking.
- ScanTHPE - similar to TRPE, but rasters instead of rocks
- Note with rastering - the temp won't be in your metadata! You need to get the log
file from the controller computer (e.g. the computer that has your macros)
- ScanHPE - similar to ScanPE, but rasters
- scanHPE("filename",exposure,sum,\# images,range)
- See comment above about rastering.

Note: Make sure the " $s$ " in scanHPE, etc. is lower case!

## A more direct way to move the detector and sample

- Sample and detector: use simple control
- Careful, not relative movement, but absolute!
- Sample x
- Move only 2-3 mm at a time
- $(+)$ moves to the left, (-) moves to the right


## Appendix B: Supplementary Information for Chapter 3. Chapter 3.

Reprinted with permission from 'Rebecca McClain, Christos D. Malliakas, Jiahong Shen, Jiangang He, Chris Wolverton, Gabriela B. González, and Mercouri G. 'Mechanistic insight of $\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}(\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se})$ using panoramic synthesis towards synthesis-by-design.' Chemical Science, 2021, 12, 4, 1378-1391.'
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Figure S 1 : Structure solution for $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ from powder x-ray diffraction data.

Structure for $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ was solved from PXRD data collected on a STOE STADI P ( $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha 1$ radiation) using Rietveld refinement. A 7.7(2) wt $\%$ impurity of $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ was calculated in the sample.

Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ at 298 K .

| Empirical formula | K3 Bi1 S3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula weight | 422.5 |
| Temperature | 298 K |
| Wavelength | 1.54056 A |
| Crystal system | cubic |
| Space group | P2 ${ }_{1} 3$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=9.44252(14) \AA, \alpha=90^{\circ} \\ & \mathrm{b}=9.44252(14) \AA, \beta=90^{\circ} \\ & \mathrm{c}=9.44252(14) \AA, \gamma=90^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |
| Volume | 841.91(2) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $3.3329 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $60.678 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 64 |
| $2 \theta$ range for data collection | 3.000 to $124.680^{\circ}$ [Step $0.015^{\circ}$ ] |
| Refinement method | Rietveld Refinement |
| Constraints / restraints / parameters | 0/0/42 |
| Goodness-of-fit | 1.53 |
| Profile R indices | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}=0.0886, \mathrm{wR}_{\mathrm{p}}=0.1362$ |
| Final Bragg R indices [2б] | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {Bragg }}=0.0586, \mathrm{wR}_{\text {Bragg }}=0.0631$ |
| Bragg R indices [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {all }}=0.0663, \mathrm{wR}_{\text {all }}=0.0635$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.72 and $-1.83 \mathrm{e} \cdot \AA^{-3}$ |
| $\overline{\mathrm{R}}=\Sigma \\|\left\|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right\|-\left\|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}\right\|\|/ \Sigma\| \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}} \mid, \mathrm{wR}=\left\{\Sigma\left[\mathrm{w}\left(\left\|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right\|^{2}-\left\|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}\right\|^{2}\right)^{2}\right] / \Sigma\left[\mathrm{w}\left(\left\|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right\|^{4}\right)\right]\right\}^{1 / 2}$ |  |

Table S2: Atomic coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}$ ) for $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ at 298 K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

| Label | x | y | z | Occupancy | $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}{ }^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~K}(1)$ | $614(12)$ | $614(12)$ | $614(12)$ | 1 | $88(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{K}(2)$ | $5777(12)$ | $5777(12)$ | $5777(12)$ | 1 | $146(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{K}(3)$ | $8221(11)$ | $8221(11)$ | $8221(11)$ | 1 | $159(5)$ |
| $\operatorname{Bi}(1)$ | $2842(2)$ | $2842(2)$ | $2842(2)$ | 1 | $108(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $412(11)$ | $2612(11)$ | $3524(9)$ | 1 | $106(5)$ |

[^1]Table S3: Selected bond lengths $[\AA]$ for $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ at 298 K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

| Label | Distances |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{K}(1)-\mathrm{K}(2)$ | $3.655(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{K}(1)-\mathrm{K}(3)$ | $3.914(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{K}(1)-\mathrm{K}(3)$ | $4.515(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{K}(1)-\mathrm{Bi}(1)$ | $3.645(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{K}(1)-\mathrm{Bi}(1)$ | $4.430(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{K}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $3.338(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{K}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $3.105(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{K}(2)-\mathrm{K}(3)$ | $3.997(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{K}(2)-\mathrm{K}(3)$ | $4.580(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{K}(2)-\mathrm{Bi}(1)$ | $4.145(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{K}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $3.210(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{K}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $3.285(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{K}(3)-\mathrm{Bi}(1)$ | $3.867(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{K}(3)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $3.531(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{K}(3)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $3.383(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{Bi}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $2.393(10)$ |
| ymmin |  |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
(1) $-x+1 / 2,-y+1, z-1 / 2(2)-x+1, y-1 / 2,-z+1 / 2$ (3) $x-1 / 2,-y+1 / 2,-z+1(4) x-1, y-1, z-1(5)-x+1 / 2,-y, z-$ $1 / 2$ (6) $-x, y-1 / 2,-z+1 / 2(7) x-1 / 2,-y+1 / 2,-z(8) z, x, y(9)-z+1 / 2,-x, y-1 / 2$ (10) $y, z, x(11) y-1 / 2,-$ $\mathrm{z}+1 / 2,-\mathrm{x}(12)-\mathrm{x}+3 / 2,-\mathrm{y}+1, \mathrm{z}-1 / 2(13)-\mathrm{x}+1, \mathrm{y}-1 / 2,-\mathrm{z}+3 / 2(14) \mathrm{x}-1 / 2,-\mathrm{y}+3 / 2,-\mathrm{z}+1(15)-\mathrm{x}+1 / 2,-$ $y+1, z+1 / 2(16)-x+1, y+1 / 2,-z+1 / 2(17) x+1 / 2,-y+1 / 2,-z+1(18) z+1 / 2,-x+1 / 2,-y+1(19)-$ $z+1, x+1 / 2,-y+1 / 2(20)-y+1, z+1 / 2,-x+1 / 2(21)-y+1 / 2,-z+1, x+1 / 2(22)-x+3 / 2,-y+1, z+1 / 2(23)-$ $x+1, y+1 / 2,-z+3 / 2(24) x+1 / 2,-y+3 / 2,-z+1(25)-z+3 / 2,-x+1, y+1 / 2(26) y+1 / 2,-z+3 / 2,-x+1$ (27) $x+1, y+1, z+1(28)-x+1 / 2,-y, z+1 / 2(29)-x, y+1 / 2,-z+1 / 2(30) x+1 / 2,-y+1 / 2,-z$


Figure S2: Overlaid diffraction patterns of $1.5 \mathrm{~K} 2 \mathrm{~S}+1 \mathrm{Bi} 2 \mathrm{~S} 3$ panoramic synthesis.


Figure S3: (a) Panoramic syntheses for $2 \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: 1 \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$. (b) Panoramic synthesis for $5 \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: 1$ $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$. In this reaction, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ appears earlier in the reaction (shown in green) and also as a final product with $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ (purple). The regime where materials are in a melt are shown in grey.

To study the influence of increased amounts of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ on the formation of $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ and investigate if additional potassium-rich phases exist, the amount of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ relative to $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ was increased. These reactions, and subsequent reactions, were conducted at a maximum temperature of $650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to avoid the crystallization of the $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ spacer to cristobalite. With an increase in the $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$, the $2 \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: 1 \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ reaction features a pathway is similar to that of the 1.5:1 ratio, as shown in

Figure $\mathrm{S} 3 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ forms at ca. $270^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and disappears at $\mathrm{ca} .585^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, as indicated by the green patterns; $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ evolves at $\mathrm{ca} .465^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, as denoted by the red patterns. However, when the amount of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ is further increased to a 5:1 ratio, the $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ appears at a lower temperature and as a final product.

The increased ratio of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ in the $5: 1$ ratio manifests in different behavior of the $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{S}$ system. The crystallization of the intermediate formed at a lower temperature, the intermediate appeared as a final product, and $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ melted at a temperature lower than $650^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ crystallized at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ emerges at $420^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, as shown in green and red, respectively, in Figure S3b. In this ratio, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ also appears as a final product after recrystallizing at $375^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ upon cooling. The increased concentration of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ in this reaction appears to stabilize $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ at ambient temperature, as it is present as a final product in the 5:1 ratio. It is unclear if the concentration gradient drives the formation to a lower reaction temperature or if, for example, the excess of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ stabilizes the intermediate phase thereby enabling a lower reaction temperature. Additional characterization of the local structure, such as Pair Distribution Function, would elucidate if the earlier occurrence is a result of surface stabilizing effects. In this reaction, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiS}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ persist until melting by $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; this is the first instance of in this work that $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ melts below $750^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Using in-situ techniques, we have discovered that the increased ratio of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ lowers the formation temperature for a key intermediate phase, promotes the melting of $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$, and manifests in the formation of the intermediate phase other not observed at ambient temperature.

Table S4: Crystal data and structure refinement for $\beta-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ at 293 K .

| Empirical formula | K Bi S2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula weight | 312.2 |
| Temperature | 293 K |
| Wavelength | 0.45777 A |
| Crystal system | trigonal |
| Space group | R-3m |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=4.12983(3) \AA, \alpha=90^{\circ} \\ & \mathrm{b}=4.12983(3) \AA, \beta=90^{\circ} \\ & \mathrm{c}=22.09484(17) \AA, \gamma=120^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |
| Volume | 326.351(4) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 3 |
| Density (calculated) | $4.7656 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $13.565 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 402 |
| $2 \theta$ range for data collection | 2.004 to $29.997^{\circ}$ [Step $0.001^{\circ}$ ] |
| Refinement method | Rietveld |
| Constraints / restraints / parameters | 0/0/25 |
| Goodness-of-fit | 1.21 |
| Profile R indices | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}=0.1286, \mathrm{wR}_{\mathrm{p}}=0.1662$ |
| Final Bragg R indices [2б] | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {Bragg }}=0.0183, \mathrm{wR}_{\text {Bragg }}=0.0220$ |
| Bragg R indices [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {all }}=0.0183, \mathrm{wR}_{\text {all }}=0.0220$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.63 and $-0.68 \mathrm{e} \cdot \AA^{-3}$ |
| $\overline{\mathrm{R}}=\Sigma \Sigma\| \| \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\left\|-\left\|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}\right\|\right\| / \Sigma\left\|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right\|, \mathrm{wR}=\left\{\Sigma\left[\mathrm{w}\left(\left\|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right\|^{2}\right.\right.\right.$ | $\left.\left.\left.{ }^{4}\right)\right]\right\}^{1 / 2}$ |

Table S5: Atomic coordinates ( $\mathrm{x} 10^{4}$ ) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}$ ) for $\beta-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ at 293 K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

| Label | x | y | z | Occupancy | $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}{ }^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Bi}(1)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $39(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{K}(1)$ | 3333.33 | 6666.67 | 1666.67 | 1 | $34(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)$ | -3333.33 | 3333.33 | $710(1)$ | 1 | $35(1)$ |

${ }^{*} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ tensor.

Table S6: Anisotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for $\beta-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ at 293 K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

| Label | $\mathrm{U}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{22}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{33}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{23}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Bi}(1)$ | $38(1)$ | $38(1)$ | $41(1)$ | $19(1)$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{~K}(1)$ | $37(1)$ | $37(1)$ | $27(2)$ | $19(1)$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{~S}(1)$ | $37(1)$ | $37(1)$ | $31(2)$ | $18(1)$ | 0 | 0 |

The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} U_{11}+\ldots+2 h k a^{*} b^{*} U_{12}\right]$.

Table S7: Selected bond lengths $[\AA]$ for $\beta-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ at 293 K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

| Label | Distances |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\operatorname{Bi}(1)-\mathrm{K}(1)$ | $4.38700(5)$ |
| $\operatorname{Bi}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $2.8540(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{K}(1)-\mathrm{K}(1)$ | $4.12983(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{K}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $3.1865(12)$ |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
(1) $x-1, y-1, z(2) x, y-1, z(3) x+1 / 3, y+2 / 3, z+2 / 3$ (4) $x+1 / 3, y+2 / 3, z+2 / 3(5) x+1 / 3, y+2 / 3, z+2 / 3$ (6) $x+1, y, z(7) y-1, x,-z(8) y, x,-z(9) y, x+1,-z(10) x-1, y, z(11) x, y+1, z(12) x+1, y+1, z$ (13) $y+2 / 3, x+1 / 3,-z+1 / 3(14) y+2 / 3, x+1 / 3,-z+1 / 3(15) y+2 / 3, x+1 / 3,-z+1 / 3(16) x+2 / 3, y+1 / 3, z+1 / 3$ (17) $x+2 / 3, y+1 / 3, z+1 / 3(18) x+2 / 3, y+1 / 3, z+1 / 3$


Figure S4: Reactions to test boundary of ordered vs disordered rocksalt formation for $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$. Stoichiometric ratio yielded the ordered phase, while a 5\% excess yielded disordered phase.


Figure S5: Photoemissions yield spectroscopy in air using a Kelvin Probe for (a) $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$, (b) $\beta$ $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$, (c) $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$ and (d) $\beta-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$.

Energy of valence band maxima is determined from the intersection of the baseline and fit line for the respective spectrum. Experimental energies of valence band maxima are shown in Table S11.

Table S8: Table of valence band maxima, conduction band minima, and band gap energies.

|  | Valence Band Maxima (eV) | Conduction Band Minima (eV) | Band Gap <br> (eV) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha-K B i S 2$ | 5.32 | 4.09 | 1.23 |
| $\beta-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ | 5.36 | 3.81 | 1.55 |
| $\alpha$-KBiSe ${ }_{2}$ | 5.10 | 4.26 | 0.84 |
| $\beta$-KBiSe ${ }_{2}$ | 5.28 | 4.19 | 1.09 |
| Si | 4.60 | 3.5 | 1.1 |
| CdTe | 4.95 | 3.5 | 1.5 |
| $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ | 5.16 | 2.0 | 3.2 |
| MAPbI3 | 5.44 | 3.92 | 1.52 |



Figure $\mathrm{S} 6: ~ \beta-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ thermal analysis.


Figure S7: Le Bail refinement for PXRD collected at $195^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Se}+\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ panoramic synthesis. The lattice constant for $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{BiSe}_{3}$ expands to $9.8590(7) \AA$ at $195^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. As shown by this refinement, $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ persists at this temperature.

Table S9: Crystal data and structure refinement for $\beta-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$ at 298 K .

| Empirical formula | K1 Bi1 Se2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula weight | 406 |
| Temperature | 298 K |
| Wavelength | 0.41283 A |
| Crystal system | trigonal |
| Space group | R-3 m |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=4.264423(14) \AA, \alpha=90^{\circ} \\ & \mathrm{b}=4.264423(14) \AA, \beta=90^{\circ} \\ & \mathrm{c}=23.02901(14) \AA, \gamma=120^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |
| Volume | 362.682(3) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 3 |
| Density (calculated) | $5.5766 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $12.726 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 510 |
| $2 \theta$ range for data collection | 0.500 to $27.581^{\circ}$ [Step $0.001^{\circ}$ ] |
| Refinement method | Rietveld Refinement |
| Constraints / restraints / parameters | 0/0/26 |
| Goodness-of-fit | 1.10 |
| Profile R indices | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}=0.1383, \mathrm{wR}_{\mathrm{p}}=0.1762$ |
| Final Bragg R indices [3б] | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {Bragg }}=0.0263, \mathrm{wR}_{\text {Bragg }}=0.0287$ |
| Bragg R indices [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {all }}=0.0269, \mathrm{wR}_{\text {all }}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 1.04 and -3.45 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |
| $\overline{\mathrm{R}}=\Sigma \Sigma\| \| \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\left\|-\left\|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}\right\|\right\| / \Sigma\left\|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right\|, \mathrm{wR}=\left\{\Sigma\left[\mathrm{w}\left(\left\|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right\|^{2}-\left\|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}\right\|^{2}\right)^{2}\right] / \Sigma\left[\mathrm{w}\left(\left\|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right\|^{4}\right)\right]\right\}^{1 / 2}$ |  |

Table S10: Atomic coordinates ( $\mathrm{x} 10^{4}$ ) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}$ ) for $\beta-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$ at 298 K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

| Label | x | y | z | Occupancy | $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}{ }^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Bi}(1)$ | 3333.33 | 6666.67 | 1666.67 | 1 | $21(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{K}(1)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $18(2)$ |
| $\operatorname{Se}(1)$ | 0 | 0 | $2385(1)$ | 1 | $16(1)$ |

[^2]Table S11: Anisotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for $\beta-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$ at 298 K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

| Label | $\mathrm{U}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{22}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{33}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{23}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Bi}(1)$ | $19(1)$ | $19(1)$ | $25(1)$ | $9(1)$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{~K}(1)$ | $22(2)$ | $22(2)$ | $11(2)$ | $11(1)$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\operatorname{Se}(1)$ | $17(1)$ | $17(1)$ | $14(1)$ | $9(1)$ | 0 | 0 |

The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} U_{11}+\ldots+2 h k a^{*} b^{*} U_{12}\right]$.

Table S12: Bond lengths [ $\AA$ ] for $\beta-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$ at 298 K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

| Label | Distances |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\operatorname{Bi}(1)-\mathrm{K}(1)$ | $4.55997(4)$ |
| $\operatorname{Bi}(1)-\mathrm{Se}(1)$ | $2.9664(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{K}(1)-\mathrm{K}(1)$ | $4.26442(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{K}(1)-\mathrm{Se}(1)$ | $3.2908(7)$ |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
(1) $x, y+1, z(2) x+1, y+1, z(3) x+2 / 3, y+1 / 3, z+1 / 3(4) x+2 / 3, y+1 / 3, z+1 / 3(5) x+2 / 3, y+1 / 3, z+1 / 3$ (6) $y+2 / 3, x+1 / 3,-z+1 / 3(7) y+2 / 3, x+1 / 3,-z+1 / 3(8) y+2 / 3, x+1 / 3,-z+1 / 3(9) x-1, y-1, z(10) x-1, y, z(11)$ $x, y-1, z(12) x+1, y, z(13) x+1 / 3, y+2 / 3, z+2 / 3(14) x+1 / 3, y+2 / 3, z+2 / 3(15) x+1 / 3, y+2 / 3, z+2 / 3$ (16) $y+2 / 3, x+1 / 3,-z+1 / 3(17) x+1 / 3, y+2 / 3, z+2 / 3(18) x+2 / 3, y+1 / 3, z+1 / 3$


Figure S8: Extended structure of $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$.


Figure $\mathrm{S} 9: \beta-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$ thermal analysis.
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Figure S10: The crystal structures used in DFT calculations of (a) $\beta-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$, (b) $\beta-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$, (c) $\alpha-$ $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ and (d) $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$.

The $\beta-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ has a $R \overline{3} m$ structure, which is an elongated rocksalt-structure in the [111] direction. The $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}(F m \overline{3} m)$ is generated by both SQS (for formation energy calculations) and supercells (for band structure calculations).


Figure S 11 : Orbital decomposed band structure, which shows the atomic orbital contribution to the $\beta-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ band structure.


Figure S12: DFT total energies of (a) $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ and (b) $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$ as a function of lattice parameter scaled to the reference structure.

The lattice parameters of primitive rocksalt $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ are determined by the lowest energy configurations as shown in Figure S12. The $2 \times 2 \times 2$ supercells are then generated using these lattice parameters and the lowest energy one is chosen from 10 randomly mixed cation-disordered supercells as the structures for $\alpha-\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$.


Figure S13: DFT mixing entropy calculations for the stability of $\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}(\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se})$ crystallized in the rocksalt ( $\mathrm{Fm} \overline{\mathbf{3}} \mathrm{m}$, red line), $\alpha-\mathrm{NaFeO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{R} \overline{\mathbf{3}} \mathrm{m}\right.$, blue line), and $\mathrm{CsSbS}_{2}\left(\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}\right.$, black line) structure types at finite temperatures.

DFT mixing entropy calculations confirm the energetic stability of $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{KBiSe}_{2}$ crystallizing in the $\alpha-\mathrm{NaFeO}_{2}(R \overline{3} m)$ structure type over the rocksalt and $\mathrm{CsSbS}_{2}$ structure types. The calculations additionally highlight that the $\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ compositions are least stable in the monoclinic $\mathrm{CsSbS}_{2}$ structure type compared to the $F m \overline{3} m$ and $R \overline{3} m$ structures, thereby corroborating the experimental observation that $\mathrm{KBiQ}_{2}$ does not crystallize in this monoclinic structure type.

Table S13: Energy differences ( $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ ) in meV/atom between the $\mathrm{CsSbS}_{2}\left(\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}\right)$ and $\alpha-\mathrm{NaFeO}_{2}$ $(\mathrm{R} \overline{\mathbf{3}} \mathrm{m})$ structure types for reported $\mathrm{ABiQ}_{2}(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{Li}, \mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Rb}, \mathrm{Cs} ; \mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se}, \mathrm{Te})$ compounds, where $\Delta \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{P} 21 / \mathrm{c}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{R}-3 \mathrm{~m}}$.

|  | Li | Na | K | Rb | Cs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | S | 14 | 18 | 75 | 46 |
| Se | - | 23 | 76 | - | - |



The structures of $\operatorname{RbBiS}_{2}(R \overline{3} m)$ and $\mathrm{CsBiS}_{2}\left(P 2_{1} / c\right)$ are used as prototypes for the $\mathrm{CsSbS}_{2}$ $\left(P 2_{1} / c\right)$ and $\alpha-\mathrm{NaFeO}_{2}(R \overline{3} m)$ structure type while the SQS structure, as discussed in the main text, is used to simulate the disordered rocksalt structures.

Table S14: Fitted transition temperatures, in K, between the DFT-calculated ground state $\alpha-$ $\mathrm{NaFeO}_{2}$ and the rocksalt structure types for all $\mathrm{ABiQ}_{2}(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{Li}, \mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Rb}, \mathrm{Cs} ; \mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se}, \mathrm{Te})$ compounds.

|  | Li | Na | K | $\mathbf{R b}$ | Cs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | 260 K | 440 K | 730 K | 1220 K | 690 K |
| Se | - | 430 K | 750 K | - | - |
| Te | 260 K | 400 K | - | - | - |

The transition temperature between the $\alpha-\mathrm{NaFeO}_{2}$ and rocksalt structure types is proportional to the energy difference between the $\mathrm{T}=0 \mathrm{~K}$ energy of the DFT-calculated ground state $\alpha-\mathrm{NaFeO}_{2}$ structure type and the $\mathrm{T}=0 \mathrm{~K}$ energy of the $\mathrm{SQS}^{117}$ rocksalt structures. By fitting the transition temperature of $\mathrm{KBiS}_{2}(730 \mathrm{~K})$, we obtain the transition temperatures for all other compounds.

We note that the calculated transformation temperatures are fairly approximate, and should not be interpreted too quantitatively, but rather are only a guide of the order-disorder trends in these compounds. A more quantitative calculation of transformation temperature would involve determination of the configurational and vibrational entropy contributions, as shown by Hua et al.

Xia Hua, Shiqiang Hao, and Chris Wolverton, First-principles study of vibrational entropy effects on the PbTe-SrTe phase diagram, Phys. Rev. Materials 2, 095402.
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Figure S14: Heat map of $2 \mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{Se}$ reaction sieved $<65 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and heated at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ using Ag radiation. The persistent, diffuse peak at ca. $9^{\circ} 2 \theta$ is quartz from the quartz capillaries.


Figure S15: Rietveld refinement of the room temperature scan of $2 \mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{Se}$ in situ synthesis. As shown in the diffractogram, $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ (as quartz) can be observed and is a result of the quartz capillaries used.


Figure S16: Rietveld refinement of the scan at $115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ showing the crystallization of Se in the 2 Cu + Se in situ synthesis. This diffractogram is shown in place of the onset diffractogram, as peak is better observed as it intensifies. As before, $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ (as quartz) is present as a result of the quartz capillaries used.


Figure S17: LeBail fitting of the $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ scan indicating the presence of $\mathrm{CuSe}_{2}$ and CuSe alongside Cu and Se . A portion of the $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ broad peak is truncated in order to stabilize the fitting.


Figure S18: Rietveld refinement of the $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ scan showing the presence of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Se}$, disappearance of $\mathrm{CuSe}_{2}$, and persistence of CuSe . Similar to the $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ scan, a portion of the $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ broad peak is truncated in order to stabilize the fitting.


Figure S19: Rietveld refinement at $475^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ showing the presence of only $\mathrm{Cu}_{2-x} \mathrm{Se}$ and the quartz capillary signal.

Table S15. Lattice parameter changes for $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$ during $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{Bi}+3 \mathrm{Cu}+3 \mathrm{Se}$ reaction.

| $\mathbf{T 1}$ | $\mathbf{T 2}$ | Avg. T | a-axis ( $\mathbf{( \mathbf { A } )}$ | Error in <br> $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{\AA})$ | c-axis $(\AA \mathbf{\AA})$ | Error in <br> $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{\AA})$ | Volume <br> $\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | Error in <br> Volume $\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 203.8 | 203.7 | $\mathbf{2 0 3 . 8}$ | 4.248 | 0.001 | 40.36 | 0.02 | 630.9 | 0.3 |
| 221.7 | 225.1 | $\mathbf{2 2 3 . 4}$ | 4.2592 | 0.0006 | 40.27 | 0.01 | 632.7 | 0.2 |
| 246.2 | 243.2 | $\mathbf{2 4 4 . 7}$ | 4.2669 | 0.0006 | 40.20 | 0.01 | 633.8 | 0.2 |
| 275.9 | 269.9 | $\mathbf{2 7 2 . 9}$ | 4.2708 | 0.0002 | 40.29 | 0.01 | 636.41 | 0.07 |
| 328.1 | 325.9 | $\mathbf{3 2 7 . 0}$ | 4.2348 | 0.0006 | 40.375 | 0.004 | 627.1 | 0.2 |
| 378.2 | 375.4 | $\mathbf{3 7 6 . 8}$ | 4.2129 | 0.0006 | 40.44 | 0.01 | 621.6 | 0.2 |
| 427.5 | 428.1 | $\mathbf{4 2 7 . 8}$ | 4.2092 | 0.0007 | 40.47 | 0.02 | 620.9 | 0.3 |
| 476.7 | 478.0 | $\mathbf{4 7 7 . 4}$ | 4.227 | 0.001 | 40.10 | 0.02 | 620.5 | 0.4 |
| 502.1 | 502.5 | $\mathbf{5 0 2 . 3}$ | 4.202 | 0.002 | 40.38 | 0.04 | 617.4 | 0.8 |

Lattice parameters, volume, and associated errors are calculated from Rietveld refinements using Jana2006 software. $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ for each temperature point are the temperatures at the beginning and end of each measurement. The average temperatures are presented in Figure 6 of the manuscript.

The equation for negative thermal expansion is $\frac{l_{T_{2}}-l_{T_{1}}}{l_{T_{2}}\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)}$, where $l$ is the cell edge at $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{T}_{2}$. The a-axis is used in this calculation for the range $272.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}\right)$ to $376.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}\right)$. The equation becomes: $\frac{4.2129 \AA-4.2708 \AA}{4.2708 \AA\left(\left(376.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-272.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)+273.15\right)}=-3.59 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$.


Figure S20: Heat map of the $2 \mathrm{Bi}+3$ Se panoramic synthesis. Reactants were sieved $<65 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and heated at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ using Ag radiation. The persistent, diffuse peak at ca. $9^{\circ} 2 \theta$ is quartz from the quartz capillaries.


Figure S 21 : $\mathrm{Bi}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Se}_{\mathrm{y}}$ comparison using Ag radiation. Notably, the $2 \theta$ that is able to be measured shows significant diffraction similarities between the compositions. In order to differentiate these materials, low angle data is needed, but is not possible with the current in-house set up.

Table S16. Calculations of reaction energies for both complex and elemental precursor pathways.

## Complex Precursors:

$\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}+\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{BiOCuSe} \quad-5.76 \quad \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{BiOCuSe}$

| Elemental Precursors pathway: |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Se}_{(\mathrm{s})} \rightarrow \mathrm{Se}_{(1)}$ | -6.20 | $\mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Se}^{253}$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{Se} \rightarrow \mathrm{CuSe}^{25}$ | -26.80 | $\mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{CuSe}^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{Bi}+4 \mathrm{Se} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$ | -214.63 | $\mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$ |
| $2 \mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}+2 \mathrm{CuSe}^{2} \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}+\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ | -32.11 | $\mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ |
| $2 \mathrm{Bi}+3 \mathrm{Se} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ | -190.07 | $\mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ |
| $2 \mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{Se} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ | -28.21 | $\mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}^{2}$ |
| $4 \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}+6 \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Se}+2 \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3} \rightarrow 12 \mathrm{BiCuSeO}$ | -12.75 | $\mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{BiOCuSe}$ |
|  |  |  |
| In Total: |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{Bi}+3 \mathrm{Cu}+3 \mathrm{Se} \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{BiCuSeO}$ | -58.53 | $\mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{BiOCuSe}$ |

DFT was used to confirm the hypothesis that the reactions are exothermic. When
considering the absence of peaks in the DTA data, one must consider first that DFT can only tell whether a reaction is exothermic or endothermic, but it is very hard to quantify the extent. For example, one can use the data in another database, such as Materials Project, to derive this property. The calculated reaction energy of $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{Se} \rightarrow \mathrm{CuSe}$ in Materials Project is $-69 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$, while the reported experimental result in the database is $-42 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$. Our calculations resulted in an enthalpy of is $-27 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$. The discrepancies between the values are primarily a result of the different choice of pseudopotentials and the calculation approaches.

We hypothesize that the reactions are not observed in the DTA data as the release of energy is gradual, as was similarly seen for the $\mathrm{NaFeS}_{2}$ intermediate observed in the $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}+\mathrm{FeCl}_{2}$ reaction by Martinolich, et al. ${ }^{79}$ As the formation of $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{4}$ is reliant on the interfacial contact between Bi and Se to form, the reaction is diffusion limited. This limitation results in the reaction occurring over a period of time and therefore the energetic release is gradual as well. Selenium, on the other hand, can more expediently melt (i.e. over a shorter temperature range) as its melting is not dependent on other reactants in the mixture.

## Appendix D: Supplementary Information for Chapter 4.

Table S17: Atomic coordinates (x104) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( A 2 x 103 ) for In8 S2.82 Te12.18 at 293(2) K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

| Label | x | y | z | Occupancy | $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}{ }^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Te}(07)$ | $5006(1)$ | $6006(1)$ | $4872(1)$ | 1 | $13(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(02)$ | $7418(1)$ | $2536(1)$ | $6028(1)$ | 1 | $14(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(03)$ | $7246(1)$ | $7488(1)$ | $3481(1)$ | 1 | $15(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(04)$ | $8989(1)$ | $5137(1)$ | $7511(1)$ | 1 | $16(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(05)$ | $9950(1)$ | $6558(1)$ | $5268(1)$ | 1 | $15(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(06)$ | $6474(1)$ | $4820(1)$ | $7468(1)$ | 1 | $18(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{In}(01)$ | $8653(1)$ | $4093(1)$ | $5861(1)$ | 1 | $14(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{In}(02)$ | $6704(1)$ | $5999(1)$ | $5947(1)$ | 1 | $15(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{In}(03)$ | $8604(1)$ | $6214(1)$ | $3924(1)$ | 1 | $17(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{In}(04)$ | $6012(1)$ | $6397(1)$ | $8460(1)$ | 1 | $17(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(01)$ | 5000 | $7518(1)$ | 7500 | $0.817(5)$ | $16(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01)$ | 5000 | $7518(1)$ | 7500 | $0.183(5)$ | $16(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(02)$ | $7585(1)$ | $5007(1)$ | $4808(1)$ | 1 | $14(1)$ |
| ${ }^{*} \mathrm{U}_{\text {eq }}$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ tensor. |  |  |  |  |  |

* $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ tensor.

Table S18: Anisotropic displacement parameters (Å2x103) for $\operatorname{In} 8 \mathrm{~S} 2.82 \mathrm{Te} 12.18$ at 293(2) K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

| Label | $\mathrm{U}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{22}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{33}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Te}(07)$ | $12(1)$ | $14(1)$ | $14(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(02)$ | $13(1)$ | $13(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(03)$ | $15(1)$ | $14(1)$ | $17(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(04)$ | $24(1)$ | $13(1)$ | $13(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(05)$ | $14(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(06)$ | $24(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $2(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{In}(01)$ | $13(1)$ | $14(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{In}(02)$ | $14(1)$ | $14(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\operatorname{In}(03)$ | $16(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $17(1)$ | $2(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\operatorname{In}(04)$ | $18(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $-3(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $-3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(01)$ | $17(1)$ | $14(1)$ | $16(1)$ | 0 | $-2(1)$ | 0 |


| $\mathrm{Te}(01)$ | $17(1)$ | $14(1)$ | $16(1)$ | 0 | $-2(1)$ | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~S}(02)$ | $14(1)$ | $14(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: |  |  |  |  | $-2 \pi^{2}\left[\mathrm{~h}^{2} \mathrm{a}^{* 2} \mathrm{U}_{11}+\ldots\right.$ | $\left.+2 \mathrm{hka} \mathrm{b}^{*} \mathrm{U}_{12}\right]$. |

Table S19: Bond lengths [Å] for In8 S2.82 Te12.18 at 293(2) K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

| Label | Distances |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\operatorname{In}(01)-\mathrm{S}(02)$ | $2.488(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{In}(01)-\mathrm{Te}(02)$ | $2.8554(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{In}(01)-\mathrm{Te}(04)$ | $2.8079(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{In}(01)-\mathrm{Te}(05)$ | $2.7387(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{In}(02)-\mathrm{S}(02)$ | $2.5013(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{In}(02)-\mathrm{Te}(03)$ | $2.7493(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{In}(02)-\mathrm{Te}(06)$ | $2.7588(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{In}(02)-\mathrm{Te}(07)$ | $2.8464(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{In}(03)-\mathrm{S}(02)$ | $2.592(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{In}(03)-\mathrm{Te}(03)$ | $2.7280(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{In}(03)-\mathrm{Te}(04)$ | $2.8403(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{In}(03)-\mathrm{Te}(05)$ | $2.7316(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{In}(04)-\mathrm{S}(01)$ | $2.5405(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{In}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(02)$ | $2.8570(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{In}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(07)$ | $2.8471(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{In}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(07)$ | $2.7435(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(02)-\mathrm{Te}(02)$ | $2.9246(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(04)$ | $2.8916(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(07)-\mathrm{Te}(07)$ | $2.9029(7)$ |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
(1) $-x+1,-y+1,-z+1(2)-x+1, y,-z+3 / 2(3)-x+3 / 2,-y+1 / 2,-z+1(4)-x+3 / 2, y-1 / 2,-z+3 / 2(5)-x+3 / 2,-$ $y+3 / 2,-z+1$ (6) $-x+2, y,-z+3 / 2$ (7) $x,-y+1, z+1 / 2$ (8) $-x+2,-y+1,-z+1$ (9) $x,-y+1, z-1 / 2$ (10) $x+3 / 2, y+1 / 2,-z+3 / 2$

Table S20: Bond angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for In8 S2.82 Te12.18 at 293(2) K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

| Label | Angles |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{In}(02)-\mathrm{Te}(07)-\mathrm{Te}(07) \# 1$ | 86.316(17) |
| $\operatorname{In}(02)-\mathrm{Te}(07)-\mathrm{In}(04) \# 2$ | 89.998(17) |
| $\mathrm{In}(04) \# 2-\mathrm{Te}(07)-\mathrm{Te}(07) \# 1$ | 95.019(18) |
| $\mathrm{In}(01)-\mathrm{Te}(02)-\mathrm{Te}(02) \# 3$ | 83.451(17) |
| $\operatorname{In}(01)-\mathrm{Te}(02)-\mathrm{In}(04) \# 4$ | 88.970(16) |
| $\mathrm{In}(04) \# 4-\mathrm{Te}(02)-\mathrm{Te}(02) \# 3$ | 99.118(18) |
| $\operatorname{In}(03)-\mathrm{Te}(03)-\mathrm{In}(02) \# 5$ | 94.339(17) |
| $\mathrm{In}(01)-\mathrm{Te}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(04) \# 6$ | 98.599(18) |
| $\operatorname{In}(01)-\mathrm{Te}(04)-\operatorname{In}(03) \# 7$ | 101.042(17) |
| $\mathrm{In}(03) \# 7-\mathrm{Te}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(04) \# 6$ | 102.236(17) |
| $\operatorname{In}(03)-\mathrm{Te}(05)-\mathrm{In}(01) \# 8$ | 92.554(17) |
| $\operatorname{In}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(06)-\mathrm{In}(02)$ | 85.940(16) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(04)-\mathrm{In}(01)-\mathrm{Te}(02)$ | 116.380(18) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(05) \# 8-\mathrm{In}(01)-\mathrm{Te}(02)$ | 103.847(16) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(05) \# 8-\mathrm{In}(01)-\mathrm{Te}(04)$ | 123.315(18) |
| $\mathrm{S}(02)-\mathrm{In}(01)-\mathrm{Te}(02)$ | 94.90(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(02)-\mathrm{In}(01)-\mathrm{Te}(04)$ | 108.34(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(02)-\mathrm{In}(01)-\mathrm{Te}(05) \# 8$ | 106.00(4) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(03) \# 5-\mathrm{In}(02)-\mathrm{Te}(07)$ | 127.731(18) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(03) \# 5-\mathrm{In}(02)-\mathrm{Te}(06)$ | 108.800(19) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(06)-\mathrm{In}(02)-\mathrm{Te}(07)$ | 107.983(18) |
| $\mathrm{S}(02)-\mathrm{In}(02)-\mathrm{Te}(07)$ | 95.24(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(02)-\mathrm{In}(02)-\mathrm{Te}(03) \# 5$ | 111.05(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(02)-\mathrm{In}(02)-\mathrm{Te}(06)$ | 102.89(4) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(03)-\mathrm{In}(03)-\mathrm{Te}(04) \# 9$ | 115.937(19) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(03)-\mathrm{In}(03)-\mathrm{Te}(05)$ | 122.065(19) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(05)-\mathrm{In}(03)-\mathrm{Te}(04) \# 9$ | 118.110(19) |
| $\mathrm{S}(02)-\mathrm{In}(03)-\mathrm{Te}(03)$ | 98.84(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(02)-\mathrm{In}(03)-\mathrm{Te}(04) \# 9$ | 90.17(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(02)-\mathrm{In}(03)-\mathrm{Te}(05)$ | 100.30(4) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(07) \# 2-\mathrm{In}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(02) \# 10$ | 108.101(18) |


| $\mathrm{Te}(06)-\operatorname{In}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(07) \# 2$ | $113.120(18)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Te}(06)-\operatorname{In}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(02) \# 10$ | $113.885(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(01)-\operatorname{In}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(07) \# 2$ | $105.874(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(01)-\operatorname{In}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(02) \# 10$ | $102.41(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(01)-\operatorname{In}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(06)$ | $112.61(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{In}(04) \# 2-\mathrm{S}(01)-\operatorname{In}(04)$ | $101.75(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{In}(01)-\mathrm{S}(02)-\operatorname{In}(02)$ | $102.86(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{In}(01)-\mathrm{S}(02)-\operatorname{In}(03)$ | $107.18(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{In}(02)-\mathrm{S}(02)-\operatorname{In}(03)$ | $102.99(5)$ |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
(1) $-x+1,-y+1,-z+1(2)-x+1, y,-z+3 / 2(3)-x+3 / 2,-y+1 / 2,-z+1(4)-x+3 / 2, y-1 / 2,-z+3 / 2(5)-x+3 / 2,-$ $y+3 / 2,-z+1$ (6) $-x+2, y,-z+3 / 2$ (7) $x,-y+1, z+1 / 2$ (8) $-x+2,-y+1,-z+1$ (9) $x,-y+1, z-1 / 2$ (10) $x+3 / 2, y+1 / 2,-z+3 / 2$


Figure S22: Differential thermal analysis of $\operatorname{In}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2.82} \mathrm{Te}_{12.18}$.

Using a rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to maximum temperature of $750^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the melting point of this
material was determined to be $585^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A small thermal peak was observed at $385^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and variable temperature PXRD was conducted to see if this thermal peak may be a phase transition (Figure S23).


Figure S23: Variable temperature PXRD of $\operatorname{In}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2.82} \mathrm{Te}_{12.18}$, using Ag radiation.

VT-PXRD was conducted to determine if the small thermal peak at $385^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was a phase transition. As shown in Figure S23, the thermal peak cannot be attributed to a phase transition.


Figure S24: Calculation for thermal conductivity.

The thermal conductivity could not be calculated because the ordered structure used, $\mathrm{In}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{Te}_{12}$, has imaginary phonon modes, shown in Figure S 24 . The negative frequency is relatively small, which means this structure would likely be stabilized by temperature. However, this restricts the calculation of this material's thermal conductivities.

## Appendix E: Supplementary Information for Chapter 4.

Table S21: Atomic coordinates ( $\mathbf{x 1 0}{ }^{4}$ ) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2} \mathbf{x} 10^{3}$ ) for Ba2 $\mathbf{S 1 . 2 0} \mathrm{Sn} \mathrm{Te} 2.80$ at 273.15 K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

| Label | x | y | z | Occupancy | $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}{ }^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 5000 | 5000 | $6600(1)$ | 1 | $19(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 2500 | $6739(1)$ | $1582(1)$ | 1 | $27(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $4488(1)$ | $7831(1)$ | $8448(1)$ | 1 | $21(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)$ | 2500 | $7760(1)$ | $6472(1)$ | 1 | $16(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(2)$ | 2500 | $9348(1)$ | $4042(1)$ | 0.8 | $17(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 2500 | $9348(1)$ | $4042(1)$ | 0.2 | $17(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 2500 | $5867(2)$ | $5168(3)$ | 1 | $16(1)$ |

* $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ tensor.

Table S22: Anisotropic displacement parameters (Å2x103) for Ba2 S1.20 Sn Te2.80 at 273.15 $K$ with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

| Label | $\mathrm{U}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{22}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{33}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $24(1)$ | $2(1)$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | $22(1)$ | $44(1)$ | $14(1)$ | 0 | 0 | $1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $14(1)$ | $30(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $-8(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)$ | $13(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $16(1)$ | 0 | 0 | $-2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(2)$ | $17(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $17(1)$ | 0 | 0 | $4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $17(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $17(1)$ | 0 | 0 | $4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $14(2)$ | $18(2)$ | $15(2)$ | 0 | 0 | $3(1)$ |

The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} \mathrm{a}^{* 2} \mathrm{U}_{11}+\ldots \quad+\right.$ 2hka* ${ }^{*} \mathrm{U}_{12}$ ].

Table S23: Bond lengths [Å] for Ba2 S1.20 Sn Te2.80 at 273.15 K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

| Label | Distances |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | $4.6679(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $3.1651(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $3.4838(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $3.6301(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $3.6102(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2)$ | $3.4838(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | $4.6678(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $3.064(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $3.4334(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $3.6975(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $3.5842(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2)$ | $3.6009(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2)$ | $3.4334(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $2.421(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $2.7225(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2)$ | $2.6816(10)$ |
| Sy |  |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
(1) $x, y-1 / 2, z+1 / 2(2)-x+1,-y+3 / 2, z+1 / 2(3) x, y-1 / 2, z-1 / 2(4)-x+1,-y+3 / 2, z-1 / 2(5)-x+1,-$ $y+1, z(6) x-1 / 2,-y+3 / 2, z-1 / 2(7) x, y+1 / 2, z-1 / 2(8)-x+1 / 2, y, z-1(9) x, y, z-1(10) x, y+1 / 2, z+1 / 2(11)$ $x, y, z+1(12)-x+1 / 2, y, z(13) x-1 / 2,-y+1, z$

Table S24: Bond angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for Ba2 S1.20 Sn Te2.80 at 273.15 K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

| Label | Angles |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 72.880(11) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 122.33(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 152.41(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 79.134(15) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 93.000(15) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 105.47(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 82.409(17) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2) 1-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 80.904(18) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 179.66(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 116.63(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 94.084(18) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 152.90(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 99.510(17) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 176.77(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 105.40(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 74.47(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 137.18(8) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 146.70(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 65.00(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 124.76(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 70.27(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 74.33(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 79.80 (5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2)$ | 146.70(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 105.13(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 107.55(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 141.450(14) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 70.071(18) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2)$ | 107.55(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2)$ | 75.68(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 106.36(4) |


| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2)$ | 107.22(6) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 130.375(18) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 130.375(18) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 49.877(15) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 111.08(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 132.305(13) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 72.445(16) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 80.590(17) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 97.153(15) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2)$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2)$ | 111.1 |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 130.307(16) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 130.308(16) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 110.527(14) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 81.929(19) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2)$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2)$ | 176.8 |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 97.20(5) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 96.62(8) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 124.13(2) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 81.890(18) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 119.80(2) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 84.551(19) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 124.118(14) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 80.48(3) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 96.29(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 129.109(13) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 130.378(18) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 49.306(12) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 51.071(10) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 131.47(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 152.42(2) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 72.122(5) |


| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $90.37(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | $115.324(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | $49.791(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | $94.885(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $129.23(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $142.78(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $71.629(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $75.92(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2)$ | $95.534(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $108.15(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | $130.375(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | $130.375(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | $49.877(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $111.08(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $132.305(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $72.445(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $80.590(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $97.153(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2)$ | $111.08(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | $130.307(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | $130.308(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | $47.714(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $70.355(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $81.929(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2)$ | $176.77(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $114.09(2)$ |
|  |  |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
(1) $x, y-1 / 2, z+1 / 2(2)-x+1,-y+3 / 2, z+1 / 2(3) x, y-1 / 2, z-1 / 2(4)-x+1,-y+3 / 2, z-1 / 2(5)-x+1,-y+1, z(6)$ $x-1 / 2,-y+3 / 2, z-1 / 2(7) x, y+1 / 2, z-1 / 2$ (8) $-x+1 / 2, y, z-1$ (9) $x, y, z-1$ (10) $x, y+1 / 2, z+1 / 2$ (11) $x, y, z+1$ (12) $-x+1 / 2, y, z(13) x-1 / 2,-y+1, z$

Table S25: Atomic coordinates (x104) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(\AA 2 \times 103)$ for Ba 3 S 4 Sn Te at $100.01(10) \mathrm{K}$ with estimated standard deviations in
parentheses.

| Label | x | y | z | Occupancy | $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}{ }^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 5000 | $4498(1)$ | 7500 | 1 | $6(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 0 | $3462(1)$ | $4834(1)$ | 1 | $7(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 0 | $5045(1)$ | 2500 | 1 | $6(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)$ | 5000 | $3164(1)$ | 2500 | 1 | $5(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $2270(1)$ | $2314(1)$ | 2500 | 1 | $9(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 5000 | $3934(1)$ | $4519(1)$ | 1 | $12(1)$ |

* $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ tensor.

Table S26: Anisotropic displacement parameters (Å2x103) for Ba3 S4 Sn Te at 100.01(10) K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

| Label | $\mathrm{U}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{22}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{33}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | $7(1)$ | $6(1)$ | $4(1)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | $9(1)$ | $7(1)$ | $5(1)$ | 0 | 0 | $0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $7(1)$ | $5(1)$ | $6(1)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)$ | $5(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $5(1)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{~S}(1)$ | $6(1)$ | $7(1)$ | $14(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{~S}(2)$ | $19(1)$ | $11(1)$ | $4(1)$ | 0 | 0 | $-2(1)$ |

The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} U_{11}+\ldots \quad+\right.$ $2 h k a^{*} b^{*} U_{12}$ ].

Table S27: Bond lengths [ $\AA$ ] for Ba3 S4 Sn Te at $100.01(10) \mathrm{K}$ with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

| Label | Distances |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | $4.66348(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | $4.66351(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $3.3684(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $3.0538(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $3.2422(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)$ | $3.8398(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $3.55617(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | $4.5576(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $3.3515(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $3.3516(4)$ |


| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $3.4640(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $3.57484(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)$ | $3.72815(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $3.45696(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $3.57565(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $2.3553(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $2.3421(6)$ |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
(1) $x, y,-z+3 / 2(2) x+1, y,-z+3 / 2(3)-x+1,-y+1,-z+1(4)-x,-y+1,-z+1(5) x+1 / 2,-y+1 / 2, z+1 / 2$
(6) $-x+1 / 2,-y+1 / 2,-z+1(7)-x+1,-y+1, z+1 / 2(8) x-1, y, z(9) x, y,-z+1 / 2(10) x-1 / 2,-y+1 / 2, z+1 / 2(11)$
$-x, y,-z+1 / 2(12)-x,-y+1, z-1 / 2(13)-x+1 / 2,-y+1 / 2, z-1 / 2(14)-x+1, y,-z+1 / 2(15) x+1, y, z$

Table S28: Bond angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for Ba 3 S 4 Sn Te at $100.01(10) \mathrm{K}$ with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

| Label | Angles |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 96.379(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 86.077(10) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 109.807(17) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 102.990(9) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 89.063(9) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 155.614(8) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 81.672(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2) 3-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 88.541(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 137.215(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 67.840(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 96.378(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 123.920(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 106.022(12) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 167.328(16) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 85.676(13) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 87.365(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 97.401(13) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 152.982(18) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 135.730(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 99.138(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 174.535(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 82.477(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 92.058(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 93.406(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 47.821(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 91.544(8) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 55.874(18) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)$ | 152.063(9) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 130.130(10) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 74.256(9) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 103.504(8) |


| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 159.295(9) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 46.104(9) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 97.087(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 138.699(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 47.161(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 124.187(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 56.180(16) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 66.443(15) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 92.634(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 121.420(12) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 132.637(12) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 66.774(12) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 67.164(12) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)$ | 38.007(8) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)$ | 94.134(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 151.685(8) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 72.879(8) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 88.538(8) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 88.539(8) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 126.312(13) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) 3$ | 64.909(9) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 107.37(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 114.920(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 114.920(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 128.965(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 50.037(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 87.158(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 134.547(9) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 74.481(10) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 144.74(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 145.065(13) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 70.193(17) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 74.872(19) |


| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)$ | 107.629(11) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)$ | 37.436(10) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 80.345(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 93.667(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 133.917(9) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 40.900(9) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 85.058(9) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 152.983(18) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)$ | 102.451(9) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 85.055(9) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 57.297(14) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 166.973(15) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) 3$ | 78.432(14) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 108.660(9) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 114.59(3) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 111.392(3) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 82.626(3) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 134.269(3) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 154.25(2) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 92.666(13) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) 3$ | 77.083(11) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 164.93(2) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 85.267(17) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 87.431(9) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 143.666(2) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 49.345(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)$ | 77.807(4) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 155.613(8) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 48.983(2) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 95.369(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 136.704(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 48.762(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 140.531(9) |


| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $77.287(9)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)$ | $176.969(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)$ | $89.028(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $87.942(3)$ |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
(1) $x, y,-z+3 / 2(2) x+1, y,-z+3 / 2(3)-x+1,-y+1,-z+1(4)-x,-y+1,-z+1(5) x+1 / 2,-y+1 / 2, z+1 / 2$
(6) $-x+1 / 2,-y+1 / 2,-z+1(7)-x+1,-y+1, z+1 / 2(8) x-1, y, z(9) x, y,-z+1 / 2(10) x-1 / 2,-y+1 / 2, z+1 / 2(11)$
$-x, y,-z+1 / 2(12)-x,-y+1, z-1 / 2(13)-x+1 / 2,-y+1 / 2, z-1 / 2(14)-x+1, y,-z+1 / 2(15) x+1, y, z$
Electron Image 3


Figure S25: SEM image of $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{4} \mathrm{Te}$. Orange crystal.

Table S29: Average EDS point values from $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{SnS}_{4} \mathrm{Te}$ single crystal measured and shown in Figure $\mathbf{S 2 5}$.
Atomic \% from Points

|  | Ba |  | Sn |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| S | Te |  |  |  |
| Average | 33 | 11.4 | 45 | 10.3 |
| STDEV | 1 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.4 |


| Sn- <br> norm <br> 2.93 | 1.00 | 3.92 | 0.90 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



Figure S26: Band structure and partial density of states of Ba3SnS4Te.

Table S30: Atomic coordinates (x104) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\mathbf{A} 2 \times 103$ ) for Ba3 S5.62 Sn Te0.13 at $100.01(10) \mathrm{K}$ with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

| Label | x | y | z | Occupancy | $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}{ }^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | $9269(1)$ | 7500 | $4580(1)$ | 1 | $6(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | $8748(1)$ | $5019(1)$ | $1812(1)$ | 1 | $7(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $11624(3)$ | 7500 | $2662(2)$ | 0.08 | $12(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(2)$ | $4564(2)$ | 2500 | $5118(1)$ | 0.1 | $13(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)$ | $6809(1)$ | 2500 | $4062(1)$ | 1 | $5(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $11624(3)$ | 7500 | $2662(2)$ | 0.17 | $12(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $12941(4)$ | 7500 | $2364(3)$ | 0.5 | $28(1)$ |
|  | $\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $10942(3)$ | $8649(3)$ | $2871(2)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{S}(4)$ | $8164(1)$ | $4485(1)$ | $4482(1)$ | 0.5 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{~S}(5)$ | $6175(2)$ | 2500 | $2175(1)$ | 1 | $80(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(6)$ | $4564(2)$ | 2500 | $5118(1)$ | 0.9 | $7(1)$ |

* $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ tensor.

Table S31: Anisotropic displacement parameters (Å2x103) for Ba3 S5.62 Sn Te0.13 at 100.01(10) $K$ with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

| Label | $\mathrm{U}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{22}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{33}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | $8(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $6(1)$ | 0 | $0(1)$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | $8(1)$ | $5(1)$ | $7(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $16(2)$ | $12(2)$ | $9(2)$ | 0 | $-2(1)$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Te}(2)$ | $11(1)$ | $13(1)$ | $15(1)$ | 0 | $-1(1)$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)$ | $6(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $6(1)$ | 0 | $-1(1)$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{~S}(1)$ | $16(2)$ | $12(2)$ | $9(2)$ | 0 | $-2(1)$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{~S}(2)$ | $26(2)$ | $28(2)$ | $28(2)$ | 0 | $2(2)$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{~S}(3)$ | $32(2)$ | $28(2)$ | $30(2)$ | $1(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(4)$ | $11(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $8(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(5)$ | $10(1)$ | $8(1)$ | $4(1)$ | 0 | $-3(1)$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{~S}(6)$ | $11(1)$ | $13(1)$ | $15(1)$ | 0 | $-1(1)$ | 0 |

The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} \mathrm{a}^{* 2} \mathrm{U}_{11}+\ldots \quad+\right.$ $2 h k a{ }^{*} b^{*} U_{12}$ ].

Table S32: Bond lengths [Å] for Ba3 S5.62 Sn Te0.13 at 100.01(10) K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

| Label | Distances |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $2.666(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $2.818(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | $3.1187(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | $3.2429(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(5)$ | $3.2013(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | $3.4959(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $3.173(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $3.644(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2)$ | $3.4959(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $3.1659(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $2.7296(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $2.709(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $2.886(2)$ |


| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | $3.3507(8)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(5)$ | $3.4232(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(5)$ | $3.5302(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(5)$ | $3.5301(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | $3.4935(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $3.1659(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $3.713(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2)$ | $3.4935(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2)$ | $3.5407(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $2.222(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $2.250(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | $2.3551(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(5)$ | $2.3803(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2)$ | $2.4117(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $1.249(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $1.309(3)$ |
| Sy |  |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
(1) $-x+1,-y+1,-z+1$ (2) $x-1 / 2, y,-z+1 / 2$ (3) $x,-y+3 / 2, z(4)-x+2,-y+1,-z+1(5)-x+2, y+1 / 2,-$ $z+1(6)-x+3 / 2,-y+1, z+1 / 2(7) x+1 / 2, y,-z+1 / 2(8)-x+3 / 2,-y+1, z-1 / 2$ (9) $x-1 / 2,-y+3 / 2,-z+1 / 2(10)$ $x+1 / 2,-y+3 / 2,-z+1 / 2(11)-x+3 / 2, y-1 / 2, z+1 / 2(12) x-1 / 2,-y+1 / 2,-z+1 / 2(13) x,-y+1 / 2, z$

Table S33: Bond angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for Ba3 S5.62 Sn Te0.13 at 100.01(10) K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

| Label | Angles |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \# 1$ | $138.37(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4) \# 4$ | $77.21(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4) \# 5$ | $77.21(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(5) \# 6$ | $144.93(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(6) \# 1$ | $138.37(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $69.16(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \# 1$ | $69.21(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $65.24(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3) \# 3$ | $65.23(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | $79.66(2)$ |


| S(2)\#2-Ba(1)-S(4)\#3 | 79.66(2) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(2) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4) \# 4$ | 129.82(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4) \# 5$ | 129.82(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(5) \# 6$ | 145.91(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(6) \# 1$ | 69.21(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 24.32(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 24.32(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \# 1$ | 127.86(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \# 1$ | 127.86(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3) \# 3$ | 47.05(10) |
| S(3)\#3-Ba(1)-S(4)\#3 | 121.47(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | 121.47(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | 76.51(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4) \# 5$ | 68.73(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4) \# 3$ | 76.51(5) |
| S(3)\#3-Ba(1)-S(4)\#5 | 96.63(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4) \# 4$ | 96.63(5) |
| S(3)\#3-Ba(1)-S(4)\#4 | 68.73(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(5) \# 6$ | 143.52(5) |
| S(3)\#3-Ba(1)-S(5)\#6 | 143.52(5) |
| S(3)\#3-Ba(1)-S(6)\#1 | 127.86(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(6) \# 1$ | 127.86(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 100.83(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 100.826(19) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \# 1$ | 71.609(16) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4) \# 4-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \# 1$ | 132.578(18) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \# 1$ | 71.609(16) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \# 1$ | 132.578(18) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4) \# 3$ | 142.23(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4) \# 5$ | 71.19(2) |
| S(4)\#3-Ba(1)-S(4)\#4 | 144.219(18) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4) \# 5$ | 144.219(18) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4) \# 4$ | 73.61(3) |


| $\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4) \# 4$ | 71.19(2) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(5) \# 6$ | 89.872(16) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(5) \# 6$ | 89.872(16) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(6) \# 1$ | 71.609(16) |
| S(4)\#3-Ba(1)-S(6)\#1 | 71.609(16) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(6) \# 1$ | 132.578(18) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4) \# 4-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(6) \# 1$ | 132.578(18) |
| $\mathrm{S}(5) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \# 1$ | 76.70(3) |
| S(5)\#6-Ba(1)-S(4)\#4 | 74.87(2) |
| S(5)\#6-Ba(1)-S(4)\#5 | 74.87(2) |
| S(5)\#6-Ba(1)-S(6)\#1 | 76.70(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(6) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \# 1$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \# 8$ | 81.72(4) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \# 7$ | 143.88(5) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | 79.93(4) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(4) \# 8$ | 75.45(5) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(5)$ | 96.39(4) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(5) \# 7$ | 147.54(5) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(6) \# 7$ | 143.88(5) |
| Te (2)\#7-Ba(2)-Te(2)\#8 | 89.806(6) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(2) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(5) \# 7$ | 67.20(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1) \# 2$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{S}(1) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \# 8$ | 81.72(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \# 7$ | 143.88(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(4) \# 8$ | 75.45(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | 79.93(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(5) \# 7$ | 147.54(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(5)$ | 96.39(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(6) \# 7$ | 143.88(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1) \# 2$ | 22.96(8) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \# 8$ | 73.86(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \# 7$ | 159.15(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1) \# 2$ | 22.96(8) |


| S(2)\#2-Ba(2)-S(3)\#9 | 46.52(8) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(2) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | 74.69(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(4) \# 8$ | 92.67(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(5)$ | 114.20(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(5) \# 7$ | 131.20(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(6) \# 7$ | 159.15(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1) \# 2$ | 24.42(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1) \# 2$ | 88.89(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \# 7$ | 120.48(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \# 8$ | 68.42(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \# 8$ | 98.65(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \# 7$ | 126.64(5) |
| S(3)\#3-Ba(2)-S(1)\#2 | 88.89(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1) \# 2$ | 24.42(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2) \# 2$ | 65.92(8) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3) \# 9$ | 111.47(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | 74.03(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | 78.44(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(4) \# 8$ | 66.14(5) |
| S(3)\#3-Ba(2)-S(4)\#8 | 135.46(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(5)$ | 72.95(5) |
| S(3)\#3-Ba(2)-S(5)\#7 | 73.14(5) |
| S(3)\#9-Ba(2)-S(5)\#7 | 144.90(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(5)$ | 141.73(5) |
| S(3)\#9-Ba(2)-S(6)\#7 | 126.64(5) |
| S(3)\#3-Ba(2)-S(6)\#7 | 120.48(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \# 7$ | 68.83(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \# 7$ | 125.641(19) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \# 8$ | 138.25(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \# 8$ | 68.20(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(4) \# 8$ | 139.966(8) |
| S(4)\#8-Ba(2)-S(5)\#7 | 135.90(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(5) \# 7$ | 69.35(2) |


| $\mathrm{S}(4) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(5)$ | 82.13(2) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(5)$ | 69.72(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(6) \# 7$ | 125.641(19) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(6) \# 7$ | 68.83(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \# 8$ | 149.84(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \# 7$ | 73.98(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(5) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \# 8$ | 114.51(2) |
| S(5)-Ba(2)-S(5)\#7 | 82.909(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(6) \# 7$ | 73.98(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(6) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \# 8$ | 89.8 |
| $\mathrm{S}(6) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \# 7$ | 0.0 |
| S(6)\#7-Ba(2)-S(5)\#7 | 67.20(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 7$ | 178.86(9) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 74.60(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 7-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 104.57(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 7-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 3$ | 104.57(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 3$ | 74.59(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 7-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 7$ | 75.67(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 7-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 105.02(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 10-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 105.02(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 10-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 7$ | 75.67(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 7-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 89.010(11) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 7-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 3$ | 170.48(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 10-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 170.48(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 10-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 3$ | 89.010(11) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 3$ | 81.71(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 10-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 7$ | 100.18(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 149.3(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 7$ | 31.85(17) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 7$ | 58.50(9) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 10$ | 58.50(9) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 126.16(12) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 3$ | 126.16(12) |


| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 120.60(15) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3) \# 3$ | 120.60(15) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 3-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 7$ | 117.25(14) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 7$ | 117.25(14) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 62.46(14) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 3-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 62.46(14) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 3-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 32.91(13) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 3-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 7$ | 65.71(13) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 3-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 10$ | 155.12(18) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 3-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 3$ | 106.54(17) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 7$ | 155.11(18) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 3$ | 32.91(13) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 106.54(17) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 10$ | 65.71(13) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 3-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 118.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 1-\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 6$ | 111.60(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 1-\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 11$ | 111.60(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 12-\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 1$ | 81.993(19) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 2-\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 1$ | 81.993(19) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 12-\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 11$ | 90.195(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 6-\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 11$ | 86.61(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 12-\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 6$ | 166.26(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 2-\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 6$ | 90.195(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 12-\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 2$ | 89.76(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 2-\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 11$ | 166.26(3) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 1$ | 153.67(4) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 2$ | 79.44(2) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 11$ | 87.05(2) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 6$ | 87.05(2) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 12$ | 79.44(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4) \# 13-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2)$ | 108.88(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2)$ | 108.88(2) |
| S(4)\#13-Sn(1)-S(4) | 111.17(4) |


| $\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(5)$ | 109.72(2) |
| :---: | :---: |
| S(4)\#13-Sn(1)-S(5) | 109.72(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(5)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2)$ | 108.42(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 7-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 7$ | 102.04(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 7-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 10$ | 102.04(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 10-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 7$ | 125.67(12) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 7$ | 133.8(2) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 10$ | 98.54(11) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 7$ | 98.54(11) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 30.47(8) |
| Te(1)-S(2)-S(3)\#3 | 30.47(8) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 7$ | 128.14(13) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 3-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 7$ | 128.14(13) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 3-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 7$ | 70.44(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 3-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 10$ | 124.48(13) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 7$ | 124.48(13) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 10$ | 70.44(8) |
| S(3)\#3-S(2)-S(3) | 60.83(15) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 10$ | 123.72(8) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 3-\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 98.72(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 3-\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 10$ | 119.66(9) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 93.22(16) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 3$ | 131.87(18) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 10$ | 89.87(16) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 28.94(12) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{S}(3) \# 3$ | 30.75(15) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 116.38(11) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 3$ | 135.20(13) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 10$ | 63.04(8) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{S}(3) \# 3$ | 59.58(8) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 3-\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 66.47(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 3-\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 10$ | 116.85(5) |
| S(3)\#3-S(3)-Ba(2)\#3 | 118.77(5) |


| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 4$ | $108.81(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 4-\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 6$ | $98.97(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 4-\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | $108.98(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | $80.748(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 6$ | $89.79(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 6$ | $152.04(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | $163.05(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 4$ | $87.56(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | $89.93(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 6$ | $92.00(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 8-\mathrm{S}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 13$ | $87.54(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 8-\mathrm{S}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 2$ | $105.66(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 8-\mathrm{S}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | $87.53(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 8-\mathrm{S}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 12$ | $105.66(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 13-\mathrm{S}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | $92.14(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 2$ | $88.151(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 13-\mathrm{S}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 2$ | $166.80(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 12-\mathrm{S}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 2$ | $88.59(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 13-\mathrm{S}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 12$ | $88.151(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 12$ | $166.80(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 8$ | $173.25(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 12$ | $79.04(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 2$ | $79.04(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | $87.78(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 13$ | $87.78(3)$ |
| Sa |  |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
(1) $-x+1,-y+1,-z+1$ (2) $x-1 / 2, y,-z+1 / 2$ (3) $x,-y+3 / 2, z(4)-x+2,-y+1,-z+1(5)-x+2, y+1 / 2,-$ $z+1$ (6) $-x+3 / 2,-y+1, z+1 / 2(7) x+1 / 2, y,-z+1 / 2$ (8) $-x+3 / 2,-y+1, z-1 / 2$ (9) $x-1 / 2,-y+3 / 2,-z+1 / 2$ (10) $x+1 / 2,-y+3 / 2,-z+1 / 2(11)-x+3 / 2, y-1 / 2, z+1 / 2(12) x-1 / 2,-y+1 / 2,-z+1 / 2(13) x,-y+1 / 2, z$


Figure S27: SEM image of Ba3SnS5.62Te0.13. Orange crystal.

Table S34: Average EDS point values from Ba3SnS5.62 $\mathrm{Te}_{0.13}$ crystal measured and shown in Figure S27.

| Atomic \% from Points |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ba | Sn | S | Te |
| Average | 34.6 | 12.3 | 51.7 | 1.4 |
| STDEV | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 |


| Sn- <br> norm | 2.81 | 1.00 | 4.18 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Table S35: Average EDS map values from Ba3SnS5.62Te0.13 crystal measured and shown in Figure $\mathbf{S 2 7 .}$
Atomic \% from Map

|  | Ba | Sn | S | Te |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | 37.1 | 11.6 | 49.4 | 1.9 |


| Sn- <br> norm | 3.2 | 1.00 | 4.26 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



Figure S28: Synthesis of majority phase Ba3SnS5.62 Te 0.13 .

Table S36: Crystal data and structure refinement for Ba 7 S 14.3 Sn 7 Te 0.7 at 273.15 K .

| Empirical formula | Ba7 S14.3 Sn7 Te0.7 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula weight | 2142.50 |
| Temperature | 273.15 K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 Å |
| Crystal system | Hexagonal |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=25.3104(6) \AA, \alpha=90^{\circ} \\ & \mathrm{b}=25.3104(6) \AA, \beta=90^{\circ} \\ & \mathrm{c}=8.5782(2) \AA, \gamma=120^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |
| Volume | 4759.1(3) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 6 |
| Density (calculated) | $4.485 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $14.316 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 5558 |
| Crystal size | $0.09 \times 0.04 \times 0.03 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | 2.458 to $24.994^{\circ}$ |
| Index ranges | $-30<=\mathrm{h}<=27,-30<=\mathrm{k}<=26,-10<=\mathrm{l}<=6$ |
| Reflections collected | 27553 |
| Independent reflections | $2581\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0553\right]$ |
| Completeness to $\theta=24.994^{\circ}$ | 99.8\% |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 2581/1/152 |
| Goodness-of-fit | 1.134 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {obs }}=0.0775, \mathrm{wR}_{\text {obs }}=0.1946$ |
| R indices [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {all }}=0.0787, \mathrm{wR}_{\text {all }}=0.1954$ |
| Extinction coefficient | . |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 6.305 and $-3.911 \mathrm{e} \cdot \mathrm{A}^{-3}$ |

$\mathrm{R}=\Sigma| | \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\left|-\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right| \quad \Sigma\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right|$, wR $=\left\{\Sigma\left[\mathrm{w}\left(\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right|^{2}-\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}\right] / \Sigma\left[\mathrm{w}\left(\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right|^{4}\right)\right]\right\}^{1 / 2}$ and $w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}\right)+(0.0822 \mathrm{P})^{2}+719.1233 \mathrm{P}\right]$ where $\mathrm{P}=\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}+2 \mathrm{Fc}^{2}\right) / 3$

Table S37: Atomic coordinates (x104) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\mathrm{A} 2 \times 103$ ) for Ba 7 S 14.3 Sn 7 Te 0.7 at 273.15 K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

| Label | x | y | z | Occupancy | $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}{ }^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0 | 0 | $3440(7)$ | 1 | $23(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(01)$ | 0 | $5349(2)$ | $2022(4)$ | 1 | $14(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | 3333 | 6667 | $9225(6)$ | 1 | $21(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(02)$ | $1456(2)$ | $6565(2)$ | $5762(4)$ | 1 | $22(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(03)$ | 0 | $1664(2)$ | $6981(7)$ | 1 | $50(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | $1422(2)$ | $3458(2)$ | $5117(5)$ | 1 | $38(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01)$ | $838(4)$ | $1998(5)$ | $8854(11)$ | $0.14(2)$ | $33(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(02)$ | $2274(5)$ | $4810(5)$ | $3848(14)$ | $0.11(2)$ | $39(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(01)$ | $1678(2)$ | $5093(2)$ | $5570(4)$ | 1 | $19(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(02)$ | 0 | $3558(2)$ | $2985(6)$ | 1 | $28(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(04)$ | $1890(2)$ | $1890(2)$ | $6999(7)$ | 1 | $76(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(05)$ | 0 | $3084(2)$ | $8790(6)$ | 1 | $29(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(01)$ | $838(4)$ | $1998(5)$ | $8854(11)$ | $0.86(2)$ | $33(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(02)$ | $2274(5)$ | $4810(5)$ | $3848(14)$ | $0.89(2)$ | $39(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(03)$ | 0 | $4152(6)$ | $605(19)$ | 1 | $18(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(04)$ | $915(5)$ | $5172(5)$ | $4120(12)$ | 1 | $19(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(05)$ | $2302(5)$ | $6050(5)$ | $6775(15)$ | 1 | $24(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(06)$ | $869(5)$ | $3399(6)$ | $1710(14)$ | 1 | $27(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(07)$ | 0 | $2429(6)$ | $5334(18)$ | 1 | $26(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(08)$ | $1064(5)$ | $4245(6)$ | $7299(14)$ | 1 | $26(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(09)$ | $465(12)$ | $1071(9)$ | $5830(30)$ | $0.57(5)$ | $34(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)$ | $930(10)$ | $2488(12)$ | $8440(30)$ | $0.55(6)$ | $36(10)$ |

${ }^{*} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ tensor.

Table S38: Anisotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA$ 2x103) for Ba7 S14.3 Sn7 Te0.7 at 273.15 K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

| Label | $\mathrm{U}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{22}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{33}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})$ | $34(2)$ | $34(2)$ | $3(3)$ | $17(1)$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(01)$ | $17(2)$ | $17(2)$ | $7(2)$ | $8(1)$ | 0 | $-1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | $22(2)$ | $22(2)$ | $20(3)$ | $11(1)$ | 0 | 0 |


| $\mathrm{Ba}(02)$ | $19(2)$ | $20(2)$ | $26(2)$ | $8(1)$ | $0(2)$ | $-2(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(03)$ | $109(5)$ | $47(2)$ | $14(3)$ | $54(3)$ | 0 | $2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | $27(2)$ | $37(2)$ | $56(2)$ | $21(2)$ | $-10(2)$ | $-10(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01)$ | $15(4)$ | $63(8)$ | $11(5)$ | $12(4)$ | $-2(3)$ | $-5(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(02)$ | $43(7)$ | $58(7)$ | $32(7)$ | $37(6)$ | $-7(5)$ | $6(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(01)$ | $15(2)$ | $24(2)$ | $17(2)$ | $10(2)$ | $-3(2)$ | $3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(02)$ | $28(2)$ | $27(2)$ | $29(3)$ | $14(2)$ | 0 | $3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(04)$ | $67(2)$ | $67(2)$ | $19(3)$ | $-24(3)$ | $2(2)$ | $2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(05)$ | $37(2)$ | $26(2)$ | $28(2)$ | $19(2)$ | 0 | $4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(01)$ | $15(4)$ | $63(8)$ | $11(5)$ | $12(4)$ | $-2(3)$ | $-5(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(02)$ | $43(7)$ | $58(7)$ | $32(7)$ | $37(6)$ | $-7(5)$ | $6(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(03)$ | $16(6)$ | $27(5)$ | $8(7)$ | $8(3)$ | 0 | $-7(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(04)$ | $27(5)$ | $26(5)$ | $7(5)$ | $16(5)$ | $-3(4)$ | $3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(05)$ | $18(5)$ | $25(5)$ | $21(7)$ | $5(4)$ | $-4(5)$ | $2(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(06)$ | $18(5)$ | $47(7)$ | $23(7)$ | $22(5)$ | $3(5)$ | $20(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(07)$ | $51(10)$ | $31(6)$ | $1(7)$ | $25(5)$ | 0 | $2(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(08)$ | $26(6)$ | $28(6)$ | $22(7)$ | $12(5)$ | $3(5)$ | $3(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(09)$ | $64(16)$ | $17(10)$ | $24(15)$ | $21(10)$ | $-25(12)$ | $-8(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)$ | $26(13)$ | $41(17)$ | $21(15)$ | $2(10)$ | $-8(10)$ | $-1(11)$ |

The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} \mathrm{a}^{* 2} \mathrm{U}_{11}+\ldots \quad+\right.$ $2 h k a^{*} b^{*} U_{12}$ ].

Table S39: Bond lengths [Å] for Ba7 S14.3 Sn7 Te0.7 at 273.15 K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

| Label | Distances |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 1$ | $3.12(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 2$ | $3.25(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 3$ | $3.25(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 4$ | $3.12(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 5$ | $3.25(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 6$ | $3.12(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 7$ | $3.25(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09)$ | $3.12(2)$ |


| Ba(0A)-S(09)\#8 | 3.25(2) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ba(0A)-S(09)\#9 | 3.12(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 10$ | 3.12(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 11$ | 3.25(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 12$ | 4.512(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Sn}(01) \# 13$ | 4.012(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Sn}(01) \# 12$ | 4.012(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(05) \# 12$ | 4.246(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(03)$ | 3.263(15) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(03) \# 14$ | 3.323(17) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(04)$ | 3.137(11) |
| Ba(01)-S(04)\#13 | 3.201(11) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(04) \# 12$ | 3.201(11) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(04) \# 9$ | 3.137(11) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(08) \# 12$ | $3.336(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(08) \# 13$ | $3.336(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \# 15$ | 4.28911(11) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \# 16$ | 4.28909(10) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 17$ | 4.813(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 18$ | 4.813(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 15$ | 4.813(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(05) \# 18$ | 3.155(12) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(05) \# 17$ | 3.155(12) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(05) \# 19$ | 3.097(12) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(05) \# 20$ | 3.097(12) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(05)$ | 3.097(12) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(05) \# 15$ | 3.155(12) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Te}(02) \# 20$ | 3.281(10) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Te}(02) \# 17$ | 3.430 (11) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Sn}(01)$ | 4.039(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Sn}(02) \# 14$ | 4.020(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(02) \# 17$ | 3.430 (11) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(02) \# 20$ | 3.281(10) |


| $\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(03) \# 14$ | 3.194(3) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ba(02)-S(04)\#17 | 3.413(12) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(04)$ | $3.386(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(05)$ | 3.135(11) |
| Ba(02)-S(06)\#17 | 3.393(12) |
| Ba(02)-S(08)\#13 | 3.296 (13) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 9$ | 2.449(10) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{Te}(01)$ | 2.449(10) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)$ | 3.917(7) |
| Sn(03)-S(01)\#9 | 2.449(10) |
| Sn(03)-S(07) | 2.398(16) |
| Sn(03)-S(09) | 2.53(2) |
| Sn(03)-S(09)\#9 | 2.53(2) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{S}(10)$ | 2.56(2) |
| Sn(03)-S(10)\#9 | 2.56(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 8$ | 3.581(10) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Te}(02)$ | 3.188(12) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Sn}(01)$ | 3.875(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(05)$ | 4.515(5) |
| Ba(2A)-S(01)\#8 | 3.581(10) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(06) \# 18$ | 3.190 (11) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(06)$ | 3.212(12) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(07)$ | $3.226(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(08)$ | 3.178(13) |
| Ba(2A)-S(10)\#8 | 3.01(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(10)$ | 3.56(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \# 18$ | 3.581(10) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 21$ | 3.357(10) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(04)$ | 3.229(10) |
| Te(01)-S(09)\#18 | 2.71(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{S}(10)$ | 1.20(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 13$ | 3.430 (10) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 19$ | 3.281(10) |


| $\mathrm{Te}(02)-\mathrm{Sn}(01)$ | 2.460(11) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 14$ | 4.012(3) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{S}(04)$ | 2.388(11) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{S}(05)$ | 2.367(12) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{S}(08)$ | 2.427(12) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 12$ | 4.020(4) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 13$ | 4.020(4) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(05) \# 22$ | $3.793(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(02)-\mathrm{S}(03)$ | 2.535(17) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(02)-\mathrm{S}(06)$ | 2.660(11) |
| Sn(02)-S(06)\#9 | 2.660(11) |
| Ba(04)-Te(01)\#8 | 3.357(10) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 2$ | 3.357(10) |
| Ba(04)-Te(01)\#1 | 3.229 (10) |
| Ba(04)-S(01)\#2 | $3.357(10)$ |
| Ba(04)-S(01)\#1 | 3.229(10) |
| Ba(04)-S(06)\#18 | 3.330 (13) |
| Ba(04)-S(06)\#23 | 3.330 (13) |
| Ba(04)-S(07)\#23 | 3.170 (17) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 1$ | 3.29 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{S}(09)$ | 3.29(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 14$ | 4.246(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Sn}(02) \# 24$ | $3.793(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 21$ | 4.088(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{S}(03) \# 24$ | $3.119(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{S}(06) \# 25$ | 3.161(12) |
| Ba(05)-S(06)\#24 | 3.161(12) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{S}(07)$ | $3.397(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{S}(08)$ | 3.099(12) |
| Ba(05)-S(08)\#9 | 3.100(12) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{S}(10)$ | 3.39 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{S}(10) \# 9$ | 3.39 (3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 12$ | 3.323(17) |


| $\mathrm{S}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 12$ | $3.194(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{S}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 13$ | $3.194(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(05) \# 22$ | $3.119(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(04)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 14$ | $3.201(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(04)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 13$ | $3.413(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \# 16$ | $3.155(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(06)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 13$ | $3.393(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(06)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \# 8$ | $3.190(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(06)-\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 11$ | $3.330(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(06)-\mathrm{Ba}(05) \# 22$ | $3.161(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(07)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \# 9$ | $3.226(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(07)-\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 11$ | $3.170(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 14$ | $3.337(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 17$ | $3.296(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(09)-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A}) \# 26$ | $3.25(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(09)-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 8$ | $2.71(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(09)-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 9$ | $2.04(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \# 18$ | $3.01(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 21$ | $3.68(3)$ |
| S |  |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
(1) $y, x, z(2) y,-x+y, z-1 / 2(3)-x,-y, z-1 / 2(4) x-y,-y, z(5) x, x-y, z-1 / 2(6)-y, x-y, z(7)-y,-x, z-$ $1 / 2(8)-x+y, y, z-1 / 2(9)-x,-x+y, z(10)-x+y,-x, z(11) x-y, x, z-1 / 2(12)-x,-y+1, z-1 / 2(13) x, x-y+1, z-$ $1 / 2$ (14) $-x,-y+1, z+1 / 2(15)-y+1,-x+1, z+1 / 2(16)-y+1,-x+1, z-1 / 2$ (17) $x, x-y+1, z+1 / 2$ (18) $x+y, y, z+1 / 2(19)-y+1, x-y+1, z(20)-x+y,-x+1, z(21) x-y, x, z+1 / 2$ (22) $x, y, z-1$ (23) $y,-x+y, z+1 / 2$ (24) $x, y, z+1$ (25) $-x,-x+y, z+1$ (26) $-x,-y, z+1 / 2$

Table S40: Bond angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for Ba7 S14.3 Sn7 Te0.7 at 273.15 K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

| Label | Angles |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 4$ | $81.6(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 11-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 5$ | $92.5(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 11$ | $85.0(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 6$ | $97.6(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 8$ | $100.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 4-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 6$ | $38.1(10)$ |


| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 5$ | 130.6(8) |
| :---: | :---: |
| S(09)\#1-Ba(0A)-S(09) | 50.4(8) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 8$ | 100.3(3) |
| S(09)\#4-Ba(0A)-S(09) | 97.6(9) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 11$ | 142.6(9) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09)$ | 81.6(7) |
| S(09)\#2-Ba(0A)-S(09)\#11 | 77.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 9$ | 81.6(7) |
| S(09)\#3-Ba(0A)-S(09)\#5 | 36.5(9) |
| S(09)\#4-Ba(0A)-S(09)\#9 | 81.6(7) |
| S(09)\#3-Ba(0A)-S(09)\#2 | 77.7(6) |
| S(09)\#6-Ba(0A)-S(09)\#9 | 50.4(8) |
| S(09)\#6-Ba(0A)-S(09)\#8 | 142.6(9) |
| S(09)-Ba(0A)-S(09)\#9 | 38.1(10) |
| S(09)\#7-Ba(0A)-S(09)\#8 | 77.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 10$ | 38.1(10) |
| S(09)\#6-Ba(0A)-S(09)\#11 | 100.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 4-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 10$ | 50.4(8) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 11$ | 77.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 10$ | 81.6(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 5$ | 100.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09)-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 10$ | 81.6(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 5$ | 177.4(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 10$ | 97.6(9) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 5$ | 48.3(9) |
| S(09)\#1-Ba(0A)-S(09)\#3 | 130.6(8) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 10-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 2$ | 100.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 4-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 3$ | 85.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 2$ | 92.5(8) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 3$ | 100.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 4-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 8$ | 177.4(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09)-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 3$ | 177.4(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09)-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 8$ | 85.0(5) |


| S(09)\#9-Ba(0A)-S(09)\#3 | 142.6(9) |
| :---: | :---: |
| S(09)\#3-Ba(0A)-S(09)\#8 | 92.5(8) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 10-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 3$ | 100.3(3) |
| S(09)\#2-Ba(0A)-S(09)\#8 | 36.5(9) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 7$ | 177.4(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 4-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 11$ | 130.6(8) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 4-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 7$ | 100.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09)-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 11$ | 100.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 7$ | 85.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 10-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 11$ | 177.4(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09)-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 7$ | 130.6(8) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 11$ | 36.5(9) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 7$ | 100.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 11$ | 48.3(9) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 10-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 7$ | 142.6(9) |
| S(09)\#4-Ba(0A)-S(09)\#5 | 100.3(3) |
| S(09)\#3-Ba(0A)-S(09)\#7 | 48.2(9) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09)-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 5$ | 142.6(9) |
| S(09)\#1-Ba(0A)-S(09)\#2 | 85.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 10-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 5$ | 85.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 4-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 2$ | 142.6(9) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 5$ | 77.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 2$ | 177.4(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 5$ | 77.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09)-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 2$ | 100.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 2$ | 130.6(8) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 10-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 8$ | 130.6(8) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(01) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 12$ | 56.21(5) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(01) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 12$ | 137.80(10) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(01) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Sn}(01) \# 13$ | 132.96(13) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(01) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(05) \# 12$ | 82.93(7) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(01) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(05) \# 12$ | 82.93(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(05) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 12$ | 134.97(4) |


| $\mathrm{S}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 12$ | 45.05(4) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(03) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 12$ | 118.32(17) |
| $\mathrm{S}(03) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Sn}(01) \# 13$ | 101.06(11) |
| $\mathrm{S}(03) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Sn}(01) \# 12$ | 101.06(11) |
| $\mathrm{S}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Sn}(01) \# 13$ | 96.71(13) |
| $\mathrm{S}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Sn}(01) \# 12$ | 96.71(13) |
| $\mathrm{S}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(05) \# 12$ | 179.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(03) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(05) \# 12$ | 46.7(3) |
| S(03)-Ba(01)-S(03)\#14 | 134.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(03) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(08) \# 12$ | 70.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(08) \# 12$ | 133.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(03) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(08) \# 13$ | 70.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(08) \# 13$ | 133.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04)-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 12$ | 104.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 12$ | 48.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 12$ | 102.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 12$ | 49.1(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Sn}(01) \# 12$ | 73.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Sn}(01) \# 13$ | 110.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Sn}(01) \# 12$ | 110.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04)-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Sn}(01) \# 12$ | 153.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Sn}(01) \# 12$ | 36.52(19) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04)-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Sn}(01) \# 13$ | 73.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Sn}(01) \# 13$ | 153.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Sn}(01) \# 13$ | 36.52(19) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(05) \# 12$ | 108.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04)-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(05) \# 12$ | 105.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(05) \# 12$ | 108.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(05) \# 12$ | 105.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(03) \# 14$ | 70.4(3) |
| S(04)-Ba(01)-S(03) | 74.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04)-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(03) \# 14$ | 70.4(3) |
| S(04)\#12-Ba(01)-S(03)\#14 | 137.6(2) |


| $\mathrm{S}(04) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(03)$ | 70.4(3) |
| :---: | :---: |
| S(04)\#13-Ba(01)-S(03)\#14 | 137.6(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(03)$ | 74.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(03)$ | 70.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(04) \# 12$ | 91.1(3) |
| S(04)-Ba(01)-S(04)\#9 | 79.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(04) \# 13$ | 77.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04)-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(04) \# 13$ | 91.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04)-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(04) \# 12$ | 145.22(18) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(04) \# 13$ | 145.22(18) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(08) \# 12$ | 69.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(08) \# 13$ | 121.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(08) \# 13$ | 140.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(08) \# 12$ | 121.9(3) |
| S(04)-Ba(01)-S(08)\#13 | 82.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04)-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(08) \# 12$ | 140.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(08) \# 12$ | 82.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(08) \# 13$ | 69.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 12$ | 89.8(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 12$ | 170.0(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Sn}(01) \# 13$ | 119.0(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Sn}(01) \# 13$ | 37.1(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Sn}(01) \# 12$ | 119.0(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Sn}(01) \# 12$ | 37.1(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(05) \# 12$ | 46.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(05) \# 12$ | 46.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(08) \# 12$ | 88.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \# 16-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \# 15$ | 180.0 |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \# 15-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 17$ | 74.10(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \# 15-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 15$ | 74.10(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \# 16-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 17$ | 105.90(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \# 16-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 15$ | 105.90(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \# 16-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 18$ | 105.90(7) |


| $\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \# 15-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 18$ | 74.10(7) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 15-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 17$ | 112.79(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 17-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 18$ | 112.79(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 15-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 18$ | 112.79(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 18-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \# 16$ | 133.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 19-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \# 16$ | 47.3(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 19-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \# 15$ | 132.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 17-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \# 16$ | 133.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 17-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \# 15$ | 46.1(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \# 15$ | 132.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 18-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \# 15$ | 46.1(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 15-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \# 15$ | 46.1(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 20-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \# 16$ | 47.3(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \# 16$ | 47.3(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 20-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \# 15$ | 132.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 15-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \# 16$ | 133.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 19-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 17$ | 107.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 18$ | 137.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 18-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 18$ | 39.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 17-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 17$ | 39.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 17-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 18$ | 115.6(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 15-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 17$ | 115.6(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 20-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 17$ | 137.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 18-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 17$ | 76.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 19-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 18$ | 61.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 15-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 15$ | 39.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 15-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 18$ | 76.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 18-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 15$ | 115.6(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 20-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 15$ | 61.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 15$ | 107.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 17$ | 61.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 20-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 18$ | 107.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 17-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 15$ | 76.4(2) |


| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 19-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 15$ | 137.2(2) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 17-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(05) \# 15$ | 77.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(05) \# 19$ | 79.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(05) \# 15$ | 146.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 20-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(05) \# 18$ | 146.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(05) \# 17$ | 87.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(05) \# 18$ | 128.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 20-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(05) \# 19$ | 79.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 19-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(05) \# 18$ | 87.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 20-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(05) \# 17$ | 128.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 17-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(05) \# 18$ | 77.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 20-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(05) \# 15$ | 87.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 15-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(05) \# 18$ | 77.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 19-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(05) \# 15$ | 128.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 20-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(05)$ | 79.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05) \# 19-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(05) \# 17$ | 146.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(02) \# 20-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Te}(02) \# 17$ | 80.55(8) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(02) \# 17-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Sn}(01)$ | 109.1(2) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(02) \# 20-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Sn}(01)$ | 111.6(2) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(02) \# 20-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Sn}(02) \# 14$ | 128.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(02) \# 17-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Sn}(02) \# 14$ | 84.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(02) \# 20-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(02) \# 17$ | 80.55(8) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(02) \# 20-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(04)$ | 116.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(02) \# 20-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(04) \# 17$ | 150.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(02) \# 20-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(06) \# 17$ | 88.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(02) \# 20-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(08) \# 13$ | 64.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(02) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Sn}(01)$ | 119.52(10) |
| $\mathrm{S}(02) \# 20-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Te}(02) \# 20$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{S}(02) \# 17-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Te}(02) \# 17$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{S}(02) \# 20-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Te}(02) \# 17$ | 80.5 |
| $\mathrm{S}(02) \# 17-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Sn}(01)$ | 109.1(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(02) \# 20-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Sn}(01)$ | 111.6(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(02) \# 17-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Sn}(02) \# 14$ | 84.9(2) |


| $\mathrm{S}(02) \# 20-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Sn}(02) \# 14$ | 128.9(2) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(02) \# 20-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(02) \# 17$ | 80.55(8) |
| $\mathrm{S}(02) \# 20-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(04)$ | 116.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(02) \# 20-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(04) \# 17$ | 150.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(02) \# 20-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(06) \# 17$ | 88.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(02) \# 20-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(08) \# 13$ | 64.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(03) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Te}(02) \# 17$ | 123.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(03) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Te}(02) \# 20$ | 134.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(03) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Sn}(01)$ | 97.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(03) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Sn}(02) \# 14$ | 39.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(03) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(02) \# 20$ | 134.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(03) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(02) \# 17$ | 123.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(03) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(04)$ | 68.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(03) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(04) \# 17$ | 72.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(03) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(06) \# 17$ | 67.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(03) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(08) \# 13$ | 72.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04) \# 17-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Te}(02) \# 17$ | 71.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04)-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Te}(02) \# 17$ | 143.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04) \# 17-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Sn}(01)$ | 70.79(19) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04)-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Sn}(01)$ | 36.18(18) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04)-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Sn}(02) \# 14$ | 103.8(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04) \# 17-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Sn}(02) \# 14$ | 58.6(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04)-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(02) \# 17$ | 143.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04) \# 17-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(02) \# 17$ | 71.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04)-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(04) \# 17$ | 83.48(17) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04)-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(06) \# 17$ | 135.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Te}(02) \# 20$ | 94.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Te}(02) \# 17$ | 75.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Sn}(01)$ | 35.8(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Sn}(02) \# 14$ | 128.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(02) \# 17$ | 75.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(02) \# 20$ | 94.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(03) \# 14$ | 127.5(3) |


| S(05)-Ba(02)-S(04)\#17 | 70.3(3) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(04)$ | 71.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(06) \# 17$ | 146.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(08) \# 13$ | 131.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 17-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Te}(02) \# 17$ | 72.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 17-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Sn}(01)$ | 160.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 17-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Sn}(02) \# 14$ | 41.0(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 17-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(02) \# 17$ | 72.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 17-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(04) \# 17$ | 91.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Te}(02) \# 17$ | 135.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Sn}(01)$ | 109.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{Sn}(02) \# 14$ | 95.1(2) |
| S(08)\#13-Ba(02)-S(02)\#17 | 135.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(04)$ | 79.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(04) \# 17$ | 144.2(3) |
| S(08)\#13-Ba(02)-S(06)\#17 | 79.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{Te}(01) \#$ \# | 97.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 9-\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)$ | 79.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)$ | 79.7(3) |
| Te(01)-Sn(03)-S(01)\#9 | 97.1 |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{S}(09)$ | 83.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 9$ | 119.2(6) |
| Te(01)\#9-Sn(03)-S(09)\#9 | 83.2(6) |
| Te(01)\#9-Sn(03)-S(09) | 119.2(6) |
| Te(01)-Sn(03)-S(10)\#9 | 107.9(6) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 9-\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{S}(10)$ | 107.9(6) |
| Te(01)\#9-Sn(03)-S(10)\#9 | 27.5(7) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{S}(10)$ | 27.5(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(01) \# 9-\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 9$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{S}(01) \# 9-\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)$ | 79.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(01) \# 9-\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{S}(09)$ | 119.2(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(01) \# 9-\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 9$ | 83.2(6) |
| S(01)\#9-Sn(03)-S(10) | 107.9(6) |


| S(01)\#9-Sn(03)-S(10)\#9 | 27.5(7) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(07)-\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{Te}(01)$ | 117.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(07)-\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 9$ | 117.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(07)-\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)$ | 59.4(4) |
| S(07)-Sn(03)-S(01)\#9 | 117.2(4) |
| S(07)-Sn(03)-S(09) | 115.9(7) |
| S(07)-Sn(03)-S(09)\#9 | 115.9(7) |
| S(07)-Sn(03)-S(10) | 90.1(7) |
| S(07)-Sn(03)-S(10)\#9 | 90.1(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 9-\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)$ | 156.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09)-\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)$ | 156.1(5) |
| S(09)\#9-Sn(03)-S(09) | 47.6(10) |
| S(09)\#9-Sn(03)-S(10)\#9 | 99.5(8) |
| S(09)-Sn(03)-S(10) | 99.5(8) |
| S(09)-Sn(03)-S(10)\#9 | 143.8(9) |
| S(09)\#9-Sn(03)-S(10) | 143.8(9) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 9-\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)$ | 58.7(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)$ | 58.7(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{S}(10) \# 9$ | 105.6(12) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Sn}(01)$ | 168.1(2) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(05)$ | 106.13(19) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 8$ | 133.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Sn}(01)$ | 39.3(2) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(05)$ | 120.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(01) \# 8$ | 133.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(06) \# 18$ | 93.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(06)$ | 77.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(07)$ | 140.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(10)$ | 146.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(05)$ | 81.00(9) |
| $\mathrm{S}(01) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 8$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{S}(01) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Sn}(01)$ | 168.1(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(01) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(05)$ | 106.13(19) |


| $\mathrm{S}(06)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 8$ | 80.0(3) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 18-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 8$ | 78.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 18-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Sn}(01)$ | 109.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Sn}(01)$ | 88.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 18-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(05)$ | 108.6(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(05)$ | 110.0(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 18-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(01) \# 8$ | 78.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(01) \# 8$ | 80.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 18-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(06)$ | 139.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 18-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(07)$ | 126.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(07)$ | 74.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 18-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(10)$ | 68.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(10)$ | 134.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(07)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 8$ | 68.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(07)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Sn}(01)$ | 112.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(07)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(05)$ | 48.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(07)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(01) \# 8$ | 68.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(07)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(10)$ | 62.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 8$ | 149.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Te}(02)$ | 77.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Sn}(01)$ | 38.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(05)$ | 43.3(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(01) \# 8$ | 149.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(06)$ | 108.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(06) \# 18$ | 108.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(07)$ | 85.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(10)$ | 81.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 8$ | 18.4(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 8$ | 73.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Te}(02)$ | 114.9(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Sn}(01)$ | 153.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Sn}(01)$ | 118.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(05)$ | 124.5(6) |


| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Ba}(05)$ | 47.8(5) |
| :---: | :---: |
| S(10)\#8-Ba(2A)-S(01)\#8 | 18.4(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(01) \# 8$ | 73.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(06)$ | 75.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(06) \# 18$ | 72.8(6) |
| S(10)\#8-Ba(2A)-S(07) | 84.5(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(08)$ | 167.8(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(10)$ | 87.9(7) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \# 18$ | 134.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(04)$ | 104.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 21$ | 95.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 18$ | 109.6(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \# 18$ | 85.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 21-\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \# 18$ | 90.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 21$ | 154.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 18-\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \# 18$ | 114.2(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 18-\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(04)$ | 93.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 18-\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 21$ | 64.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{Sn}(03)$ | 81.4(12) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \# 18$ | 52.6(11) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 21$ | 96.3(14) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(04)$ | 101.6(14) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 18$ | 158.3(15) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 19-\mathrm{Te}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 13$ | 158.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Te}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 13$ | 102.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Te}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 19$ | 90.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{Te}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 19$ | 110.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{Te}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 13$ | 88.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{Te}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 85.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 14-\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(02)$ | 68.16(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 14$ | 98.35(9) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(02)$ | 164.37(9) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(02)-\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 14$ | 145.4(3) |


| $\mathrm{Te}(02)-\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(02)$ | 133.0(3) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Te}(02)-\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 55.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04)-\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 14$ | 52.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04)-\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(02)$ | 56.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04)-\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(04)-\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{Te}(02)$ | 110.5(4) |
| S(04)-Sn(01)-S(08) | 101.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 14$ | 102.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(02)$ | 50.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 143.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{Te}(02)$ | 111.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{S}(04)$ | 107.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{S}(08)$ | 116.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 14$ | 56.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(02)$ | 117.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 54.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{Te}(02)$ | 108.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 12-\mathrm{Sn}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 13$ | 105.10(13) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(05) \# 22-\mathrm{Sn}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 12$ | 70.77(9) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(05) \# 22-\mathrm{Sn}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 13$ | 70.77(9) |
| $\mathrm{S}(03)-\mathrm{Sn}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 13$ | 52.59(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(03)-\mathrm{Sn}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 12$ | 52.59(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(03)-\mathrm{Sn}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(05) \# 22$ | 54.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(03)-\mathrm{Sn}(02)-\mathrm{S}(06) \# 9$ | 90.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(03)-\mathrm{Sn}(02)-\mathrm{S}(06)$ | 90.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06)-\mathrm{Sn}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 13$ | 56.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 9-\mathrm{Sn}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 13$ | 126.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 9-\mathrm{Sn}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 12$ | 56.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06)-\mathrm{Sn}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 12$ | 126.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 9-\mathrm{Sn}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(05) \# 22$ | 55.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06)-\mathrm{Sn}(02)-\mathrm{Ba}(05) \# 22$ | 55.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 9-\mathrm{Sn}(02)-\mathrm{S}(06)$ | 91.4(6) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 2$ | 137.7(4) |


| $\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 2$ | 66.3(3) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 2$ | 83.02(9) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 8$ | 137.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 8$ | 83.02(9) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(01)$ | 103.9(4) |
| Te(01)\#8-Ba(04)-S(01)\#2 | 66.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{S}(01) \# 2$ | 137.7 |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{S}(01) \# 2$ | 83.02(9) |
| Te(01)\#2-Ba(04)-S(01)\#2 | 0.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{S}(06) \# 18$ | 142.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{S}(06) \# 23$ | 83.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{S}(06) \# 18$ | 83.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{S}(06) \# 23$ | 142.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{S}(09)$ | 60.9(5) |
| Te(01)\#1-Ba(04)-S(09) | 98.6(4) |
| Te(01)\#1-Ba(04)-S(09)\#1 | 60.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 1$ | 98.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(01) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 8$ | 137.7 |
| $\mathrm{S}(01) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(01)$ | 103.9 |
| $\mathrm{S}(01) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 1$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{S}(01) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 2$ | 83.0 |
| $\mathrm{S}(01) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{S}(01) \# 2$ | 83.02(9) |
| $\mathrm{S}(01) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{S}(06) \# 18$ | 142.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(01) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{S}(06) \# 23$ | 83.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(01) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{S}(09)$ | 98.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(01) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{S}(09) \# 1$ | 60.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 18-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 2$ | 116.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 18-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 8$ | 79.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 23-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 2$ | 79.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 23-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 8$ | 116.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 18-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{S}(01) \# 2$ | 116.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 23-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{S}(01) \# 2$ | 79.6(3) |
| S(06)\#18-Ba(04)-S(06)\#23 | 69.8(4) |


| $\mathrm{S}(07) \# 23-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(01)$ | 73.4(3) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(07) \# 23-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 8$ | 145.73(18) |
| $\mathrm{S}(07) \# 23-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 2$ | 145.73(18) |
| $\mathrm{S}(07) \# 23-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 1$ | 73.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(07) \# 23-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{S}(01) \# 1$ | 73.4(3) |
| S(07)\#23-Ba(04)-S(01)\#2 | 145.73(18) |
| S(07)\#23-Ba(04)-S(06)\#18 | 73.5(3) |
| S(07)\#23-Ba(04)-S(06)\#23 | 73.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(07) \# 23-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{S}(09)$ | 130.3(6) |
| S(07)\#23-Ba(04)-S(09)\#1 | 130.3(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 2$ | 48.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09)-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 2$ | 76.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 8$ | 76.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09)-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 8$ | 48.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{S}(01) \# 2$ | 48.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09)-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{S}(01) \# 2$ | 76.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09)-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{S}(06) \# 23$ | 155.9(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09)-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{S}(06) \# 18$ | 116.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{S}(06) \# 23$ | 116.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{S}(06) \# 18$ | 155.9(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{S}(09)$ | 47.6(7) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 14$ | 135.73(16) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 21$ | 65.66(13) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(02) \# 24-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 14$ | 92.48(12) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(02) \# 24-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Sn}(03)$ | 131.79(16) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(02) \# 24-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 21$ | 66.13(13) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 21-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 14$ | 158.61(16) |
| $\mathrm{S}(03) \# 24-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 14$ | 50.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(03) \# 24-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Sn}(03)$ | 173.4(3) |
| S(03)\#24-Ba(05)-Sn(02)\#24 | 41.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(03) \# 24-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 21$ | 107.7(3) |
| S(03)\#24-Ba(05)-S(06)\#24 | 71.8(3) |
| S(03)\#24-Ba(05)-S(06)\#25 | 71.8(3) |


| S(03)\#24-Ba(05)-S(07) | 149.2(4) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(03) \# 24-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{S}(10)$ | 137.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(03) \# 24-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{S}(10) \# 9$ | 137.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 24-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 14$ | 111.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 25-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 14$ | 111.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 24-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Sn}(03)$ | 103.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 25-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Sn}(03)$ | 103.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 24-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Sn}(02) \# 24$ | 43.8(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 25-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Sn}(02) \# 24$ | 43.8(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 24-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 21$ | 52.8(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 25-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 21$ | 52.8(2) |
| S(06)\#24-Ba(05)-S(06)\#25 | 74.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 25-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{S}(07)$ | 130.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 24-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{S}(07)$ | 130.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 25-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{S}(10)$ | 110.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 25-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{S}(10) \# 9$ | 68.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 24-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{S}(10) \# 9$ | 110.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(06) \# 24-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{S}(10)$ | 68.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(07)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 14$ | 98.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(07)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Sn}(03)$ | 37.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(07)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Sn}(02) \# 24$ | 169.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(07)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 21$ | 103.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 14$ | 51.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 14$ | 51.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Sn}(03)$ | 107.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Sn}(03)$ | 107.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Sn}(02) \# 24$ | 103.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Sn}(02) \# 24$ | 103.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 21$ | 131.1(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 21$ | 131.1(2) |
| S(08)\#9-Ba(05)-S(03)\#24 | 76.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{S}(03) \# 24$ | 76.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{S}(06) \# 24$ | 146.0(4) |


| S(08)-Ba(05)-S(06)\#24 | 85.6(3) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(08) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{S}(06) \# 25$ | 85.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{S}(06) \# 25$ | 146.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{S}(07)$ | 83.7(3) |
| S(08)-Ba(05)-S(07) | 83.7(3) |
| S(08)-Ba(05)-S(08)\#9 | 97.6(5) |
| S(08)\#9-Ba(05)-S(10)\#9 | 85.3(5) |
| S(08)-Ba(05)-S(10)\#9 | 145.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{S}(10)$ | 145.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{S}(10)$ | 85.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 14$ | 135.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 14$ | 135.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Sn}(03)$ | 40.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Sn}(03)$ | 40.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Sn}(02) \# 24$ | 109.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Sn}(02) \# 24$ | 109.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 21$ | 58.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 21$ | 58.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{S}(07)$ | 62.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{S}(07)$ | 62.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{S}(10)$ | 74.0(8) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 12$ | 89.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 13-\mathrm{S}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(01)$ | 88.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 12-\mathrm{S}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 12$ | 92.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 13-\mathrm{S}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 12$ | 92.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 12-\mathrm{S}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(01)$ | 88.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 13-\mathrm{S}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 12$ | 175.0(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(02)-\mathrm{S}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(01)$ | 104.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(02)-\mathrm{S}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 12$ | 166.0(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(02)-\mathrm{S}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 12$ | 88.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(02)-\mathrm{S}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 13$ | 88.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(02)-\mathrm{S}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(05) \# 22$ | 83.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(05) \# 22-\mathrm{S}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 12$ | 82.4(4) |


| $\mathrm{Ba}(05) \# 22-\mathrm{S}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(01)$ | 171.9(6) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(05) \# 22-\mathrm{S}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 13$ | 91.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(05) \# 22-\mathrm{S}(03)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 12$ | 91.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(04)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 14$ | 94.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 14-\mathrm{S}(04)-\mathrm{Ba}(02)$ | 86.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 14-\mathrm{S}(04)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 13$ | 148.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(04)-\mathrm{Ba}(02)$ | 91.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(01)-\mathrm{S}(04)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 13$ | 87.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(04)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 13$ | 124.9(3) |
| Sn(01)-S(04)-Ba(01)\#14 | 90.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{S}(04)-\mathrm{Ba}(01)$ | 175.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{S}(04)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 13$ | 89.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{S}(04)-\mathrm{Ba}(02)$ | 87.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \# 16$ | 86.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(02)$ | 123.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(02)-\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \# 16$ | 99.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | 140.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \# 16$ | 99.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{S}(05)-\mathrm{Ba}(02)$ | 93.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \# 8-\mathrm{S}(06)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 13$ | 88.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(06)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 13$ | 103.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \# 8-\mathrm{S}(06)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 90.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(06)-\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 11$ | 90.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \# 8-\mathrm{S}(06)-\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 11$ | 98.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(02)-\mathrm{S}(06)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 13$ | 82.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(02)-\mathrm{S}(06)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 89.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(02)-\mathrm{S}(06)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \# 8$ | 170.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(02)-\mathrm{S}(06)-\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 11$ | 91.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(02)-\mathrm{S}(06)-\mathrm{Ba}(05) \# 22$ | 80.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 11-\mathrm{S}(06)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 13$ | 164.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(05) \# 22-\mathrm{S}(06)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 13$ | 87.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(05) \# 22-\mathrm{S}(06)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 164.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(05) \# 22-\mathrm{S}(06)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \# 8$ | 100.6(4) |


| $\mathrm{Ba}(05) \# 22-\mathrm{S}(06)-\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 11$ | 78.0(3) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{S}(07)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 103.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{S}(07)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \# 9$ | 103.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{S}(07)-\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 11$ | 100.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{S}(07)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)$ | 83.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \# 9-\mathrm{S}(07)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 150.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \# 9-\mathrm{S}(07)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)$ | 85.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(07)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)$ | 85.9(3) |
| Ba(04)\#11-S(07)-Ba(2A)\#9 | 93.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 11-\mathrm{S}(07)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 93.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 11-\mathrm{S}(07)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)$ | 176.3(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 17-\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 14$ | 90.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 14$ | 132.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 17$ | 137.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 14$ | 86.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 17$ | 102.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 86.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(01)-\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)$ | 163.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Ba}(01) \# 14$ | 82.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Ba}(02) \# 17$ | 90.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{S}(08)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 92.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09)-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A}) \# 26$ | 84.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A}) \# 26-\mathrm{S}(09)-\mathrm{Ba}(04)$ | 98.1(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(09)-\mathrm{Ba}(04)$ | 124.5(9) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{S}(09)-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A}) \# 26$ | 98.2(9) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{S}(09)-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})$ | 133.5(9) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{S}(09)-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 8$ | 91.4(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{S}(09)-\mathrm{Ba}(04)$ | 101.3(6) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 8-\mathrm{S}(09)-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})$ | 98.2(8) |
| Te(01)\#8-S(09)-Ba(0A)\#26 | 163.9(9) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 8-\mathrm{S}(09)-\mathrm{Ba}(04)$ | 67.2(6) |
| S(09)\#9-S(09)-Ba(0A)\#26 | 71.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 9-\mathrm{S}(09)-\mathrm{Ba}(0 \mathrm{~A})$ | 70.9(5) |


| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 9-\mathrm{S}(09)-\mathrm{Sn}(03)$ | $66.2(5)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 9-\mathrm{S}(09)-\mathrm{Te}(01) \# 8$ | $124.2(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(09) \# 9-\mathrm{S}(09)-\mathrm{Ba}(04)$ | $161.6(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \# 18$ | $179.1(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | $91.8(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 21$ | $86.1(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(04)$ | $91.6(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(03)-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)$ | $81.1(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \# 18-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | $87.6(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(04)$ | $86.5(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \# 18-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 21$ | $94.7(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \# 18-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(04)$ | $87.7(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 21$ | $151.5(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \# 18-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)$ | $99.4(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Sn}(03)$ | $71.1(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \# 18$ | $109.0(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | $140.6(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(04)$ | $59.8(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 21$ | $64.9(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(01)-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(05)$ | $128.2(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(04)-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 21$ | $122.0(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | $81.0(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(04)$ | $165.3(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(05)-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(04) \# 21$ | $70.5(5)$ |
| Sym |  |
|  |  |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
(1) $y, x, z(2) y,-x+y, z-1 / 2(3)-x,-y, z-1 / 2(4) x-y,-y, z(5) x, x-y, z-1 / 2(6)-y, x-y, z(7)-y,-x, z-$ $1 / 2(8)-x+y, y, z-1 / 2(9)-x,-x+y, z(10)-x+y,-x, z(11) x-y, x, z-1 / 2(12)-x,-y+1, z-1 / 2(13) x, x-y+1, z-$ $1 / 2(14)-x,-y+1, z+1 / 2(15)-y+1,-x+1, z+1 / 2(16)-y+1,-x+1, z-1 / 2(17) x, x-y+1, z+1 / 2(18)-$ $x+y, y, z+1 / 2(19)-y+1, x-y+1, z(20)-x+y,-x+1, z(21) x-y, x, z+1 / 2$ (22) $x, y, z-1$ (23) $y,-x+y, z+1 / 2$ (24) $x, y, z+1$ (25) $-x,-x+y, z+1$ (26) $-x,-y, z+1 / 2$


Figure S29: SEM images of ${ } \mathrm{Ba}_{8} \mathrm{Sn}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{10} \mathrm{~S}_{6} "$
Crystals were retrieved from $\mathrm{BaTe}+3 / 4 \mathrm{Sn}+3 / 4 \mathrm{~S}$ reaction. Yellow crystal.

Table S41: Crystal data and structure refinement for Ba8 S14.24 Sn4 Te0.76 at 100.03 K.

| Empirical formula | Ba8 S14.24 Sn4 Te0.76 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula weight | 2126.87 |
| Temperature | 100.03 K |
| Wavelength | $0.71073 \AA$ |
| Crystal system | Orthorhombic |
| Space group | Pca2 1 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=28.730(5) \AA, \alpha=90^{\circ}$ <br> $\mathrm{b}=8.5099(15) \AA, \beta=90^{\circ}$ <br> $\mathrm{c}=25.456(5) \AA, \gamma=90^{\circ}$ |
| Volume | $6223.8(19) \AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 8 |
| Density (calculated) | $4.540 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $14.737 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 7323 |
| Crystal size | $0.113 \times 0.106 \times 0.072 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | 1.418 to $27.228^{\circ}$ |
| Index ranges | $-36<=\mathrm{h}<=36,-10<=\mathrm{k}<=10,-32<=\mathrm{l}<=32$ |
| Reflections collected | 45334 |
| Independent reflections | $45334\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=?\right]$ |
| Completeness to $\theta=25.242^{\circ}$ | $99.8 \%$ |


| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Data / restraints / parameters | $45334 / 1 / 227$ |
| Goodness-of-fit | 1.198 |
| Final R indices $[\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {obs }}=0.1402, \mathrm{wR}_{\text {obs }}=0.3446$ |
| R indices [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {all }}=0.1428, \mathrm{w}$ all $=0.3464$ |
| Extinction coefficient | $0.0041(3)$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 18.618 and $-6.348 \mathrm{e} \cdot \AA^{-3}$ |

$\mathrm{R}=\Sigma| | \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\left|-\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right| / \Sigma\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right|, \mathrm{wR}=\left\{\Sigma\left[\mathrm{w}\left(\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right|^{2}-\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}\right] / \Sigma\left[\mathrm{w}\left(\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right|^{4}\right)\right]\right\}^{1 / 2}$ and $\mathrm{w}=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}\right)+(0.1387 \mathrm{P})^{2}+2990.2307 \mathrm{P}\right]$ where $\mathrm{P}=\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}+2 \mathrm{Fc}^{2}\right) / 3$

Table S42: Atomic coordinates (x104) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å2x103) for Ba 8 S 14.24 Sn 4 Te 0.76 at 100.03 K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

| Label | x | y | z | Occupancy | $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}{ }^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | $-3585(2)$ | $-1679(6)$ | $-2681(2)$ | 1 | $15(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | $-4790(2)$ | $-5520(6)$ | $-5294(2)$ | 1 | $12(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | $-7562(2)$ | $-5565(6)$ | $-5474(2)$ | 1 | $12(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | $-4787(2)$ | $-715(6)$ | $-3603(2)$ | 1 | $15(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5)$ | $-6397(2)$ | $-2451(6)$ | $-1339(2)$ | 1 | $11(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(6)$ | $-6285(2)$ | $-5774(6)$ | $-2614(2)$ | 1 | $13(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(7)$ | $-7549(2)$ | $-498(6)$ | $-3465(2)$ | 1 | $15(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(8)$ | $-6270(2)$ | $-7099(5)$ | $-4486(2)$ | 1 | $6(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(9)$ | $-6299(2)$ | $-525(5)$ | $-6306(2)$ | 1 | $8(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10)$ | $-7728(2)$ | $841(5)$ | $-6804(2)$ | 1 | $7(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(11)$ | $-7216(2)$ | $-4334(5)$ | $-7154(2)$ | 1 | $9(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(12)$ | $-6197(2)$ | $-2079(5)$ | $-4486(2)$ | 1 | $7(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(13)$ | $-3677(2)$ | $-9148(6)$ | $-4773(2)$ | 1 | $11(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(14)$ | $-5233(2)$ | $-6390(6)$ | $-7089(2)$ | 1 | $14(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(15)$ | $-4846(2)$ | $-1459(6)$ | $-6694(2)$ | 1 | $16(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(16)$ | $-3626(2)$ | $-3982(6)$ | $-4167(2)$ | 1 | $9(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(3)$ | $-5588(5)$ | $-2627(16)$ | $-2940(6)$ | $0.20(4)$ | $12(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(4)$ | $-2560(5)$ | $-2529(17)$ | $-4354(6)$ | $0.19(4)$ | $14(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(5)$ | $-7615(4)$ | $-2494(15)$ | $-4578(5)$ | $0.30(4)$ | $18(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)$ | $-4934(2)$ | $-5641(7)$ | $-3689(2)$ | 1 | $13(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(2)$ | $-6244(2)$ | $-881(6)$ | $-2807(2)$ | 1 | $9(2)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\mathrm{Sn}(3)$ | $-2384(2)$ | $-4313(6)$ | $-3597(2)$ | 1 | $8(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(4)$ | $-7416(2)$ | $-788(6)$ | $-5341(2)$ | 1 | $10(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(5)$ | $-6261(2)$ | $-5692(6)$ | $-6085(2)$ | 1 | $5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(6)$ | $-4955(2)$ | $-634(6)$ | $-5176(2)$ | 1 | $7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(7)$ | $-3942(2)$ | $2519(5)$ | $-2953(2)$ | 1 | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(8)$ | $-3800(2)$ | $-3281(6)$ | $-5985(2)$ | 1 | $9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $-6167(7)$ | $820(20)$ | $-2048(8)$ | 1 | $6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $-7416(7)$ | $-2280(20)$ | $-6153(8)$ | 1 | $9(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $-5588(5)$ | $-2627(16)$ | $-2940(6)$ | $0.80(4)$ | $12(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(4)$ | $-2560(5)$ | $-2529(17)$ | $-4354(6)$ | $0.81(4)$ | $14(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(5)$ | $-7615(4)$ | $-2494(15)$ | $-4578(5)$ | $0.70(4)$ | $18(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(6)$ | $-6900(7)$ | $-2300(20)$ | $-2485(8)$ | 1 | $7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(8)$ | $-5416(7)$ | $550(20)$ | $-4519(9)$ | 1 | $11(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(9)$ | $-6650(7)$ | $-4540(20)$ | $-3722(9)$ | 1 | $10(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)$ | $-8125(7)$ | $-720(20)$ | $-2386(8)$ | 1 | $5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(11)$ | $-4390(7)$ | $-4000(20)$ | $-3238(8)$ | 1 | $6(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(12)$ | $-3654(6)$ | $-5510(20)$ | $-5317(8)$ | $0.94(4)$ | $13(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(12)$ | $-3654(6)$ | $-5510(20)$ | $-5317(8)$ | $0.06(4)$ | $13(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(13)$ | $-3629(7)$ | $-470(20)$ | $-3613(9)$ | 1 | $11(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(14)$ | $-5441(6)$ | $-2400(20)$ | $-5708(8)$ | $0.95(4)$ | $13(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(14)$ | $-5441(6)$ | $-2400(20)$ | $-5708(8)$ | $0.05(4)$ | $13(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(15)$ | $-5267(3)$ | $2529(10)$ | $-2982(4)$ | $0.56(4)$ | $10(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(15)$ | $-5267(3)$ | $2529(10)$ | $-2982(4)$ | $0.44(4)$ | $10(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(16)$ | $-6911(7)$ | $-7150(20)$ | $-6417(8)$ | 1 | $10(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(17)$ | $-6860(7)$ | $-5740(20)$ | $-1553(8)$ | 1 | $8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(18)$ | $-6061(6)$ | $-3970(20)$ | $-6809(7)$ | 1 | $5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(19)$ | $-6542(7)$ | $-4540(20)$ | $-5299(8)$ | 1 | $6(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(20)$ | $-7350(7)$ | $-7220(20)$ | $-2814(8)$ | 1 | $9(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(21)$ | $-8050(7)$ | $890(20)$ | $-5595(8)$ | 1 | $10(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(22)$ | $-6690(8)$ | $380(30)$ | $-5229(9)$ | 1 | $15(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(23)$ | $-5439(7)$ | $-4650(20)$ | $-4326(8)$ | 1 | $12(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(24)$ | $-6464(7)$ | $470(20)$ | $-3591(8)$ | 1 | $7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(25)$ | $-4382(7)$ | $-2140(20)$ | $-4706(9)$ | 1 | $12(4)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\mathrm{S}(26)$ | $-4348(7)$ | $-7130(20)$ | $-4151(8)$ | 1 | $9(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{S}(27)$ | $-4363(7)$ | $-320(20)$ | $-2338(7)$ | 1 | $6(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(28)$ | $-4487(6)$ | $1030(20)$ | $-5736(7)$ | $0.93(4)$ | $11(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(28)$ | $-4487(6)$ | $1030(20)$ | $-5736(7)$ | $0.07(4)$ | $11(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(29)$ | $-5603(7)$ | $-5050(20)$ | $-1534(8)$ | 1 | $10(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(30)$ | $-3024(7)$ | $-6040(20)$ | $-3403(8)$ | 1 | $10(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(7)$ | $-5607(5)$ | $-7489(16)$ | $-5907(6)$ | $0.80(4)$ | $16(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(7)$ | $-5607(5)$ | $-7489(16)$ | $-5907(6)$ | $0.20(4)$ | $16(5)$ |

* $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ tensor.

Table S43: Anisotropic displacement parameters (Å2x103) for Ba8 S14.24 Sn4 Te0.76 at 100.03 K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.


The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} \mathrm{a}^{* 2} \mathrm{U}_{11}+\ldots \quad+\right.$ 2hka"b* $\mathrm{U}_{12}$ ].

Table S44: Bond lengths $[\AA]$ for Ba8 S14.24 Sn4 Te0.76 at 100.03 K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

| Label | Distances |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 1$ | $4.035(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(9) \# 2$ | $3.985(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 2$ | $4.440(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 3$ | $4.313(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 3$ | $4.063(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(10) \# 1$ | $2.543(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(11)$ | $3.36(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(13)$ | $2.59(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(16) \# 3$ | $3.66(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(20) \# 4$ | $3.69(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(27)$ | $2.67(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(12)$ | $3.263(19)$ |


| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(14)$ | 3.417(19) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(23)$ | 3.18(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(25)$ | 3.45(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(26)$ | 3.46(2) |
| Ba(2)-S(28)\#5 | 3.264(18) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(28) \# 5$ | 3.264(18) |
| Ba(2)-S(29)\#6 | 3.39(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(7)$ | 3.278(15) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 7$ | 3.282(17) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(5)$ | 3.472(14) |
| Ba(3)-Sn(8)\#7 | 3.910(8) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 3.31(2) |
| Ba(3)-S(4)\#7 | 3.282(17) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(12) \# 7$ | 3.294(19) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(12) \# 7$ | 3.294(19) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(16)$ | 3.33(2) |
| Ba(3)-S(17)\#8 | 3.21(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(19)$ | 3.09(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(21) \# 5$ | 3.34(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 4.563(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{Te}(3)$ | 3.284(15) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{Sn}(7)$ | 4.026(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(8)$ | 3.14(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(11)$ | 3.162(19) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(13)$ | 3.33(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(15)$ | 3.468(10) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(25)$ | 3.27(2) |
| Ba(4)-S(26)\#9 | 3.58(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(27)$ | 3.46(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10$ | 3.946(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 3$ | 4.218(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 3$ | 3.785(7) |
| Ba(5)-S(1) | 3.383(19) |


| Ba(5)-S(2)\#10 | 3.45(2) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | 3.26(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(12) \# 3$ | 3.13(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Te}(12) \# 3$ | 3.13(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(17)$ | 3.14(2) |
| Ba(5)-S(28)\#2 | 3.205(18) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Te}(28) \# 2$ | 3.205(18) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(29)$ | 3.21(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 11$ | 4.537(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Te}$ (3) | 3.447(15) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 7$ | 4.029(8) |
| Ba(6)-S(1)\#5 | 3.255(19) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | 3.462(19) |
| Ba(6)-S(9) | 3.19(2) |
| Ba(6)-S(15)\#5 | 3.394(10) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Te}(15) \# 5$ | 3.394(10) |
| Ba(6)-S(17) | 3.17(2) |
| Ba(6)-S(20) | 3.34(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{S}(29)$ | 3.43(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 12$ | 4.035(8) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10$ | 4.450(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 12$ | 3.428(16) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Te}(5)$ | 3.308(14) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(4) \# 12$ | 3.428(16) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | 3.47(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(10)$ | 3.21(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(13) \# 12$ | 3.23(2) |
| Ba(7)-S(20)\#9 | 3.30(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(24)$ | 3.24(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(30) \# 7$ | 3.25(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(12) \# 5$ | 4.243(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 7$ | 3.737(15) |
| Ba(8)-S(8)\#5 | 3.17(2) |


| $\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{S}(9)$ | 3.12(2) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{S}(19)$ | 3.103(19) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{S}(22) \# 5$ | 3.10(2) |
| Ba(8)-S(23) | 3.20(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{S}(24) \# 5$ | 3.13(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 13$ | 3.985(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)$ | 4.827(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 3.56(2) |
| Ba(9)-S(10)\#8 | 3.21(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(14)$ | 3.306(19) |
| Ba(9)-S(16)\#9 | 3.38(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(18)$ | 3.273(19) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(22)$ | 3.06(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(27) \# 13$ | 3.323(19) |
| Ba(9)-S(7)\#9 | 3.416(15) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{Te}(7) \# 9$ | 3.416(15) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 13$ | 4.440(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 8$ | 3.946(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 8$ | 4.450(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 9$ | 4.451(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(1) \# 8$ | 3.236(19) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 3.26(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(6) \# 8$ | 3.36(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8$ | 3.15(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(16) \# 9$ | 3.07(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(17) \# 14$ | 3.209(19) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(20) \# 14$ | 3.07(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(21)$ | 3.22(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 6$ | 4.313(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 5$ | 4.451(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 6$ | 4.018(8) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 3.14(2) |
| Ba(11)-S(6)\#8 | 3.19(2) |


| $\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8$ | 3.284(19) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(16)$ | 3.17(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(17) \# 8$ | 3.29(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(18)$ | 3.445(19) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(20) \# 8$ | 3.22(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(30) \# 6$ | 3.27(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(8) \# 9$ | 4.243(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{S}(8)$ | 3.17(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{S}(9)$ | 3.14(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{S}(19)$ | 3.11(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{S}(22)$ | 3.16(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{S}(23)$ | 3.11(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{S}(24)$ | 3.24(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Te}(5) \# 4$ | 3.392(14) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}(4) \# 4$ | 3.900(8) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}(6) \# 5$ | 4.019(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(5) \# 4$ | 3.392(14) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(12)$ | 3.388(19) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(13) \# 5$ | 3.16(2) |
| Ba(13)-S(21)\#4 | 3.13(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(25) \# 5$ | 3.26(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(26)$ | 3.03(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(28) \# 5$ | 3.384(18) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Te}(28) \# 5$ | 3.384(18) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 6$ | 4.063(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(4) \# 6$ | 4.574(8) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(15) \# 5$ | 4.566(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Te}(3) \# 6$ | 3.309(15) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{S}(3) \# 6$ | 3.309(15) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{S}(11) \# 6$ | 3.14(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{S}(18)$ | 3.226(19) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{S}(27) \# 6$ | 3.100(19) |
| Ba(14)-S(29)\#6 | 3.04(2) |


| $\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{S}(7)$ | 3.329(16) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{Ba}(6) \# 6$ | 4.646(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 9$ | 4.566(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{S}(1) \# 13$ | 3.09(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{S}(14)$ | 3.14(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{S}(15) \# 13$ | 3.417(11) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{Te}(15) \# 13$ | 3.417(11) |
| Ba(15)-S(27)\#13 | 3.183(19) |
| Ba(15)-S(28) | 3.390(18) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{S}(29) \# 6$ | 3.27(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 4$ | 4.533(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 4.395(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Te}(4)$ | 3.336(15) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(11)$ | 3.23(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(12)$ | 3.20(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(13)$ | 3.31(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(25)$ | 3.01(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(26)$ | 3.39(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(30)$ | 3.14(2) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 3$ | 3.309(15) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(3)-\mathrm{Sn}(2)$ | 2.424(14) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 4$ | 3.282(17) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 1$ | 3.428(16) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(8) \# 4$ | 3.737(15) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{Sn}(3)$ | 2.505(16) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 7$ | 3.392(13) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(5)-\mathrm{Sn}(4)$ | 2.491(14) |
| Sn(1)-S(11) | 2.39(2) |
| Sn(1)-S(15)\#5 | 2.565(11) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(15) \# 5$ | 2.565(11) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(23)$ | 2.33(2) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(26)$ | 2.41(2) |
| Sn(2)-S(1) | 2.42(2) |


| $\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | 2.38(2) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{S}(24)$ | 2.39(2) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(6) \# 4$ | 4.029(8) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 3$ | 4.018(8) |
| Sn(3)-S(9)\#4 | 2.35(2) |
| Sn(3)-S(20)\#4 | 2.38(2) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(3)-\mathrm{S}(30)$ | 2.40(2) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 7$ | 3.900(8) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(4)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 2.43(2) |
| Sn(4)-S(21) | 2.40(2) |
| Sn(4)-S(22) | 2.33(2) |
| Sn(5)-S(16) | 2.40(2) |
| Sn(5)-S(18) | 2.423(19) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(5)-\mathrm{S}(19)$ | 2.37(2) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(5)-\mathrm{S}(7)$ | 2.465(15) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 4.018(7) |
| Sn(6)-S(8) | 2.36(2) |
| Sn(6)-S(14) | 2.46(2) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(6)-\mathrm{S}(25)$ | 2.41(2) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(6)-\mathrm{S}(28)$ | 2.418(18) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 4$ | 3.910(8) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 6$ | 3.785(7) |
| Sn(8)-S(12) | 2.59(2) |
| Sn(8)-S(17)\#6 | 2.53(2) |
| Sn(8)-S(29)\#6 | 2.63(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(6) \# 9$ | 3.255(19) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10$ | 3.236(19) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(15) \# 2$ | 3.093(19) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 8$ | 3.45(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10$ | 3.36(2) |
| S(6)-Ba(11)\#10 | 3.19(2) |
| S(8)-Ba(8)\#9 | 3.17(2) |
| S(9)-Sn(3)\#7 | 2.35(2) |


| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 12$ | 2.543(19) |
| :---: | :---: |
| S(10)-Ba(9)\#10 | 3.21(2) |
| S(10)-Ba(10)\#10 | 3.15(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 10$ | 3.284(18) |
| S(11)-Ba(14)\#3 | 3.14(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 4$ | 3.293(19) |
| $\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 6$ | 3.13(2) |
| S(13)-Ba(7)\#1 | 3.23(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 3.16(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(15)-\mathrm{Ba}(6) \# 9$ | 3.394(10) |
| S(15)-Ba(15)\#2 | 3.417(11) |
| S(15)-Sn(1)\#9 | 2.565(11) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 6$ | 3.66(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(9) \# 5$ | 3.38(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 5$ | 3.07(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 10$ | 3.21 (2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 11$ | 3.209(19) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 10$ | $3.29(2)$ |
| S(17)-Sn(8)\#3 | 2.53(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 7$ | 3.68(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20)-\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 5$ | 3.30(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 11$ | 3.07(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 10$ | 3.22(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20)-\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 7$ | 2.38(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(21)-\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 9$ | 3.34(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(21)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 7$ | 3.13(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(22)-\mathrm{Ba}(8) \# 9$ | 3.10(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(24)-\mathrm{Ba}(8) \# 9$ | 3.13(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(25)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 3.26(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(26)-\mathrm{Ba}(4) \# 5$ | 3.58(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(27)-\mathrm{Ba}(9) \# 2$ | 3.322(19) |
| S(27)-Ba(14)\#3 | 3.100 (19) |
| $\mathrm{S}(27)-\mathrm{Ba}(15) \# 2$ | 3.183(19) |


| $\mathrm{S}(28)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 9$ | $3.264(18)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{S}(28)-\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 13$ | $3.205(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(28)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | $3.384(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(29)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 3$ | $3.39(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(29)-\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 3$ | $3.04(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(29)-\mathrm{Ba}(15) \# 3$ | $3.27(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(29)-\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 3$ | $2.63(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(30)-\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 4$ | $3.25(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(30)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 3$ | $3.27(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(9) \# 5$ | $3.416(15)$ |
| Sy |  |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
(1) $x+1 / 2,-y, z(2)-x-1,-y, z+1 / 2(3)-x-1,-y-1, z+1 / 2(4) x+1 / 2,-y-1, z(5) x, y-1, z(6)-x-1,-y-$ $1, z-1 / 2(7) x-1 / 2,-y-1, z(8)-x-3 / 2, y, z-1 / 2(9) x, y+1, z(10)-x-3 / 2, y, z+1 / 2(11)-x-3 / 2, y-1, z+1 / 2(12)$ $x-1 / 2,-y, z(13)-x-1,-y, z-1 / 2(14)-x-3 / 2, y+1, z-1 / 2$

Table S45: Bond angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for Ba8 S14.24 Sn4 Te0.76 at 100.03 K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

| Label | Angles |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 2$ | $63.14(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 3$ | $96.99(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 3$ | $170.39(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(9) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 1$ | $106.27(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(9) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 2$ | $63.52(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(9) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 3$ | $98.14(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(9) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 3$ | $78.16(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 2$ | $61.12(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 2$ | $126.08(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 3$ | $90.71(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 1$ | $52.7(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(9) \# 2$ | $53.6(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 2$ | $44.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 3$ | $105.1(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 3$ | $130.5(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(11)$ | $162.8(6)$ |


| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(13)$ | 88.7(7) |
| :---: | :---: |
| S(10)\#1-Ba(1)-S(16)\#3 | 75.9(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(20) \# 4$ | 74.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 1-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(27)$ | 89.5(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 1$ | 124.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(9) \# 2$ | 126.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 2$ | 153.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 3$ | 92.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 3$ | 48.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(16) \# 3$ | 118.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(20) \# 4$ | 118.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 1$ | 53.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(9) \# 2$ | 127.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 2$ | 115.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 3$ | 128.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 3$ | 117.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(11)$ | 79.3(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(16) \# 3$ | 159.0(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(20) \# 4$ | 93.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(27)$ | 94.9(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 1$ | 105.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(9) \# 2$ | 52.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 2$ | 43.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 3$ | 45.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 3$ | 83.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(20) \# 4$ | 68.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 4-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 1$ | 50.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 4-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(9) \# 2$ | 106.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 4-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 2$ | 43.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 4-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 3$ | 46.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 4-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 3$ | 137.4(3) |
| S(27)-Ba(1)-Ba(7)\#1 | 125.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(27)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(9) \# 2$ | 55.8(4) |


| $\mathrm{S}(27)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 2$ | 118.6(4) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(27)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 3$ | 133.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(27)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 3$ | 49.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(27)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(11)$ | 79.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(27)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(16) \# 3$ | 99.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(27)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(20) \# 4$ | 161.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(14)$ | 122.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(25)$ | 70.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(26)$ | 69.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(28) \# 5$ | 74.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(28) \# 5$ | 74.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(29) \# 6$ | 69.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(7)$ | 135.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(25)$ | 70.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(26)$ | 140.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(23)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(12)$ | 126.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(23)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(14)$ | 74.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(23)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(25)$ | 70.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(23)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(26)$ | 69.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(23)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(28) \# 5$ | 129.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(23)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(28) \# 5$ | 129.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(23)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(29) \# 6$ | 152.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(23)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(7)$ | 94.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(25)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(26)$ | 80.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(28) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(14)$ | 137.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(28) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(25)$ | 144.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(28) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(26)$ | 80.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(28) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(28) \# 5$ | 0.0(8) |
| $\mathrm{S}(28) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(29) \# 6$ | 73.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(28) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(7)$ | 64.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(28) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(14)$ | 137.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(28) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(25)$ | 144.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(28) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(26)$ | 80.5(5) |


| $\mathrm{Te}(28) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(29) \# 6$ | 73.6(5) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Te}(28) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(7)$ | 64.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(29) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(14)$ | 77.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(29) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(25)$ | 99.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(29) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(26)$ | 135.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(14)$ | 81.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(25)$ | 151.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(26)$ | 117.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(29) \# 6$ | 82.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(5)$ | 78.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 7$ | 99.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 150.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(12) \# 7$ | 91.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(12) \# 7$ | 91.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(16)$ | 115.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(21) \# 5$ | 68.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 7$ | 111.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(5)$ | 73.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 7$ | 98.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(16)$ | 83.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(21) \# 5$ | 140.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 7$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{S}(4) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(5)$ | 78.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 7$ | 99.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 150.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(12) \# 7$ | 91.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(12) \# 7$ | 91.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(16)$ | 115.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(21) \# 5$ | 68.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(12) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(5)$ | 70.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(12) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 7$ | 41.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(12) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 87.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(12) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(16)$ | 137.4(5) |


| S(12)\#7-Ba(3)-S(21)\#5 | 82.2(5) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Te}(12) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(5)$ | 70.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(12) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 7$ | 41.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(12) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 87.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(12) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(12) \# 7$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{Te}(12) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(16)$ | 137.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(12) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(21) \# 5$ | 82.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(5)$ | 143.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 7$ | 99.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(21) \# 5$ | 78.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 7$ | 136.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(5)$ | 125.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 7$ | 40.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 70.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(4) \# 7$ | 136.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(12) \# 7$ | 67.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(12) \# 7$ | 67.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(16)$ | 69.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(21) \# 5$ | 70.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 7$ | 90.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(5)$ | 74.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 7$ | 168.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 73.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(4) \# 7$ | 90.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(12) \# 7$ | 143.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(12) \# 7$ | 143.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(16)$ | 71.6(5) |
| S(19)-Ba(3)-S(17)\#8 | 128.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(21) \# 5$ | 131.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(21) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(5)$ | 136.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(21) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 7$ | 50.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 165.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{Sn}(7)$ | 123.4(3) |


| $\mathrm{Te}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(13)$ | 137.3(5) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Te}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(15)$ | 83.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(26) \# 9$ | 150.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(27)$ | 79.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 69.35(12) |
| $\mathrm{S}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 79.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{Te}(3)$ | 98.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{Sn}(7)$ | 114.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(11)$ | 136.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(13)$ | 123.1(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(15)$ | 80.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(25)$ | 72.2(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(26) \# 9$ | 67.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(27)$ | 149.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 101.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{Te}(3)$ | 70.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{Sn}(7)$ | 105.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(13)$ | 72.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(15)$ | 135.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(25)$ | 78.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(26) \# 9$ | 137.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(27)$ | 71.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 43.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{Sn}(7)$ | 50.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(15)$ | 110.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(26) \# 9$ | 65.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(27)$ | 69.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(15)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 110.1(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(15)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{Sn}(7)$ | 60.54(18) |
| $\mathrm{S}(15)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(26) \# 9$ | 68.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(25)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 45.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(25)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{Te}(3)$ | 120.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(25)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{Sn}(7)$ | 113.1(4) |


| $\mathrm{S}(25)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(13)$ | 70.3(5) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(25)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(15)$ | 145.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(25)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(26) \# 9$ | 81.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(25)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(27)$ | 135.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(26) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 41.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(26) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{Sn}(7)$ | 50.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(27)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 109.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(27)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{Sn}(7)$ | 48.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(27)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(15)$ | 68.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(27)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(26) \# 9$ | 98.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 3$ | 94.96(14) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10$ | 147.99(17) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 3$ | 94.42(16) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10$ | 51.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 3$ | 103.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 3$ | 152.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(2) \# 10$ | 103.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2) \# 10-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10$ | 51.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2) \# 10-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 3$ | 84.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2) \# 10-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 3$ | 99.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10$ | 54.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 3$ | 148.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 3$ | 108.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 64.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(2) \# 10$ | 71.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(12) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10$ | 132.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(12) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 3$ | 52.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(12) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 3$ | 42.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(12) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 152.8(5) |
| S(12)\#3-Ba(5)-S(2)\#10 | 87.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(12) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | 142.0(5) |
| S(12)\#3-Ba(5)-S(17) | 70.9(5) |
| S(12)\#3-Ba(5)-S(28)\#2 | 76.9(5) |


| $\mathrm{S}(12) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Te}(28) \# 2$ | 76.9(5) |
| :---: | :---: |
| S(12)\#3-Ba(5)-S(29) | 73.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(12) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10$ | 132.3(4) |
| Te (12)\#3-Ba(5)-Ba(13)\#3 | 52.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(12) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 3$ | 42.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(12) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 152.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(12) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(2) \# 10$ | 87.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(12) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | 142.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(12) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(12) \# 3$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{Te}(12) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(17)$ | 70.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(12) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(28) \# 2$ | 76.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(12) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Te}(28) \# 2$ | 76.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(12) \# 3-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(29)$ | 73.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10$ | 108.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 3$ | 118.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 3$ | 41.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 136.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(2) \# 10$ | 69.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | 72.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(28) \# 2$ | 139.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Te}(28) \# 2$ | 139.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(29)$ | 70.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(28) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10$ | 112.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(28) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 3$ | 52.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(28) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 3$ | 97.5(3) |
| S(28)\#2-Ba(5)-S(1) | 77.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(28) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(2) \# 10$ | 134.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(28) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | 139.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(28) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Te}(28) \# 2$ | 0.0(9) |
| $\mathrm{S}(28) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(29)$ | 76.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(28) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10$ | 112.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(28) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 3$ | 52.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(28) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 3$ | 97.5(3) |


| Te(28)\#2-Ba(5)-S(1) | 77.9(5) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Te}(28) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(2) \# 10$ | 134.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(28) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | 139.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(28) \# 2-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(29)$ | 76.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(29)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10$ | 153.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(29)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 3$ | 109.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(29)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 3$ | 43.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(29)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 110.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(29)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(2) \# 10$ | 138.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(29)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | 101.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 11$ | 165.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 7$ | 107.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | 69.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 11$ | 78.69(13) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 11$ | 45.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Te}$ (3) | 137.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 7$ | 111.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | 140.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{S}(15) \# 5$ | 69.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Te}(15) \# 5$ | 69.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{S}(20)$ | 80.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{S}(29)$ | 75.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 11$ | 100.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 7$ | 69.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 11$ | 114.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Te}(3)$ | 73.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 7$ | 35.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{S}(1) \# 5$ | 136.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | 68.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{S}(15) \# 5$ | 100.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Te}(15) \# 5$ | 100.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{S}(20)$ | 71.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{S}(29)$ | 146.8(5) |


| $\mathrm{S}(15) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 11$ | 113.2(2) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(15) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Te}(3)$ | 76.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(15) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 7$ | 120.8(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(15) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | 146.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(15) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Te}(15) \# 5$ | 0.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(15) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{S}(29)$ | 78.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(15) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 11$ | 113.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(15) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Te}(3)$ | 76.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(15) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 7$ | 120.8(2) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(15) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | 146.1(4) |
| Te (15)\#5-Ba(6)-S(29) | 78.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 11$ | 45.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Te}(3)$ | 120.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 7$ | 96.9(4) |
| S(17)-Ba(6)-S(1)\#5 | 71.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | 69.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{S}(9)$ | 125.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{S}(15) \# 5$ | 133.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Te}(15) \# 5$ | 133.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{S}(20)$ | 69.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{S}(29)$ | 67.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 11$ | 42.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Te}(3)$ | 141.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 7$ | 36.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | 82.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{S}(15) \# 5$ | 126.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Te}(15) \# 5$ | 126.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{S}(29)$ | 135.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(29)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 11$ | 96.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(29)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Te}(3)$ | 73.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(29)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 7$ | 160.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(29)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | 93.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10$ | 62.87(12) |


| $\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 12$ | 88.5(3) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10$ | 115.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | 144.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 12$ | 128.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10$ | 162.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 12$ | 79.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(4) \# 12$ | 79.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | 114.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 12$ | 88.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10$ | 115.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(4) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 12$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{S}(4) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | 144.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 12$ | 104.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10$ | 48.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 12$ | 39.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10$ | 45.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 12$ | 127.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Te}(5)$ | 132.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(4) \# 12$ | 127.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | 68.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(13) \# 12$ | 67.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(20) \# 9$ | 73.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(24)$ | 126.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(30) \# 7$ | 71.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 12$ | 39.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10$ | 102.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 12$ | 73.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Te}(5)$ | 88.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(4) \# 12$ | 73.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | 135.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(20) \# 9$ | 90.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(24)$ | 147.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13) \# 12-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(30) \# 7$ | 80.4(5) |


| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 12$ | 59.3(4) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10$ | 43.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 12$ | 72.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Te}(5)$ | 151.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(4) \# 12$ | 72.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | 85.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(24)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 12$ | 130.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(24)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10$ | 81.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(24)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 12$ | 75.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(24)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Te}(5)$ | 95.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(24)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(4) \# 12$ | 75.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(24)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | 70.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(24)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(20) \# 9$ | 70.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(24)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(30) \# 7$ | 129.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(30) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 12$ | 94.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(30) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10$ | 105.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(30) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 12$ | 135.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(30) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Te}(5)$ | 63.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(30) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(4) \# 12$ | 135.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(30) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | 77.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(30) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(20) \# 9$ | 144.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(12) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 108.54(13) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 44.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 7-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(12) \# 5$ | 87.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(8) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 141.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(8) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(12) \# 5$ | 48.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(8) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 7$ | 135.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(8) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{S}(23)$ | 80.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 82.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(12) \# 5$ | 135.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 7$ | 69.8(5) |
| S(9)-Ba(8)-S(8)\#5 | 136.9(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{S}(23)$ | 74.1(5) |


| $\mathrm{S}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{S}(24) \# 5$ | 86.9(5) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 40.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(12) \# 5$ | 135.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 7$ | 82.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{S}(8) \# 5$ | 128.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{S}(9)$ | 80.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{S}(22) \# 5$ | 88.8(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{S}(23)$ | 79.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{S}(24) \# 5$ | 154.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(22) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 63.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(22) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(12) \# 5$ | 47.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(22) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 7$ | 67.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(22) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{S}(8) \# 5$ | 81.3(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(22) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{S}(9)$ | 136.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(22) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{S}(23)$ | 145.0(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(22) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{S}(24) \# 5$ | 85.3(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(23)-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 118.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(23)-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(12) \# 5$ | 128.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(23)-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 7$ | 141.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(24) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 115.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(24) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(12) \# 5$ | 49.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(24) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{Te}(4) \# 7$ | 72.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(24) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{S}(8) \# 5$ | 75.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(24) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{S}(23)$ | 118.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)$ | 167.70(15) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 13$ | 102.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)$ | 80.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 13$ | 39.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)$ | 147.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 66.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(14)$ | 138.9(5) |
| S(10)\#8-Ba(9)-S(16)\#9 | 72.7(5) |
| S(10)\#8-Ba(9)-S(18) | 74.2(5) |


| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(27) \# 13$ | 68.2(5) |
| :---: | :---: |
| S(10)\#8-Ba(9)-S(7)\#9 | 126.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{Te}(7) \# 9$ | 126.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 13$ | 133.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)$ | 51.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 114.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(16) \# 9$ | 146.7(5) |
| S(14)-Ba(9)-S(27)\#13 | 92.3(5) |
| S(14)-Ba(9)-S(7)\#9 | 78.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{Te}(7) \# 9$ | 78.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 13$ | 58.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)$ | 110.2(4) |
| S(16)\#9-Ba(9)-S(2) | 84.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(7) \# 9$ | 71.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{Te}(7) \# 9$ | 71.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(18)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 13$ | 95.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(18)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)$ | 96.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(18)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 81.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(18)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(14)$ | 66.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(18)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(16) \# 9$ | 146.9(5) |
| S(18)-Ba(9)-S(27)\#13 | 76.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(18)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(7) \# 9$ | 132.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(18)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{Te}(7) \# 9$ | 132.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(22)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 13$ | 129.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(22)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)$ | 39.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(22)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 71.2(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(22)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8$ | 126.2(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(22)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(14)$ | 89.0(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(22)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(16) \# 9$ | 70.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(22)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(18)$ | 130.8(6) |
| S(22)-Ba(9)-S(27)\#13 | 149.8(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(22)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(7) \# 9$ | 75.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(22)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{Te}(7) \# 9$ | 75.9(5) |


| $\mathrm{S}(27) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 13$ | 41.6(3) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(27) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)$ | 141.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(27) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 133.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(27) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(16) \# 9$ | 92.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(27) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(7) \# 9$ | 74.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(27) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{Te}(7) \# 9$ | 74.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(7) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 13$ | 87.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(7) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)$ | 83.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(7) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 144.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(7) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 13$ | 87.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(7) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)$ | 83.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(7) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 144.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(7) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(7) \# 9$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 8$ | 53.99(11) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 9$ | 58.04(10) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 13$ | 143.71(15) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 8$ | 102.54(14) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 9$ | 157.74(15) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 9$ | 89.28(12) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 13$ | 137.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 8$ | 55.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 8$ | 89.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 9$ | 106.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 111.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(6) \# 8$ | 65.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 13$ | 98.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 8$ | 56.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 8$ | 103.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 9$ | 139.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(6) \# 8$ | 72.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(6) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 13$ | 98.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(6) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 8$ | 52.2(3) |
| S(6)\#8-Ba(10)-Ba(7)\#8 | 50.4(3) |


| $\mathrm{S}(6) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 9$ | 137.4(4) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 13$ | 34.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 8$ | 109.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 8$ | 46.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 9$ | 92.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(1) \# 8$ | 132.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 71.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(6) \# 8$ | 70.8(5) |
| S(10)\#8-Ba(10)-S(17)\#14 | 139.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(21)$ | 132.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 13$ | 54.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 8$ | 141.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 8$ | 108.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 9$ | 45.3(4) |
| S(16)\#9-Ba(10)-S(1)\#8 | 144.7(5) |
| S(16)\#9-Ba(10)-S(2) | 94.6(5) |
| S(16)\#9-Ba(10)-S(6)\#8 | 148.5(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8$ | 77.9(5) |
| S(16)\#9-Ba(10)-S(17)\#14 | 73.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(21)$ | 84.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 13$ | 105.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 8$ | 110.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 8$ | 119.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 9$ | 47.5(4) |
| S(17)\#14-Ba(10)-S(1)\#8 | 71.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 137.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(6) \# 8$ | 135.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(21)$ | 72.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 13$ | 55.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 8$ | 133.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 8$ | 47.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 9$ | 46.4(4) |
| S(20)\#14-Ba(10)-S(1)\#8 | 85.1(5) |


| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 147.9(6) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(6) \# 8$ | 91.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8$ | 77.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(16) \# 9$ | 85.0(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(17) \# 14$ | 72.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 14-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(21)$ | 144.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(21)-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 13$ | 136.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(21)-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 8$ | 62.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(21)-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 8$ | 164.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(21)-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 9$ | 105.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(21)-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(1) \# 8$ | 84.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(21)-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 66.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(21)-\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(6) \# 8$ | 114.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 103.30(13) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 5$ | 60.85(11) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 61.57(10) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 6$ | 69.06(13) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 137.44(15) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 5$ | 79.85(12) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 6$ | 142.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 47.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 5$ | 106.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 6$ | 148.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(6) \# 8$ | 76.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8$ | 71.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(16)$ | 89.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(17) \# 8$ | 71.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(18)$ | 85.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(20) \# 8$ | 140.8(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(30) \# 6$ | 140.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(6) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 6$ | 140.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(6) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 101.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(6) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 5$ | 106.9(4) |


| $\mathrm{S}(6) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 6$ | 71.7(4) |
| :---: | :---: |
| S(6)\#8-Ba(11)-S(10)\#8 | 71.3(5) |
| S(6)\#8-Ba(11)-S(17)\#8 | 71.1(5) |
| S(6)\#8-Ba(11)-S(18) | 141.8(5) |
| S(6)\#8-Ba(11)-S(20)\#8 | 88.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(6) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(30) \# 6$ | 81.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 6$ | 121.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 117.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 5$ | 177.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 6$ | 100.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(17) \# 8$ | 131.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(18)$ | 71.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 6$ | 56.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 47.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 5$ | 43.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 6$ | 113.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(6) \# 8$ | 142.1(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8$ | 137.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(17) \# 8$ | 71.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(18)$ | 69.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(20) \# 8$ | 80.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(30) \# 6$ | 126.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 6$ | 106.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 45.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 5$ | 46.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 6$ | 95.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(18)$ | 133.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(18)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 6$ | 68.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(18)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 89.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(18)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 5$ | 110.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(18)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 6$ | 122.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 6$ | 56.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 103.4(4) |


| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 5$ | 43.5(4) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 6$ | 36.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8$ | 137.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(17) \# 8$ | 69.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(18)$ | 125.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 8-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(30) \# 6$ | 69.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(30) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 6$ | 70.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(30) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 172.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(30) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 5$ | 110.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(30) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 6$ | 36.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(30) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(10) \# 8$ | 70.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(30) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(17) \# 8$ | 131.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(30) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(18)$ | 92.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)$ | 115.88(12) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(8) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 78.40(11) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(8) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)$ | 73.95(10) |
| $\mathrm{S}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 40.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(8) \# 9$ | 47.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)$ | 79.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{S}(24)$ | 73.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 102.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(8) \# 9$ | 130.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)$ | 138.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{S}(8)$ | 141.3(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{S}(22)$ | 128.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{S}(24)$ | 85.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 138.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(8) \# 9$ | 131.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)$ | 61.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{S}(8)$ | 133.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{S}(9)$ | 80.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{S}(22)$ | 84.6(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{S}(23)$ | 80.6(5) |


| $\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{S}(24)$ | 147.7(5) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(22)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 120.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(22)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(8) \# 9$ | 46.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(22)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)$ | 38.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(22)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{S}(8)$ | 80.4(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(22)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{S}(24)$ | 82.6(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(23)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 61.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(23)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(8) \# 9$ | 137.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(23)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)$ | 111.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(23)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{S}(8)$ | 90.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(23)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{S}(9)$ | 75.0(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(23)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{S}(22)$ | 149.1(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(23)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{S}(24)$ | 123.0(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(24)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 73.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(24)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(8) \# 9$ | 47.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(24)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)$ | 118.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(5) \# 4-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}(4) \# 4$ | 39.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(5) \# 4-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}(6) \# 5$ | 171.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(4) \# 4-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}(6) \# 5$ | 138.60(18) |
| $\mathrm{S}(5) \# 4-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Te}(5) \# 4$ | 0.0 |
| S(5)\#4-Ba(13)-Sn(4)\#4 | 39.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(5) \# 4-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}(6) \# 5$ | 171.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Te}(5) \# 4$ | 70.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}(4) \# 4$ | 81.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}(6) \# 5$ | 101.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(5) \# 4$ | 70.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Te}(5) \# 4$ | 88.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}(4) \# 4$ | 107.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}(6) \# 5$ | 99.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(5) \# 4$ | 88.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(12)$ | 134.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(25) \# 5$ | 72.6(6) |
| S(13)\#5-Ba(13)-S(28)\#5 | 136.3(5) |


| $\mathrm{S}(13) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Te}(28) \# 5$ | 136.3(5) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(21) \# 4-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Te}(5) \# 4$ | 77.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(21) \# 4-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}(4) \# 4$ | 38.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(21) \# 4-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}(6) \# 5$ | 101.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(21) \# 4-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(5) \# 4$ | 77.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(21) \# 4-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(12)$ | 98.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(21) \# 4-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(13) \# 5$ | 115.5(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(21) \# 4-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(25) \# 5$ | 91.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(21) \# 4-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(28) \# 5$ | 86.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(21) \# 4-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Te}(28) \# 5$ | 86.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(25) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Te}(5) \# 4$ | 150.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(25) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}(4) \# 4$ | 125.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(25) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}(6) \# 5$ | 36.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(25) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(5) \# 4$ | 150.9(5) |
| S(25)\#5-Ba(13)-S(12) | 138.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(25) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(28) \# 5$ | 69.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(25) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Te}(28) \# 5$ | 69.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(26)-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Te}(5) \# 4$ | 105.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(26)-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}(4) \# 4$ | 142.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(26)-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}(6) \# 5$ | 74.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(26)-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(5) \# 4$ | 105.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(26)-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(12)$ | 73.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(26)-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(13) \# 5$ | 74.9(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(26)-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(21) \# 4$ | 169.5(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(26)-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(25) \# 5$ | 91.1(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(26)-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(28) \# 5$ | 85.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(26)-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Te}(28) \# 5$ | 85.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(28) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Te}(5) \# 4$ | 134.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(28) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}(4) \# 4$ | 111.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(28) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}(6) \# 5$ | 36.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(28) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(5) \# 4$ | 134.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(28) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(12)$ | 71.1(4) |
| Te(28)\#5-Ba(13)-Te(5)\#4 | 134.9(4) |


| $\mathrm{Te}(28) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}(4) \# 4$ | 111.8(3) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Te}(28) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{Sn}(6) \# 5$ | 36.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(28) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(5) \# 4$ | 134.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(28) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(12)$ | 71.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(28) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(28) \# 5$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(4) \# 6$ | 58.67(12) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(15) \# 5$ | 84.45(13) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(15) \# 5-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(4) \# 6$ | 70.96(12) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(3) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 6$ | 104.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(3) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(4) \# 6$ | 45.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(3) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(15) \# 5$ | 74.4(3) |
| Te(3)\#6-Ba(14)-S(7) | 138.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 6$ | 104.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(4) \# 6$ | 45.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(15) \# 5$ | 74.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Te}(3) \# 6$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{S}(7)$ | 138.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(11) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 6$ | 53.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(11) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(4) \# 6$ | 43.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(11) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(15) \# 5$ | 113.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(11) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Te}(3) \# 6$ | 70.3(4) |
| S(11)\#6-Ba(14)-S(3)\#6 | 70.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(11) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{S}(18)$ | 83.2(5) |
| S(11)\#6-Ba(14)-S(7) | 139.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(18)-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 6$ | 73.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(18)-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(4) \# 6$ | 122.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(18)-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(15) \# 5$ | 137.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(18)-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Te}(3) \# 6$ | 146.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(18)-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{S}(3) \# 6$ | 146.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(18)-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{S}(7)$ | 75.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(27) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 6$ | 41.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(27) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(4) \# 6$ | 49.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(27) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(15) \# 5$ | 44.1(4) |


| $\mathrm{S}(27) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Te}(3) \# 6$ | 84.5(4) |
| :---: | :---: |
| S(27)\#6-Ba(14)-S(3)\#6 | 84.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(27) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{S}(11) \# 6$ | 77.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(27) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{S}(18)$ | 110.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(27) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{S}(7)$ | 79.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(29) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 6$ | 174.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(29) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(4) \# 6$ | 126.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(29) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(15) \# 5$ | 94.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(29) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Te}(3) \# 6$ | 81.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(29) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{S}(3) \# 6$ | 81.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(29) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{S}(11) \# 6$ | 131.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(29) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{S}(18)$ | 102.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(29) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{S}(27) \# 6$ | 138.9(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(29) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{S}(7)$ | 87.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 6$ | 87.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(4) \# 6$ | 127.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(15) \# 5$ | 67.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{Ba}(6) \# 6$ | 122.57(15) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{Ba}(6) \# 6$ | 44.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 9$ | 89.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{S}(14)$ | 142.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{S}(15) \# 13$ | 71.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{Te}(15) \# 13$ | 71.2(4) |
| S(1)\#13-Ba(15)-S(27)\#13 | 116.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{S}(28)$ | 79.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{S}(29) \# 6$ | 80.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{Ba}(6) \# 6$ | 130.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 9$ | 106.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{S}(15) \# 13$ | 138.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{Te}(15) \# 13$ | 138.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{S}(27) \# 13$ | 98.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{S}(28)$ | 75.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{S}(29) \# 6$ | 83.3(5) |


| $\mathrm{S}(15) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{Ba}(6) \# 6$ | 46.79(17) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(15) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 9$ | 93.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(15) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{Ba}(6) \# 6$ | 46.79(17) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(15) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 9$ | 93.7(2) |
| Te(15)\#13-Ba(15)-S(15)\#13 | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{S}(27) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{Ba}(6) \# 6$ | 118.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(27) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 9$ | 42.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(27) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{S}(15) \# 13$ | 72.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(27) \# 13-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{Te}(15) \# 13$ | 72.5(4) |
| S(27)\#13-Ba(15)-S(28) | 107.0(5) |
| S(27)\#13-Ba(15)-S(29)\#6 | 141.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(28)-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{Ba}(6) \# 6$ | 117.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(28)-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 9$ | 69.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(28)-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{S}(15) \# 13$ | 145.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(28)-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{Te}(15) \# 13$ | 145.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(29) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{Ba}(6) \# 6$ | 47.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(29) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 9$ | 169.6(4) |
| S(29)\#6-Ba(15)-S(15)\#13 | 80.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(29) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{Te}(15) \# 13$ | 80.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(29) \# 6-\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{S}(28)$ | 111.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 4-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 140.70(15) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 4$ | 81.06(12) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 61.13(12) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 4$ | 46.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 119.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 68.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(26)$ | 148.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 4$ | 174.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 43.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 103.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Te}(4)$ | 136.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(13)$ | 72.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(26)$ | 64.5(5) |


| $\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 4$ | 46.6(3) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 121.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 93.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Te}(4)$ | 92.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(11)$ | 130.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(13)$ | 139.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(26)$ | 71.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 4$ | 113.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 46.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 45.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Te}(4)$ | 74.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(13)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(26)$ | 135.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(25)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 4$ | 101.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(25)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 45.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(25)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 47.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(25)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Te}(4)$ | 113.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(25)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(11)$ | 81.2(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(25)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(12)$ | 77.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(25)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(13)$ | 73.8(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(25)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(26)$ | 88.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(25)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(30)$ | 166.2(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(26)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 4$ | 110.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(26)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 91.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(26)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 136.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(30)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 4$ | 92.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(30)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 123.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(30)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 139.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(30)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Te}(4)$ | 77.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(30)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(11)$ | 85.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(30)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(12)$ | 110.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(30)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(13)$ | 103.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(30)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(26)$ | 83.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{Te}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)$ | 156.4(5) |


| $\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{Te}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 3$ | 87.8(3) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 3-\mathrm{Te}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)$ | 93.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 108.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)$ | 89.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 3$ | 128.1(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 4-\mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 1$ | 160.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 4-\mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(8) \# 4$ | 83.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 4-\mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)$ | 86.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 1-\mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(8) \# 4$ | 96.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 1$ | 101.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(8) \# 4$ | 153.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 4$ | 111.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 1$ | 86.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(8) \# 4$ | 79.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)$ | 81.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Te}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 161.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{Te}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 7$ | 88.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 7-\mathrm{Te}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 104.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(4)-\mathrm{Te}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 85.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(4)-\mathrm{Te}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)$ | 110.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(4)-\mathrm{Te}(5)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 7$ | 81.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(15) \# 5$ | 105.1(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(15) \# 5$ | 105.1(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(26)$ | 94.8(7) |
| Te(15)\#5-Sn(1)-S(15)\#5 | 0.0 |
| S(23)-Sn(1)-S(11) | 122.0(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(23)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(15) \# 5$ | 118.4(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(23)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(15) \# 5$ | 118.4(6) |
| S(23)-Sn(1)-S(26) | 106.6(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(26)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(15) \# 5$ | 106.4(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(26)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(15) \# 5$ | 106.4(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(3)$ | 114.0(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(3)$ | 110.7(6) |


| S(6)-Sn(2)-S(1) | 95.7(7) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{S}(24)$ | 108.7(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(24)-\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(3)$ | 112.6(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(24)-\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 113.8(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 3-\mathrm{Sn}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(6) \# 4$ | 70.23(14) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{Sn}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(6) \# 4$ | 128.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{Sn}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 3$ | 145.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(9) \# 4-\mathrm{Sn}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(6) \# 4$ | 52.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(9) \# 4-\mathrm{Sn}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 3$ | 105.2(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(9) \# 4-\mathrm{Sn}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(4)$ | 109.2(7) |
| S(9)\#4-Sn(3)-S(20)\#4 | 107.7(7) |
| S(9)\#4-Sn(3)-S(30) | 117.4(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 4-\mathrm{Sn}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(6) \# 4$ | 55.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 4-\mathrm{Sn}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 3$ | 53.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 4-\mathrm{Sn}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(4)$ | 108.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(20) \# 4-\mathrm{Sn}(3)-\mathrm{S}(30)$ | 101.1(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(30)-\mathrm{Sn}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(6) \# 4$ | 118.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(30)-\mathrm{Sn}(3)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 3$ | 54.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(30)-\mathrm{Sn}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(4)$ | 112.0(6) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(5)-\mathrm{Sn}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 7$ | 59.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Sn}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 7$ | 108.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Sn}(4)-\mathrm{Te}(5)$ | 111.1(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(21)-\mathrm{Sn}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 7$ | 53.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(21)-\mathrm{Sn}(4)-\mathrm{Te}(5)$ | 112.5(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(21)-\mathrm{Sn}(4)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 94.6(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(22)-\mathrm{Sn}(4)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 7$ | 142.4(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(22)-\mathrm{Sn}(4)-\mathrm{Te}(5)$ | 111.1(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(22)-\mathrm{Sn}(4)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 109.1(8) |
| $\mathrm{S}(22)-\mathrm{Sn}(4)-\mathrm{S}(21)$ | 117.2(8) |
| S(16)-Sn(5)-S(18) | 103.3(7) |
| S(16)-Sn(5)-S(7) | 109.7(6) |
| S(18)-Sn(5)-S(7) | 109.5(6) |
| S(19)-Sn(5)-S(16) | 104.3(7) |


| $\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Sn}(5)-\mathrm{S}(18)$ | 118.3(7) |
| :---: | :---: |
| S(19)-Sn(5)-S(7) | 111.1(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(8)-\mathrm{Sn}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 101.4(5) |
| S(8)-Sn(6)-S(14) | 109.5(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(8)-\mathrm{Sn}(6)-\mathrm{S}(25)$ | 105.0(8) |
| S(8)-Sn(6)-S(28) | 118.7(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(14)-\mathrm{Sn}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 148.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(25)-\mathrm{Sn}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 54.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(25)-\mathrm{Sn}(6)-\mathrm{S}(14)$ | 109.8(7) |
| S(25)-Sn(6)-S(28) | 102.9(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(28)-\mathrm{Sn}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 57.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(28)-\mathrm{Sn}(6)-\mathrm{S}(14)$ | 110.4(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 6-\mathrm{Sn}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 4$ | 72.68(14) |
| $\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Sn}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 4$ | 56.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Sn}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 6$ | 55.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Sn}(8)-\mathrm{S}(29) \# 6$ | 93.2(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17) \# 6-\mathrm{Sn}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 4$ | 54.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17) \# 6-\mathrm{Sn}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 6$ | 55.5(4) |
| S(17)\#6-Sn(8)-S(12) | 90.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(17) \# 6-\mathrm{Sn}(8)-\mathrm{S}(29) \# 6$ | 90.4(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(29) \# 6-\mathrm{Sn}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 4$ | 129.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(29) \# 6-\mathrm{Sn}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 6$ | 56.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(6) \# 9-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)$ | 161.5(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)$ | 73.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(6) \# 9$ | 88.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(15) \# 2-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)$ | 100.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(15) \# 2-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(6) \# 9$ | 94.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(15) \# 2-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10$ | 150.0(7) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)$ | 85.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(6) \# 9$ | 99.8(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10$ | 93.8(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ba}(15) \# 2$ | 115.0(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 8$ | 84.8(5) |


| $\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)$ | 121.7(6) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 8-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)$ | 153.5(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 156.7(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 8$ | 72.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)$ | 81.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 88.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 8$ | 92.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)$ | 88.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(10)$ | 95.3(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(4)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 89.8(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(4)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 8$ | 98.0(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(4)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)$ | 82.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(4)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(10)$ | 90.4(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(4)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)$ | 169.1(8) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)$ | 79.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)$ | 154.2(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10$ | 73.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)$ | 125.6(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10-\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)$ | 153.0(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10-\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)$ | 81.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 10-\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)$ | 95.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 10-\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)$ | 88.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 10-\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)$ | 90.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 10-\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10$ | 92.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)$ | 88.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)$ | 89.8(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)$ | 87.4(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10$ | 91.6(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 10$ | 174.9(8) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(8) \# 9$ | 130.0(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)$ | 98.3(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(8) \# 9-\mathrm{S}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)$ | 84.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(6)-\mathrm{S}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 93.3(7) |


| $\mathrm{Sn}(6)-\mathrm{S}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(8) \# 9$ | 136.4(9) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(6)-\mathrm{S}(8)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)$ | 96.5(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{S}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)$ | 101.8(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{S}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)$ | 86.2(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{S}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)$ | 129.2(7) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 7-\mathrm{S}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)$ | 92.2(7) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 7-\mathrm{S}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(8)$ | 96.2(7) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 7-\mathrm{S}(9)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)$ | 137.3(9) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 12-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)$ | 88.2(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 12-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(9) \# 10$ | 86.8(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 12-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10$ | 101.9(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 12-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 10$ | 163.6(8) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(7)-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 10$ | 93.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(9) \# 10-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)$ | 173.8(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(9) \# 10-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 10$ | 92.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)$ | 88.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(9) \# 10$ | 88.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 10-\mathrm{S}(10)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 10$ | 94.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 81.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)$ | 91.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 3-\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 77.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 3-\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 93.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 3-\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)$ | 156.6(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 80.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 176.1(8) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 98.0(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 3$ | 106.5(7) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(11)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)$ | 95.5(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 4$ | 162.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(13)$ | 88.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 4-\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(13)$ | 108.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 6-\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 93.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 6-\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 4$ | 90.5(5) |


| $\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 6-\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(13)$ | 80.6(4) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 6-\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)$ | 169.6(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 90.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 4$ | 88.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(13)$ | 90.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(8)-\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 81.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(8)-\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 4$ | 82.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(8)-\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 6$ | 82.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(8)-\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(13)$ | 159.5(7) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(8)-\mathrm{S}(12)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)$ | 107.8(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(13)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 90.9(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(13)-\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 1$ | 87.0(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(13)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 177.3(9) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(13)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)$ | 91.8(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 1-\mathrm{S}(13)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 166.7(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 1-\mathrm{S}(13)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)$ | 106.1(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9-\mathrm{S}(13)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 89.2(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9-\mathrm{S}(13)-\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 1$ | 93.5(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9-\mathrm{S}(13)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)$ | 85.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(13)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 87.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 157.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{S}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 98.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(15)-\mathrm{S}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)$ | 85.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(6)-\mathrm{S}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 89.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(6)-\mathrm{S}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)$ | 112.5(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(6)-\mathrm{S}(14)-\mathrm{Ba}(15)$ | 88.6(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(6) \# 9-\mathrm{S}(15)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 143.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(6) \# 9-\mathrm{S}(15)-\mathrm{Ba}(15) \# 2$ | 86.0(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(15) \# 2-\mathrm{S}(15)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 100.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1) \# 9-\mathrm{S}(15)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 90.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1) \# 9-\mathrm{S}(15)-\mathrm{Ba}(6) \# 9$ | 104.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1) \# 9-\mathrm{S}(15)-\mathrm{Ba}(15) \# 2$ | 143.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 6$ | 164.5(7) |


| $\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(9) \# 5$ | 125.2(6) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(9) \# 5-\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 6$ | 68.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 5-\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 6$ | 82.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 5-\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 91.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 5-\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(9) \# 5$ | 87.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 5-\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)$ | 91.1(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 6$ | 78.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 88.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(11)-\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(9) \# 5$ | 146.8(7) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(5)-\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 6$ | 98.5(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(5)-\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 88.5(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(5)-\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(9) \# 5$ | 90.3(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(5)-\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 5$ | 177.0(9) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(5)-\mathrm{S}(16)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)$ | 91.9(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 10-\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 10$ | 87.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 10$ | 91.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)$ | 86.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 11$ | 176.4(8) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 10$ | 95.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 10$ | 178.0(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 11$ | 90.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 10$ | 91.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 11-\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 10$ | 91.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 11-\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 10$ | 86.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 3-\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 10$ | 85.0(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 3-\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)$ | 83.0(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 3-\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)$ | 95.4(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 3-\mathrm{S}(17)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 11$ | 95.2(6) |
| Sn(8)\#3-S(17)-Ba(11)\#10 | 172.8(9) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(18)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)$ | 88.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{S}(18)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)$ | 144.3(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{S}(18)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)$ | 126.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(5)-\mathrm{S}(18)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)$ | 101.2(6) |


| $\mathrm{Sn}(5)-\mathrm{S}(18)-\mathrm{Ba}(11)$ | 84.9(5) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(5)-\mathrm{S}(18)-\mathrm{Ba}(14)$ | 87.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(8)$ | 97.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(3)-\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)$ | 126.0(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(8)-\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)$ | 87.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(5)-\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 94.9(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(5)-\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(8)$ | 100.8(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(5)-\mathrm{S}(19)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)$ | 137.1(8) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(6)-\mathrm{S}(20)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 7$ | 164.3(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 5-\mathrm{S}(20)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 7$ | 70.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 5-\mathrm{S}(20)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)$ | 123.1(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 11-\mathrm{S}(20)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 7$ | 81.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 11-\mathrm{S}(20)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)$ | 90.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 11-\mathrm{S}(20)-\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 5$ | 88.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 11-\mathrm{S}(20)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 10$ | 90.1(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 10-\mathrm{S}(20)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 7$ | 76.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 10-\mathrm{S}(20)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)$ | 89.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 10-\mathrm{S}(20)-\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 5$ | 147.1(7) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 7-\mathrm{S}(20)-\mathrm{Ba}(1) \# 7$ | 100.2(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 7-\mathrm{S}(20)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)$ | 88.0(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 7-\mathrm{S}(20)-\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 5$ | 92.0(7) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 7-\mathrm{S}(20)-\mathrm{Ba}(10) \# 11$ | 178.1(9) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(3) \# 7-\mathrm{S}(20)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 10$ | 90.3(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(10)-\mathrm{S}(21)-\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 9$ | 88.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 7-\mathrm{S}(21)-\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 9$ | 127.4(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 7-\mathrm{S}(21)-\mathrm{Ba}(10)$ | 143.0(7) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(4)-\mathrm{S}(21)-\mathrm{Ba}(3) \# 9$ | 101.2(7) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(4)-\mathrm{S}(21)-\mathrm{Ba}(10)$ | 91.8(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(4)-\mathrm{S}(21)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 7$ | 88.5(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(8) \# 9-\mathrm{S}(22)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)$ | 85.3(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(22)-\mathrm{Ba}(8) \# 9$ | 125.3(8) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(9)-\mathrm{S}(22)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)$ | 101.8(7) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(4)-\mathrm{S}(22)-\mathrm{Ba}(8) \# 9$ | 135.9(9) |


| $\mathrm{Sn}(4)-\mathrm{S}(22)-\mathrm{Ba}(9)$ | 96.4(8) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(4)-\mathrm{S}(22)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)$ | 101.0(8) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(23)-\mathrm{Ba}(8)$ | 100.8(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{S}(23)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 118.2(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{S}(23)-\mathrm{Ba}(8)$ | 85.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(23)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 95.2(7) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(23)-\mathrm{Ba}(8)$ | 108.4(7) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(23)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)$ | 141.3(9) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(8) \# 9-\mathrm{S}(24)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)$ | 114.4(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(8) \# 9-\mathrm{S}(24)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)$ | 83.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(12)-\mathrm{S}(24)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)$ | 97.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{S}(24)-\mathrm{Ba}(7)$ | 92.9(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{S}(24)-\mathrm{Ba}(8) \# 9$ | 151.5(8) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{S}(24)-\mathrm{Ba}(12)$ | 101.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(4)-\mathrm{S}(25)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 124.1(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9-\mathrm{S}(25)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 147.2(7) |
| Ba(13)\#9-S(25)-Ba(4) | 88.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(25)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 90.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(25)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 93.3(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(25)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 89.0(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(6)-\mathrm{S}(25)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 89.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(6)-\mathrm{S}(25)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 89.2(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(6)-\mathrm{S}(25)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 89.1(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(6)-\mathrm{S}(25)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)$ | 176.8(10) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(26)-\mathrm{Ba}(4) \# 5$ | 122.4(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(26)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 91.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(26)-\mathrm{Ba}(4) \# 5$ | 86.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(13)-\mathrm{S}(26)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)$ | 93.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(26)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 84.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(26)-\mathrm{Ba}(4) \# 5$ | 153.3(7) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(26)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 86.8(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(26)-\mathrm{Ba}(4) \# 5$ | 90.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(26)-\mathrm{Ba}(13)$ | 175.3(9) |


| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{S}(26)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)$ | 91.0(6) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(27)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 87.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(27)-\mathrm{Ba}(9) \# 2$ | 82.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(27)-\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 3$ | 89.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)-\mathrm{S}(27)-\mathrm{Ba}(15) \# 2$ | 166.9(8) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(9) \# 2-\mathrm{S}(27)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 163.5(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 3-\mathrm{S}(27)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 88.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 3-\mathrm{S}(27)-\mathrm{Ba}(9) \# 2$ | 104.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 3-\mathrm{S}(27)-\mathrm{Ba}(15) \# 2$ | 93.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(15) \# 2-\mathrm{S}(27)-\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 106.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(15) \# 2-\mathrm{S}(27)-\mathrm{Ba}(9) \# 2$ | 84.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 9-\mathrm{S}(28)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 88.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 9-\mathrm{S}(28)-\mathrm{Ba}(15)$ | 136.8(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 13-\mathrm{S}(28)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 9$ | 92.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 13-\mathrm{S}(28)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 79.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 13-\mathrm{S}(28)-\mathrm{Ba}(15)$ | 97.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9-\mathrm{S}(28)-\mathrm{Ba}(15)$ | 134.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(6)-\mathrm{S}(28)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 9$ | 100.1(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(6)-\mathrm{S}(28)-\mathrm{Ba}(5) \# 13$ | 160.9(7) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(6)-\mathrm{S}(28)-\mathrm{Ba}(13) \# 9$ | 86.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(6)-\mathrm{S}(28)-\mathrm{Ba}(15)$ | 83.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 3-\mathrm{S}(29)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)$ | 164.2(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(29)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 3$ | 89.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(29)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)$ | 80.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(5)-\mathrm{S}(29)-\mathrm{Ba}(15) \# 3$ | 157.6(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 3-\mathrm{S}(29)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 3$ | 96.5(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 3-\mathrm{S}(29)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)$ | 110.9(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 3-\mathrm{S}(29)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)$ | 98.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 3-\mathrm{S}(29)-\mathrm{Ba}(15) \# 3$ | 89.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(15) \# 3-\mathrm{S}(29)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 3$ | 96.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(15) \# 3-\mathrm{S}(29)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)$ | 87.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 3-\mathrm{S}(29)-\mathrm{Ba}(2) \# 3$ | 78.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 3-\mathrm{S}(29)-\mathrm{Ba}(5)$ | 80.0(5) |


| $\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 3-\mathrm{S}(29)-\mathrm{Ba}(6)$ | $87.4(6)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 3-\mathrm{S}(29)-\mathrm{Ba}(14) \# 3$ | $168.1(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(8) \# 3-\mathrm{S}(29)-\mathrm{Ba}(15) \# 3$ | $80.3(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 4-\mathrm{S}(30)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 3$ | $92.7(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(30)-\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 4$ | $135.0(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(16)-\mathrm{S}(30)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 3$ | $131.7(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(3)-\mathrm{S}(30)-\mathrm{Ba}(7) \# 4$ | $102.9(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(3)-\mathrm{S}(30)-\mathrm{Ba}(11) \# 3$ | $88.8(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(3)-\mathrm{S}(30)-\mathrm{Ba}(16)$ | $87.3(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(9) \# 5$ | $160.9(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)-\mathrm{S}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(14)$ | $93.2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(14)-\mathrm{S}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(9) \# 5$ | $97.6(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(5)-\mathrm{S}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | $108.4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(5)-\mathrm{S}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(9) \# 5$ | $88.3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(5)-\mathrm{S}(7)-\mathrm{Ba}(14)$ | $84.6(4)$ |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
(1) $x+1 / 2,-y, z(2)-x-1,-y, z+1 / 2$ (3) $-x-1,-y-1, z+1 / 2$ (4) $x+1 / 2,-y-1, z(5) x, y-1, z(6)-x-1,-y-1, z-1 / 2$ (7) $x-1 / 2,-y-1, z(8)-x-3 / 2, y, z-1 / 2(9) x, y+1, z(10)-x-3 / 2, y, z+1 / 2(11)-x-3 / 2, y-1, z+1 / 2(12) x-1 / 2,-$ $y, z(13)-x-1,-y, z-1 / 2(14)-x-3 / 2, y+1, z-1 / 2$


Figure S30: SEM image and EDS map of " $\mathrm{Ba}_{8} \mathrm{Sn}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{14} \mathrm{Te}$." Yellow crystal.

Table S46: Average EDS point values from crystal shown in Figure S30. Atomic \% from Points

|  | B | S | S |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | 29.5 | 15.0 | 53 | 2.4 |
| STDEV | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 |

Sn-norm | 1.97 | 1.00 | 3.55 | 0.16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table S47: Average EDS map values from crystal shown in Figure S30.
Atomic \% from Map

|  | Ba | Sn | S |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Te |  |  |  |
| Average | 30.5 | 14.9 | 51.8 |


| Sn-norm | 2.05 | 1.00 | 3.48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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Research Project: "Panoramic Synthesis of Multinary Semiconductor Chalcogenides"
Wellesley College, Wellesley, MA
May 2013
B.A. Chemistry (Honors), Magna Cum Laude

## Research and Work Experience

Graduate Research Assistant, Northwestern University | Evanston, IL Sept. 2016 - Present Mercouri Kanatridis, Department of Chemistry

- Study new and known multinary chalcogenides using in-situ powder X-ray diffraction to understand the progression of solid-state reactions and how the reaction pathway influences product identity and formation.
- Elucidate design factors for formation of multinary chalcogenides for potential use in optical or thermoelectric applications.
- Conduct exploratory solid-state synthesis and discovered six new chalcogenide materials, which were subsequently characterized using X-ray diffraction (powder and single crystal), scanning electron microscopy, energy dispersive spectroscopy, thermal gravimetric analysis, and diffuse reflectance spectroscopy.
- Discovered four intermediate phases and developed novel synthetic routes to isolate observed phases.
- Wrote several successful national lab proposals and conducted six variable temperature synchrotron powder X-ray diffraction experiments at the Advanced Photon Source at Argonne National Laboratory.

R\&D Chemist, ZS Pharma, Inc. | Coppell, TX
Oct. 2014 - July 2016
Research \& Development Department

- Conducted exploratory syntheses of microporous materials through hydrothermal methods.
- Assessed potential of company-patented compounds for potential ion-exchange applications.
- Developed characterization methods for materials based on the proprietary selective ion-trap technology.
- Trained in cGxP experimental planning and documentation for research work in a pharmaceutical environment.

Fulbright Fellow, Technische Universität Braunschweig \| Braunschweig, GermanyOct. 2013 - July 2014
Georg Garnweitner, Institute for Particle Technology

- Established the influence of non-aqueous synthesis on the catalytic activity of perovskite nanomaterials in metal-air battery systems.
- Developed solvothermal syntheses for perovskite nanomaterials and characterized them via X-ray diffraction.
- Devised protocol for electrochemical measurements using a rotating disk electrode set-up.

Undergraduate Research Assistant, Wellesley College | Wellesley, MA Feb. 2012 - May 2013 Nolan Flynn, Department of Chemistry

- Developed new synthetic protocol for iron oxide core-gold shell nanoparticles to be used in therapeutic platform for targeted cancer therapy.


## Distinctions and Fellowships

2019 Margaret C. Etter - Student Lecturer Award (for oral presentation at ACA Conference)
2017-2018 Hierarchical Materials Cluster Program Fellowship
2013-2014 Fulbright Fellowship - U.S. Student Program
2013 Dudley Folk Templeton Senior Prize (Awarded for accomplished writing on Religion)
2012-2013 Jerome A. Schiff Fellowship (\$2000 merit award for outstanding senior honors thesis)
2011 Organic Chemistry Award (for high achievement in organic chemistry)
2010 First Year Chemistry Award (for high achievement in general chemistry)
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## Pedagogical Training

Teaching Certificate Program, Northwestern University
Sept. 2020-2021

- Design a course in discipline of materials chemistry, including developing a course outline, sample lesson plan, sample assessment, grading scheme, evaluation plan, and interpretation of teaching effectiveness.
- Participate in $12+$ seminars and workshops on learning- and teaching-related topics.

Evidenced-Based Undergraduate STEM Teaching (MOOC), CIRTL
Fall 2019

- Learned fundamental elements of course design, including development of learning objectives and assessments aligned with those learning objectives.
- Created a lesson plan for an advanced class on x-ray diffraction, incorporating high-impact, evidence-based effective instructional practices.

Mentored Discussions of Teaching, Northwestern University
Fall 2017

- Observed Northwestern chemistry faculty teaching undergraduate, post-baccalaureate course.
- Discussed pedagogical approaches to engaging and teaching post-baccalaureate students.


## Teaching Experience

Course Facilitator, Northwestern University | Evanston, IL
Winter 2021
Northwestern Prison Education Program - CHEM 242: Thermodynamics

- Coordinated and prepared weekly homework packets and worksheets for teaching via correspondence at Stateville Correctional Center in Crest Hill, IL.
- Oversaw tutors of incarcerated students enrolled in the course and addressed individual student concerns or questions that arose in their weekly letters to their tutors.

Teaching Assistant, Northwestern University | Evanston, IL Summers 2017-2020
Bridge Program

- Organized and led extended problem-solving sessions for 15-20 incoming first-generation and/or low-income students in general chemistry for a two-week intensive pre-orientation program.
- Graded assigned problems and provided detailed feedback to students on where their problem solving may have gone array, which was repeatedly commended in end-of-program student feedback.
- Contributed significantly to developing a new general chemistry course (CHEM 110) focusing on quantitative reasoning for general chemistry, including mini-projects introducing excel soft skills as well as graph interpretation and formulation.
- Wrote assessments (weekly quizzes, midterms, and final exam) and weekly homework assignments. The homework assignment included a "warm-up" section with single-concept problems to assist in student-learning of the concept at all stages.
- Led and managed $10+$ teaching assistants, review sessions, and grading sessions.

CHEM 101

- Wrote assessments (weekly quizzes, midterms, and final exam) for 450+ student general chemistry course.
- Prepared and held multiple review sessions in preparation for exams and weekly office hours.
- Led and managed 15+ teaching assistants (TAs) as well as provided additional practice problems for TAs to review during their recitation.

Teaching Assistant (Recitation, Lab), Northwestern University \| Evanston, IL Winter 2017;
Winter 2019

- Prepared and delivered content for review in recitation or laboratory lectures to reinforce course content.
- Oversaw quizzes administered in recitation and student laboratory work during laboratory assignments.


## Leadership in Outreach

Board Member, Graduate Liaison Committee, Northwestern University 2017-2021

- Identify and execute projects and events as a committee to facilitate faculty-student interaction, promote department community, and enhance graduate student experience. Write and analyze surveys to determine opportunities for improvement within the department.
- Personally devised and implemented annual First-Year Check-Ins and quarterly ChemConnect event to build community within a cohort as well as the Chemistry Department with 300+ graduate students and post-doctoral fellows.

Service Chair, Phi Lambda Upsilon, Northwestern University
2018-2019

- Coordinated and oversaw outreach and service events, including an outreach program of $20+$ volunteers that holds six yearly science lessons for 130 third grade students.
- Redesigned and streamlined in-class worksheets to emphasize key concepts and vocabulary according evidenced-based teaching methods.
- Recruited STEM graduate students outside the Chemistry Department as volunteers for the first time for yearlong outreach program for $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ grades at local Chicago elementary school.
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