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#### Abstract

Photochemistry allows chemists to harness the energy in specific wavelengths of light and use it to achieve new chemical transformations. These chemical transformations are often impossible or energetically demanding under traditional thermal conditions. My dissertation focuses broadly on different strategies to apply visible-light photochemistry and photocatalysis to the development of unique materials. Specifically, I synthesized new photoresponsive molecules that can manipulate and control the exchange of dynamic covalent bonds. Through a joint synthetic and computational approach, we explained how adjacent azobenzene photoswitches control boronic acid-ester exchange. Moreover, I have explored photoredox catalysis as an opportunity to access synthetically challenging polymer structures. I adapted a small-molecule photoredox Diels-Alder reaction to the synthesis of non-conjugated ladder polymers that have potential applications in gas and fluid membrane separation. I was able to identify multiple pathways of initiation for the polymerization and broaden the scope of the reaction to include myriad of photocatalysts, monomer structures, solvents, and oxidants. This approach allows us to access a unique fully saturated ladder polymer backbone. Throughout my thesis, I show opportunities to couple light with well-known chemistries to access new materials and address gaps in the application of photochemistry to materials synthesis.
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## Chapter 1: Introduction

As chemists and materials scientists aim to develop materials with increasing precision and control, light presents an ideal stimulus for new chemical transformations. Photochemical transformations were first discussed as an alternative energy source by Ciamician in 1912, ${ }^{1}$ but photochemical transformations did not appear in industrial processes until the 1940s. ${ }^{2}$ Even then, most developments encompassed ultraviolet (UV)mediated processes, such as curing ink, resins, and films. Despite the slow implementation of these photochemical transformations, there are several aspects of photochemistry that make it an attractive alternative to thermal transformations. Light consists of photons at various energies, and these photons can excite molecules with appropriate electronic structures. Every molecule contains an energy gap (of various degrees of magnitude depending on the structure) between the ground and excited state, and certain wavelengths of light correspond to the energy required for that gap. Photoexcitation induces electrons in a molecule to populate an excited state, which enables further chemical transformations, such as isomerization, bond breaking, and photoinduced electron transfer (PET). The energy barrier to access these excited states prohibits their population through thermal pathways. For example, one mole of photons at 365 nm , just outside of the range of visible wavelengths, has 130 times more energy than the thermal energy available to activate a reaction at ambient conditions. ${ }^{3}$ Moreover, the excitation of a molecule inverts conventional rules for molecule reactivity. Photochemical excitation of a molecule leads to changes in the molecular orbital symmetry ${ }^{4}$ and enables thermally disallowed reactions such as $[2+2]$ cycloadditions. As a result, photochemistry enables processes that cannot occur under thermal conditions. ${ }^{5}$

Light-induced reactions provide an additional benefit in the ability to control reactions over time and space, using an external stimulus. Some reactions are photoinitiated, meaning light initiates a process that then propagates thermally, while others are photocontrolled, meaning the reaction progress continuously depends on irradiation with light. Photocontrolled reactions are a powerful tool in chemical synthesis because a flip of a switch can reversibly activate and deactivate a chemical transformation. Beyond temporal control of a reaction, light presents the additional advantage of spatial control. Polymer chemists especially benefit from the ability to the selectively implement photochemical transformations over a space, as this has led to the
development of photomasking for lithography, ${ }^{6}$ 3D printing, ${ }^{7}$ and fabrication of new materials. ${ }^{8}$ However, the penetration depth of light limits the efficacy of photochemical transformations to non-opaque or very thin materials, especially photochemical reactions catalyzed by shorter wavelengths of light, such as UV light. The added environmental toxicity of UV light creates an additional incentive to develop visible light-mediated photochemical transformations. Visible light provides greater penetration depth, requires less energy, and is more biologically benign. In my graduate research, I studied visible light-induced transformations in two specific ways: modifying photoresponsive molecules to control dynamic covalent chemistry (DCC) and developing a new photoredox-catalyzed ladder polymerization.

## Part I: Modifying photoswitch architectures to control dynamic covalent chemistry equilibria

Photoresponsive molecules harness the benefits of light as an external stimulus for a variety of applications in small-molecule systems-such as molecular sensing ${ }^{9}$, light harvesting, ${ }^{10}$ and drug delivery ${ }^{11}$ —and the design
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and synthesis of polymeric materials. ${ }^{12,13}$ Photoswitches are a class of photoresponsive molecules that undergo a conformational change, such as $E$ to $Z$ isomerization or electrocyclization, upon irradiation with light. ${ }^{14}$ These changes result in differences in size, dipole, conjugation, and charge. These changes are often reversible under heating or irradiation with a different wavelength of light. Scientists can modify a wide range of photoswitch properties such as thermal half-life, wavelengths of isomerization, rate of isomerization, and quantum yield through structural diversification.

Figure 1. a) Using diarylethenes to control imine exchange. b) Using azobenzenes to control boronic ester exchange.
Dynamic covalent chemistry ( DCC ) is defined as any reaction with reversible exchange of covalent bonds between molecules. ${ }^{15}$ The reversible nature of these reactions has led to their applications in various systems including self-healing materials, templated 2D and 3D organic materials, and materials with potential for closedloop recycling. ${ }^{16}$ Coupling these reactions to a photoresponsive moiety enables an additional non-invasive, rapid tool to control these exchange reactions. Specifically, the ability to tune the kinetics or thermodynamics of dynamic covalent bonds through the structural changes in a photoswitch offers opportunities to control the properties of dynamic materials externally and reversibly with light. Previous attempts to couple dynamic covalent reactions with photoswitches include modifying diarylethenes to control the exchange of reversible Diels-Alder reactions ${ }^{17}$ and imine formation (Figure 1a)..$^{13,18}$

To design dynamic and adaptable materials that reversibly respond to light, the Kalow lab has developed a photoswitchable dynamic bond based on boronic ester exchange. The design included a photoswitchable azobenzene with a boronic acid at the ortho position (Figure 1b). This molecule was installed into hydrogel networks with a diol partner and resulted in reversible stiffening and softening of the hydrogel upon irradiation with UV (365 nm) or green (530 nm) and blue (450 nm) light, respectively. I sought to red-shift the isomerization of the photoswitch for increased compatibility in biological applications. Additionally, I hypothesized that creating an azobenzene analogue with different substituents to red-shift absorption might also affect the relative binding of the boronic acid. In chapter 2, I report my findings of how a subtle smallmolecule synthetic modification drastically changes the properties of a photoswitchable dynamic covalent bond.

This change also allowed us to elucidate the origins of photoswitchable binding through computational and experimental studies.

## Part II: Photoredox catalysis for the synthesis of ladder polymers

Photoredox catalysis aims to mimic the action of photosystems I and II by introducing a catalyst that can absorb light and subsequently electron transfer with additional substrates. Similarly, organic and organometallic molecules and complexes absorb light to reach an excited state then undergo electron transfer to a substrate through one of two pathways. ${ }^{19}$ In a reductive quenching pathway, the excited photocatalyst oxidizes a substrate, providing a radical cation substrate and a radical anion photocatalyst, which is oxidized by an external oxidant to close the cycle. Conversely, in an oxidative quenching pathway, the excited photocatalyst reduces a substrate, which yields a radical anion substrate and the radical cation photocatalyst, which must be reduced by an external reductant. Whether a photoredox catalyst undergoes oxidative or reductive quenching depends on the oxidation and reduction potentials of the substrates relative to the catalyst excited state. ${ }^{20}$ In both cases, the radical ion of the substrate goes on to do productive chemistry.


Figure 2. a) Photoinduced electron transfer b) Photoinduced energy transfer.
Photoredox catalysis via single electron transfer requires that the substrate has a favorable potential relative to that of the excited photocatalyst (Figure 2a). ${ }^{21}$ If the excited photocatalyst does not have favorable oxidation/reduction potential compared to that of the substrate and a transformation is still observed, this
provides significant evidence for an energy transfer mechanism. In an energy transfer mechanism, the excited photocatalyst induces excitation of an electron in a substrate, typically to the triplet state, while the photocatalyst relaxes (Figure 2b). 22 A photocatalyzed transformation can also proceed through hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) or proton coupled electron transfer (PCET). In a HAT process, the photocatalyst extracts a proton from a hydrogen donor substrate, generally through a photoxidation process. ${ }^{23}$ Recently, this transformation was applied to the post-polymerization functionalization of poly(ethylene)glycol (PEG). ${ }^{24}$ Unlike PCET, the movement of the electron and proton in HAT generally occurs at the substrate of interest. In other words, the electron and hydrogen pair transfer between the same donor and acceptor compound. Conversely, PCET refers to reactions in which the proton and electron are transferred between different centers, generally a conjugate base that compliments and propels the reaction. ${ }^{25}$ Recently, polymer chemists have applied PCET to the degradation of polymers with ether linkages in the polymer backbone. ${ }^{26}$

Photoredox polymerization strategies largely comprise analogues of known thermal polymerizations, such as the controlled radical polymerization of acrylates, ${ }^{27}$ as well as the cationic ${ }^{28}$ and radical ${ }^{29,30}$ polymerization of vinyl ethers, and radical ring-opening polymerization. ${ }^{31}$ Beyond previously reported thermally-initiated radical polymerizations, photoredox catalysis has also been applied to the activation of $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds for network formation, ${ }^{32}$ and to access a radical cation for a metal-free ring-opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP). ${ }^{33,34}$ Photoredox catalysis has been applied to the post-polymerization modification of commodity polymers ${ }^{35,36}$ for further functionalization and degradation of thermosets. ${ }^{37}$ While photoredox catalysis has been applied to the synthesis or modification of many previously characterized polymers, I recognized an opportunity to apply photoredox catalysis to the synthesis of novel polymer architectures that are challenging to access via traditional thermal methods.

Ladder polymers are a class of polymers that have historically presented significant synthetic and characterization obstacles to polymer chemists. Ladder polymers are characterized by an uninterrupted sequence of rings, in which each ring shares at least two atoms with the adjacent repeat unit. The added bond leads to reduced degrees of rotation and a more rigid material. ${ }^{38}$ One way chemists and materials scientists evaluate the rigidity of a polymer is through its persistence length. Persistence length is defined as the distance
required for a polymer strand to bend $90^{\circ}$, which is often measured using small-angle neutron scattering (SANS), atomic force microscopy (AFM) or fluorescence microscopy, while SANS remains the dominant technique for synthetic polymers. ${ }^{39}$ Double-stranded DNA is an example of a natural ladder polymer with increased rigidity relative to its linear counterpart, single-stranded DNA. Single-stranded DNA, like many typical single-strand monomers, has a short persistence length of $1.5-10 \mathrm{~nm}$. Double-stranded DNA has a persistence length of $50 \mathrm{~nm} .{ }^{40}$

Chemists have discussed and sought to synthesize ladder polymers for almost a century. Staudinger proposed a synthesis of a ladder polymer structure in 1926 through the repeated [2+2] cycloaddition of cyclopentadiene catalyzed by tin butyl chloride. ${ }^{41}$ This proposal was especially remarkable because the first report of a Diels-Alder cycloaddition with cyclopentadiene had not yet been reported. Interest in ladder

polymers increased in the 1960s and 1970s as chemists anticipated that the additional linkage along the polymer
backbone would lead to more rigid and durable materials. ${ }^{42}$ For decades, chemists sought to synthesize ladder
Scheme 1. a) Synthesis of ladder polymers through a zipping mechanism. A preliminary polymerization reaction forms the first junction of the ladder polymer connection and a second transformation forms the second connection. b) Formation of ladder polymers through a reaction that forms both connections in one reaction (either a multi-step or concerted mechanism). polymers, but were unable to isolate and fully characterize a soluble material and confirm the ladder structure until the late 1980s. ${ }^{43}$ Many of these reaction conditions included heating the reaction to above $200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which led to carbonization of the material.

Generally, there are two synthetic strategies to synthesize ladder polymers. The first strategy arises from polymerizing a monomer with a single connection across atoms (Scheme 1a). This monomer should contain a pendant group that can undergo a second reaction in post-polymerization modification to form the second junction. An example of this includes an organometallic cross coupling to from aromatic carbon-carbon bonds, followed by ring-closing metathesis to from the second bond. This approach requires judicious approach of the second reaction such that few defects are formed and the polymer does not form a highly crosslinked network. ${ }^{44}$ This "zipping" of a linear prepolymer as an approach is useful in the synthesis of conjugated ladder polymers; however, to synthesize polymers with microporous properties, chemists must rely on an approach that takes a rigid monomer and forms both bonds in one transformation (Scheme 1b). A key strategy enabling the synthesis of ladder polymers is the use of pericylic reactions to form both ladder junctions (Figure 3). While some pericyclic reactions proceed through a step-wise mechanism, ${ }^{45}$ the majority of pericyclic reactions allow concerted formation of both bonds, leading to the formation of fewer defects along the ladder polymer chain and decreasing the propensity to form cross-linked networks. In 1989, the first fully characterized ladder polymer was reported via a Diels-Alder reaction in which the diene was generated in situ. ${ }^{46}$ While Diels-Alder
a)
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Figure 3. a) Synthesis of conjugated ladder polymers through a "zipping" approach. b) Synthesis of nonconjugated ladder polymers through Diels-Alder pericyclic reaction.
reactions have been the primary way to synthesize ladder polymers, ${ }^{47}$ other pericyclic reactions have included nickel-catalyzed $[2+2+2]$ cycloaddition with isocyantes to form six-membered rings alternating with seven- or
eight-membered rings along the polymer backbone; however, the larger rings decrease the rigidity of the ladder polymer. 48,49

For ladder polymers without a fully conjugated backbone, their bulk and rigidity frustrate packing of the polymer strands and result in the formation of micropores. ${ }^{50}$ Therefore, non-conjugated ladder polymers make up part of a larger class of polymers of intrinsic microporosity (PIMs). ${ }^{51}$ Ladder polymers have also been used in the field of polymer physics to study the orientation of more flexible polymer strands through polarized excitation spectra. 52 While some polymers made through Diels-Alder polymerization exhibit microporosity, this is only after they are subjected to post-polymerization functionalization. ${ }^{53}$ The majority of ladder PIMs are synthesized via nucleophilic aromatic substitution ${ }^{54}$ or Tröger's base catalyzed condensation. ${ }^{55}$ More recently, Xia and coworkers developed the catalytic (oxa)norboronene-arene annulation (CANAL), which takes advantage of a reported side reaction in the Catellani reaction, ${ }^{56}$ to synthesize porous ladder polymers from aryl halides and norbornenes. ${ }^{57}$ Many of these reactions require high temperatures, hyperbaric reaction conditions, or the use of expensive transition-metal catalysts. Regardless of the synthetic route, all the previously reported syntheses of ladder polymers involve a step-growth polymerization, which limits the potential to access more complex architectures like block copolymers. Moreover, step-growth polymerization requires extremely high conversion to reach appreciable molecular weights, and generally leads to less control of the molecular weight of the polymer.

As ladder polymers continue to present an attractive synthetic options for membranes for gas a fluid separation, ${ }^{51}$ there is a need to develop ladder polymerization reactions with more benign conditions that enable increased control over molecular weight and sequence. I anticipated that photoredox catalysis would enable chain-growth ladder polymerization through an electronically mismatched, radical-cation Diels-Alder polymerization. In chapter 3, I will discuss how I was inspired by a small-molecule report from the Yoon research group ${ }^{58}$ and designed a monomer that would support polymerization to yield a unique ladder polymer architecture.

## Chapter 2: Using visible light to tune boronic acid-ester equilibria

Materials in this chapter, including text and figures, were reproduced from J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2023, 2020, 142, 19969-19979 with permission from American Chemical Society. This article can be accessed online at the following link: http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jacs.0c08551. The authors of this work are Joseph V. Accardo, Emily R. McClure, Martin A. Mosquera, and Julia A. Kalow.

Abstract
We report a series of azobenzene boronic acids that reversibly control the extent of diol binding via photochemical isomerization. When the boronic acid is ortho to the azo group, the thermodynamically-favored E isomer binds weakly with diols to form boronic esters. Isomerization of the E azobenzene to its Z isomer enhances diol binding, and the magnitude of this enhancement is affected by the azobenzene structure. 2,6Dimethoxy azobenzene boronic acids show over 20-fold enhancement in binding upon E-Z isomerization, which can be triggered with red light. Competition experiments and computational studies suggest that the changes in binding affinity originate from stabilization of the E boronic acids and destabilization of the E boronic esters. We demonstrate a correlation between diol binding and photostationary state: different wavelengths of irradiation yield different quantities of bound diol. Higher binding constants for the Z isomer relative to the E isomer was observed with all diols investigated, including cyclic diols, nitrocatechol, biologically relevant compounds, and polyols. This photoswitch was employed to "catch and release" a fluorescently tagged diol in buffered water. By tethering this photoswitch to a poly(ethylene glycol) star polymer, we can tune the stiffness of covalent adaptable hydrogels using different wavelengths of visible light. This work establishes photoswitchable equilibria as a tool for the reversible ligation of molecular and macromolecular species.

## Introduction

Dynamic covalent chemistry (DCC) enables thermodynamically-driven reorganization of molecular and macromolecular systems. ${ }^{15}$ In the last 20 years, dynamic covalent chemistry has expanded both in utility and scope, with the most popular reactions including transesterification, transimination, Diels-Alder cycloaddition, conjugate addition-elimination, disulfide exchange, metathesis, and boronic ester exchange.59,60 The reversibility of these reactions enables applications in combinatorial library development, molecular recognition,
self-healing polymers, 2- and 3-D covalent organic frameworks, and matrices for 3D cell-culture.59,61-65 With insight into the reaction mechanism, DCC equilibria can be adjusted by structural modification of exchange partners, providing materials with a wide range of properties. In stimuli-responsive materials, these equilibria are influenced by stimuli such as light, temperature, pH , and concentration. ${ }^{66}$ Light represents an ideal stimulus because it can be applied at readily tuned wavelengths and fluxes with spatiotemporal precision. In applications where sample penetration depth and biocompatibility are of concern, irradiation in the red and near-infrared range is advantageous. ${ }^{67}$

To influence DCC with light, a photoresponsive substrate must be coupled to the exchange reaction. ${ }^{68-70}$ Photoswitches are a class of compounds that undergo bidirectional switching in response to light, resulting in a physical change in shape, charge, conjugation, dipole, or $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{71-77,77-79}$ When a photoswitch is coupled to DCC, the state of the switch may influence the dynamic reaction. ${ }^{80}$ In 2006, Branda and coworkers realized the first
(a) Photocontrol of Diels-Alder reactivity (Branda, 2006)

(b) Photocontrol of imine formation (Hecht, 2018)

(c) This work: photocontrol of boronic acid-ester equilibria


Figure 4. (a) A diarylethene photoswitch gates a reversible Diels-Alder cycloaddition. (b) Light regulates the condensation/hydrolysis of amines and hydrazides with a photoswitchable carbonyl. (c) In this work, the isomerization of an azobenzene by visible light tunes the equilibrium of a boronic acid-ester dynamic bond.
example of photoswitchable DCC, demonstrating that the Diels-Alder cycloaddition between a diarylethene
photoswitch and a dienophile could be turned on or off (gated) depending upon the isomerization of the photoswitch (Figure 4a). ${ }^{81}$ In the open isomer, the diarylethene can undergo a [4+2] cycloaddition with a dienophile. The product could be photoswitched via a 6p electrocyclization to yield a closed "locked" isomer, which is unable to participate in the Diels-Alder equilibrium. This work was further developed by Hecht, who applied this reactivity in photoactivatable maleimide prodrugs and self-healing polymer networks. ${ }^{12,18,82,83}$ In these reports, the DCC is either on or off.

We envisioned an alternative approach that modulates the overall equilibrium of a dynamic covalent bond using different wavelengths of light (Figure 4b). In this case, the equilibrium can operate in both states of the photoswitch, but to different extents. This system will enable bidirectional tuning of the reversible bond between small molecules and polymers to our photoswitch. In a complementary study, Hecht and coworkers investigated the effect of photoswitches on the rate of formation of imine dynamic covalent bonds, but did not study the photoswitches' influence on the bond equilibrium. ${ }^{13}$ We identified boronic esters as an ideal dynamic covalent bond for this purpose because the exchange occurs at room temperature with many different diol structures and is compatible with aqueous environments (Figure 4c). These attributes have found utility in molecular sensors, stress-relaxing hydrogels, and recyclable thermosets. ${ }^{84-91}$ Previous work has shown that the boronic acid-ester equilibrium is highly sensitive to the boronic acid structure. ${ }^{22-96}$ We anticipated that photoswitch isomerization could provide the reversible structural change capable of influencing this equilibrium. Towards this goal, we synthesized ortho-substituted azobenzene boronic acids (Figure 5a). ${ }^{97,98}$ As azobenzenes undergo substantial changes in structure upon $E \rightarrow Z$ isomerization, we hypothesized that the boronic acid-ester equilibrium could be affected by the photoswitch state. Accordingly, we discovered that




Figure 5. (a) The equilibrium between ortho-substituted azobenzene boronic acids and diols is influenced by the isomerization of the photoswitch, with the $Z$ isomer displaying a higher binding affinity with diols than the $E$ isomer. When the diol is pinacol, the $Z$ binding constant is 4 times greater than the $E$ binding constant. (b) UV or green and blue light mediate reversible sol-gel transitions of poly(ethylene glycol) hydrogels crosslinked with azobenzene boronic esters ( $10 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v} \%$. 0.1 M PBS. oH 7.5 ).
these compounds have isomerism-dependent equilibria with diols, wherein the $Z$ isomer has a higher binding affinity than the $E$ isomer $\left(K_{\text {eq }}(2)>K_{\text {eq }(E) \text { ) }}\right.$, Figure 2a) ${ }^{99}$ When incorporated into poly(ethylene glycol) networks, this preferential binding translated to reversible sol-gel transitions upon $E \rightarrow Z$ photoisomerization (Figure 5b). Interestingly, this dramatic physical change arose from a relatively modest difference in binding affinity to diols ( $\sim 4: 1$ for pinacol). We thus sought structural modifications of the azobenzene boronic acid that could increase the difference in binding affinity between the $E$ and $Z$ isomers, allowing us to expand the tunability of this photocontrolled dynamic covalent bond and its potential applications.

In this chapter, we demonstrate that simple modifications of the azobenzene boronic acid allow us to tune the relative binding affinity of $Z$ vs. $E$ azobenzene boronic acids to diols, $K_{\text {rel }}=K_{\text {eq }(Z)} / K_{\text {eq }(E)}$, from 2.4 to over 20. Experimental results and computed structures suggest that the differences in $K_{\text {rel }}$ between a series of azobenzene boronic acids are due to both the stabilization of the $E$ boronic acids and the destabilization of the $E$ boronic esters, which can be tuned by azobenzene substitution. Our optimized azobenzene boronic acid can be photoswitched with red light and the $Z$ isomer has a long thermal half-life. Using the azobenzene boronic acid with the largest $K_{\mathrm{rel}}$, the equilibrium between boronic acid and boronic ester can be tuned with different wavelengths of light. We demonstrate that this azobenzene boronic acid can "catch and release" a fluorescently tagged polyol in aqueous buffer. Lastly, we reversibly tune the stiffness of a covalent adaptable hydrogel with several wavelengths of visible light, including red light.

## Results and Discussion

## Ortho substitution increases the difference in binding affinity between $E$ and $Z$ isomers

The equilibrium between boronic acids and diols strongly depends on the concentration of water and diol. Therefore, we designed ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR equilibrium competition binding experiments to compare the relative binding affinity between $E$ and $Z$ isomers in the same NMR tube, ensuring that the concentration of water and diol are the same for both isomers (Figure 6a; see Supporting Information (SI), section IV for details and derivation). Our investigations commenced with compound 1, a para-tolyl azobenzene boronic acid (Figure 6b). The para-methyl substituent was used in place of the phenyl analog, which exhibits overlapping ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals for the $E$ and $Z$ isomers. When a mixture of $(E)-\mathbf{1}$ and ( $Z$ )-1 was treated with excess ethylene glycol
a)
a)



Figure 6. (a) Equilibrium competition binding experiment to compare the relative equilibrium binding constants of E and Z azobenzene boronic acids with diol. (b) The photoswitches subjected to the equilibrium competition binding experiment in (a). (c) Relative and absolute equilibrium constants for azobenzene boronic acids ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) binding EG in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, thermal half-lives (days), and photostationary states at different wavelengths of LED irradiation (\%Z). For determination of photostationary states, see Figure 15 through Figure 19.
(EG) and $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in $\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ and allowed to equilibrate for 24 hours, we observed that $(Z)-1$ binds EG approximately 2.4 times better than $(E)-\mathbf{1}(\mathrm{Krel}=2.4)$ (Figure 6).

For our design of photoswitchable equilibria, the ideal photoswitch should feature visible-light bidirectional photoswitching, high photostationary states, and a long thermal half-life for the $Z$ isomer. Unfortunately, in addition to a modest difference between $E$ and $Z$ binding affinities, compound $\mathbf{1}$ only reached an appreciable photostationary state (PSS, 48\% Z) with ultraviolet (UV) irradiation at 365 nm (Figure 6c). By measuring the
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Figure 7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $\mathbf{3}(10 \mathrm{mM}, 1: 1 \mathrm{E}: Z)$ and EG subjected to equilibrium competition binding conditions in DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
rate of thermal relaxation of $(\mathrm{Z})-1$ to ( E )-1 at different temperatures and fitting to an Arrhenius plot (Figure 23), we could extrapolate the room-temperature $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ half-life to be 3.5 days. Previous work has established that electronic and steric modifications of the azobenzene can alter key photochemical properties, which has been summarized well by Bandara and Burdette. ${ }^{72}$ We anticipated that these modifications could simultaneously alter the equilibrium of the dynamic covalent bond. First, we sought to optimize the photochemical properties to achieve bidirectional visible-light photoswitching. Secondly, we aimed to increase the thermal stability of the Z isomers.

A common strategy to enable azobenzene isomerization with visible light is substituting the aryl rings with resonance electron-donating and -withdrawing groups, creating "push-pull" azobenzenes. These substitution patterns red-shift the $\pi \rightarrow \pi^{*}$ of the $E$ isomer through a charge-transfer mechanism. Unfortunately, the $Z$ isomers tend to undergo rapid thermal isomerization (< seconds), due to a low activation barrier in the ground state, ${ }^{100}$ which would occur much faster than the dynamic covalent reaction. Hecht, Woolley, and others have installed halides or methyl ethers at the ortho positions, which separates the $n \rightarrow \pi^{*}$ absorption bands of the $E$ and $Z$ isomers and enables visible-light $E \rightarrow Z$ photoisomerization with enhanced $Z$ thermal stability. ${ }^{101-107}$ Inspired by this work, we synthesized ortho-difluoro-substituted azobenzene $\mathbf{2}$ and dimethoxy analog $\mathbf{3}$ to access visible-light photoswitching (Figure 6b). 2 exhibited a higher PSS ( $67 \%$ Z) with green light ( 525 nm ) irradiation and a dramatically enhanced thermal half-life relative to $\mathbf{1}(\tau 1 / 2=70$ days, Figure S5). The relative binding affinity, as determined by the equilibrium competition binding experiment with EG, increased by a factor of 1.8 relative to 1 , to $K_{\mathrm{rel}}=4.2$ (Figure 6c, Figure 31). When the fluorine atoms were replaced with methyl ethers in compound 3, photoswitching with red LEDs at 626 nm yielded PSS up to $54 \%$, albeit with a modest decrease in thermal half-life ( $\tau_{1 / 2}=24$ days, Figure 25). The competition experiment for compound $\mathbf{3}$ also revealed a dramatic increase in relative binding, where the $Z$ isomer binds EG with over an order of magnitude higher affinity than the $E$ isomer ( $K_{\mathrm{rel}}=21.5$, Figure 32). Figure 7 shows the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the competition experiment of compound $\mathbf{3}$ with EG , in which ( $Z$ ) $\mathbf{- 3}$ is predominantly bound, while $(E)-\mathbf{3}$ is mainly unbound.

As a control, we synthesized an azobenzene derivative with the boronic acid at the $4^{\prime}$ (para) position (compound 4). This compound exhibited little difference in binding affinities between the $E$ and $Z$ isomers
( $K_{\mathrm{rel}}=1.1$, Figure 34). Thus, the proximity of the boronic acid to the phenylazo group is essential for the isomerism-dependent changes in binding affinity.

## Ortho substitution influences the esterification equilibrium of the $E$ isomers


b) Destabilization of $E$ este

c) Key dihedral angles investigated


Figure 8. (a,b) Energy diagrams portraying two potential origins of the observed trend in Keq, (E)-1 > (E)-2 > (E)-3. (c) Key bond rotations that can influence the energy of azobenzene boronic acids and esters.

We next set out to understand the origin of the dramatic increase in $K_{\text {rel }}$ for compound $\mathbf{3}$ compared to compounds 1 and 2. As $K_{\text {rel }}$ is defined as the ratio of $K_{\mathrm{eq}(Z)}$ to $K_{\mathrm{eq}(E)}$, this trend could originate in an increase in $K_{\mathrm{eq}(Z)}$, a decrease in $K_{\mathrm{eq}(E)}$, or a combination of both effects. To distinguish between these possibilities, and validate our equilibrium competition binding assay, we measured individual $K_{\text {eq }}$ values for $1 \mathbf{- 3}$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in DMSO$d_{6}$ (Figure 6c; Table 1-Table 2). The $E$ isomers for $1-3$ displayed significantly different binding affinities for EG, following the $K_{\text {eq }}$ trend $(E)-\mathbf{3} \ll(E)-\mathbf{2}<(E)-1$, consistent with the overall changes in $K_{\text {rel }}$. In contrast, the $Z$ isomers of $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ revealed similar binding affinities to EG. Therefore, we concluded that the changes in $K_{\text {eq }(E) \text {, }}$
and not $K_{\text {eq (2) }}$, lead to the observed differences in $K_{\text {rel }}$ between compounds 1-3. Importantly, the $K_{\text {rel }}$ values obtained from individual measurements of $K_{\text {eq }(E)}$ and $K_{\text {eq }(2)}$ were in good agreement with those determined from equilibrium competition binding experiments.

## Calculated structures and control experiments suggest a combination of reactant stabilization and product

 destabilization disfavors $\boldsymbol{E}$ esterificationWhy do $E$ isomers of azobenzene boronic acids consistently display lower binding affinities for diols than their corresponding $Z$ isomers? Why does ( $E$ ) $\mathbf{- 3}$ bind EG significantly worse than ( $E$ )-2 and ( $E$ )-1? Structural changes that result in the stabilization of the $(E)$-acid, destabilization of destabilization of the $(E)$-ester, or a combination will correspond to less negative $\Delta G$ and decreased diol binding affinity (Figure 8. (a,b) Energy diagrams portraying two potential origins of the observed trend in Keq, (E)-1 $>$ (E)-2 $>$ (E)-3. (c) Key bond rotations that can influence the energy of azobenzene boronic acids and esters. a-b). We hypothesized that there are two main structural parameters influencing the degree of conjugation through the system and therefore the energy of the azobenzene boronic acid and ester. The first is the planarity of the azobenzene, which is described by the dihedral angle between the aryl rings and diazo (CCNN, $\Phi$ ) (Figure 8c). For unsubstituted azobenzene, the energy is lowest when this angle is $0^{\circ}$ and highest at $90^{\circ}$ (Figure 55). The second parameter is the coplanarity of the azobenzene and the boronic acid or ester, which can be described by the CCBO dihedral angle $\psi$. This parameter correlates with the degree of conjugation between the boronic acid or ester with the adjacent aryl group (Figure 8c). Previous reports suggest that arylboronic acids and esters are
a) Calculated structures of unbound azobenzene boronic acids $(E)-1$ through $(E)-3$

c) Calculated structures of bound azobenzene boronic esters $(E)-1$ through $(E)-\mathbf{3}$


[^0]b) X-ray crystal structures of compound $(E)-1$ though $(E)-3$



d) Control compounds 5-7
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stabilized when the non-bonding oxygen lone pairs and vacant p orbital are in conjugation with the $\pi$-system of the aryl group, with a CCBO dihedral angle of $0^{\circ}$. We performed geometry scans of phenylboronic acid and the corresponding ester, confirming an energetic maximum at $90^{\circ}$ and a minimum at $0^{\circ}$, when conjugation is enhanced. (Figure 55).

To understand which scenario might be responsible for the decrease in $K_{\text {eq }}(E)$ from $1>2 \gg 3$, we calculated the optimized structures of $(E)-\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}$, and $\mathbf{3}$ in their bound and unbound forms with the B3LYP/6-31+G** level of theory and performed frequency calculations to determine the lowest-energy rotamers. We modeled the boronic acids and esters in their trigonal planar form based on ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR studies (Figure 33). We first examined the geometry-optimized structures of $(E)-\mathbf{1}$ through $(E) \mathbf{- 3}$ in their unbound forms (Figure 9a). Each azobenzene is nearly planar, and the two CCNN dihedrals angles $\Phi\left(\operatorname{Ar}^{1}\right)$ and $\Phi\left(\operatorname{Ar}^{2}\right)$ are between $11^{\circ}$ and $17^{\circ}$ for $(E)-\mathbf{1}$ and between $0^{\circ}$ and $2^{\circ}$ for $(E)-\mathbf{2}$ and $(E)-\mathbf{3}$. The CCBO dihedral angles $\psi$ are close to $0^{\circ}$ for $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{3}$, and an intramolecular hydrogen bond is present between the boronic acid proton and the proximal azobenzene nitrogen.

The boronic acid protons in the calculated structures of $(E)-\mathbf{2}$ and $(E)-\mathbf{3}$ also engage in H -bonding with one of the ortho-heteroatom substituents (fluorine or methoxy, respectively). This additional H-bonding interaction was confirmed in the single-crystal X-ray structures of $(E) \mathbf{- 2}$ and $(E) \mathbf{- 3}$ (Figure 9b). We hypothesize that the additional hydrogen bonds stabilize the boronic acid and lead to a less negative $\Delta G$ for esterification. While the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{F}-\mathrm{C}$ interaction in 2 is expected to be much weaker than with the methoxy group in $\mathbf{3}$, the $\mathrm{H}-$ F distance of $2.27 \AA$ is consistent with previously reported H -bonding interactions involving a C-F acceptor. ${ }^{108,109}$ In both $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$, these additional hydrogen bonds assist in keeping the azobenzene nearly planar, whereas ( $E$ ) $\mathbf{- 1}$, which lacks H -bond acceptors at the ortho positions, is slightly more twisted. Again, these features were corroborated by the single-crystal X-ray structure of $(E)-1$, in which $\Phi\left(\operatorname{Ar}^{1}\right)$ is $19.8^{\circ}$.

Binding of $E G$ to the ( $E$-azobenzene boronic acids is accompanied by varying degrees of twisting of the aryl rings and deviation of the CCNN dihedral angles from $0^{\circ}$. In the calculated structures, ortho-unsubstituted (E) $-\mathbf{1}$ remains slightly twisted after binding EG $\left(11.6^{\circ}\right)$, while $(E)-\mathbf{2}$ and $(E)-\mathbf{3}$ display more significant twisting,
exemplified by $\Phi\left(\mathrm{Ar}^{1}\right)$ angles of $24.6^{\circ}$ and $40.4^{\circ}$, respectively (Figure 6 c , see Figure S31 for full list of angles). This twisting likely arises from repulsive steric interactions between the halide or methoxy ortho substituents and the boronic ester. For all compounds, we observe that the boronic ester is nearly perpendicular to the aryl ring $\left(75^{\circ} \leq \psi \leq 84^{\circ}\right)$, which avoids Van der Waals repulsion between the ester oxygen and distal nitrogen. We hypothesize that the large conformational changes engendered by diol binding reduce the degree of conjugation in the azobenzene and raise the energy of the boronic ester relative to the boronic acid. Since these conformational changes become more significant across the series $\mathbf{1 < 2 < 3 , \Delta G \text { becomes correspondingly }}$ less negative across this series, and the esterification becomes less favorable for $\mathbf{3}$ compared to $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$. Alternative rotamers for the esters of $(E)-\mathbf{1}$ through $(E) \mathbf{- 3}$ (Figure 57) are less than $3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher in energy but follow similar trends to those shown here, with the smallest conformational changes upon binding occurring for $(E)-\mathbf{1}$ and the largest changes occurring for $(E)-\mathbf{3}$.

The optimized structures for the $Z$ isomers place the boronic acids away from the ortho substituents. Each boronic acid still displays an intramolecular hydrogen bond with the proximal nitrogen; however, there are no additional H-bonds with the ortho-fluoro or methoxy substituents in (Z)-2 and 3. The absence of steric interactions between the boronic esters and ortho substituents in the $Z$ isomers allows diol binding to occur with minimal structural rearrangement for compounds ( $Z$ ) $\mathbf{- 1}$ through $(Z)-3$, as exemplified by small changes in $\Phi\left(\mathrm{Ar}^{1}\right), \Phi\left(\mathrm{Ar}^{2}\right)$, and $\psi$ upon diol binding (Figure 10 for 3; see Figure 58 for compounds 1-2). These small changes are consistent with the similar diol binding affinities exhibited by the $Z$ isomers of $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ in equilibrium competition binding experiments. Additionally, the boronic esters maintain conjugation with the aryl ring $\left(0.6^{\circ} \leq \psi \leq 20^{\circ}\right)$ after binding EG. This favorable conformation, combined with the absence of repulsive interactions with the ortho groups, likely underlies the improved binding of $Z$ azobenzene boronic acids compared to their $E$ isomers.


Figure 10 Calculated structure of ( $Z$ )-3 before and after binding EG.

Based on the calculated structures, we designed control compounds to experimentally demonstrate that the high $K_{\text {rel }}$ for $\mathbf{3}$ arises from a combination of (i) H-bonding to the azo group, which stabilizes the (E)-boronic acid; (ii) additional H-bonding to one of the ortho-methoxy groups, providing further stabilization; and (iii) steric destabilization of the $(E)$-boronic ester. First, we synthesized compound 5 , in which one of the methoxy substituents was moved from the ortho position to the para position. When $\mathbf{5}$ was subjected to the competition experiment, $K_{\text {rel }}$ was determined to be 2.4 , almost an order of magnitude lower than that of $\mathbf{3}$ (see Figure 38 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR). The calculated structures of 5 show that the boronic acid and ester both adopt planar conformations. (Figure 59-Figure 60). This control experiment suggests that stabilization of the boronic acid by double H-bonding only partially disfavors esterification; steric interactions that destabilize the ester are also required to achieve high $K_{\text {rel }}$.

Next, we synthesized di-ortho-ethyl azobenzene 6, which is sterically comparable to compound $\mathbf{3}$, but lacks the ability to participate in additional hydrogen bonding with the ortho positions. When subjected to the competition experiment, $K_{\text {rel }}$ was determined to be only 1.3 (Figure 39). Calculated structure of 6 show significant twisting in both the boronic acid $\left(\Phi\left(A r^{1}\right)=43^{\circ}\right.$, Figure S35) and boronic ester $\left(\Phi\left(A r^{1}\right)=42^{\circ}\right)$. This control experiment demonstrates that steric interactions alone cannot explain the $K_{\text {rel }}$ trend for $\mathbf{1 - 3}$; H-bonding to stabilize the boronic acid and planarize the $(E)$-azobenzene are essential.

Lastly, we synthesized stilbene 7 , which is sterically comparable to 2 and capable of $E \rightarrow Z$ isomerization but is unable to engage in intramolecular hydrogen bonding (Figure 62). For compound 7, $K_{\text {rel }}$ was determined to be only 1.8 (Figure 40). This modest $K_{\text {rel }}$ value likely arises from steric effects alone. Stilbene 7 also allowed us to experimentally probe the relative effect of intramolecular H-bonding on the thermodynamics of boronic
acid esterification for $E$ vs. $Z$ isomers. We performed an equilibrium competition binding experiment between 2 and $\mathbf{7}$ and observed that the stilbenes have higher binding affinities for diols compared to their azobenzene analogs. Specifically, $E$ stilbene bound EG 6 -fold more than the $E$ azobenzene, whereas the $Z$ stilbene bound EG 2.6-fold more than the Z azobenzene. While the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ H-bond is present in both $E$ and $Z$ azobenzene isomers, its stabilizing influence is more significant in the $E$ isomer; furthermore, the $E$ isomer presents multiple H-bond acceptors.

In summary, calculated structures, supported by experimental results with control compounds 5-7, lead us to the following conclusions:

1) Stabilization of boronic acids by adjacent H -bond acceptors can disfavor esterification. This effect is further exacerbated by the presence of multiple H -bond acceptors, as in the case of $\mathbf{3}$ and, to a lesser extent, 2 , in which both the proximal azo $N$ and the ortho groups of the distal arene act as H -bond acceptors.
2) In $E$ azobenzenes, the degree of twisting away from the planar conformation imposed by steric interactions offers an additional synthetic handle to tune the stability of dynamic covalent bonds. Previous approaches have considered the effect of photoswitch conjugation on its propensity to form a dynamic bond; ${ }^{1}$ our work suggests a complementary design principle, in which the structure of the dynamic bond can also affect the stabilization of the photoswitch by conjugation.
3) The twisted structure of $Z$ azobenzenes requires fewer conformational changes to accommodate boronic acid esterification, and also precludes additional H -bonding interactions. Thus, esterification is more favorable for the $Z$ isomer than for the $E$ isomer.

While previous studies have probed the structural features that affect the thermodynamics of the boronic acid-ester dynamic bond in detail, they have generally focused on parameters such as the electronics of the boronic acid, the presence of proximal basic amines, or the diol structure. ${ }^{91,93,94,96,110}$ The insights gained from our studies provide a framework for future designs of boronic acids where proximal functional groups can enhance or disrupt the stability of the dynamic bond.

We selected $\mathbf{3}$ as the optimal photoswitch to tune diol binding because of its large $K_{\text {rel }}$ and favorable photochemical properties. We envisioned that the ratio of the $E$ and $Z$ isomers should dictate the overall boronic acid-ester equilibrium, wherein the amount of bound diol should increase as the ratio of ( $Z$ )-3 to $(E)$ 3 increases. As the PSS $(\% Z)$ is wavelength dependent, the ratio of $Z$ to $E$ can be tuned by irradiation with different wavelengths of light. We prepared a solution of $(E)-\mathbf{3}$ and EG (1:1) in DMSO- $d_{\sigma}$, in which the


Figure 11. (a) Percent diol binding as a function of PSS, which is achieved thermally (gray) or with blue, red, green, or yellow light. Error bars represent integration er-ror, and the line is the least squares fit. The Krel value calcu-lated for each E/Z mixture is indicated. (b) UV-Vis profile of 3 in acetonitrile ( 21 uM ) before (black) and after (green) irradiation with green LEDs for 10 minutes. azobenzene bound $30 \%$ of the available EG prior to irradiation (Figure 11a, see Figure 43 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum). Irradiating the sample with blue, red, or green irradiation yielded different photostationary states, and higher photostationary states correlated linearly with increased diol binding. Up to $70 \%$ of the diol could be bound with green light. The high barrier for $Z \rightarrow E$ thermal isomerization ensures that the resulting equilibrium is maintained for the duration of the experiment (24 hours), such that continuous irradiation is not required. By irradiating with a wavelength of light corresponding to a lower PSS, the equilibrium can be shifted to favor boronic acid and enables release of diol into solution. Figure 11b shows the UV-Vis absorbance spectrum of 3 before and after irradiation with green light. The ability to achieve high PSS for both $\mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}$ and
$Z \rightarrow E$ isomerization arises from the separation of the $n-\pi^{*}$ transitions of the $E$ and $Z$ isomers (Figure 11b, inset).

## Photoswitching modulates boronic ester equilibria with different diols

Next, we investigated the generality of photoswitchable binding to boronic acid $\mathbf{3}$ with different diols. Using 3, we observed $K_{\text {rel }}$ values between 6 and 20 for a variety of diols, including a 1,3-diol (8), simple cyclic diols (9-10), nitro-catechol (11), and a variety of complex and biologically relevant diols, including glucose (14), adenosine (15), and the chemotherapeutic drug capecitabine (16) (Figure 12). Phenylboronic acid-containing polycarbonates have previously been used for the pH -dependent release of diols including capecitabine. ${ }^{111}$ We


Figure $12 K_{\text {rel }}$ (reported in parentheses) between ( $Z$ )-3 and ( $E$ )-3 with various diols ( 20 mM in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ). Standard deviations were between 0.1 and 2.4 and are reported in Figure 45 through Figure 53. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Excess $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added due to high binding affinity. envision 3 could provide a complementary light-driven strategy. Diols of interest could first be bound in the $Z$ state, where release could be controlled by isomerization to the E isomer.

We qualitatively observed that some diols bound 3 poorly in the standard NMR assay conditions ( $<1 \%$ D 2 O in DMSO-d6); when exogenous water was added to the 4-nitrocatechol (9) equilibrium competition binding experiment, we exclusively observed only boronic acid for both isomers. In contrast, some cyclic diols and polyols (such as cis-1,2-cylcopentanediol (9) and gluconolactone derivative (13)) have higher binding constants, and additional exogenous water ( $6 \%$ in DMSO-d6) was needed in the competition experiment to observe any unbound acid, consistent with previous studies using simple arylboronic acids. ${ }^{93}$ These results demonstrate that while the relative binding affinities between Z and E are similar across a range of diols, the
absolute equilibrium constants are highly dependent on diol structure. For applications of 3 in an aqueous environment, cyclic diols such as 9 or polyols such as 13 will be optimal.

## Azobenzene boronic acids reversibly bind diols in fully aqueous environments

To overcome the low aqueous solubility of small molecule 3, we designed and synthesized a carboxylate analog of 3, SI-11, and coupled it to amine-terminated 4-arm poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{w}} 5 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) (polymer P1, Figure 13a; see SI for details). While installing the electron-withdrawing ester shortens the thermal half-life of the $Z$ azobenzene to 2.2 days by creating push-pull character (Figure 26), it increases the PSS (\%Z).
a)





Figure 13. (a) Structures of P1 and gluconolactone-tagged coumarin 17. (b) Illustration of experimental setup and fluorescence spectra of eluents after irradiating and spin filtering each solution.

The methyl ester of compound SI-10, reaches $76 \% Z$ after red light irradiation, and returns to $29 \% Z$ after blue light irradiation (Figure 6c).

We also synthesized a coumarin-tagged gluconolactone derivative (compound 17) to provide a fluorescent output for reversible binding. The gluconolactone tag was chosen based on the performance of $\mathbf{1 3}$ in the
presence of excess water, suggesting esterification would be favorable in an aqueous environment. The ratio of bound 17 should be increased after irradiation to predominantly $Z$ isomer with red light and decreased after irradiation to predominantly $E$ isomer with blue light. We mixed the boronic acid polymer $\mathbf{P} 1$ and compound 17 in a $1: 4$ molar ratio ( $1: 1$ boronic acid:17) in a phosphate buffered saline ( PBS ) solution at pH 7.5 . To analyze the amount of unbound 17, we filtered the mixture with a 3.0 kDa molecular weight cut-off (MWCO) centrifugal filter such that only free fluorophore (MW $383 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) can pass through the membrane. We next performed UV-vis and fluorescence spectroscopy of the resulting dialysate. Without any irradiation, we observed strong fluorescence in the dialysate indicating that unbound $\mathbf{1 7}$ had passed through the filter (Figure 13b). When the solution was irradiated with red light for 60 minutes before spin filtering, we observed decreased emission, indicating more fluorophore was bound to P1 and unable to pass through the filter. In an analogous experiment, we first irradiated the mixture with red light for 60 minutes, then with blue light for 10 minutes, before centrifugal filtration. In this case, the fluorescence of the dialysate increased to nearly the same level as the no-irradiation control, demonstrating that the fluorophore bound to red-irradiated $\mathbf{P} \mathbf{1}$ was released by blue light.

## Reversible changes in binding affinity lead to reversible stiffening of hydrogels

Lastly, we sought to translate the reversible changes in binding affinity to control crosslink density in a hydrogel network. Previously, we demonstrated that reversible changes in binding affinity could lead to sol-gel transitions in a boronic ester crosslinked poly(ethylene glycol) hydrogel, with stiffening occurring with UV or green light and softening occurring with blue light. Based on the photochemical properties of compound 3, we reasoned that its incorporation as a crosslink into hydrogels would enable stiffening with red light. We prepared diol-terminated polymer P2 by ring-opening glucono- $\delta$-lactone with amine- terminated 4-arm poly(ethylene glycol) $\left(M_{\mathrm{w}}=5 \mathrm{kDa}\right)$, according to a previous literature procedure. ${ }^{112}$ When $\mathbf{P} 1$ and $\mathbf{P} 2$ were mixed in a 1:1 ratio in 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline at $\mathrm{pH} 7.5(10 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v} \%)$, a sol was observed, according to the flow-inversion method. Irradiation with red LEDs $(626 \mathrm{~nm})$ for 3 hours promotes the $E \rightarrow Z$ isomerization of the terminal azobenzene boronic acids and leads to the gelation of the mixture. Irradiating this gel for 5 minutes with blue LEDs promotes $Z \rightarrow E$ isomerization and returns the mixture to the sol state (Figure 14a). By alternating
irradiation with red and blue light, we could continue to cycle the gel between sol and gel states. Addition of excess free diol $\mathbf{1 3}$ leads to the dissolution of the network, presumably by outcompeting $\mathbf{P} 2$ and disrupting the boronic ester crosslinks.

Toward our lab's effort to design photoreversible matrices for 3D cell culture, we prepared a hydrogel with P1 and P2 (10 w/v\%) in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM), a common growth medium for a variety of cell types. While the glucose, amino acids, or vitamins in DMEM could interfere with the boronic ester dynamic bond, we were gratified to observe that gelation can still occur in this medium. Bulk mechanical characterization of this hydrogel was performed by oscillatory photorheology at constant strain in the linear viscoelastic region. We first investigated the frequency-dependent properties of this hydrogel from 100 to 0.1 $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$, which yields information on the dynamics of the crosslinks. The storage modulus ( $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ ) represents the material's ability to store energy elastically like a solid, while the loss modulus (G') represents the material's able to dissipate energy into the surrounding environment like a liquid. In materials that behave as elastic solids, such as permanently crosslinked hydrogels, G' and G" are frequency independent. Viscoelastic materials display both solid- and liquid-like behaviors, which depend upon the frequency of the deformation. At high frequencies ( $>1 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ ), where deformation is faster than the dynamic bond exchange, the $\mathbf{P 1} / \mathbf{P} 2$ gel has solid-like behavior, wherein $G^{\prime}>G^{\prime \prime}$. The crossover point (where $G^{\prime}=G^{\prime \prime}$ ) occurs at $0.5 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$, and below this frequency the gel flows like a liquid due to the reversible hydrolysis of boronic ester crosslinks (Figure 14b). Interestingly, this hydrogel does not undergo sol-gel transitions by the flow inversion method, which are observed when PBS is the solvent. We hypothesize that the additives in DMEM reduce the rate of exchange of the dynamic crosslinks, shifting the crossover point to lower frequencies. The relationship between molecular kinetics and the crossover frequency is the subject of ongoing studies in our laboratory.

The hydrogel in DMEM also demonstrates photodependent changes in stiffness (Figure 14c). The gel was stiffened for three hours with red light, yielding a G' of 60 Pa . Irradiation for 10 minutes with blue light led to a softer gel, decreasing G' by $33 \%$. The gel could be stiffened again with yellow light irradiation, increasing G' to 54 Pa after 3 hours. We propose that the photo-dependent changes in stiffness arise from changes in the hydrogel crosslink density. We hypothesize that the softness of these gels, compared to those previously reported in the literature, ${ }^{84,99,112,113}$ is due to the unusually low binding of the $E$ azobenzene. While these hydrogels may be practical as tools for studying soft tissue mechanics, we envision that increasing the binding constant of the $Z$ isomer while maintaining high $K_{\text {rel }}$ will enable larger ranges of photocontrolled stiffness.

b)

c)


Figure 14. (a) Structure of P 2 and reversible gelation of P 1 and P 2 with red and blue light ( $10 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v} \%, \mathrm{PBS} \mathrm{pH} 7.5$ ). (b) Frequency sweep of P1 and P2 in DMEM ( $10 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v} \%, 10 \%$ strain) after stiffening with red light for 3 hours. (c) Reversible mechanical response of P1 and P2 in DMEM ( $10 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v} \%, 10 \% \mathrm{strain}, 25 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ ) to different wavelengths of visible light.

## Conclusion

We have shown how multiple wavelengths of light can be used to tune the equilibrium of a dynamic covalent bond by harnessing the photostationary state of a photoswitch. While previous reports of photocontrolled dynamic covalent chemistry turn equilibria on or off, our approach offers the ability to modulate the boronic acid/ester equilibrium depending upon the isomerism of an azobenzene photoswitch. The binding equilibrium becomes more favorable as the percentage of Z isomer is increased. Moreover, we show that the range of equilibrium binding constants achieved by a single photoswitch is highly dependent upon ortho,ortho-substitution of the distal ring. In addition to increasing the difference in E and Z binding constants, these ortho substituents impart improved photochemical properties including longer thermal halflives, increased photostationary states, and visible light photoswitching, making them promising for biomedical applications. The optimized azobenzene identified in these studies can reversibly bind a range of biologically relevant diols. We further demonstrated the application of photoswitchable equilibria to a dynamic covalent
hydrogel that can be stiffened with red light. Tuning equilibria with photoswitches represents a powerful approach to noninvasively control the interaction between molecular and macromolecular species. While this work applies this concept to boronic acid-ester exchange, the underlying principles may be used to impart photocontrol on other dynamic reactions, such as imine exchange or thia-Michael addition.

## Supporting Information

## General information

General procedures. Unless otherwise noted, reactions were performed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere in oven-dried $\left(150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ glassware. Reaction progress was monitored by thin layer chromatography (Merck silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ plates), visualizing with fluorescence quenching, $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$, or ninhydrin stains. Automated column chromatography was performed using SiliCycle SiliaFlash F60 (40-63 $\mu \mathrm{m}, 60 \AA$ ) in SNAP cartridges on a Biotage Isolera One. Organic solvents were removed in vacuo using a rotary evaporator (Büchi Rotovapor R100, ~20-300 torr) and residual solvent was removed under high vacuum (<200 mtorr). Water-soluble polymers were purified by dialysis using SnakeSkin Dialysis Tubing ( 3.5 kDa cutoff, 16 mm diameter) purchased from Fisher Scientific.

Materials. Commercial reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Acros, Alfa Aesar, TCI, or Oakwood and used as received. 4-Arm PEG-NH2 HCl salt $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{w}} 5 \mathrm{kDa}\right)$ was purchased from JenKem and was melted under high vacuum ( $<100 \mathrm{mtorr}$ ) prior to functionalization.

Instrumentation. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) spectra and carbon nuclear magnetic resonance ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) spectra were recorded on Bruker AVANCE-500 spectrometers at 500 MHz and 125 MHz , and referenced to the solvent residual peaks. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AVANCE-500 spectrometers at 470 MHz . Boron nuclear magnetic resonance ( ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR) spectra were recorded on Bruker AVANCE-400 spectrometers at 128 MHz in Wilmad Precision NMR tubes (CFQ, 500 MHz , OD: 5 mm , wall thickness: 0.38 mm ). NMR data are represented as follows: chemical shift $(\square \mathrm{ppm}$ ), multiplicity ( $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, d $=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet $)$, coupling constant in $\operatorname{Hertz}(\mathrm{Hz})$ and integration. UV-Vis spectra were collected on a Cary 5000 UV-vis-NIR spectrophotometer with an Hg lamp; cuvettes were $10-\mathrm{mm}$ path length quartz cells (Starna 23-Q-10). Blue LED strip lights (wavelength $=470 \mathrm{~nm}$, power $=6.6 \mathrm{~W}$ ) green

LED strip lights (wavelength $=525 \mathrm{~nm}$, low power $=5.7 \mathrm{~W}$, high power $=16 \mathrm{~W}$ ), yellow LED strip lights (wavelength $=590 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), red LED strip lights (wavelength $=626 \mathrm{~nm}$, power $=6.6 \mathrm{~W}$ ), were purchased from superbrightleds.com. Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) measurements were performed in BHT stabilized, HPLC-grade tetrahydrofuran using an Agilent 1260 Infinity II system with variable-wavelength diode array (254, 450, and 530 nm ) and refractive index detectors, guard column (Agilent PLgel; $5 \mu \mathrm{~m} ; 50 \times 7.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), and three analytical columns (Agilent PLgel; $5 \mu \mathrm{~m} ; 300 \times 7.5 \mathrm{~mm} ; 10^{5}, 10^{4}$, and $10^{3} \AA$ pore sizes). The instrument was calibrated with narrow-dispersity polystyrene standards between 640 Da and 2300 kDa (Polymer Standards Service GmbH ). All runs were performed at $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ flow rate and $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Molecular weight values are calculated based on the refractive index signal.

## Synthesis

Nitroso compounds were prepared by the oxidation of the aniline precursor using the Oxone method developed by Rück-Braun. ${ }^{1}$

## 1-bromo-2-nitrosobenzene (SI-1)



2-bromoaniline ( 1.00 g , 1 equiv, 5.81 mmol ) was added to a 100 mL round bottom flask ( RBF ) equipped with a stir bar and dissolved in 17 mL of DCM . Oxone ( 7.15 g , 2 equiv, 11.6 mmol ) was dissolved into 20 mL of deionized water and added to the reaction, which was left to stir vigorously for 3 hours at ambient temperature. The reaction was diluted with DCM and washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(2 \mathrm{x})$ and 1 M HCl . The organic layer was collected, dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated. The crude solid was carried on to the following reaction.

## ( $E$ )-1-(2-bromophenyl)-2-(p-tolyl)diazene (SI-2)



SI-1 ( 400 mg , 1 equiv, 2.15 mmol ) and p-toluidine ( 242 mg , 1.05 equiv, 2.26 mmol ) were added to a 100 mL round-bottom flask (RBF) equipped with a stir bar. DCM ( 28 mL ) and acetic acid ( 7 mL ) were added and the
reaction was stirred at ambient temperature for 4 hours. The reaction mixture was concentrated and dissolved into EtOAc and washed with 1 M NaOH to remove acetic acid. The organic fraction was collected, dried with sodium sulfate, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography (5\% EtOAc in hexane) to yield ( $E$ )-1-(2-bromophenyl)-2-(p-tolyl)diazene ( $367 \mathrm{mg}, 1.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 62 \%$ ) as a red solid. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-\mathrm{d}\right) \delta 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$ ) $\delta$ 150.84, 149.75, 142.29, 133.69, 131.57, 129.84, 127.96, 125.46, 123.44, 117.81, 21.60. HRMS: m/z expected for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{BrN}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$275.01, measured 275.02.

## ( $E$ )-(2-(p-tolyldiazenyl)phenyl)boronic acid (1)



Bis(pinacolato) diboron ( 299 mg , 1.1 equiv, 1.18 mmol ), potassium acetate ( 316 mg , 3 equiv, 3.22 mmol ), and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}{ }^{*} \mathrm{dcm}(88 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1$ equiv, 0.107 mmol$)$ were added to a $25-\mathrm{mL}$ Schlenk flask equipped with a stir bar. The reaction was backfilled with nitrogen (3x) and then SI-2 ( 295 mg , 1 equiv, 1.07 mmol ) was added in 10 mL of dry toluene. The reaction was stirred for 12 hours at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then filtered through a Celite plug, concentrated, and re-dissolved into EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ followed by DI water. The organic layer was collected, dried over sodium sulfate, concentrated and then dissolved in 10 mL of THF and 2 mL of DI water. Sodium periodate ( 459 mg , 2 equiv, 2.14 mmol ) and 1 mL of 1 M HCl were added and the reaction was left to stir overnight. The THF was removed in vacuo and the crude was re-dissolved in EtOAc. The boronic acid was extracted into water with 1 M NaOH . The organic layer was discarded and the aqueous layer was neutralized with 1 M HCl leading to boronic acid precipitation. It was further re-extracted with EtOAc and concentrated to give a brown-yellow oil. 25 mL of hexane was added to the oil which was sonicated for 30 minutes leading to the precipitation of a yellow solid. This was collected on a filter to yield (E)-(2-(p-tolyldiazenyl)phenyl)boronic acid ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 27 \%$ ) as a yellow solid.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 155.21, 149.47, 142.29, 133.15, 130.99, 130.42, 129.62, 122.94, 122.74, 21.53. HRMS m/z expected for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{BN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+241.11$, measured 241.11.

## 1,3-difluoro-2-nitrosobenzene (SI-3)



2,6-difluoroaniline ( $560 \mathrm{mg}, 500 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1$ equiv, 4.34 mmol ) was added to a 100 mL RBF equipped with a stir bar and dissolved in 14 mL of DCM . Oxone ( 5.33 g , 2 equiv, 8.67 mmol ) was dissolved into 16 mL of DI water, and added to the reaction. The reaction was stirred vigorously for 24 hours at ambient temperature. The reaction was diluted with DCM and washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(2 \mathrm{x})$ and 1 M HCl . The organic layer was collected, dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated. The crude was carried on to the following reaction.

## (E)-(2-((2,6-difluorophenyl)diazenyl)phenyl)boronic acid (2)



SI-3 ( $350 \mathrm{mg}, 1$ equiv, 2.45 mmol ) and 2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)aniline ( 536 mg , 1 equiv, $2.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to a 25 mL RBF equipped with a stir bar. Acetic acid $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the reaction was stirred at ambient temperature for 24 hours. The reaction was then diluted with DCM and washed with sodium bicarbonate ( 2 x ) to remove AcOH . The organic layers were dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated and carried through to the deprotection step without any further purification. The crude residue was dissolved into 60 mL of THF into a 250 mL RBF, to which sodium periodate ( $1.57 \mathrm{~g}, 3$ equiv, 7.34 mmol ) and 2 mL of 1 M HCl were added. The reaction was stirred overnight. The solvent was then removed, and the product was dissolved into EtOAc and washed with water. The organic layer was collected and dried over
sodium sulfate. It was then passed through a small silica plug, first with 40:60 EtOAc in hexane to remove the byproduct and then with $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM. The MeOH-DCM fractions were collected and concentrated in vacuo. The crude boronic acid was further purified with 1 M NaOH basic extraction against EtOAc (1x). The basic aqueous layer was then neutralized with 1 M HCl and the boronic acid was re-extracted with EtOAc. Finally, the organic layers were collected and concentrated and washed with cold ACN to yield (E)-(2-((2,6difluorophenyl) diazenyl)phenyl)boronic acid ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 12 \%$ ) as an orange solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.01(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.84-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78$ - $7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 7.42 - $7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 156.69, 156.24, 154.65, 134.58, 132.80, 130.44, 119.28, 113.72, 113.56. ${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( 470 MHz , DMSO- $d_{\sigma}$ ) $\delta$-121.16. HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ expected for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{BF}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 263.07, measured 263.08.

## (E)-1-(2-bromophenyl)-2-(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)diazene (SI-4)



SI-1 ( 885 mg , 1 equiv, 4.67 mmol ) was added to a flask charged with a stir bar and dissolved in 36 mL of DCM. 2,6-dimethoxyaniline ( 729 mg , 1 equiv, 4.76 mmol ) and acetic acid ( 5.7 mL , 21 equiv, 100 mmol ) were added to the flask which was allowed to stir open to air overnight at ambient temperature. The reaction mixture was then concentrated in vacuo, re-dissolved in $\mathrm{EtOAc}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with 40 mL 1 M HCl (3x). The organic layers were collected, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting crude was purified via flash column chromatography ( $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) to yield (E)-1-(2-bromophenyl)-2-(2,6dimethoxyphenyl)diazene ( $835 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ ) as a red powder.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$ ) $\delta 7.73(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.9,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 7.57(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ 7.9, 1.7 Hz, 1H), $7.41-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.00(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 6.95(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.6,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 6.39(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,1.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})^{*} .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d\right) \delta 153.26,152.44^{*}, 151.43$, 149.04*, 133.45,
133.27, 132.99*, 131.32, 130.70, 128.73*, 128.57*, 127.99, 126.59*, 124.37, 118.13, 116.83*, 105.29, 103.99*, 56.70, 55.71*.
$*_{\text {indicate }}$ observed $Z$ isomer peaks
HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ expected for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+321.02$, measured 321.02 .

## ( $E$ )-(2-((2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)diazenyl)phenyl)boronic acid (3)



SI-4 (2.50 g, 1 equiv, 7.78 mmol ), bis(pinacolato) diboron $(2.17 \mathrm{~g}, 1.1$ equiv, 8.56 mmol$)$, potassium acetate ( 2.29 g, 3 equiv, 23.4 mmol ), and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}{ }^{*} \mathrm{dcm}(636 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1$ equiv, 0.778 mmol$)$ were added to a flask equipped with stir bar and degassed and back-filled with nitrogen ( 3 x ). Toluene ( 85 mL ) was added via cannula and the reaction was stirred under nitrogen at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The solution was filtered through a celite plug, using EtOAc to elute additional product. The product was concentrated in vacuo, and diluted with 150 mL of THF and 39 mL of DI water. Sodium periodate ( 3.33 g , 2 equiv, 15.6 mmol ) was added and allowed to stir for 30 minutes before adding $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(7.7 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was stirred overnight at ambient temperature. The organic solvent was removed in vacuo and the product was washed with EtOAc. The resulting organic layer was extracted with 1 M NaOH . The aqueous layer was neutralized with 1 M HCl and extracted with EtOAc. The resulting organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to yield a crude product that was washed with DCM on a fritted funnel to yield (E)-(2-((2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)diazenyl)phenyl)boronic acid (672 mg, $30 \%$ ) as a free-flowing dark orange powder.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 8.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.4,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 7.61-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 7.12-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 6.29(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})^{*} .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 159.64^{*}, 157.71,154.61,148.09^{*}, 136.51,135.02^{*}, 133.64,132.62^{*}, 131.74,131.14,130.52$, 129.62*, 128.84*, 127.67*, 114.11, 113.68*, 105.79, 104.78*, 56.62, 56.07*. *indicate observed $Z$ isomer peaks HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ expected for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$287.11, measured 287.12

## 1-bromo-4-nitrosobenzene (SI-5)



4-bromoaniline ( 500 mg , 1 equiv, 2.91 mmol ) was added to a 100 mL RBF equipped with a stir bar and dissolved in 7 mL of DCM. Oxone ( 3.57 g , 2 equiv, 5.81 mmol ) was dissolved into 28 mL of deionized water and added to the reaction, which was left to stir vigorously for 3 hours at ambient temperature. The reaction was diluted with DCM and washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(2 \mathrm{x})$ and 1 M HCl . The organic layer was collected, dried with sodium sulfate, and concentrated. The crude was carried on to the following reaction.

## (E)-1-(4-bromophenyl)-2-(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)diazene (SI-6)



SI-5 ( 910 mg , 1.1 equiv, 4.89 mmol ) was added to a flask equipped with a stir bar and dissolved in 40 mL of DCM. 2,6-dimethoxy aniline ( $681 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0$ equiv, 4.45 mmol ) and acetic acid ( 5.34 mL , 21 equiv, 93.4 mmol ) were added and the reaction was left to stir at ambient temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was then concentrated in vacuo and diluted with 100 mL EtOAc and washed with 1 M HCl . The aqueous layer was washed with $75 \mathrm{~mL} \operatorname{EtOAc}(3 \mathrm{x})$ and the combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting crude red oil was purified by column chromatography ( $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) to yield (E)-1-(4-bromophenyl)-2-(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)diazene ( $719.4 \mathrm{mg}, 51 \%$ ) as a red powder.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d\right) \delta 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})^{*}$, $7.29-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ 8.4 Hz, 2H)*, $3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})^{*} .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$ ) $\delta$ 152.52, 152.19, 148.26*, 133.47, 132.19, 131.11*, 130.00, 128.52*, 125.18, 124.13, 120.44*, 105.12, 104.08*, 56.48, 55.66*.
*denotes observed $Z$ isomer peaks
LRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ expected for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$321.02, measured 321.0

## (E)-(4-((2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)diazenyl)phenyl)boronic acid (4)



SI-6 (670 mg, 1 equiv, 2.09 mmol ), bis(pinacolato)diboron ( 583 mg , 1.1 equiv, 2.29 mmol ), potassium acetate ( 614 mg , 3 equiv, 6.26 mmol ) and $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl} 2^{*} \mathrm{dcm}(170 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1$ equiv, 0.209 mmol ) were added to a flask charged with stir bar and degassed and back-filled with nitrogen (3x). Toluene ( 20 mL ) was added via cannula and the reaction was stirred under nitrogen at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. It was then filtered through celite, using EtOAc to elute additional product. The filtrate was then concentrated and re-dissolved in THF ( 27 mL ) followed by addition of sodium periodate ( 892 mg , 2 equiv, 4.17 mmol ) in 7 mL of DI water. After 30 minutes of stirring, 1.3 mL of 1 M HCl was added to the reaction mixture and stirred overnight at ambient temperature. The organic layer was removed in vacuo and the resulting aqueous solution was extracted with EtOAc. The crude was then purified via flash column chromatography ( $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM ), followed by a base extraction and a plug column first with $50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in Hexanes then $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM to yield (E)-(4-((2,6dimethoxyphenyl)diazenyl)phenyl)boronic acid ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 7 \%$ ) as a dark red powder.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.28(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 7.31(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 6.54(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})^{*} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 156.57*, 153.67, 151.53, 147.77*, 137.16*, 135.10, 134.08*, 133.19*, 132.20*, 129.66, 128.42*, 121.08, 116.63, 105.27, 104.42*, 56.22, 55.67, 54.96*.
*denotes observed $Z$ isomer peaks
LRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ expected for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$287.11, measured 287.12


To a flask equipped with a stir bar, SI-1 ( 500 mg , 1.2 equiv, 2.69 mmol ) in 20 mL of DCM was added followed by 2,4 dimethoxy aniline ( 343 mg , 1 equiv, 2.24 mmol ) and acetic acid ( $2.69 \mathrm{~mL}, 21$ equiv, 47 mmol ). The reaction was left to stir overnight at ambient temperature. The reaction mixture was washed with 75 mL of sodium bicarbonate (3x), followed by 75 mL of $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \mathrm{x})$. The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting product was purified via column chromatography ( $25 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) to yield (E)-1-(2-bromophenyl)-2-(2,4-dimethoxyphenyl)diazene ( $624 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ ) as a red powder.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d\right) \delta 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.35 (ddd, J = 8.1, 7.3, 1.4 Hz, 1H), $7.28-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.6,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 7.00-6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})^{*}$, $6.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 6.59-6.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.38-6.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 6.31(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.7,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 4.02(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 3.75 (s, 3H)*, 3.71 (s, 3H)*. ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$ ) $\delta 164.34,160.94^{*}, 159.26$, 151.76*, 150.43, 137.08*, 136.77, 133.47*, 133.07, 130.88, 128.02, 127.89*, 127.38*, 124.75, 121.49*, 118.89, 118.33, 117.60*, 105.95, 104.21*, 98.99, 56.41, 55.72, 55.56*, 55.50*. *denotes observed $Z$ isomer peaks HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ expected for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+321.02$, measured 321.02

## (E)-(2-((2,4-dimethoxyphenyl)diazenyl)phenyl)boronic acid (5)



SI-7 ( 600 mg , 1 equiv, 1.87 mmol ), bis(pinacolato)diboron ( 522 mg , 1.1 equiv, 2.05 mmol ), potassium acetate ( 550 mg , 3 equiv, 5.60 mmol ) and $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl} 2^{*} \mathrm{dcm}(137 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1$ equiv, 0.187 mmol ) were added to a flask
charged with stir bar and degassed and back-filled with nitrogen ( 3 x ). Toluene ( 20 mL ) was added via cannula and the reaction was stirred under nitrogen at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The reaction mixture was then filtered through celite, using EtOAc to elute additional product. The filtrate was concentrated and re-dissolved in THF ( 36 mL ). To it sodium periodate ( 799 mg , 2 equiv, 3.74 mmol ) in 9 mL of DI water was added and after 30 minutes of stirring, 0.9 mL of 1 M HCl was added to the reaction mixture which was then left to stir overnight at room temperature. The organic layer was removed in vacuo and the resulting aqueous solution was extracted with EtOAc and dried over sodium sulfate. The organic layer was concentrated and purified via column chromatography ( $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM ). The resulting product was concentrated and re-dissolved in EtOAc, extracted with 1 M NaOH . The basic layer was neutralized with 1 M HCl and extracted with EtOAc , dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated to yield (E)-(2-((2,4-dimethoxyphenyl)diazenyl)phenyl)boronic acid (181 mg, $34 \%$ ) as a red powder.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 8.27(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 7.79(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.3,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.1$, $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 7.52(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.46(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.9,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.9,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})^{*} .{ }^{13}$ C NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 164.13$, 159.26*, 157.22, 156.67, 153.03*, 135.88, 135.07, 133.60*, 130.73, 130.29, 129.76, 124.02, 122.69, 117.80*, 116.32, 106.89, 106.77*, 99.84, 99.63*, 56.48, 56.30 *denotes observed $Z$ isomer peaks.

HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ expected for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+287.11$, measured 287.12

## methyl 3-bromo-4-nitrosobenzoate (SI-8)



Methyl 4-amino-3-bromobenzoate ( 230 mg , 1 equiv, 1.00 mmol ) was dissolved into 6 mL of DCM into a 50 mL RBF equipped with a stir bar. Oxone ( $1.84 \mathrm{~g}, 3$ equiv, 3.00 mmol ) was dissolved into 24 mL of water and was added into the RBF. The reaction was left to stir for 36 hours at ambient temperature. The reaction was
diluted with DCM and washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(2 \mathrm{x})$ and 1 M HCl . The organic layer was collected, dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated. The crude was purified by flash chromatography ( $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) to yield the product as a yellow solid methyl 3-bromo-4-nitrosobenzoate ( $87 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 36 \%$ ), which was carried on to the following reaction
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## methyl ( $E$ )-3-bromo-4-((2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)diazenyl)benzoate (SI-9)



In a $250-\mathrm{mL}$ RBF equipped with a stir bar, SI-8 (560 mg, 1 equiv, 2.29 mmol ) and 2,6-dimethoxyaniline (352 mg , 1 equiv, 2.29 mmol ) were dissolved into DCM . To it 10 mL of AcOH was added and the reaction was capped and let stir for 24 hours at ambient temperature. Initially the reaction solution was a dark green color, which quickly became darker and the green color faded away. After 24 hours, the reaction was a deep red/black solution. The reaction was then diluted with DCM and washed with 1 M NaOH and then with 1 M HCl . The organic layer was collected, dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated. The crude was purified with flash chromatography $(20 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) to yield methyl (E)-3-bromo-4-((2,6dimethoxyphenyl)diazenyl)benzoate ( $301 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ ) as red solid.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d\right) \delta 8.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})^{*}$, $7.62(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})^{*}{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d\right) \delta 165.54$, $154.20,153.72,134.78,133.00,132.16,131.84,129.35,123.58,117.99,105.12,56.66,52.52$. *denotes observed $Z$ isomer peaks

HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ expected for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 379.02$, measured 379.03

## (E)-(2-((2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)diazenyl)-5-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl)boronic acid (3')



Bis(pinacolato)diboron ( 222 mg , 1.1 equiv, $873 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), potassium acetate ( 234 mg , 3 equiv, 2.38 mmol ) and $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} * \mathrm{dcm}(64.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1$ equiv, $79.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were added to a 50 mL Schlenk flask equipped with a stir rod. The reaction was backfilled (3x) with nitrogen and then SI-9 ( 301 mg , 1 equiv, $794 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added in 35 mL of dry toluene. The reaction was stirred for 18 hours at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Upon completion, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and filtered through a celite plug. The filtrate was then concentrated and re-dissolved in EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ followed by DI water. Combined organic layers were collected, dried over sodium sulfate, concentrated and then re-dissolved in 10 mL of THF into a 25 mL RBF equipped with a stir bar. 2 mL of DI water and sodium periodate ( $340 \mathrm{mg}, 1.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to it and stirred overnight. Upon completion, the organic solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude was dissolved in EtOAc and washed with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The organic layer was collected, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to yield (E)-(2-((2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)diazenyl)-5-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl)boronic acid (154 $\mathrm{mg}, 448 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 56 \%)$ as a red solid.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 8.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.55(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta$ 166.38, 160.05, 155.04, 137.73, 134.91, 132.54, 131.04, 130.55, 114.60, 105.82, 56.72, 52.78. HRMS: m/z expected for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 345.12$, measured 345.12.


To a 100 mL RBF equipped with a stir bar, compound $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}$ ( 200 mg , 1 equiv, $581 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in methanol ( 21 mL ) was added followed by $\mathrm{LiOH}(41.8 \mathrm{mg}, 3$ equiv, 1.74 mmol$)$ in 8 mL of water. It was then stirred for 48 hours at ambient temperature, then diluted with EtOAc and DI water (1:1). The product remained in the aqueous layer, so the organic layer was discarded. The aqueous layer was then neutralized with 1 M HCl and extracted back into EtOAc. The organic layer was collected, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated. The product was washed extensively with acetonitrile to yield (E)-3-borono-4-((2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)diazenyl)benzoic acid ( $153 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ) as an orange solid.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 167.47, 159.89, 155.00, 137.93, 134.84, 132.72, 132.22, 130.43, 114.38, 105.77, 56.69. LRMS: m/z expected for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+331.1$, measured 331.1

## 7-(2-aminoethoxy)-2H-chromen-2-one hydrochloride (SI-11)



7-Hydroxy-2H-chromen-2-one ( 635 mg , 1 equiv, 3.92 mmol ) and potassium carbonate ( 388 mg , 1 equiv, 3.92 $\mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in acetonitrile ( 10 mL ) into a 25 mL 3-neck RBF equipped with a stir bar and condenser. To it, tert-butyl (2-bromoethyl)carbamate ( 878 mg , 1 equiv, 3.92 mmol ) in 10 mL of dry acetonitrile was added and stirred at refluxing conditions for 20 hours, leading to the formation of bright yellow solid precipitates. Upon completion, the reaction was concentrated and dissolved into EtOAc and washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The organic layer was dried and concentrated to about 20 mL , to which 25 drops of concentrated HCl was added. This led to the precipitation of a white solid over two hours which was collected by filtration and characterized as the HCl salt. The salt was then free based by dissolving 50 mg into 10 mL of MeOH
followed by addition of 10 eq of solid $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and letting stir for 3 hours. After the solvent was removed and the crude was dissolved in DCM and washed with water. The organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated. The amine was unstable at room temperature, so was immediately carried on to the next reaction without further purification.

Data for compound SI-12 : ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{p}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta$ 161.33, 160.65, 155.73, 144.74, 130.10, 113.40, 113.31, 113.21, 101.97, 65.53, 38.62. HRMS: $m / z$ expected for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 206.07$, measured 206.08.

## 2,3,4,5,6-pentahydroxy-N-(2-((2-oxo-2H-chromen-7-yl)oxy)ethyl)hexanamide (17)



7-(2-Aminoethoxy)-2H-chromen-2-one $(30.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1$ equiv, $146 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, free base of SI-11) was dissolved into acetonitrile ( 10 mL ) in a 20 mL scintillation vial equipped with a stir bar, and 3,4,5-trihydroxy-6-(hydroxymethyl)tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-one ( $24.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.95$ equiv, $139 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added. The reaction was heated to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The reaction was then filtered over Celite and the filtrate was concentrated and washed with MeOH to yield 2,3,4,5,6-pentahydroxy-N-(2-((2-oxo-2H-chromen-7-yl)oxy)ethyl)hexanamide (25 $\mathrm{mg}, 65 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 45 \%$ ) as a white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{-}\right) \delta 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=8.6,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66$ - 3.49 (m, 6H). ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 173.39, 161.96, 160.80, 155.82, 144.81, 130.01, 113.22, 113.01, 112.93, 101.71, 73.81, 72.57, 71.80, 70.43, 67.21, 63.63, 37.81. LRMS: m/z expected for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{9}$ [M-H]-382.12, measured 382.0.


To a 250 mL flask equipped with a stir bar, SI-1 ( $872 \mathrm{mg}, 1$ equiv, 4.69 mmol ) and 2,6-diethylaniline ( 735 mg , $811 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.05$ equiv, 4.92 mmol ) were dissolved into 60 mL of DCM , to which 15 mL of AcOH was added to. The reaction was left to run for 7 days at ambient temperature, which was then diluted with DCM and washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(2 \mathrm{x})$. The organic fraction was collected, dried with sodium sulfate, and concentrated. The crude was dissolved into hexane and passed through a silica plug with hexane. The eluent was collected, concentrated, and then passed through an alumina plug with hexane. The hexane was concentrated to approximately 10 mL and cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which led to the crystallization of an impurity. The product was collected as a dark red oil, (E)-1-(2-bromophenyl)-2-(2,6-diethylphenyl)diazene (187 mg, $0.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 11 \%$, $>90 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR purity), and carried on to the next reaction without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d\right) \delta 7.70(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=8.0,7.2,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.26(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,7.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## (E)-1-(2,6-diethylphenyl)-2-(2-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)phenyl)diazene (6)



Bis(pinacolato)diboron ( 165 mg , 1.1 equiv, $648 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), potassium acetate ( 174 mg , 3 equiv, 1.77 mmol ) and $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}{ }^{*} \mathrm{dcm}(48.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1$ equiv, $58.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were added to a 25 mL Schlenk flask equipped with a stir rod. The reaction was backfilled ( 3 x ) with nitrogen and then SI- 12 ( 187 mg , 1 equiv, $589 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added in 8 mL of dry toluene. The reaction was stirred for 18 hours at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Upon completion, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and filtered through a celite plug. The solvent was removed, the crude was diluted in DCM and washed with water. The organic layer was dried with sodium sulfate, and concentrated. The crude
was dissolved into 9 mL of THF into a 100 mL RBF equipped with a stir bar, to which 2.25 mL of water and sodium periodate ( 379 mg , 3 equiv, $1.77 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were added to. 0.5 mL of 1 M HCl was added and the reaction was left to react overnight at ambient temperature. After the reaction was diluted with EtOAc and washed with water. The organic layer was collected, dried with sodium sulfate, and concentrated. The crude was purified by flash chromatography $(20 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) to yield , (E)-(2-((2,6diethylphenyl)diazenyl)phenyl)boronic acid ( $99 \mathrm{mg}, 350 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 59 \%$ ) as a red oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 7.94-7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30$ - $7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 155.14, 150.96, 136.36, 133.08, 131.20, 129.57, 128.70, 127.71, 122.24, 24.72, 16.23. LRMS: m/z expected for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+283.15$, measured 283.1

## (E)-2-(2-bromostyryl)-1,3-difluorobenzene (SI-13)



A 25 mL Schlenk flask equipped with a stir rod was charged with dimethyl (2,6-difluorobenzyl)phosphonate ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00$ equiv, $635 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), to which 33 mg of NaH powder $(57 \% \mathrm{NaH})$ was added to slowly on ice, leading to a cloudy yellow reaction. After 30 minutes, 2-bromobenzaldehyde ( $118 \mathrm{mg}, 74.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.00$ equiv, 635 $\mu \mathrm{mol})$ was added to the reaction, which was allowed to warm to room temperature. After 15 hours the reaction appeared as a clear yellow solution. The THF was removed in vacuo and the solution was diluted with EtOAc and washed with DI water 2x. The organic layer was collected and dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated to yield a light yellow oil, ((E)-2-(2-bromostyryl)-1,3-difluorobenzene ( $114 \mathrm{mg}, 386 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 61 \%$ ) and carried through to the next reaction.

Crude ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d\right) \delta 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.9,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{tdd}, \mathrm{J}=7.9,1.3,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-6.90$ (m, 2H).

## (E)-(2-(2,6-difluorostyryl)phenyl)boronic acid (7)


(SI-14) ( 136 mg , 1 equiv, $461 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved into 3 mL of dry THF and transferred into a 25 mL Schlenk flask equipped with a stir rod. The solution was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes and n-butyllithium ( 44.3 mg , $278 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.5$ equiv, $691 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was added dropwise. This was allowed to stir for 30 minutes, to which triisopropyl borate ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5$ equiv, $691 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and run for 12 hours. The reaction was quenched with 1 M HCl and allowed to run for an hour. The organic solvent was removed and the product was diluted in EtOAc and washed with 1 M HCl and DI water (2x). The organic layer was dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated. The product was dissolved in DCM in a RBF layered with hexane and purified by slow evaporation of the DCM in vacuo to yield the product as a white powder: (E)-(2-(2,6-difluorostyryl)phenyl)boronic acid ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 28 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4$, $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 161.54,159.62,140.52,137.39,133.87,129.55,129.36,127.69,124.74,114.92,112.61$. ${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( 470 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$-113.27 LRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ expected for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BF}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{FA}]-305.06$, measured 305.1


2,6-Diethoxyaniline ${ }^{2}$ ( 540 mg , 1 equiv, 2.98 mmol ) and 1-bromo-2-nitrosobenzene ( $554 \mathrm{mg}, 1$ equiv, 2.98 mmol ) were added to a $100-\mathrm{mL}$ RBF equipped with a stir bar, and dissolved in 40 mL of DCM. 10 mL of AcOH was added and the reaction was left to run overnight at ambient temperature. In the morning, the reaction was washed with potassium carbonate ( 2 x ). The organic layer was collected, dried with sodium sulfate, and purified with flash chromatography (5\% EtOAc in hexane) to yield (E)-1-(2-bromophenyl)-2-(2,6diethoxyphenyl)diazene ( $320 \mathrm{mg}, 0.77 \mathrm{mmol}, 26 \%, 84 \%$ purity). This material was carried on to the following reaction.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-\right.$ d) $\delta 7.68-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0,7.3$, $1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.9,7.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7 \mathrm{H})$.

## (E)-(2-((2,6-diethoxyphenyl)diazenyl)phenyl)boronic acid (6')



SI-14 ( 320 mg , 1 equiv, $916 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), bis(pinacolato)diboron ( 256 mg , 1.1 equiv, 1.01 mmol ), potassium acetate ( 270 mg , 3 equiv, 2.75 mmol ), and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl} 2 * \mathrm{dcm}(74.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1$ equiv, 0.091 mmol ) were added to a 100mL Schlenk flask equipped with stir bar, and degassed and back-filled with nitrogen (3x). Toluene (18 mL) was added via cannula and the reaction was stirred under nitrogen at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The solution was filtered through a plug, using acetone/DCM (5:95). The product was concentrated in vacuo, and diluted with 9 mL of THF and 2.5 mL of DI water. Sodium periodate ( $387 \mathrm{mg}, 3$ equiv, 1.81 mmol ) was added to the reaction followed by 0.5 mL of 1 M HCl , and the reaction was left to stir overnight at ambient temperature. The reaction
was diluted in EtOAc and washed with water (1x) and brine (1x) The organic layer was collected dried with sodium sulfate, and purified by column chromatography ( $10 \%$ Acetone in DCM ) to yield the product $(E)$-(2-((2,6-diethoxyphenyl)diazenyl)phenyl)boronic acid ( $88 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 31 \%$ ) as a red solid.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 8.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})^{*}$, $7.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 7.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{td}, J=7.2,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{td}, J=7.4,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 7.17(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 7.12(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})^{*}$, $6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 6.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 4.24(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=39.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})^{*}, 1.40(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})^{*} .{ }^{*}$ denotes observed Z isomer peaks ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 157.06, 152.82, 135.95, 132.36, 131.27, 131.23, 130.74, 113.75, 106.19, 64.65, 14.43.

LRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ expected for $\mathrm{C} 16 \mathrm{H} 19 \mathrm{BN} 2 \mathrm{O} 4[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 315.14$, measured 315.1

## P1




125 mg of 4-arm PEG amine hydrochloride salt $(\mathrm{MW}=5000)$ was added to a Schlenk flask $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and melted under high vaccum (2x) to remove excess water. 2-methoxyethan-1-aminium ( $0.125 \mathrm{~g}, 1$ equiv, $23.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), HOBt ( 36.1 mg , 10 equiv, $236 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and (E)-3-borono-4-((2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)diazenyl)benzoic acid (77.9 $\mathrm{mg}, 10$ equiv, $236 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were then added as solids along with a stir bar, the vessel was sealed and backfilled with nitrogen (3x). 2 mL of DCM and 2 mL of DMF were then added to solubilize the reagents leading to a clear red solution. TEA ( $23.9 \mathrm{mg}, 33 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 10$ equiv, $236 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was then added to the solution, which was stirred at room temperature for 24 hours. Upon completion, the reaction was diluted with DCM and filtered through a glass-fritted filter. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and then diluted with EtOAc and water (1:1). The EtOAc layer was separated and further washed with DI water (3x). Combined aqueous layers were concentrated
in vacuo to $\sim 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ and dialyzed for 3 days against DI water (MWCO $=3.5 \mathrm{kDa}$ ) while the water being changed daily. The sample was then lyophilized for 48 hours to yield 88 mg of the polymer product.

NOTE: Addition of NaCl was useful for clearing the emulsion at the organic/water interface.

## P2



P2

P2 was prepared following a literature procedure. ${ }^{3}$

## Photochemical characterization

Determination of photostationary state (PSS): Stock solutions of pure (E)-1 through (E)-3' (which had not been exposed to light) were prepared at concentrations of $750,1246,599$ and $421 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in DMSO . Concentration versus LC area (monitored at 300 nm ) calibration curves were prepared by serial dilution of these mixtures. To generate the calibration curves for the $Z$ isomers, these samples were then irradiated with either 365 nm light (for compound 1) or 525 nm light (2-3') to generate a mixture of $E$ and $Z$ isomers. These mixtures were subject to LC separation, and the LC area at 300 nm was recorded for both the $E$ and $Z$ isomer. The concentration of the $E$ isomers could be calculated using the generated calibration curve, which allows for the $Z$ concentration to be determined (as the total concentration is known).

Once the calibration curves were prepared, pure solutions of $(E) \mathbf{- 1}$ through $(E)-\mathbf{3}^{\prime}$ ' were irradiated with UV (365 nm), blue (470 nm), green (525 nm), yellow (590 nm), or red (626 nm) LEDs for various time intervals. The percent $Z$ isomer was determined by LC and plotted as a function of irradiation time. The photostationary state was determined once the ratio of $Z$ :E stopped changing.


Figure 15. Percent $Z$ isomer of compounds 1-3 and 3' as a function of irradiation time with 365 nm (UV) irradiation.


Figure 16. Percent $Z$ isomer of compounds 1-3 and 3' as a function of irradiation time with 470 nm (blue) irradiation.


Figure 17. Percent $Z$ isomer of compounds 1-3 and 3 ' as a function of irradiation time with 525 nm (green) irradiation.


Figure 18. Percent $Z$ isomer of compounds 1-3 and 3' as a function of irradiation time with 590 nm (yellow) irradiation.


Figure 19. Percent $Z$ isomer of compounds 1-3 and 3' as a function of irradiation time with 626 nm (red) irradiation.

## UV-vis Spectra




Figure 20 Left: Normalized UV-Vis absorbance spectra of (E)-1 (33 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ in acetonitrile) before (black) and after (green) ten minutes of irradiation. Right: Normalized UV-Vis of (E)-2 ( $15 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in acetonitrile) before (black) and after (green) ten minutes of irradiation.


Figure 21. Left: Normalized UV-Vis absorbance spectra of (E)-3 ( $21 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in acetonitrile) before (black) and after (green) ten minutes of irradiation. Right: Normalized UV-Vis absorbance spectra of (E)-3' ( $12 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in acetonitrile) before (black) and after (green) ten minutes of irradiation.



Figure 22. Left: Normalized UV-Vis absorbance spectra of (E)-4 (14 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ in acetonitrile) before (black) and after (green) ten minutes of irradiation. Right: Normalized UV-Vis absorbance spectra of (E)-5 ( $35 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in acetonitrile) before (black) and after (green) ten minutes of irradiation.
Determination of thermal half-lives: Each azobenzene (at a concentration of between $1-4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) was irradiated with green or red LEDs for at least 30 minutes to produce $Z$ isomer and placed into the NMR, which was set at the appropriate temperature. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ or ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra were recorded every five minutes at variable temperatures between 30 and $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to monitor the thermal conversion of azobenzene from the $Z$ to $E$ isomer.

Rate constants for the first order thermal isomerization were determined from exponential fits and Arrhenius plots were constructed for the rate of isomerization versus temperature. Thermal half-lives at room temperatures $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ were determined by extrapolation of these graphs, where $\tau_{1 / 2}=\ln (2) / \mathrm{k}$.


Figure 23. Left: Thermal isomerization of (Z)-1 to (E)-1 as determined by 1 H NMR at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Right: Arrhenius plot of thermal isomerization of (Z)-1 to (E)-1. The half-life was determined to 3.5 days at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 24. Left: Thermal isomerization of (Z)-2 to (E)-2 as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Right: Arrhenius plot of thermal isomerization of (Z)-2 to (E)-2. The half-life was determined to 70 days at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.



Figure 25. Left: Thermal isomerization of (Z)-3 to (E)-3 as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Right: Arrhenius plot of thermal isomerization of (Z)-3 to (E)-3. The half-life was determined to 24 days at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 26. Left: Thermal isomerization of (Z)-3' to (E)-3' determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N M R$ at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Right: Arrhenius plot of thermal isomerization of (Z)-1 to (E)-1. The half-life was determined to 2.2 days at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Competition experiments

General procedure for competition experiment: $10 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ of the given azobenzene boronic acid was dissolved into $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ and irradiated with green or red LEDs for 30 minutes to produce a mixture of the $E$ and $Z$ isomers. Diol (2 equivalents) was added to the solution; if necessary, cyclooctadiene (as an internal standard) was added, as noted in the specific experiments. Cyclopentane diol $\mathbf{9}$ and guconolactone derivative 13 were treated with excess $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ to ensure that boronic acid was present. The solution was monitored at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until equilibrium was reached (see S22 and S23 for further information), and then ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and/or ${ }^{19}$ F (if applicable) NMR spectra were recorded using a Bruker AVANCE- 500 spectrometers at 500 MHz using a $30^{\circ}$ pulse, or a Bruker Neo 600 MHz system with a QCI-F cryoprobe at 600 MHz at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Relaxation times for a variety of signals were measured to determine the appropriate delay time, which ranged from 0.7 to 2.7 seconds. Unless noted otherwise, each experiment was subject to 16 scans, and a delay time of 10 seconds. NMR spectra were processed in MestReNova (version 14.1.1) with Whittaker smoother baseline processing, ${ }^{4}$ and peaks that could not be fully resolved at baseline were integrated using the global spectral deconvolution (GSD) peak picking integration method. The method of obtaining $K_{\text {rel }}$ and integration method is reported under the specific experiments. The amount of bound and unbound boronic acid for both the $E$ and $Z$ isomer were determined by integration and the relative $K_{\text {eq }}\left(K_{\text {rel }}\right)$ was determined from below formula. Protons that could be accurately assigned have been included.
$K_{\text {eq }}(E)$ is expressed by equation 1 and $K_{\text {eq }}(Z)$ is expressed by equation 2 . Because both equilibria are present in the same NMR tube, the concentration of water and diol are the same, and the $K_{\mathrm{rel}}$ can be simplified as in equation 3.
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{eq}}(E)=\frac{[(E) \text {-ester }]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]^{2}}{[(E)-\text { acid }][\text { diol }]}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{eq}}(Z)=\frac{[(Z) \text {-ester }]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]^{2}}{[(Z) \text {-acid }][\text { diol }]}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{rel}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{eq}}(Z)}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{eq}}(E)}=\frac{[(Z) \text {-ester }]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]^{2}}{[(Z)-\mathrm{acid}][\mathrm{diol}]} * \frac{[(E) \text {-acid }][\text { diol }]}{[(E) \text {-ester }]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]^{2}}=\frac{[Z \text {-ester }][E \text {-acid }]}{[Z \text {-acid }][E \text {-ester }]}$
Determination of T1 relaxation times


Figure 27. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and T1 (in seconds) of compound (E)-1 with EG in DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 28. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and T 1 (in seconds) of compound (E)-2 with EG in DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


## $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}8.6 & 8.5 & 8.4 & 8.3 & 8.2 & 8.1 & 8.0 & 7.9 & 7.8 & 7.7 & 7.6 & 7.5 & 7.4 & 7.3 & 7.2 & 7.1 & 7.0 & 6.9\end{array}$

Figure 29. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and T 1 (in seconds) of compound (E)-3 with EG in DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 30. Competition experiments to determine $\mathrm{K}_{\text {rel }}$ of compound 3 with EG in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No additional $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added to the experiment and protons were integrated using GSD.


Figure 31. Competition experiments to determine $K_{\text {rel }}$ of compound 2 with EG in $\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
No additional $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added to the experiment. Protons were integrated using GSD and fluorine signals were integrated with the area under the curve. As the $Z$ acid and ester are not baseline resolved by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR was employed to determine the ratio of bound $Z$ to bound $E$. Using this, the amount of $Z$ ester in the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR can be deduced.


Figure 32. Competition experiments to determine $\mathrm{K}_{\text {rel }}$ of compound 3 with EG in $\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{OMe}$. Aromatic doublets, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$, and aliphatic singlets $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ were integrated with GSD.


Figure 33. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectrum of competition experiment between (E)-3 and (Z)-3 with EG in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 34. Competition experiment between (E)-4 and (Z)-4 with EG in DMSO- $d_{6}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added to the experiment and protons were integrated using GSD.


Figure 35. Competition experiment between (E)-3' and (Z)-3' with EG in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
No additional $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added to the experiment and protons were integrated using GSD, except for the broad peak corresponding to the E-ester, likely indicating fast exchange with diol. This peak was integrated with the sum function, and an additional trial was performed.


Figure 36. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{rel}}$ vs time for competition experiment for 1-3 with EG in $\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

 f1 (ppm)
Figure 37. (top) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the competition experiment of 3 in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after drying with sieves overnight. (bottom) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the same mixture after addition of $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to perturb the equilibrium. After perturbation of the stationary state and allowing the system to equilibrate for 24 hours at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{K}_{\text {rel }}$ returns to the average value.

## Determination of equilibrium constants

To determine the absolute $K_{\text {eq }}$ of 1-3, we first prepared triplicate samples of pure $E \mathbf{1 - 3}$ at 20 mM in $480 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ DMSO- $d_{6}$, to which EG was added ( 2 equivalents in $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DMSO- $d_{6}$ ). These reactions were set to equilibrate for 48 hours at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (based on previous experiments) and then the concentration of $E$ acid, $E$ ester, diol, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were quantified by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{K}_{\text {eq }}$ was calculated using equation 1 . The average values and standard
deviation for this method are reported below in Table 1. Next, we irradiated these samples with either 365 nm (for compound 1) or 525 nm light (for 2 and $\mathbf{3}$ ). We again determined $K_{\mathrm{eq}}$ for the $E$ isomer and the $Z$ isomer using equation 2, which are plotted in Table S2.

$$
\begin{array}{cc} 
& \text { average } K_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{M}) \\
(E)-\mathbf{1} & 0.528 \pm 0.059 \\
(E)-\mathbf{2} & 0.235 \pm 0.038 \\
(E)-3 & 0.052 \pm 0.009
\end{array}
$$

Table 1. Equilibrium constants ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ ) of (E)-1 through (E)-3 determined in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using samples that had not been irradiated.

|  | average $K_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{M})$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $(E)-\mathbf{1}$ | $0.542 \pm 0.047$ |
| $(E)-\mathbf{2}$ | $0.284 \pm 0.045$ |
| $(E)-\mathbf{3}$ | $0.057 \pm 0.012$ |
| $(Z)-\mathbf{1}$ | $1.262 \pm 0.064$ |
| $(Z)-\mathbf{2}$ | $1.139 \pm 0.157$ |
| $(Z)-\mathbf{3}$ | $1.153 \pm 0.254$ |

Table 2. Equilibrium constants ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ ) of (E)-1 through (E)-3 and (Z)-1 through (Z)-3 determined in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using samples that had been irradiated.


Figure 38. Competition experiment between (E)-5 and (Z)-5 with EG in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 39. Competition experiment between (E)-6 and (Z)-6 with EG in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a delay time of 1 s . The amount of $Z$ acid could be determined by subtracting the amount of $Z$ ester from the overlapped peaks. We performed an additional experiment with a 10 s delay time, which yielded a similar $\mathrm{K}_{\text {rel }}$ value of 1.36.


Figure 40. Competition experiment between (E) -7 and (Z)-7 with EG in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The integrations were performed with the area (sum) under the curves. The Z isomer was accessed by irradiation of the solution with 305 nm light for 20 minutes.


Figure 41. Competition experiment between 2 and 7 with EG in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 42. Competition experiment between (E)-6' and (Z)-6' with EG in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Protons were integrated using GSD highlighted in blue.

## Wavelength-dependent diol binding with compound 3 and ethylene glycol

$50 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ of compound $\mathbf{3}$ was dissolved into 5 mL of DMSO- $d_{6}$ and evenly divided into 10 samples. Two samples were left in the dark while two were irradiated with blue ( 470 nm ), green ( 525 nm ), red ( 626 nm ), or yellow ( 590 nm ) LEDs for 8 hours at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, 1 equivalent of ethylene glycol (EG) in $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DMSO- $d_{6}$ was added to each sample and the reaction was equilibrated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After reaching equilibrium, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded to determine how much EG was bound, relative to the total amount of EG in solution. The $\% Z$
isomer and $\%$ diol bound are an average of the two experiments, as well as the $K_{\mathrm{rel}}$ values reported in Figure 8a.


| irradiation <br> color | \% Z <br> isomer | \% diol <br> bound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None ( $\Delta$ ) | 0 | 2.98 |
| blue | 33.8 | 26.1 |
| green | 64.1 | 42.3 |
| yellow | 69.5 | 43.4 |
| red | 56.7 | 35.6 |

Figure 43. (left) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra highlighting bound and unbound ( E )- and (Z)-3 with EG after irradiation with no light (black trace), blue light (blue trace), red light (red trace), green light (green trace), and yellow light (yellow trace). (right) Table of $\% \mathrm{Z}$ isomer achieved with different wavelengths of irradiation and the $\%$ diol bound.

## Irradiation time-dependent diol binding with compound 3 and ethylene glycol

$40 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ of compound $\mathbf{3}$ was dissolved into $2000 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, along with $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of cyclooctadiene as an internal standard, and divided into four vials. One vial was left in the dark, while the other vials were irradiated with either $0.5,2$, or 10 minutes of green light. After the designated irradiation time, 1 equivalent of EG was added to each vial. After equilibrating for 12 hours, a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum was recorded of each sample and the ratio of bound: total ethylene glycol was recorded.


Figure 44. (left): \% Diol bound vs. irradiation time with green light (minutes). The numbers represent the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{rel}}$ value measured for each system after equilibration. Right: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ Spectra highlighting bound and unbound ( E )- and ( Z )-3 with EG after irradiation with different intervals of green light.

## Binding experiments with compound 3 and various diols

$30 \%$ mol of ( $E$ ) $\mathbf{- 3}$ was dissolved into 1500 uL of DMSO- $d_{6}$ and divided evenly among three vials. Each vial was irradiated for 30 minutes with green LEDs at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to generate a mixture of the $E$ and $Z$ isomer, to which 2 equivalents of the relevant diol was added. The reaction was left to equilibrate for 24-48 hours; upon confirming the reaction was at equilibrium, spectra were recorded in triplicate. The specific details for equilibration time, including $K_{\text {rel }}$ values for additional timepoints, are listed under the individual experiments.



Figure 45. Competition experiment between (E)-3 and (Z)-3 with neopentyl glycol (8) in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Protons were integrated using GSD highlighted in blue. $\mathrm{K}_{\text {rel }}$ was recorded after 48 hours, and, and additional scans at 24 hours $\left(K_{\text {rel }}=14.0\right)$ and 96 hours ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{rel}}=14.9$ ) confirmed the system was at equilibrium.



| Trial 1 | Trial 2 | Trial 3 | Average | St. Dev |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17.65 | 16.93 | 16.95 | 17.18 | 0.41 |

Figure 46. Competition experiment between (E)-3 and (Z)-3 with cis-1,2-cyclopentanediol (9) in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Protons were integrated using GSD highlighted in blue. $\mathrm{K}_{\text {rel }}$ was recorded after 48 hours, and additional scans at 24 hours $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{rel}}=15.1\right)$ and 96 hours $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{rel}}=15.3\right)$ confirmed the system was at equilibrium.


Figure 47. Competition experiment between (E)-3 and (Z)-3 with cis-1,2-cyclohexanediol (10) in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Protons were integrated using GSD highlighted in blue. $\mathrm{K}_{\text {rel }}$ was recorded after 48 hours, and again at 72 hours ( $\mathrm{K}_{\text {rel }}=$ 13.9), confirming the system was at equilibrium.


| Trial 1 | Trial 2 | Trial 3 | Average | St. Dev |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.71 | 8.55 | 10.98 | 9.75 | 1.21 |

Figure 48. Competition experiment between (E)-3 and (Z)-3 with 4-nitrocatechol (11) in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Protons were integrated using GSD highlighted in blue. As the ester was prone to hydrolysis, the nitrocatechol was dried in a vacuum oven prior to the experiment. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{rel}}$ was recorded after 24 hours, and again at 48 hours ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{rel}}=9.13$ ), confirming the system was at equilibrium.



| Trial 1 | Trial 2 | Trial 3 | Average | St. Dev |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10.87 | 12.13 | 11.55 | 11.51 | 0.63 |

Figure 49. Competition experiment between (E)-3 and (Z)-3 with L-ascorbic acid (12) in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Protons were integrated using GSD highlighted in blue. $K_{\text {rel }}$ was recorded after 24 hours, and another scan at 20 hours ( $\mathrm{K}_{\text {rel }}=$ 10.9) confirmed the system was at equilibrium. We observed small new peaks around 6.7 that increased over the course of multiple days, which we hypothesize is the reduction of the azobenzene by ascorbic acid, a known reductant.


| 3.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Figure 50. Competition experiment between (E)-3 and (Z)-3 with gluconolactone derivative (13) in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Protons were integrated using GSD highlighted in blue. $K_{\text {rel }}$ was recorded after 48 hours, and another scan at 24 hours $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{rel}}=14.7\right)$ and 96 hours $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{rel}}=19.3\right)$ confirmed the system was at equilibrium.


Figure 51. Competition experiment between (E)-3 and (Z)-3 with glucose (14) in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Protons were integrated using GSD highlighted in blue. $K_{\text {rel }}$ was determined after 72 hours. An identical experiment that was allowed to equilibrate for 24 hours yielded similar results, with $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{re}}=7.05$, indicating the systems are at equilibrium.

NOTE: Competition experiments with glucose were complicated to analyze due to multiple binding modes of glucose which was evident by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. To account for these, we let the experiments equilibrate and determined the amount of unbound boronic acid relative to a cyclooctadiene internal standard. Afterwards, excess $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added to the experiment to hydrolyze all the boronic ester. We then compared the integration of the boronic acids to the internal standard to determine how much boronic acid was consumed prior to hydrolysis. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR shows the peaks that were used in the integration as the unbound $E$ or $Z$ azobenzene boronic acid and the COD internal standard.


Figure 52. Competition experiment between (E)-3 and (Z)-3 with adenosine (15) in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Protons were integrated using GSD highlighted in blue. $\mathrm{K}_{\text {rel }}$ was determined after 48 hours, and another scan at 72 hours ( $\mathrm{K}_{\text {rel }}=7.9$ ) and 96 hours ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{rel}}=7.2$ ) confirmed the system was at equilibrium.


Figure 53. Competition experiment between (E)-3 and (Z)-3 with capecitabine (16) in DMSO- $d_{6}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Protons were integrated using GSD highlighted in blue. $K_{\text {rel }}$ was determined after 24 hours, and another scan at 72 hours ( $K_{\text {rel }}=7.98$ ) confirmed the system was at equilibrium.




Figure 54. Proposed structures for the esters of the condensation of compound 3 with 8 -17. Glucose and ascorbic acid binding structures are predicted based on literature precedence.5,6

## Computational calculation details

Calculations were performed on the Northwestern University's 'Quest' computational cluster. Preliminary optimizations were performed using the restricted Hartree-Fock theory with the def2-SVP basis set, the input files were generated by Avogadro, and were then further optimized using B3LYP hybrid functional and the 6$31+G^{* *}$ basis set as implemented in Orca. ${ }^{7}$ Frequency scans, which were employed to compute the relative energy of the rotamers, were performed with a $6-31+G^{* *}$ basis set and B3LYP method. Geometry scans were performed with a $6-31+\mathrm{G}^{* *}$ basis set and B3LYP method from $0-180^{\circ}$ in $9.5^{\circ}$ intervals.


Figure 55. (top left) Geometry scan of azobenzene dihedral angle $\Phi$ from 0 to $180^{\circ}$ in $9.5^{\circ}$ intervals. (top right) Geometry scans of phenyl boronic acid ethylene glycol ester. Dihedral angle $\Phi$ (bottom right) from 0 to $180^{\circ}$ in $9.5^{\circ}$ intervals. (bottom left) Geometry scan of phenyl boronic acid from dihedral angle $\Phi$ (bottom right) from 0 to $180^{\circ}$ in $9.5^{\circ}$ intervals. The optimized structures are depicted for the compounds at $0^{\circ}$ and $85^{\circ}$.
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Figure 56. Geometry-optimized structures of unbound (E)-1 through (E)-3.


Figure 57. Geometry-optimized rotamers of (E)-1 through (E)-3 bound with EG. The most stable rotamers (rotamer 1) are the left structures in each box, and the differences in energy between the rotamers are reported below $(\Delta \mathrm{G})$. The less stable isomers ("rotamer 2", shown on the right side of each box) follow a similar trend to Figure 6, with the smaller conformation changes upon binding occurring for compound 1 and the largest changes for compound 3 .


Figure 58. Geometry-optimized rotamers and relative energies of $(Z)-1$ through $(Z)-3$.


Figure 59. Geometry-optimized rotamers and relative energies of unbound (E)-5.


| $\Phi\left(\mathrm{Ar}^{1}\right)$ | $0.1^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\Phi\left(\mathrm{Ar}^{2}\right)$ | $0.6^{\circ}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ rel | $0.7^{\circ}$ |
| $(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ |


$18.0^{\circ}$
$14.2^{\circ}$
79.
$3.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

$12.5^{\circ}$
$17.0^{\circ}$
$70.5^{\circ}$
$2.4 \mathrm{kca} / \mathrm{mol}$

${ }^{20.60^{\circ}} 15$
$0.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

Figure 60. Geometry-optimized rotamers and relative energies of bound $(E)-\mathbf{5}$.




Figure 61. Geometry optimized structures of (E)-6 and (Z)-6.

$\begin{array}{rr}\boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(\mathrm{Ar}^{1}\right) & 1.1^{\circ} \\ \boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(\mathrm{Ar}^{2}\right) & 33.9^{\circ} \\ \boldsymbol{\psi} & 40.4^{\circ}\end{array}$

$27.9{ }^{\circ}$
$7.8^{\circ}$
8.

Figure 62. Geometry optimized rotamers of (E)-7 showing the absence of any intramolecular hydrogen bond.


Figure 63. Geometry optimized structures of unbound and bound (E)-6'.

## Computing $\Delta \mathbf{G}^{\mathbf{o}}$ of esterification for $\boldsymbol{E}$ isomers

To calculate the $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ of esterification for compounds $(E)-\mathbf{1}$ through $(E) \mathbf{- 3}$, geometry optimization and frequency calculations for the boronic acids and esters, as well as ethylene glycol and water, were computed with the DMSO COSMO solvent model in Orca. $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ was calculated using the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{G}=\left(\mathrm{G}_{\text {boronic ester }}+2 \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}}\right)-\left(\mathrm{G}_{\text {boronic acid }}+\mathrm{G}_{\text {diol }}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

|  | energy in hartree |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | boronic acid | ethylene glycol | boronic ester | water |  | $\Delta G$ (hartree) $\Delta G \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ |
| (E)-1 | -787.5007865 | -230.1054329 | -864.7994395 | -76.4062 |  | -0.005571 |
| (E)-2 | -946.6590306 | -230.1054329 | -1023.958601 | -76.4062 | -3.4958025 |  |
| (E)-3 | -977.108483 | -230.1054329 | -1054.404117 | -76.4062 |  | -0.006488 |
| (E)-6' | -1055.639417 | -230.1054329 | -1132.935536 | -76.4062 | -0.0025518 | -1.60124195 |

Table 3. Calculated energies of $(E)-1$ through $(E)-\mathbf{3}$ in their boronic acid and ester form, as well as the calculated energies of ethylene glycol and water. $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ were calculated using equation 4.

## XYZ coordinates of ground state optimized geometries

(E)-1 unbound
$0-6.00104600001 .44161900004 .4030960000$
$0-4.21123800000 .48305400003 .1996130000$
N -7.39968200005 .00270900003 .6878310000
N -6.80357000003 .90966400003 .4986180000
C -11.62614200005 .28497300007 .5674580000
C -8.86946300006 .24133100005 .0961940000
C -9.8867480000 6.3383150000 6.0457850000
C -10.5160090000 5.1907000000 6.5479580000
C -10.09431300003 .93576400006 .0650170000
C -9.07412800003 .82386700005 .1282730000
C -8.44059400004 .98509100004 .6433430000

C -5.63438300004 .98731800001 .5984840000
C -4.63755800004 .93787800000 .6300550000
C -3.79444100003 .82099300000 .5464040000
C-3.9602700000 2.7575610000 1.4343570000
C-4.9586240000 2.7694920000 2.4261290000
C -5.79215200003 .91447400002 .4951060000
B -5.08325600001 .52621400003 .3972150000
H -6.54781100002 .25483600004 .3987000000
$\mathrm{H}-4.3579020000-0.22989300003 .8350510000$
H -12.55278900004 .83886500007 .1867570000
H -11.36497800004 .74753400008 .4871040000
H $-11.83767300006 .3240200000 \quad 7.8337940000$
H -8.78131600002 .84932800004 .7535390000
H $-8.3854590000 \quad 7.12872500004 .6995760000$
H -6.29974900005 .84004300001 .6753810000
H $-10.20008000007 .3181560000 \quad 6.3967090000$
H $-10.58392000003 .0348040000 \quad 6.4273730000$
H $-4.51778100005 .7656790000-0.0645800000$
H -3.30854800001 .89128700001 .3675320000
H $-3.01680700003 .7812870000-0.2122120000$

## (E)-1 bound rotamer 1

$0-0.30296800003 .92734000001 .3293140000$
$00.16517300003 .5614400000-0.8922940000$
$N-2.56716600005 .2277670000-0.2136110000$
$\mathrm{N}-3.59287600004 .53247300000 .0027560000$
C $-2.987466000010 .9589840000-0.1017030000$
C $1.34261700004 .1801630000-0.3433630000$
C 0.91809600004 .64010400001 .0718410000
C $-1.76185700008 .8008030000-0.6158130000$
C $-1.67840400007 .4100360000-0.6293770000$
C $-2.9061260000 \quad 9.4502770000-0.1237590000$
C-3.9669560000 8.6574710000 0.3504190000
C -3.89968600007 .26672700000 .3355900000
C-2.7480190000 6.6317710000-0.1596760000

C $-3.35951100003 .1348850000-0.0191960000$
C -2.0638170000 2.5678900000 -0.0058540000
C $-1.97579000001 .1712020000-0.0695970000$
C -3.1192610000 0.3623470000 -0.1267360000
C $-4.38983300000 .9444520000-0.1176750000$
C $-4.50896800002 .3329900000-0.0598040000$
B -0.76157500003 .43743600000 .1224190000
н $-0.79773200006 .9050080000-1.0153700000$
H -4.72037400006 .66227800000 .7057750000
н -2.195520000011 .39067900000 .5224850000
H $-2.869345000011 .3776070000-1.1084340000$
н -3.948268000011 .30236300000 .2930180000
н $-0.92932400009 .3915890000-0.9913520000$
H -4.85889600009 .14293500000 .7403990000
н $-3.0143460000-0.7187750000-0.1716010000$
н $-5.27954700000 .3223430000-0.1570970000$
H $-0.99656100000 .6977000000-0.0682570000$
н $-5.48018400002 .8191680000-0.0568320000$
H $1.65021700005 .0089880000-0.9890640000$
H $2.14885900003 .4369760000-0.3182300000$
н 0.71407300005 .71702800001 .1150220000
H 1.65071400004 .38781400001 .8445850000

## (E)-1 bound rotamer 2

$0-2.51239900002 .2349240000-0.2084720000$
$0-1.70955700004 .3807760000-0.2713990000$
N $2.28601400005 .1061920000-0.0847050000$
N $1.18359800004 .4989050000-0.1017240000$
C $2.195101000010 .8529810000-0.1528350000$
C $-3.67866500003 .0737000000-0.1777960000$
C $-3.13427800004 .4961450000-0.4430180000$
C $3.39238900007 .2274890000-0.0759530000$
C $3.39311700008 .6211160000-0.0903430000$
C $2.18874400009 .3419300000-0.1331300000$
C $0.98175200008 .6188260000-0.1605510000$

C $0.96523400007 .2269740000-0.1461260000$
C $2.18067000006 .5202630000-0.1030060000$
C $1.26125900003 .0817310000-0.0826140000$
C $2.48273500002 .3851760000-0.0205880000$
C 2.49375200000 .99482600000 .0018380000
C $1.28688700000 .2829630000-0.0356460000$
C $0.07768800000 .9750390000-0.0959080000$
C $0.02882400002 .3842590000-0.1224850000$
B $-1.38996400003 .0449200000-0.1999480000$
H 2.721981000011 .26025000000 .7187870000
н $2.705898000011 .2363460000-1.0450440000$
н $1.178966000011 .2573600000-0.1499090000$
н -4.15090000002 .98177400000 .8077750000
н $-4.38596100002 .7343560000-0.9404860000$
H -3.51935100005 .24118600000 .2594980000
н $-3.33508000004 .8376700000-1.4653320000$
н $-0.85608000000 .4215980000-0.1235590000$
н 3.44000400000 .46159000000 .0506350000
H $1.2924520000-0.8039540000-0.0164650000$
н 3.40508100002 .95437500000 .0107430000
н $0.03450000006 .6689900000-0.1681470000$
н 0.0399290000 9.1626620000 $\mathbf{- 0 . 1 9 4 1 7 7 0 0 0 0}$
H $4.33928000009 .1577510000-0.0676670000$
H $4.32117000006 .6649900000-0.0427130000$

## ( $E$ )-2 unbound

F 1.3736400000 8.541558000000.3027720000
F -3.3702760000 8.8567290000 0.1149910000
$0-4.73872000006 .2742120000-0.2651310000$
$0-5.47341400004 .0512600000-0.4908650000$
N -0.90234400007 .18401400000 .1199340000
N -1.9716090000 6.5249990000 -0.0054020000
C 0.23837400009 .26634500000 .2964590000
C 0.344864000010 .64674900000 .3858590000
C -0.824378000011 .41004300000 .3809680000

C -2.0742920000 10.7918910000 0.2882040000
C -2.14122300009 .40905300000 .1988040000
C -0.99491800008 .58081000000 .1981660000
C -1.8024110000 5.1138460000 -0.0774820000
C -0.53480300004 .50425200000 .0011860000
C $-0.42745800003 .1211270000-0.0778780000$
C $-1.57864100002 .3358910000-0.2354960000$
C $-2.83141000002 .9449560000-0.3120060000$
C $-2.98194400004 .3423930000-0.2351470000$
B $-4.44312900004 .9478250000-0.3305560000$
H -0.763387000012 .49174600000 .4509880000
н $-3.93245900006 .8117990000-0.1510820000$
H $-6.32707200004 .5013210000-0.5413260000$
H -2.997632000011 .36098500000 .2842150000
H 1.327878000011 .09888200000 .4574320000
н $-1.49463600001 .2538770000-0.2986570000$
н $-3.72135000002 .3354710000-0.4342410000$
н 0.34371000005 .12733600000 .1223900000
н $0.55047100002 .6506980000-0.0169690000$

## ( $E$ )-2 bound rotamer 1

F -1.5375010000 6.1672990000 -0.9959340000
F 2.58141600005 .64739700001 .3159090000
02.85366400002 .27821500000 .9738530000
$02.53954600002 .7333520000-1.2584030000$
N 0.46313700004 .39486000000 .2283150000
N -0.67489900003 .86612400000 .1178100000
C $3.86776500003 .1995780000-0.9606360000$
C 4.12805700002 .75161600000 .5014530000
C 1.63084800006 .42888800000 .7559110000
C 1.82055200007 .80290700000 .7704940000
C 0.84778700008 .61692200000 .1850770000
C $-0.28707400008 .0525550000-0.4023400000$
C $-0.44959000006 .6726690000-0.3850990000$

C 0.49608500005 .80272100000 .2029910000
C $-0.8755760000-0.32201500000 .0656650000$
C 0.38522100000 .28965200000 .0130130000
C 0.53000500001 .68251000000 .0144200000
C -0.65624200002 .45124300000 .0859410000
C -1.92217600001 .84813600000 .1303280000
C -2.03336200000 .45831700000 .1224230000
B $1.96519800002 .3196890000-0.0752720000$
H $3.89185000004 .2896990000-1.0703110000$
H $4.56737400002 .7560460000-1.6759520000$
H 4.46220200003 .57329300001 .1414180000
H 4.85082600001 .92998800000 .5685410000
н $1.2716620000-0.3386660000-0.0337190000$
н $-3.0121010000-0.01178100000 .1606740000$
н $-0.9494710000-1.40674200000 .0583000000$
H -2.79778000002 .48875000000 .1754380000
H 0.97643500009 .69513900000 .1820800000
H $-1.04867600008 .6613530000-0.8779400000$
H 2.71311800008 .21119600001 .2316820000

## ( $E$ )-2 bound rotamer 2

F 0.14068600006 .58574800001 .4009430000
F $4.01660700006 .5267000000-1.3457530000$
$0-2.55531400002 .34586800000 .1612250000$
$0-1.65876600004 .0514420000-1.0840490000$
N $2.15195400005 .0505640000-0.1028120000$
N 1.07208800004 .42367600000 .0603130000
C $-3.68489600003 .0725420000-0.3599650000$
C $-3.06717800004 .3361820000-1.0053780000$
C $3.07585700007 .1975320000-0.6485380000$
C $3.14826500008 .5828670000-0.6114730000$
C 2.17797200009 .28308600000 .1097160000
C 1.15989400008 .59845700000 .7784090000
C 1.11123600007 .21149900000 .7065780000
C $2.05993900006 .4539720000-0.0146300000$

C 1.19799400003 .00838100000 .0361790000
C 2.43098000002 .35350000000 .2050900000
C 2.47846100000 .96301900000 .2389730000
C 1.29813900000 .21781000000 .1167990000
C $0.07557100000 .8730750000-0.0436620000$
C $-0.00556600002 .2771140000-0.0945110000$
B $-1.40729000002 .9337020000-0.3292130000$
H -4.37187000003 .30073400000 .4600010000
H $-4.20160300002 .4375170000-1.0894170000$
H -3.2061490000 5.2318510000-0.3892540000
H $-3.44872700004 .5346900000-2.0109560000$
H $-0.83753600000 .2905410000-0.1284580000$
H 3.43111400000 .45763000000 .3749810000
H $1.3319510000-0.86800000000 .1562880000$
H 3.33012300002 .94884300000 .3208470000
H 0.40587700009 .11961500001 .3587510000
H $2.217699000010 .3673810000 \quad 0.1556100000$
H $3.9509490000 \quad 9.0874640000-1.1379040000$

## (E)-3 unbound

$00.7843370000-0.70603100007 .4753550000$
$01.2757030000-0.50361000005 .1758660000$
$0-2.7922900000-0.28218000000 .1865920000$
$01.4481050000-0.27277600002 .3057910000$
$N-0.9065950000-0.47725100003 .5383660000$
$N-1.4630670000-0.41061500002 .4060810000$
C $2.8757410000-0.21448500002 .2666820000$
C $-3.6110840000-0.2255180000-0.9767480000$
C $-1.2089920000-0.68670900005 .9234990000$
C $-1.8025020000-0.61351800004 .6383300000$
C $-3.1997580000-0.67490800004 .4771290000$
C $-4.0192160000-0.80968600005 .5924480000$
C-3.4562660000-0.8830300000 6.8750300000
C $-2.0711520000-0.82135700007 .0284670000$
C $1.3552200000-0.0846830000-0.0991620000$

C $0.5750980000-0.0258910000-1.2525660000$
C $-0.8164130000-0.0888820000-1.1972560000$
C $-1.4465970000-0.21467900000 .0465480000$
C $-0.6841680000-0.28042000001 .2564280000$
C $0.7397870000-0.21088300001 .1544340000$
B $0.3527310000-0.62656800006 .1698530000$
H $1.06466000000 .0724040000-2.2177110000$
н $3.2874940000-1.05354000001 .6933630000$
H 3.21859600000 .73502700001 .8385670000
н $3.1888880000-0.28670700003 .3081040000$
н $-3.48020300000 .7226190000-1.5138530000$
н $-3.4043660000-1.0642700000-1.6533360000$
н $-4.6373940000-0.2968890000-0.6135270000$
H $1.7476100000-0.65921700007 .5316930000$
H $0.8234980000-0.45662900004 .3020940000$
н $-3.6136520000-0.61532200003 .4767250000$
н $-5.0984100000-0.85842700005 .4671260000$
н $-4.0973000000-0.98827500007 .7464210000$
н $-1.6346640000-0.87871100008 .0212660000$
н $2.4335240000-0.0316640000-0.1800980000$
н $-1.3934130000-0.0396760000-2.1116290000$

## ( $E$ )-3 bound rotamer 1

$0-4.70427300006 .43128700000 .7476270000$
$0-0.56897800006 .7021490000-1.5002980000$
$0-1.12439800004 .01901100001 .8908390000$
00.34538700003 .52587400000 .1912320000

N -2.4555830000 5.1938560000 -0.4668000000
N -3.4759530000 4.4887110000 -0.6783730000
C 1.11935700004 .21178100001 .1899560000
C 0.07164300004 .75655300002 .1884550000
C $0.51769200007 .4287610000-2.0606190000$
C -5.73062800007 .01131700001 .5441450000
C $-1.63144300008 .7838540000-0.8221370000$
C $-1.58534100007 .3871460000-0.9123690000$

C -2.7262190000 9.3872460000 -0.2013310000
C -3.77055900008 .63115900000 .3287280000
C -3.74291200007 .23094100000 .2250910000
C $-2.64382100006 .5892180000-0.4062240000$
C $-3.21562600003 .0945770000-0.6252070000$
C $-2.04029600002 .5529870000-0.0554780000$
C $-1.88340900001 .1616230000-0.1116420000$
C $-2.85938400000 .3335180000-0.6825590000$
C $-4.02580000000 .8904280000-1.2157570000$
C $-4.20577900002 .2734300000-1.1819210000$
В -0.95709100003 .45119000000 .6406240000
н $-0.83615900009 .3958460000-1.2287050000$
н $-2.765812000010 .4709810000-0.1287740000$
H -4.60080500009 .12984200000 .8129870000
H $-2.7101210000-0.7431830000-0.7031760000$
н $-4.78800700000 .2522180000-1.6543660000$
H -0.98638500000 .70962800000 .3052680000
н $-5.09642200002 .7408430000-1.5916220000$
H 1.70474700005 .00367100000 .7125320000
H 1.80678700003 .49399100001 .6544850000
н -0.12536100005 .82535100002 .0370040000
H 0.34543700004 .59061400003 .2351720000
H $1.04180400008 .0216080000-1.2995070000$
H $1.19464600006 .6744850000-2.4648040000$
н $0.18131800008 .0893030000-2.8701150000$
H -6.36852400007 .67844200000 .9506190000
H -6.32541000006 .17199100001 .9072030000
н -5.31400000007 .56159400002 .3975490000

## (E)-3 bound rotamer 2

$03.34505100004 .6932760000-0.3472940000$
$02.15951900005 .0002000000-2.2890320000$
$0-2.59048700008 .8715540000-0.6259900000$
01.85440500008 .33634100000 .8704960000
$\mathrm{N}-0.70457100007 .25896300000 .2314730000$

N $0.19550200006 .4236750000-0.0430970000$
C $4.32081200005 .0685830000-1.3386890000$
C $3.48303100005 .4897160000-2.5697540000$
C $-3.71091000009 .6745010000-0.9760700000$
C 3.03867900008 .87073800001 .4500060000
C 0.88957900009 .18779300000 .4370230000
C 1.070504000010 .57853300000 .3781870000
C $0.021828000011 .3975190000-0.0379090000$
C $-1.222694000010 .8683340000-0.3820660000$
C -1.4194210000 9.4835980000-0.3074560000
C -0.36541600008 .61842700000 .0881400000
C -0.15487800005 .06349400000 .1880800000
C $0.81258400004 .1350740000-0.2453510000$
C $0.56559000002 .7705910000-0.0248530000$
C -0.61061900002 .34505600000 .5983810000
C -1.56204800003 .28544300001 .0196000000
C -1.33781800004 .64476000000 .8211160000
B $2.11303600004 .6460770000-0.9609130000$
H $4.96223700004 .2028230000-1.5420250000$
H $4.93959800005 .8801800000-0.9437650000$
н $3.83916900005 .0458730000-3.5037650000$
н $3.43282300006 .5781110000-2.6884960000$
н -2.05302700005 .39154100001 .1480920000
н $-3.524802000010 .2420820000-1.8969520000$
H $-4.53161800008 .9751210000-1.1426660000$
н $-3.977854000010 .3662570000-0.1664700000$
H 2.80986700009 .53172800002 .2959730000
H 3.60379100008 .00659900001 .8028300000
H 3.63585000009 .41864200000 .7094930000
н $0.177659000012 .4715280000-0.0955560000$
н $-2.018425000011 .5282310000-0.7031730000$
H 2.020410000011 .02193700000 .6492480000
H -2.47339600002 .95246100001 .5097440000
H $1.29715100002 .0313070000-0.3418550000$

H -0.78470300001 .28469100000 .7630540000

## (Z)-1 unbound

$0-8.25812600001 .34041900001 .3224050000$
$0-6.79103900002 .3456200000-0.1614520000$
$N-3.66524500000 .7699940000-1.2647260000$
N - $4.9143330000 \quad 0.7018580000-1.2918190000$
С $0.1439220000-2.84858700001 .0894230000$
C $-0.6672250000-1.3318510000-0.7725670000$
C $-0.8897670000-1.93114700000 .4791840000$
C $-2.0858790000-1.63128700001 .1496080000$
C $-3.0499540000-0.79616200000 .5848260000$
C $-1.6003540000-0.4607430000-1.3288620000$
C $-5.3888530000-1.7093450000-1.5029440000$
C $-6.2451170000-2.7881100000-1.2930070000$
C-7.3983660000-2.6233730000-0.5194050000
C $-7.6971120000-1.36695100000 .0125220000$
C $-6.8766860000-0.2431390000-0.1982060000$
C $-5.6923640000-0.4567930000-0.9461650000$
C-2.8183530000-0.2200020000-0.6736460000
B -7.30908900001 .19402500000 .3391330000
H $-6.10632500002 .1657370000-0.8329360000$
H -8.56927200000 .51529500001 .7072560000
H $0.3359260000-3.71402900000 .4439960000$
H $1.1008500000-2.33135800001 .2284790000$
H $-0.1794040000-3.22234600002 .0647110000$
H $-6.0139190000-3.7534850000-1.7343560000$
H $-8.0694530000-3.4609550000-0.3508760000$
H $-8.6211750000-1.25859100000 .5773570000$
H $-4.4955710000-1.8295880000-2.1068600000$
H $-3.9632310000-0.57869500001 .1281860000$
H $-2.2681300000-2.05804200002 .1327150000$
H $-1.40664800000 .0362020000-2.2749320000$
H $0.2601820000-1.5298430000-1.3053920000$

## ( $Z$ ) $\mathbf{- 1}$ bound

$0-8.41777700001 .32188400000 .7807870000$
$0-6.26833200002 .03409700000 .4042060000$
$N-3.78337100000 .6527090000-1.6952210000$
$N-5.0241640000 \quad 0.5404330000-1.7613570000$
C -6.91272600003 .13633500001 .0684970000
C -8.36692800002 .65443400001 .3234950000
C $-0.0617650000-2.48272800001 .3946290000$
C $-0.7900100000-1.2922020000-0.7228110000$
C $-1.0692230000-1.68631100000 .5973640000$
C $-2.2971060000-1.29884500001 .1540350000$
C $-3.2364250000-0.57424600000 .4183120000$
C $-1.7018220000-0.5362510000-1.4552480000$
C $-5.5170920000-1.8534300000-1.7928850000$
C $-6.3570240000-2.9213570000-1.4774610000$
C $-7.4459350000-2.7316220000-0.6204960000$
C-7.6898020000-1.4619200000-0.0931300000
C-6.8683190000-0.3600360000-0.3978210000
C $-5.7617030000-0.5828170000-1.2490820000$
C $-2.9498330000-0.2070970000-0.9041750000$
B -7.18213000001 .02060400000 .2502040000
H -6.86374700004 .01503000000 .4174450000
H -6.36986900003 .35335800001 .9939310000
H -9.11169000003 .27039000000 .8097460000
H -8.6192580000 2.6116650000 2.3880490000
H $0.1702390000-3.43509900000 .9031400000$
H $0.8831060000-1.93617600001 .5010310000$
H $-0.4340640000-2.70648200002 .3983200000$
H $-6.1609950000-3.9010200000-1.9051840000$
H $-8.1023840000-3.5615890000-0.3749070000$
H $-8.5396230000-1.30793000000 .5667760000$
H $-4.6801750000-1.9933790000-2.4702970000$
H $-4.1730910000-0.27703400000 .8753210000$
H $-2.5247270000-1.5685300000 \quad 2.1825050000$

н $-1.4679970000-0.1976120000-2.4603250000$
н $0.1628110000-1.5624190000-1.1727540000$

## (Z)-2 unbound

F -3.9705770000-0.2881050000 1.4562180000
F -1.5800800000 -0.1908280000 -2.6142720000
$0-8.32688700001 .26634700001 .3230200000$
$0-6.87822200002 .3569770000-0.1160860000$
$\mathrm{N}-3.65626400000 .8240810000-1.1472660000$
$\mathrm{N}-4.90228100000 .7629300000-1.1955260000$
C $-0.7484380000-1.4965430000-0.8288690000$
C $-0.8934970000-1.95190800000 .4855420000$
C -1.9847560000 -1.5497320000 1.2599960000
C $-2.9285500000-0.70093500000 .6972000000$
C $-1.7055920000-0.6336520000-1.3426080000$
C $-5.3280070000-1.6448690000-1.5096940000$
C $-6.1682960000-2.7457590000-1.3491620000$
C $-7.3477800000-2.6177820000-0.6110340000$
C $-7.6914120000-1.3786300000-0.0632980000$
C $-6.8887470000-0.2360760000-0.2223200000$
C $-5.6778450000-0.4125850000-0.9366210000$
C $-2.8369830000-0.2281050000-0.6201870000$
В -7.36308200001 .17690800000 .3493430000
н $-0.1500410000-2.61994600000 .9088710000$
н $-6.16505000002 .2224710000-0.7651580000$
H -8.60396900000 .42423300001 .6968150000
H $-5.9063700000-3.6955900000-1.8062870000$
н $-8.0073130000-3.4714830000-0.4821100000$
н $-8.6349060000-1.30144100000 .4730840000$
н $-4.4264630000-1.7313720000-2.1063100000$
н $-2.1135060000-1.87666600002 .2858530000$
H $0.0887240000-1.7930790000-1.4513130000$

## (Z)-2 bound

F $-4.0766560000 \quad 0.15184200001 .3942640000$
F -1.4001260000-0.2622920000-2.4735280000
$0-8.44404400001 .12142700000 .8466760000$
$0-6.53225700002 .26556400000 .2965570000$
$N-3.53968000000 .9768760000-1.2846350000$
$N-4.76377900000 .8867610000-1.4913920000$
C -7.29575300003 .17497100001 .1101650000
C -8.65466400002 .46214400001 .3282220000
C $-0.7419340000-1.3820080000-0.4984810000$
C $-0.9952860000-1.68716700000 .8427840000$
C $-2.1256020000-1.17423100001 .4834740000$
C $-2.9945540000-0.36341400000 .7634680000$
C $-1.6303970000-0.5551590000-1.1706810000$
C $-5.1351240000-1.4995790000-1.8625570000$
C $-5.9398260000-2.6338010000-1.7466640000$
C $-7.1187170000-2.5813190000-0.9980200000$
C $-7.4953290000-1.3832750000-0.3863390000$
C-6.7202040000-0.2146460000-0.4985800000
C $-5.5150650000-0.3053650000-1.2317880000$
C $-2.7915570000-0.0368790000-0.5834710000$
B -7.21822600001 .08230900000 .2133980000
H $-0.3085420000-2.32572200001 .3895160000$
H -7.39180500004 .12826300000 .5824530000
H -6.75281100003 .34220700002 .0467580000
H -9.46531400002 .91716900000 .7484320000
H -8.95267000002 .42049800002 .3799940000
H $-5.6459030000-3.5535900000-2.2448920000$
H $-7.7468290000-3.4628040000-0.9036560000$
H $-8.4164980000-1.33944000000 .1874900000$
H $-4.2340620000-1.5262300000-2.4670840000$
H $-2.3436060000-1.38884000002 .5239490000$
H $0.1247590000-1.7692180000-1.0229340000$

## (Z)-3 unbound

$0-6.88874000002 .2203960000-0.4012660000$
$0-8.6084770000 \quad 0.98244000000 .6313070000$
$0-4.0110670000-0.09886000001 .4034910000$
$0-1.4955610000-0.1316830000-2.5452520000$
$N-3.5546510000 \quad 0.8324230000-1.1582870000$
$N-4.7905240000 \quad 0.7130500000-1.3012110000$
C $-4.2214470000-0.40621300002 .7786450000$
C $-0.3084330000-0.3822190000-3.2914490000$
C $-0.5874900000-1.3488470000-0.6276540000$
C $-0.7809380000-1.73809400000 .6997720000$
C $-1.9152240000-1.35152600001 .4141580000$
C $-2.8898840000-0.56152000000 .7875660000$
C $-1.5558330000-0.5518210000-1.2504970000$
C $-5.0631490000-1.7293040000-1.5889260000$
C $-5.8592400000-2.8673870000-1.4623930000$
C $-7.1075930000-2.7843800000-0.8363670000$
C $-7.5715030000-1.5496650000-0.3755650000$
C-6.8120990000-0.3752880000-0.5172740000
C $-5.5260360000-0.4999150000-1.0952730000$
C $-2.7233620000-0.1700000000-0.5586520000$
B $-7.43193600001 .0116210000-0.0808170000$
H -8.93037100001 .87462000000 .8164630000
H $-6.05767300002 .1019100000-0.9051170000$
H -5.16134000000 .07783500003 .0462080000
H $-4.3114240000-1.48826000002 .9335900000$
H $-3.4122100000-0.0074510000 \quad 3.4024150000$
H $-0.1477270000-1.4580290000-3.4358050000$
H $-0.46476500000 .0936700000-4.2603290000$
H $0.56892500000 .0599460000-2.8039180000$
H $-0.0310910000-2.35490700001 .1872790000$
H $-5.5059830000-3.8164760000-1.8564340000$
H $-7.7241240000-3.6729950000-0.7301600000$
H $-8.5515100000-1.47937900000 .0867340000$

н -4.1038460000-1.7869210000 -2.0903760000
H $-2.0343400000-1.66074000002 .4450080000$
н $0.2999050000-1.6672110000-1.1608420000$

## ( $Z$ ) $\mathbf{- 3}$ bound

$0-8.46416500001 .11192200000 .8742860000$
$0-6.61401900002 .32791900000 .2747760000$
$0-3.9713780000 \quad 0.20362200001 .4618630000$
$0-1.5669090000-0.2730560000-2.5280890000$
$N-3.4828950000 \quad 0.9744570000-1.1607000000$
$N-4.7090680000 \quad 0.9149450000-1.3675920000$
C $-4.1748060000-0.02636700002 .8501190000$
C $-0.4254780000-0.6696610000-3.2797960000$
C-7.4237460000 3.2287300000 1.0486520000
C -8.74414300002 .45720800001 .2989830000
C $-0.7285020000-1.4672750000-0.5643860000$
C $-0.9240340000-1.7685420000 \quad 0.7853800000$
C $-2.0002380000-1.23946900001 .4977320000$
C $-2.9074940000-0.38777300000 .8486860000$
C $-1.6324080000-0.6127810000-1.2075610000$
C $-5.0985900000-1.4615020000-1.8025760000$
C $-5.9162820000-2.5895570000-1.7284880000$
C $-7.1136670000-2.5408710000-1.0084820000$
C $-7.4954540000-1.3493580000-0.3880880000$
C-6.7061150000-0.1856230000-0.4598280000
C $-5.4792000000-0.2748350000-1.1579250000$
C $-2.7356060000-0.0773190000-0.5148260000$
B -7.23951400001 .10855200000 .2292990000
H -5.05758800000 .55571700003 .1188170000
H $-4.3630700000-1.0877060000 \quad 3.0561450000$
H -3.31746400000 .31822000003 .4421910000
H - $0.3651660000-1.7619570000-3.3703030000$
H $-0.5580290000-0.2314210000-4.2699560000$
H $0.4995050000-0.2863690000-2.8315050000$
H $-0.2255950000-2.43020500001 .2902340000$

н -7.56938000004 .15307400000 .4817470000
н -6.89224700003 .46769700001 .9769010000
н -9.57669000002 .84670600000 .7023000000
н -9.0420030000 2.4458960000 2.3519900000
н $-5.6181150000-3.5034890000-2.2355690000$
н $-7.7522990000-3.4179130000-0.9465060000$
н $-8.4344340000-1.30521500000 .1561590000$
н $-4.1803680000-1.4845010000-2.3800630000$
H $-2.1275230000-1.48972100002 .5435930000$
н $0.1100070000-1.8966780000-1.0989840000$

## (E)-5 unbound

$01.27181000000 .0362650000-2.3682450000$
$00.8265380000-1.01911300007 .4987750000$
$01.3060960000-0.78104400005 .2017320000$
$01.4968560000-0.43251400002 .3435570000$
N - $0.8971430000-0.51096000003 .6017720000$
N -1.4524690000 -0.3792500000 2.4748160000
C $2.9242120000-0.45628400002 .2735700000$
C $0.55493600000 .1665420000-3.5938670000$
C $-1.1772260000-0.75924200005 .9841650000$
C $-1.7837180000-0.59320600004 .7135470000$
C $-3.1829110000-0.51294000004 .5822050000$
C $-3.9915560000-0.59865300005 .7105450000$
C $-3.4152590000-0.76408900006 .9781240000$
C $-2.0280650000-0.8422520000 \quad 7.1028650000$
C $1.3581310000-0.1926610000-0.0497730000$
C $0.5716970000-0.0627350000-1.2065610000$
C $-0.8275940000-0.0423450000-1.1168850000$
C $-1.4063680000-0.15362200000 .1430830000$
C $-0.6586600000-0.28639600001 .3259550000$
C $0.7705250000-0.30509900001 .2099240000$
B $0.3870830000-0.85379300006 .2039820000$
H 3.31199900000 .47944400001 .8535470000
H $3.2556230000-0.56657200003 .3059740000$

н $3.2735140000-1.30698500001 .6761800000$
н $-2.4867210000-0.14008300000 .2517610000$
н $0.8554590000-0.66312100004 .3351210000$
н $1.7905650000-1.06759000007 .5395660000$
н $-0.0905920000-0.7027660000-3.7702120000$
н $-0.04783900001 .0828250000-3.6053910000$
H $1.31424000000 .2206680000-4.3748570000$
н $-3.6122350000-0.38334200003 .5953360000$
H $-5.0722000000-0.53563300005 .6064700000$
н $-4.0470180000-0.8305810000 \quad 7.8600120000$
н $-1.5805670000-0.97033400008 .0839870000$
н $2.4336190000-0.2027910000-0.1752060000$
н $-1.45455500000 .0579230000-1.9936970000$

## ( $E$ ) -5 bound (most stable rotamer)

$00.122137000012 .6606530000-0.3143930000$
$03.34035800003 .6250170000-0.6607050000$
$02.87606200005 .8696090000-0.6435740000$
$0-2.96068400008 .93567800000 .1746330000$
N - 0. 9392610000 7.1909520000 -0.0811040000
N $0.06029800006 .4300390000-0.2107870000$
C $-0.913113000013 .6287830000-0.1968780000$
C $4.61812500004 .2753620000-0.7434720000$
C $4.27428400005 .7651890000-0.9679390000$
C -4.07894800009 .80130500000 .3155530000
C $0.65416600009 .0832170000-0.3218040000$
C $0.887457000010 .4454950000-0.3757540000$
C $-0.193682000011 .3366470000-0.2455180000$
C $-1.496131000010 .8559230000-0.0594850000$
C $-1.72559900009 .4700680000-0.0039630000$
C $-0.64378300008 .5634330000-0.1377660000$
C $-0.22658400005 .0422100000-0.1580210000$
C $0.87423300004 .1626580000-0.3103900000$
C $0.61455600002 .7770860000-0.2572660000$
C $-0.67279400002 .2770300000-0.0642530000$

C -1.74634900003 .16637500000 .0833380000
C -1.52725500004 .53867300000 .0377410000
B $2.36076500004 .5973950000-0.5376170000$
н $-1.656008000013 .5191170000-0.9976730000$
н $-1.411433000013 .5652080000 \quad 0.7791380000$
н $-0.423406000014 .5993460000-0.2887630000$
н $1.46712200008 .3714070000-0.4219530000$
H $5.19373600003 .8370460000-1.5645620000$
H 5.15972900004 .10711400000 .1955910000
H $4.41408400006 .0742700000-2.0105940000$
H $4.84314500006 .4397260000-0.3214360000$
H -2.34360600005 .24298700000 .1513690000
H $-4.225856000010 .4190570000-0.5804470000$
H -4.94180100009 .14668500000 .4466160000
H -3.977919000010 .44889900001 .1962850000
н -2.322803000011 .54395500000 .0409490000
H $1.884687000010 .8486530000-0.5190740000$
H -2.75312600002 .78482600000 .2357550000
H $1.44587100002 .0877920000-0.3708530000$
н $-0.84177100001 .2037340000-0.0281710000$

## (E)-6 unbound

00.70361400000 .95428700005 .1037820000
00.68552000000 .78809700007 .4578200000

N -1.1582950000 -0.6022750000 3.8276320000
N -1.8614050000 -0.8426970000 2.8122880000
C $2.1385310000-2.04543400001 .2950460000$
C -4.79089100000 .61978800000 .0635200000
C $-1.0304120000-0.61315900006 .2436180000$
C $-1.6642230000-1.09155800005 .0701850000$
C $-2.7210350000-2.01673100005 .1344080000$
C -3.1705780000 -2.4666210000 6.3717850000
C $-2.5694090000-1.99935000007 .5483000000$
C $-1.5154670000-1.08760000007 .4765240000$
C $0.3589840000-0.1528810000-0.1183180000$

C $-0.57901500000 .4118970000-0.9801820000$
C $-1.91388400000 .5335870000-0.5873500000$
C -3.76935200000 .23254100001 .1386130000
C -2.32577400000 .10615500000 .6764580000
C $-1.3456680000-0.41899200001 .5643350000$
C $0.0114730000-0.58148000001 .1714230000$
C $1.0585690000-1.25411600002 .0505840000$
B 0.16849000000 .42112000006 .2390230000
H 0.23338400000 .58514900004 .3268060000
H $2.7997870000-1.40025700000 .7072980000$
H $2.7685650000-2.58278300002 .0121020000$
H $1.6957120000-2.78269200000 .6156240000$
H -5.79707100000 .62855400000 .4957740000
н $-4.60390600001 .6195970000-0.3442190000$
Н $-4.7936740000-0.0906860000-0.7712830000$
H 1.41074400001 .41939500007 .3610720000
н $-3.1674850000-2.37200900004 .2126060000$
н $-3.9854240000-3.18428600006 .4227990000$
н $-2.9189170000-2.35217700008 .5147200000$
н $-1.0481420000-0.72953900008 .3885980000$
н $1.3820660000-0.2700730000-0.4590370000$
н $-0.27261500000 .7441900000-1.9682900000$
н $-2.63489500000 .9593300000-1.2762780000$
Н -3.81588300000 .96566800001 .9553010000
н $-4.0636890000-0.71744400001 .6001800000$
H $1.5501320000-0.50041900002 .6792480000$
H $0.5590190000-1.92739800002 .7533720000$
$0-2.9585220000-2.78826300003 .6474900000$
○ $-4.9277770000-1.60204100003 .7156810000$
N -1.32157800000 .22550700003 .8378330000
N -2.0840550000 -0.0699540000 2.8824740000
C $-5.1833630000-2.73903800002 .8686470000$
C $-3.7888190000-3.35717600002 .6199510000$
C $1.8257480000-1.92633700001 .6875190000$

C $-4.83375600001 .2573240000-0.1048880000$
C -3.0407290000 -0.7990160000 5.3101350000
C -1.91813800000 .03754300005 .1138800000
C -1.33100900000 .74027200006 .1738110000
C -1.88716000000 .66017500007 .4512110000
C $-3.0196910000-0.13173300007 .6634080000$
C $-3.5833130000-0.85166700006 .6014770000$
C $0.1232800000-0.3569430000-0.1212200000$
C $-0.74149000000 .1594890000-1.0862510000$
C $-2.02100600000 .5885740000-0.7297100000$
C -3.82958700000 .99757000001 .0247480000
C -2.45323500000 .51099500000 .5973360000
C -1.54780000000 .01303400001 .5726710000
C $-0.2464060000-0.44193700001 .2285500000$

## (E)-6 bound

C $0.7027610000-1.04641700002 .2539310000$
B $-3.6229760000-1.68808000004 .1561710000$
н $-5.6736590000-2.40280900001 .9503290000$
н $-5.8569030000-3.42380400003 .3984840000$
н $-3.3807490000-3.07755300001 .6411330000$
н $-3.7775890000-4.44745700002 .7121610000$
H -3.70658600001 .91988500001 .6100450000
H -4.25107300000 .27700700001 .7347340000
H $1.1425490000-0.23983600002 .8516270000$
н $0.1171040000-1.63673600002 .9674830000$
H $-0.41884700000 .2193470000-2.1226310000$
H $1.4336180000-2.73396600001 .0585020000$
H $2.5459760000-1.35434500001 .0923670000$
H $2.3808310000-2.38486300002 .5126840000$
H $-4.51800900002 .0734380000-0.7645180000$
н $-4.98854500000 .3654030000-0.7241300000$
н -5.80264900001 .53919800000 .3199060000
н -0.45785300001 .35412800005 .9727730000
H -1.44226600001 .21206000008 .2742700000

н $-3.4592730000-0.19865500008 .6550610000$
н $-4.4545790000-1.47477600006 .7888400000$
H $1.1026640000-0.7060310000-0.4283210000$
н $-2.68330000000 .9825040000-1.4929200000$

## (Z)-6 unbound

$0-7.16558300002 .0332840000-0.8147220000$
$0-9.02358900000 .7851470000-0.0691680000$
$\mathrm{N}-3.71628500000 .7164310000-1.0558730000$
N $-4.93314000000 .5430000000-1.2835240000$
C $-3.8880050000-0.23688900003 .2518570000$
C $-0.3566830000-0.4613160000-3.4776000000$
C $-4.0703130000-0.09017300001 .7361100000$
C $-1.6598070000-0.1620110000-2.7288960000$
C $-0.7848400000-1.5113590000-0.7309890000$
C $-0.8904450000-1.92185700000 .5998940000$
C $-1.9459950000-1.46933100001 .3920240000$
C $-2.9396720000-0.62818000000 .8725520000$
C $-1.7384600000-0.6574220000-1.2946990000$
C $-5.0997530000-1.9463840000-1.4779890000$
C $-5.8955750000-3.0893210000-1.4157140000$
C $-7.2315730000-2.9944870000-1.0118860000$
C $-7.7784980000-1.7455280000-0.7095300000$
C $-7.0191980000-0.5655290000-0.7988420000$
C $-5.6505420000-0.6953410000-1.1501490000$
C $-2.8336920000-0.2681880000-0.4903620000$
B $-7.73674100000 .8254970000-0.5492700000$
н $-1.83914900000 .9214840000-2.7198050000$
н $-2.5008470000-0.5806210000-3.3014570000$
H -4.21118500000 .97224600001 .5002960000
н $-5.0095940000-0.58013800001 .4481930000$
H -9.39499600001 .67200400000 .0274830000
н $-6.25564000001 .9034170000-1.1549830000$
H -4.72329300000 .24329700003 .7717900000
н $-3.8702470000-1.28617200003 .5660490000$

н -2.96214400000 .23643900003 .5970570000
н $-0.1879370000-1.5373100000-3.5978860000$
н $-0.3935090000-0.0216790000-4.4792070000$
н $0.5114770000-0.0383080000-2.9603250000$
н $-0.1368130000-2.57883100001 .0260250000$
н $-5.4698180000-4.0532070000-1.6807560000$
H $-7.8464710000-3.8883530000-0.9509000000$
H $-8.8209470000-1.6682760000-0.4165440000$
H $-4.0677210000-2.0267300000-1.7945480000$
н $-1.9922930000-1.77031800002 .4332750000$
H $0.0554780000-1.8506280000-1.3279010000$

## ( $Z$ )-6 bound

- $-6.94062600001 .6781840000-0.3268860000$
$0-8.94055600000 .6249520000-0.7185880000$
N $-3.61690300000 .4983340000-1.4902400000$
N -4.8113970000 0.2862780000 -1.7777750000
C $-3.0299750000-0.52419200000 .7039920000$
C $-9.28648900001 .9277920000-0.2115100000$
C $-7.94619100002 .6996220000-0.1860660000$
C -4.10420200000 .39148300002 .8723000000
C $0.0076290000-0.8761750000-3.3254470000$
C -4.25212100000 .08215000001 .3753610000
C $-1.3827760000-0.5414140000-2.7752730000$
C $-0.7030070000-1.5595480000-0.5248060000$
C $-0.9372950000-1.77211900000 .8362140000$
C $-2.0775860000-1.24479600001 .4400360000$
C $-1.6101270000-0.8325400000-1.3008920000$
C $-4.9579820000-2.1821650000-1.8179620000$
C $-5.7292260000-3.3398430000-1.7103840000$
C $-7.0530270000-3.2634100000-1.2683240000$
C $-7.6030510000-2.0182640000-0.9549980000$
C $-6.8586580000-0.8296600000-1.0676700000$
C $-5.5093890000-0.9349880000-1.4853840000$
C $-2.7945410000-0.3649230000-0.6810160000$

B $-7.56449100000 .5174240000-0.7137510000$

## (E)-7 rotamer 1

F 1.21357900008 .95964900001 .3139750000
F-3.1737780000 9.0386390000-0.5003450000
$02.16291500004 .6731470000-0.2864440000$
$00.89317600006 .0202980000-1.8460440000$
C 0.10164400009 .63272100000 .9057360000
C 0.118445000011 .01568500001 .0078990000
C -1.008117000011 .72899200000 .5906610000
C $-2.115870000011 .0462520000 \quad 0.0818550000$
C-2.0741910000 9.6615400000 0.0015530000
C -0.97776100008 .87465300000 .4058930000
C -0.87589300007 .41652600000 .3539340000
C $-1.78434300006 .5268960000-0.1023630000$
C $-1.59574400005 .0659400000-0.0982510000$
C-2.7375240000 4.2827150000 0.1645520000
C -2.65640400002 .89812600000 .3021670000
C -1.41797900002 .26346200000 .1773280000
C $-0.28441300003 .0251590000-0.1171660000$
C $-0.34319900004 .4214370000-0.2920630000$
B $0.96992100005 .1064290000-0.8230410000$
H 0.05867500007 .03375700000 .7519680000
H $-2.75527300006 .8719840000-0.4415060000$
H $2.96465900005 .0320900000-0.6851460000$
H 1.7262020000 6.4095810000 -2.1366440000

## (E)-7 rotamer 2

F 1.19376700008 .67682200001 .3792800000
F-2.9235480000 9.1330900000-0.9412290000
$0-4.72890500006 .0621960000 \quad 0.2770270000$
$0-5.4702870000 \quad 3.7948730000-0.0749730000$
C 0.21094900009 .44301700000 .8312750000
C 0.353503000010 .82018100000 .9130370000
C -0.641173000011 .62790400000 .3565710000
C-1.7463200000 11.0422500000-0.2661710000

C $-1.83559000009 .6581430000-0.3180860000$
C -0.87558800008 .78007700000 .2229180000
C -0.90283500007 .31693100000 .1872520000
C $-1.90870000006 .5154590000-0.2349760000$
C -1.8279800000 5.0428700000 -0.2702630000
C $-0.57137200004 .4223210000-0.4330120000$
C $-0.43874600003 .0370070000-0.4742550000$
C $-1.57268100002 .2262350000-0.3698720000$
C $-2.82495600002 .8232190000-0.2298490000$
C $-2.99215900004 .2230190000-0.1767660000$
В -4.46512600004 .73662400000 .0160080000
H 0.00088300006 .85986700000 .5776390000
н $-2.84591600006 .9525960000-0.5476420000$
H -0.555832000012 .70906000000 .4072800000
H -5.65798400006 .30499800000 .3665040000
н -6.36659100004 .11128000000 .0842070000
н $-2.535476000011 .6370450000-0.7134360000$
H 1.226905000011 .23612600001 .4034010000
н $-1.48269000001 .1436470000-0.4079570000$
н $-3.70725700002 .1948630000-0.1572470000$
н $0.31215800005 .0402680000-0.5605510000$
н $0.54485600002 .5926040000-0.6045510000$

## (E)-6' unbound

O 0.23841500001 .06553300007 .3455050000
$00.87068900000 .8951660000 \quad 5.0738150000$ $0-2.7688300000-0.05253400000 .1703140000$ $01.4713360000-0.50718800002 .2504660000$ $\mathrm{N}-0.8959310000-0.39402400003 .4853600000$ $\mathrm{N}-1.4193410000-0.55184500002 .3448420000$ C $3.3918450000-1.19268000003 .5117360000$ C $-5.05073500000 .0094780000-0.5373420000$ C $2.8997950000-0.73189000002 .1521750000$
C -3.6078920000 $0.1254140000-0.9933080000$
C $-1.2972360000-0.29766900005 .8631860000$
C $-1.7118820000-0.76811400004 .5900120000$
C $-2.8519800000-1.58090200004 .4513950000$
C $-3.6040520000-1.91919700005 .5741980000$
C $-3.2258290000-1.45188100006 .8411200000$
C $-2.0872460000-0.65329700006 .9732190000$
C $1.3878500000-0.0153800000-0.1158830000$
C $0.60833400000 .2133020000-1.2502640000$
C $-0.78636800000 .2052990000-1.2005380000$
C -1.4224030000 -0.0357840000 0.0226920000
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -0.6590310000 & -0.2563370000 & 1.2131940000 \\ \text { C } & 0.7700850000 & -0.2455400000 & 1.1218520000 \\ \text { B } & -0.0074700000 & 0.5913940000 & 6.0760880000 \\ \text { H } & 3.1886320000 & -0.4400660000 & 4.2791060000 \\ \text { H } & 2.9108640000 & -2.1318920000 & 3.8033730000 \\ \text { H } & 4.4731660000 & -1.3589530000 & 3.4616560000 \\ \text { H } & -5.2479160000 & -0.9777920000 & -0.1067520000 \\ \text { H } & -5.2885730000 & 0.7741430000 & 0.2094490000 \\ \text { H } & -5.7133990000 & 0.1485730000 & -1.3978320000 \\ \text { H } & 1.1023580000 & 0.4037470000 & -2.1990730000 \\ \text { H } & 3.0881640000 & -1.4915910000 & 1.3851820000 \\ \text { H } & 3.3861230000 & 0.2029750000 & 1.8505600000 \\ \text { H } & -3.4095300000 & 1.1115990000 & -1.4302660000 \\ \text { H } & -3.3630370000 & -0.6441880000 & -1.7346260000 \\ \text { H } & 1.0558380000 & 1.5831750000 & 7.3920210000 \\ \text { H } & 0.5657940000 & 0.4742890000 & 4.2338850000 \\ \text { H } & -3.1282860000 & -1.9445290000 & 3.4680510000 \\ \text { H } & -4.4815720000 & -2.5512530000 & 5.4655480000 \\ \text { H } & -3.8111470000 & -1.7168350000 & 7.7172780000 \\ \text { H } & -1.7974190000 & -0.2993550000 & 7.9582200000 \\ \text { H } & 2.4670390000 & -0.0081960000 & -0.2022770000 \\ \text { H } & -1.3584940000 & 0.3893040000 & -2.1003070000 \\ \text { (E)-6' bound } & & \\ \text { (E) }\end{array}$
$0-4.74794900006 .48867100000 .6762110000$
○ $-0.58050100006 .7417680000-1.5467580000$
$0-1.17631800004 .11920300001 .8641580000$
00.31568600003 .58116800000 .1978070000 $\mathrm{N}-2.48940600005 .2400920000-0.5451570000$
N -3.5175720000 4.5229410000 -0.6946920000
C 1.08697400004 .28002900001 .2067060000
C 0.02817900004 .86793600002 .1600920000
C $1.52991200006 .4543310000-2.6293350000$
C $0.57677800007 .4651410000-2.0185550000$
C -6.65831700005 .94771400002 .0065980000
C -5.76294000007 .07336800001 .5224100000
C $-1.59069100008 .8144560000-0.7805740000$
C $-1.57758500007 .4221290000-0.9281340000$
C $-2.67743900009 .4184680000-0.1447740000$
C -3.74939300008 .67210400000 .3456740000
C - 3.75870900007 .27676600000 .1906100000
C $-2.66284300006 .6299640000-0.4541480000$
C $-3.24654100003 .1309150000-0.6372310000$
C $-2.06684400002 .6037680000-0.0581140000$
C $-1.89890700001 .2107950000-0.0935560000$
C $-2.86782300000 .3669890000-0.6556820000$
C $-4.03825900000 .9094290000-1.1983680000$
C $-4.23085100002 .2921220000-1.1823010000$
B -0.99074900003 .51361300000 .6346170000
н -6.08896300005 .21539200002 .5885690000
H -7.44540700006 .36168700002 .6462020000
H -7.13343100005 .43573200001 .1637540000
H $2.42155600006 .9727540000-2.9969780000$
H $1.84049100005 .7120140000-1.8877060000$
H $1.06201900005 .9349110000-3.4721530000$
н $-0.77746700009 .4232080000-1.1540800000$
н $-2.689845000010 .4990270000-0.0291830000$
Н -4.56914800009 .17621600000 .8412130000
н $-2.7120150000-0.7087140000-0.6586670000$
H $-4.79567700000 .2602390000-1.6285680000$
н -1.00380100000 .76909100000 .3384880000
н $-5.12955800002 .7376670000-1.5997260000$
H 1.69780900005 .04678600000 .7246770000

H 1.73957400003 .55525900001 .7042160000 н -0.16436800005 .92797000001 .9622290000 H 0.28112500004 .73661100003 .2149140000 H $1.04203500007 .9904420000-1.1757870000$ н $0.26003700008 .2070160000-2.7616390000$ н -6.33525600007 .80801800000 .9436830000 H -5.27997300007 .58614500002 .3630570000 (E)-1 unbound (solvated)
$0-5.4686010000-7.29780800000 .6810500000$
○ $-4.6028600000-5.10269800000 .5005230000$
N -1.9551650000 -4.9382930000 -0.0757470000
N $-0.8794920000-4.2816740000-0.0426720000$
C $-2.8913800000-8.51275000000 .0793190000$
C $-1.6796650000-9.1464430000-0.2061240000$
C $-0.5346070000-8.3781270000-0.4612750000$
C $-0.6050340000-6.9880310000-0.4219970000$
C $-3.0036900000-7.10988500000 .1234940000$
C $-1.8262460000-6.3558180000-0.1224200000$
C -1.00962200001 .45874300000 .0270050000
C $0.1525190000-0.77209600000 .3307300000$
C $0.1630700000-2.16445900000 .2961850000$
C $-1.0008100000-2.8751860000-0.0510270000$
C $-1.0078440000-0.0502100000-0.0022260000$
C $-2.1652800000-2.1652090000-0.4015060000$
C $-2.1582800000-0.7729050000-0.3746720000$
B $-4.4073200000-6.45672300000 .4478070000$
н $-6.2872480000-6.81663900000 .8725620000$
н $-3.7455040000-4.66240400000 .2960380000$
Н $0.4079430000-8.8643490000-0.6979000000$
н $0.2712150000-6.3844360000-0.6300890000$
н $-1.6263550000-10.2314990000-0.2383320000$
н -3.7741820000 -9.1155400000 0.2710990000
н -0.77514100001 .82985000001 .0320450000
н $-0.24921200001 .8650600000-0.6504870000$
н $-1.98161800001 .8635640000-0.2678620000$
н $-3.0570730000-2.6959480000-0.7162670000$
H $-3.0583480000-0.2320060000-0.6562100000$

H $1.0558110000-0.23711100000 .6133530000$
H $1.0617210000-2.72071200000 .5475420000$

## ( $E$ )-2 unbound (solvated)

F1.3640350000 8.5348720000 0.3531770000
F-3.3765760000 8.8564410000 0.0571710000
$0-4.6983040000 \quad 6.2920400000-0.2520490000$
$0-5.48974300004 .0760970000-0.4530100000$
$N-0.9099290000 \quad 7.1886630000 \quad 0.1184520000$
$N-1.9741320000 \quad 6.5216290000-0.0100030000$
C 0.22761700009 .26973500000 .3216420000
C 0.337871000010 .64759900000 .4128800000
C -0.831980000011 .41136500000 .3809300000
C-2.0824820000 10.7956800000 0.2600820000
C -2.14689400009 .41496300000 .1709710000
C -1.00278200008 .58332000000 .1965640000
C $-1.80161200005 .1097550000-0.0837360000$
C $-0.53442600004 .4988660000-0.0162850000$
C $-0.42665400003 .1142850000-0.0964780000$
C $-1.57876300002 .3277490000-0.2439210000$
C $-2.83262600002 .9385510000-0.3090180000$
C $-2.98332300004 .3365630000-0.2314660000$
B $-4.43891500004 .9515270000-0.3135560000$
$\mathrm{H}-0.771172000012 .49276800000 .4516060000$
H $-3.85592100006 .7862090000-0.1501180000$
H $-6.34500600004 .5290310000-0.4963980000$
H $-3.001758000011 .3711210000 \quad 0.2341690000$
H 1.317655000011 .10296400000 .5069100000
H $-1.49523300001 .2460370000-0.3082350000$
H $-3.71878000002 .3216860000-0.4235860000$
H $0.34933500005 .1158420000 \quad 0.0976940000$
H $0.55192900002 .6447050000-0.0442800000$

## (E)-3 unbound (solvated)

$00.7788000000-0.72089000007 .4937600000$
$01.2645100000-0.51477000005 .1896100000$
$0-2.7907400000-0.28454000000 .1972300000$
$01.4680600000-0.26727000002 .3005700000$
$\mathrm{N}-0.8935100000-0.47752000003 .5505900000$
$\mathrm{N}-1.4434300000-0.40834000002 .4115100000$
C $2.9043600000-0.19967000002 .2340300000$
C $-3.6171300000-0.2289200000-0.9761100000$
C $-1.2164300000-0.69081000005 .9361700000$
C $-1.7993500000-0.61218000004 .6445800000$
C $-3.1969000000-0.66776000004 .4775300000$
C $-4.0264600000-0.80168000005 .5872600000$
C $-3.4738400000-0.88050000006 .8745600000$
C $-2.0883400000-0.82468000007 .0351000000$
C $1.3594300000-0.0852400000-0.1030700000$
C $0.5699200000-0.0299900000-1.2521300000$
C $-0.8224500000-0.0937200000-1.1931400000$
C -1.4464300000 -0.2170600000 0.0525000000
C $-0.6746100000-0.28007000001 .2620000000$
C $0.7528800000-0.20926000001 .1534600000$
B $0.3424400000-0.63719000006 .1895800000$
н $1.05490000000 .0659900000-2.2196800000$
н $3.3048900000-1.03797000001 .6554600000$
H 3.22721000000 .75022000001 .7964400000
Н $3.2400300000-0.26649000003 .2679600000$
н $-3.48003000000 .7188900000-1.5077500000$
н $-3.4029700000-1.0681800000-1.6461100000$
н $-4.6415800000-0.3009300000-0.6100600000$
H $1.7442000000-0.67780000007 .5614200000$
н $0.8063200000-0.46426000004 .3152000000$
н $-3.6131900000-0.60501000003 .4785000000$
н $-5.1045300000-0.84527000005 .4528700000$
н $-4.1208700000-0.98476000007 .7412600000$
н $-1.6656200000-0.88691000008 .0337800000$
н $2.4367700000-0.0313000000-0.1932600000$
н $-1.4028300000-0.0471600000-2.1052500000$

## ( $E$ )-1 bound (solvated)

$0-0.2095240000 \quad 3.84450100001 .2984030000$
$00.07403500003 .6518580000-0.9766060000$
$N-2.5734540000 \quad 5.2356540000-0.1271880000$
$N-3.61091600004 .5310280000-0.0081980000$
C $-2.994296000010 .9673870000-0.1159400000$
C $1.30889000004 .2257760000-0.4756520000$
C 1.00437600004 .57660500000 .9958520000
C $-1.70631100008 .8054230000-0.4139830000$
C $-1.62497600007 .4141230000-0.4052010000$
C $-2.9136560000 \quad 9.4597750000-0.1123240000$
C -4.03880400008 .67060100000 .1974810000
C-3.9728540000 7.2794840000 0.2038600000
C-2.7579660000 6.6386000000-0.1033530000
C-3.3702390000 3.1333490000-0.0114370000
C-2.0710600000 2.5714680000 0.0151520000
C $-1.97599200001 .1728560000-0.0100380000$
C $-3.11716800000 .3566830000-0.0519880000$
C $-4.39060800000 .9338650000-0.0673640000$
C-4.5163200000 2.3243360000-0.0446560000
B -0.76646200003 .44585300000 .0987950000
H $-0.69122700006 .9136470000-0.6435160000$
H -4.84775000006 .68777700000 .4499810000
H -2.307811000011 .39882700000 .6224410000
H $-2.709463000011 .3733750000-1.0941140000$
H $-4.005200000011 .3138020000 \quad 0.1160180000$
H $-0.8231940000 \quad 9.3910400000-0.6571990000$
H $-4.9792990000 \quad 9.15889900000 .4409880000$
H $-3.0067700000-0.7245180000-0.0680830000$
H $-5.27781700000 .3079710000-0.0979330000$
H -0.99569200000 .70176700000 .0096610000
H $-5.49343100002 .7990790000-0.0586330000$
H $1.56769800005 .1009900000-1.0766040000$
H $2.09949500003 .4747420000-0.5708130000$

H 0.81089900005 .64497500001 .1385460000
H 1.79220700004 .25866000001 .6825740000

## ( $E$ )-2 bound (solvated)

F -1.3682620000 6.1406190000-1.2207700000
F 2.39505400005 .62887000001 .6366120000
02.88376600002 .27474800000 .9196700000
$02.45826100002 .8135000000-1.2754650000$
N 0.42237300004 .38792300000 .3089240000
$\mathrm{N}-0.69906100003 .85756800000 .0815570000$
C $3.78941900003 .3196430000-1.0028020000$
C 4.14980600002 .73256200000 .3782770000
C $1.55212100006 .4152850000 \quad 0.9235040000$
C 1.77403200007 .78306700000 .9149540000
C 0.90569400008 .59227400000 .1760190000
C $-0.15896400008 .0308160000-0.5363060000$
$C-0.35404900006 .6581710000-0.4884630000$
C 0.48531500005 .79120100000 .2453550000

C $-0.8589430000-0.3375750000 \quad 0.1442690000$
C 0.39575500000 .28816800000 .0805650000

C 0.52101000001 .68400600000 .0409230000
C -0.67573500002 .44110000000 .0843870000

C -1.93511400001 .82313500000 .1303170000
C -2.02706400000 .43089100000 .1663380000
B $1.94479600002 .3382060000-0.0857030000$

H $3.74950100004 .4136910000-0.9914240000$
H $4.46468500002 .9904180000-1.7959600000$
H 4.58048500003 .47458400001 .0546540000
H $4.82214100001 .8722040000 \quad 0.3045450000$
H 1.2895140000-0.3305780000 0.0501400000
H $-3.0002780000-0.04948300000 .2104620000$
H $-0.9196240000-1.42251700000 .1681560000$
H -2.82515100002 .44541400000 .1493530000
H 1.06195800009 .66617000000 .1501600000
H $-0.83281600008 .6409020000-1.1286410000$

H 2.60767300008 .19593700001 .4725130000

## (E) $\mathbf{- 3}$ bound (solvated)

$0-4.78403200006 .45543800000 .6833010000$
$0-0.58840400006 .6750150000-1.4938500000$
$0-1.09700100004 .06317800001 .8253100000$
00.34276500003 .55175500000 .1058230000
$N-2.5143920000 \quad 5.1864160000-0.5235570000$
$N-3.54210100004 .4584420000-0.6215320000$
C 1.14139900004 .25176400001 .0930690000
C 0.11024200004 .81970000002 .0882230000
C $0.56403400007 .3968870000-1.9507530000$
C -5.80942400007 .06154900001 .4861290000
C $-1.60225900008 .7542590000-0.7589380000$
C $-1.59338500007 .3614480000-0.8941740000$
C -2.6930000000 9.3679910000-0.1396300000

C-3.7722750000 8.6291590000 0.3461840000
C-3.7842350000 7.2337310000 0.2020930000

C-2.6861050000 6.5740400000-0.4260590000
C-3.2500900000 3.0696560000-0.5924990000
C $-2.03765100002 .5520420000-0.0754550000$
C $-1.85068700001 .1626240000-0.1409280000$
C-2.8312280000 0.3123900000-0.6723510000
C $-4.03354800000 .8445920000-1.1516180000$
C $-4.24603600002 .2236570000-1.1046430000$
B -0.94712800003 .46684900000 .5867560000
H $-0.7826580000 \quad 9.3568800000-1.1288120000$
H $-2.702611000010 .4493910000-0.0328840000$
H -4.59516500009 .13932300000 .8303970000
H $-2.6589820000-0.7603690000-0.7009060000$
H $-4.79998100000 .1903780000-1.5575260000$
H -0.93048100000 .72854500000 .2432940000
H $-5.16890800002 .6610540000-1.4752130000$
H 1.72607200005 .02940300000 .5962470000
H 1.81944400003 .53082800001 .5610450000

H -0.09834700005 .88005300001 .9095630000
H 0.40014900004 .67900800003 .1321890000
н $1.05482900007 .9196070000-1.1228570000$
н $1.23583000006 .6413820000-2.3593550000$
н $0.29325300008 .1123990000-2.7347020000$
H -6.39849200007 .77265500000 .8975410000
н -6.44615300006 .23775000001 .8093550000
н -5.37891900007 .56296900002 .3594450000

## Reversible binding of fluorophore

A stock solution (A) of $\mathbf{1 7}$ was prepared by dissolving 4.0 mg into 5 mL of 0.1 M PBS buffered at pH 7.5 in a 5 mL volumetric flask. Another stock solution (B) of $\mathbf{P 1}$ was prepared by dissolving 44 mg into $440 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 0.1 M PBS buffered at pH 7.5. 306 uL of stock solution $\mathbf{A}$ and $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of stock solution $\mathbf{B}$ (such that ratio of boronic acid to diol was 1:1) were added to a scintillation vial which was diluted to 3 mL with the PBS buffer. Three of these solutions were prepared. Solution 1 was placed in the dark for one hour, solution 2 was irradiated with red LEDs for one hour, and solution 3 was irradiated with red LEDs for one hour, followed by blue LEDs for 10 minutes. After, 3 mL of each sample was loaded into a 15 mL Amicon ultra-15 centrifugal filter (MWCO= 3 kDa ) and spin filtered for 20 minutes at 5000 RPM . The eluents were characterized by UV-Vis (Figure S24) and fluorescence (Figure 8b).


Figure 64. Results of reversible binding of fluorescence diol. Fluorescence spectrum of solution 1 eluents (no irradiation, black trace), solution 2 ( 60 min red light, red trace) and solution 3 ( 60 min red light, 10 min blue light, blue trace) in 0.1 M PBS pH 7.5.


Figure 65. Normalized GPC traces of P1 $(1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$ in THF measured by 365 nm absorbance and RI trace.

## Hydrogel fabrication and rheological characterization

Gels were prepared by mixing $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathbf{P} 1(10 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v} \%)$ with $\mathbf{P 2}(10 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v} \%)$ in either DMEM or 0.1 M PBS pH 7.5. Hydrogels prepared in PBS were too sticky and difficult to transfer from vials to the rheometer, as such were only characterized by the flow inversion method. Hydrogels in DMEM could easy be removed from the vial, and as such were characterized by photorheology. Mechanical characterization of the prepared hydrogels was performed using an Anton Paar MCR 302 Rheometer with a $25 \mathrm{~mm}, 5^{\circ}$ cone-plate attachment. $10 \%$ strain was established to be within the linear viscoelastic regime for all time points tested (Figure S52). Unless noted otherwise, oscillatory strain amplitude sweeps were conducted using a frequency of $25 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ and oscillatory frequencies were conducted using $10 \%$ strain. Gelation profiles were conducted with $10 \%$ strain and a frequency of $25 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$. Frequency sweeps were performed at $10 \%$ strain, with a frequency range of 100 to 0.1 $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$. Data were collected at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 66. Amplitude sweep ( $25 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ ) of hydrogel (1:1 P1/P2, $10 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v} \%$ in DMEM) after three hours of irradiation with red light.

## X-ray crystallographic data

(E)-1

Single crystals of $(E)-\mathbf{1}$ were grown by cooling a concentrated solution in acetonitrile.



Table 4. Crystal data and structure refinement for (E)-1.
( $E$ )-(2-(p-tolyldiazenyl)phenyl)boronic acid
Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for ( $\boldsymbol{E}$ )-1.

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula weight | 480.13 |
| Temperature/K | 102(3) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 21 / \mathrm{c}$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA$ | 10.7395(3) |
| b/ $\AA$ | 11.9528(2) |
| c/ $\AA$ | 19.6161(5) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 101.882(2) |
| $\gamma{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/ $\AA^{3}$ | 2464.11(10) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\varrho_{\text {calcg }} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.294 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.705 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 1008.0 |
| Crystal size/ $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | $0.14 \times 0.07 \times 0.02$ |
| Radiation | $\operatorname{CuK} \alpha(\lambda=1.54184)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ} 8.414$ to 157.658 |  |
| Index ranges | $-12 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 13,-14 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 14,-22 \leq 1 \leq 24$ |
| Reflections collected | 20929 |
| Independent reflections | $5174\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0384, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0331\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 5174/0/331 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.062 |
| Final R indexes $[\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0462, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1175$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0569, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1235$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3} 0.27 /-0.21$ |  |

Table 5. Fractional Atomic Coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for cx1429xprep. $\mathrm{U}_{\text {eq }}$ is defined as $1 / 3$ of of the trace of the orthogonalised $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{Ij}}$ tensor.

Table 2 Fractional Atomic Coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for cx1429xprep. $U_{\text {eq }}$ is defined as $1 / 3$ of of the trace of the orthogonalised $U_{\text {IJ }}$ tensor.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $y$ | $z$ | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O001 | 6233.4(10) | 4991.6(8) | 4579.8(5) | 28.3(2) |
| O002 | 5912.1(10) | 3015.5(9) | 5262.4(5) | 29.3(2) |
| O003 | 4132.4(10) | 2798.6(8) | 4338.4(5) | 28.8(2) |
| O004 | 4512.1(10) | 4715.0(9) | 3634.7(5) | 30.0(2) |
| N005 | 2625.4(11) | 1032.7(10) | 4453.0(6) | 25.3(3) |
| N006 | 7854.3(12) | 6650.2(10) | 4400.2(6) | 25.7(3) |
| N007 | 1584.0(12) | 521.7(10) | 4294.8(7) | 28.1(3) |
| N008 | 8955.2(12) | 7059.1(10) | 4518.0(7) | 28.3(3) |
| C009 | 5975.9(14) | 6311.8(11) | 3513.0(7) | 24.7(3) |
| C00A | 4505.4(14) | 1360.7(11) | 5345.2(7) | 24.1(3) |
| C00B | 7077.3(14) | 6954.3(11) | 3744.0(7) | 24.5(3) |
| C00C | 3416.9(14) | 705.6(11) | 5102.6(7) | 24.0(3) |
| C00D | 5300.8(14) | 1040.9(12) | 5972.6(8) | 26.3(3) |
| C00E | 9707.3(14) | 6795.7(12) | 5183.7(8) | 27.5(3) |
| C00F | 826.3(14) | 801.2(12) | 3634.6(8) | 27.2(3) |
| C00G | 5216.7(14) | 6593.9(12) | 2867.7(7) | 26.8(3) |
| C 00 H | 5524.6(15) | 7478.3(12) | 2474.8(8) | 29.2(3) |
| C00I | 7379.7(15) | 7862.9(12) | 3356.9(8) | 27.3(3) |
| C00J | 3947.1(15) | -514.9(12) | 6090.3(8) | 29.0(3) |
| C00K | 5033.2(15) | 114.7(12) | 6343.9(8) | 28.7(3) |
| C00L | 11000.3(15) | 7031.5(13) | 5274.0(8) | 31.2(3) |
| C00M | 6602.5(15) | 8117.4(12) | 2724.1(8) | 29.5(3) |
| C 00 N | 3137.4(14) | -230.5(12) | 5474.7(8) | 27.7(3) |
| C00O | 11345.4(15) | 6384.8(12) | 6465.1(8) | 32.1(3) |


| C00P | -833.8(15) | 1220.4(13) | 2357.0(8) | 31.3(3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C00Q | -1267.5(15) | 714.9(14) | 2903.1(9) | 33.2(3) |
| C00R | 9226.5(15) | 6368.3(13) | 5740.2(8) | 32.2(3) |
| C00S | 1279.8(15) | 1308.6(13) | 3092.7(8) | 32.0(3) |
| C00T | 10044.8(16) | 6173.3(13) | 6371.5(9) | 34.3(3) |
| C 00 U | 11806.0(15) | 6816.6(13) | 5906.6(8) | 32.3(3) |
| C00V | -447.6(15) | 496.4(13) | 3532.8(8) | 31.9(3) |
| C00W | 453.6(16) | 1506.5(14) | 2465.2(8) | 35.0(4) |
| C00X | -1702.2(17) | 1441.7(16) | 1662.1(9) | 39.7(4) |
| C00Y | 12223.5(17) | 6162.7(15) | 7156.1(9) | 40.6(4) |
| B1 | 5558.1(16) | 5298.2(13) | 3937.8(8) | 25.1(3) |
| B2 | 4865.6(16) | 2440.3(13) | 4956.7(8) | 24.6(3) |

Table 6 Anisotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for cx1429xprep. The Anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[\mathrm{~h}^{2} \mathrm{a}^{* 2} \mathrm{U}_{11}+2 \mathrm{hka}{ }^{*} \mathrm{~b}^{*} \mathrm{U}_{12}+\ldots\right]$.

Anisotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for cx1429xprep. The Anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} \mathbf{U}_{11}+2 h k a^{*} b^{*} U_{12}+\ldots\right]$.

| Atom | $\mathbf{U}_{11}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{22}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{33}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{23}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{13}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{12}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O001 | $29.1(6)$ | $25.3(5)$ | $28.2(5)$ | $2.2(4)$ | $0.7(4)$ | $-4.4(4)$ |
| O002 | $31.1(6)$ | $26.4(5)$ | $27.8(5)$ | $4.1(4)$ | $0.1(4)$ | $-5.4(4)$ |
| O003 | $31.3(6)$ | $24.9(5)$ | $27.2(5)$ | $2.3(4)$ | $-0.7(4)$ | $-6.6(4)$ |
| O004 | $36.0(6)$ | $26.2(5)$ | $25.4(5)$ | $2.2(4)$ | $0.4(4)$ | $-6.0(4)$ |
| N005 | $26.0(6)$ | $23.0(6)$ | $26.3(6)$ | $-2.4(4)$ | $3.8(5)$ | $-2.3(5)$ |
| N006 | $26.4(6)$ | $23.0(6)$ | $26.8(6)$ | $-1.6(5)$ | $3.5(5)$ | $-0.6(5)$ |
| N007 | $28.0(6)$ | $26.8(6)$ | $28.7(6)$ | $-1.9(5)$ | $3.7(5)$ | $-3.3(5)$ |
| N008 | $28.4(7)$ | $27.0(6)$ | $28.6(6)$ | $-1.3(5)$ | $4.0(5)$ | $-2.1(5)$ |
| C009 | $27.5(7)$ | $20.9(6)$ | $26.0(7)$ | $-3.0(5)$ | $6.3(6)$ | $1.7(5)$ |
| C00A | $27.0(7)$ | $21.6(6)$ | $23.5(7)$ | $-3.0(5)$ | $5.2(5)$ | $0.5(5)$ |
| C00B | $26.9(7)$ | $23.5(6)$ | $22.9(7)$ | $-2.1(5)$ | $4.8(5)$ | $2.4(5)$ |


| C00C | 26.0(7) | 22.9(6) | 23.4(7) | -2.5(5) | 5.7(6) | 0.9(5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C00D | 28.0(7) | 25.0(7) | 25.6(7) | -2.6(5) | 4.9(6) | -0.8(5) |
| C00E | 28.8(8) | 24.3(7) | 28.2(7) | -2.6(5) | 2.8(6) | -0.7(6) |
| C00F | 29.1(8) | 24.6(7) | 26.6(7) | -4.6(5) | 2.6(6) | -2.8(6) |
| C00G | 28.1(7) | 25.9(7) | 25.4(7) | -3.8(5) | 3.2(6) | -0.8(6) |
| C 00 H | 33.8(8) | 28.8(7) | 23.6(7) | -0.8(6) | 2.7(6) | 3.8(6) |
| C00I | 29.1(7) | 24.0(7) | 29.3(7) | -2.1(5) | 7.2(6) | -0.8(6) |
| C00J | 36.7(8) | 24.1(7) | 27.4(7) | 2.7(5) | 9.3(6) | -1.6(6) |
| C00K | 34.3(8) | 29.0(7) | 22.1(7) | 0.6(5) | 3.7(6) | 2.1(6) |
| C00L | 30.2(8) | 31.5(8) | 31.2(8) | -5.5(6) | 5.1(6) | -5.8(6) |
| C00M | 35.8(8) | 24.7(7) | 29.4(8) | 3.2(6) | 9.9(6) | 0.6(6) |
| C00N | 30.5(8) | 24.9(7) | 28.2(7) | -2.5(6) | 7.1(6) | -3.5(6) |
| C 00 O | 33.5(8) | 25.5(7) | 33.2(8) | -4.8(6) | -2.6(6) | 2.4(6) |
| C00P | 31.3(8) | 30.7(8) | 30.6(8) | -5.5(6) | 3.0(6) | -0.2(6) |
| C00Q | 24.4(7) | 36.4(8) | 36.9(8) | -3.8(6) | 2.0(6) | -6.1(6) |
| C00R | 27.3(8) | 33.7(8) | 33.9(8) | 2.7(6) | 2.7(6) | -1.9(6) |
| C00S | 25.6(8) | 38.1(8) | 31.2(8) | -2.3(6) | 3.1 (6) | -7.5(6) |
| C00T | 36.4(9) | 34.1(8) | 30.8(8) | 4.9(6) | 3.1(7) | -0.7(7) |
| C00U | 26.0(8) | 32.4(8) | 36.2(8) | -7.6(6) | 1.3(6) | -2.5(6) |
| C00V | 31.0(8) | 31.5(8) | 32.7(8) | -0.5(6) | 5.7(6) | -6.7(6) |
| C00W | 33.7(8) | 41.7(9) | 28.6(8) | 1.1(6) | 4.0(6) | -5.5(7) |
| C00x | 35.7(9) | 46.6(10) | 33.1 (9) | -2.5(7) | -1.6(7) | -1.3(7) |
| C00Y | 38.8(9) | 40.3(9) | 37.0(9) | -1.4(7) | -5.3(7) | 4.0(7) |
| B1 | 26.8(8) | 22.7(7) | 25.3(8) | -3.4(6) | 4.5(6) | 0.9(6) |
| B2 | 25.9(8) | 23.7(7) | 23.9(8) | -3.5(6) | 4.6(6) | -0.6(6) |

Table 7. Bond Lengths for cx1429xprep.

## Bond Lengths for cx1429xprep.

| Atom Atom | Length/ | Atom Atom | Length/ $\AA$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| O001 B1 | $1.3675(19)$ | C00E C00L | $1.392(2)$ |
| O002 B2 | $1.3483(19)$ | C00E C00R | $1.397(2)$ |
| O003 B2 | $1.3716(19)$ | C00F C00S | $1.396(2)$ |
| O004 B1 | $1.3514(19)$ | C00F C00V | $1.390(2)$ |
| N005 N007 | $1.2559(17)$ | C00G C00H | $1.388(2)$ |
| N005 C00C | $1.4329(19)$ | C00H C00M | $1.389(2)$ |
| N006 N008 | $1.2561(17)$ | C00I C00M | $1.380(2)$ |
| N006 C00B | $1.4294(19)$ | C00J C00K | $1.391(2)$ |
| N007 C00F | $1.420(2)$ | C00J C00N | $1.378(2)$ |
| N008 C00E | $1.422(2)$ | C00L C00U | $1.383(2)$ |
| C009 C00B | $1.405(2)$ | C00O C00T | $1.394(2)$ |
| C009 C00G | $1.399(2)$ | C00O C00U | $1.391(2)$ |
| C009 B1 | $1.587(2)$ | C00O C00Y | $1.507(2)$ |
| C00A C00C | $1.406(2)$ | C00P C00Q | $1.391(2)$ |
| C00A C00D | $1.399(2)$ | $1.586(2)$ | C00P C00W C00V |

Table 8. Bond Angles for cx1429xprep.
Bond Angles for cx1429xprep.

| Atom Atom Atom | Angle/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | Atom Atom Atom | Angle/ ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| N007 N005 C00C | $114.96(12)$ | C00M C00I C00B | $119.58(14)$ |
| N008 N006 C00B | $114.81(12)$ | C00N C00J C00K | $120.76(13)$ |
| N005 N007 C00F | $115.14(12)$ | C00D C00K C00J | $119.53(14)$ |
| N006 N008 C00E | $114.71(12)$ | C00U C00L C00E | $120.00(15)$ |


| C00B C009 B1 | $123.94(13)$ | C00I C00M C00H | $120.10(14)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C00G C009 C00B | $117.09(13)$ | C00J C00N C00C | $119.29(14)$ |
| C00G C009 B1 | $118.97(13)$ | C00T C00O C00Y | $120.74(16)$ |
| C00C C00A B2 | $123.94(13)$ | C00U C00O C00T | $118.21(15)$ |
| C00D C00A C00C | $117.39(13)$ | C00U C00O C00Y | $121.04(15)$ |
| C00D C00A B2 | $118.66(13)$ | C00Q C00P C00W | $117.85(15)$ |
| C009 C00B N006 | $116.62(12)$ | C00Q C00P C00X | $121.96(15)$ |
| C00I C00B N006 | $121.85(13)$ | C00W C00P C00X | $120.18(15)$ |
| C00I C00B C009 | $121.51(13)$ | C00V C00Q C00P | $121.06(15)$ |
| C00A C00C N005 | $116.66(12)$ | C00T C00R C00E | $119.51(15)$ |
| C00N C00C N005 | $121.97(13)$ | C00W C00S C00F | $119.49(14)$ |
| C00N C00C C00A | $121.37(13)$ | C00R C00T C00O | $121.48(15)$ |
| C00K C00D C00A | $121.66(14)$ | C00L C00U C00O | $121.16(15)$ |
| C00L C00E N008 | $115.80(13)$ | C00Q C00V C00F | $120.31(15)$ |
| C00L C00E C00R | $119.61(14)$ | C00S C00W C00P | $121.82(15)$ |
| C00R C00E N008 | $124.54(14)$ | O001 B1 C009 | $122.32(13)$ |
| C00S C00F N007 | $124.92(14)$ | O004 B1 O001 | $120.14(13)$ |
| C00V C00F N007 | $115.58(13)$ | O004 B1 C009 | $117.53(13)$ |
| C00V C00F C00S | $119.46(14)$ | O002 | B2 O003 |
| C00H C00G C009 | $121.68(14)$ | $120.55(13)$ |  |
| C00G C00H C00M | $120.00(14)$ | B2 C00A | $121.76(13)$ |

Table 9. Hydrogen Atom Coordinates $\left(\AA \times 10^{4}\right)$ and Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA 2 \times 10^{3}\right)$ for cx1429xprep.
Hydrogen Atom Coordinates $\left(\AA \times 10^{4}\right)$ and Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for cx1429xprep.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H 001 | 6880 | 5400 | 4689 | 42 |
| H 002 | 5965 | 3605 | 5036 | 44 |
| H003 | 3539 | 2341 | 4205 | 43 |


| H004 | 4408 | 4176 | 3892 | 45 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H00D | 6043 | 1469 | 6149 | 32 |
| H00G | 4471 | 6169 | 2694 | 32 |
| H 00 H | 4998 | 7647 | 2035 | 35 |
| H00I | 8115 | 8301 | 3529 | 33 |
| H00J | 3762 | -1148 | 6345 | 35 |
| H00K | 5588 | -88 | 6768 | 34 |
| H00L | 11329 | 7340 | 4901 | 37 |
| H00M | 6805 | 8731 | 2458 | 35 |
| H00N | 2397 | -664 | 5304 | 33 |
| H00Q | -2140 | 516 | 2843 | 40 |
| H00R | 8344 | 6213 | 5685 | 39 |
| H00S | 2150 | 1516 | 3155 | 38 |
| H00T | 9713 | 5889 | 6750 | 41 |
| H00U | 12690 | 6967 | 5961 | 39 |
| H00V | -758 | 137 | 3896 | 38 |
| H00W | 769 | 1846 | 2097 | 42 |
| H00E | -2543 | 1122 | 1660 | 60 |
| H00F | -1346 | 1096 | 1291 | 60 |
| H00O | -1782 | 2250 | 1583 | 60 |
| H00A | 11719 | 6019 | 7510 | 61 |
| H00B | 12769 | 6816 | 7292 | 61 |
| H00C | 12753 | 5509 | 7115 | 61 |

$$
(E)-\mathbf{2}
$$

Single crystals of ( $E$ ) $\mathbf{- 2}$ were grown by cooling a concentrated solution in DCM.


Table 10. Crystal data and structure refinement for $(E)-2$.
(E)-(2-((2,6-difluorophenyl)diazenyl)phenyl)boronic acid

Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for ( $\boldsymbol{E}$ )-2.
Identification code
CCDC \# 2020825
Empirical formula
$\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{BF}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
Formula weight
262.02

Temperature/K
200.00(10)

Crystal system
triclinic


Table 11 Fractional Atomic Coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for $\mathrm{cx} 1948 . \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ is defined as $1 / 3$ of of the trace of the orthogonalised $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{IJ}}$ tensor.

Table 2 Fractional Atomic Coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for $\mathrm{cx} 1948 . \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ is defined as $1 / 3$ of of the trace of the orthogonalised $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{IJ}}$ tensor.
Atom $x \quad y \quad z \quad z(e q)$

| F18 | 6269(2) | 2483.5(7) | 6190.5(5) | 47.1(2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F19 | 2662(2) | 5826.2(7) | 9001.0(5) | 47.4(2) |
| O17 | 7265(3) | 10269.1(8) | 8971.4(6) | 43.5(2) |
| O16 | 5085(3) | 8407.9(8) | 9152.3(6) | 41.5(2) |
| N8 | 5851(3) | 6172.1(9) | 7523.4(7) | 31.1(2) |
| N7 | 5770(3) | 4982.2(9) | 7060.4(7) | 33.4(2) |
| C9 | 7120(3) | 6890.3(10) | 6978.1(8) | 28.9(2) |
| C14 | 7543(3) | 8225.8(10) | 7490.2(8) | 29.8(2) |
| C1 | 4608(3) | 4216.8(10) | 7565.9(8) | 31.5(3) |
| C2 | 4937(3) | 2900.1(11) | 7083.3(9) | 35.3(3) |
| C6 | 3203(3) | 4583.3(11) | 8492.3(9) | 34.1(3) |
| C10 | 7905(3) | 6302.7(11) | 5985.1(8) | 33.9(3) |
| C13 | 8839(3) | 8932.8(11) | 6962.4(9) | 34.3(3) |
| C12 | 9618(3) | 8357.8(12) | 5982.1(9) | 37.2(3) |
| C3 | 4026(3) | 2016.8(11) | 7475.5(10) | 39.7(3) |
| C11 | 9139(3) | 7034.2(12) | 5490.6(9) | 37.3(3) |
| C5 | 2297(3) | 3726.7(12) | 8911.4(9) | 38.7(3) |
| C4 | 2717(3) | 2437.0(12) | 8400.1(10) | 40.8(3) |
| B15 | 6598(4) | 8985.7(12) | 8594.0(10) | 33.4(3) |

Table 12 Anisotropic Displacement Parameters $(\AA 2 \times 103)$ for cx1948. The Anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi 2[\mathrm{~h} 2 \mathrm{a} * 2 \mathrm{U} 11+2 \mathrm{hka} * \mathrm{~b} * \mathrm{U} 12+\ldots]$.

Anisotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for cx1948. The Anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} U_{11}+2 h k a * b * U_{12}+\ldots\right]$.

| Atom | $\mathbf{U}_{11}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{22}$ |  | $\mathbf{U}_{33}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{23}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{13}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F18 | $64.6(5)$ |  | $32.3(4)$ | $41.8(4)$ | $8.9(3)$ | $20.1(4)$ |
| F19 | $70.8(5)$ | $30.8(4)$ | $40.0(4)$ | $10.0(3)$ | $23.5(4)$ | $3.8(3)$ |
| O17 | $62.8(6)$ | $27.5(4)$ | $36.5(5)$ | $6.6(3)$ | $18.2(4)$ | $3.5(3)$ |
| O16 | $61.9(6)$ | $26.7(4)$ | $32.4(4)$ | $6.0(3)$ | $17.5(4)$ | $-2.8(4)$ |
| N8 | $34.2(5)$ | $27.1(4)$ | $30.2(5)$ | $8.9(4)$ | $5.6(4)$ | $-0.6(4)$ |


| N7 | $38.6(5)$ | $27.5(5)$ | $32.2(5)$ | $9.5(4)$ | $6.2(4)$ | $-1.0(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C9 | $27.3(5)$ | $29.3(5)$ | $29.0(5)$ | $10.0(4)$ | $4.8(4)$ | $0.6(4)$ |
| C14 | $28.4(5)$ | $29.1(5)$ | $30.8(5)$ | $10.3(4)$ | $4.7(4)$ | $0.2(4)$ |
| C1 | $31.2(6)$ | $29.2(5)$ | $32.8(6)$ | $11.2(4)$ | $2.0(4)$ | $-2.3(4)$ |
| C2 | $35.9(6)$ | $31.8(6)$ | $35.3(6)$ | $10.0(5)$ | $5.4(5)$ | $-1.4(4)$ |
| C6 | $35.8(6)$ | $30.0(5)$ | $33.9(6)$ | $10.0(4)$ | $3.8(5)$ | $-1.3(4)$ |
| C10 | $37.9(6)$ | $30.0(5)$ | $30.7(5)$ | $7.9(4)$ | $7.0(4)$ | $1.5(4)$ |
| C13 | $35.9(6)$ | $29.5(5)$ | $36.7(6)$ | $11.5(5)$ | $7.2(5)$ | $-1.3(4)$ |
| C12 | $38.2(6)$ | $38.7(6)$ | $39.3(6)$ | $19.2(5)$ | $10.3(5)$ | $0.0(5)$ |
| C3 | $39.3(7)$ | $28.7(6)$ | $50.5(7)$ | $15.1(5)$ | $4.9(5)$ | $-1.4(5)$ |
| C11 | $40.9(7)$ | $40.4(6)$ | $29.7(5)$ | $11.4(5)$ | $10.6(5)$ | $3.3(5)$ |
| C5 | $39.4(6)$ | $40.5(6)$ | $37.8(6)$ | $16.7(5)$ | $6.7(5)$ | $-2.3(5)$ |
| C4 | $39.0(6)$ | $38.4(6)$ | $51.1(7)$ | $24.5(6)$ | $5.1(5)$ | $-4.5(5)$ |
| B15 | $36.7(7)$ | $28.3(6)$ | $32.5(6)$ | $8.6(5)$ | $6.2(5)$ | $0.0(5)$ |

Table 4 Bond Lengths for cx1948.

| Atom | Atom | Length/ $\AA$ | Atom | Atom | Length/ $\AA$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F18 | C2 | $1.3466(14)$ | C14 | B15 | 1.5815(16) |
| F19 | C6 | $1.3497(13)$ | C1 | C2 | 1.4004(16) |
| O17 | B15 | $1.3492(15)$ | C1 | C6 | 1.4034(16) |
| O16 | B15 | $1.3658(15)$ | C 2 | C3 | $1.3736(17)$ |
| N8 | N7 | $1.2536(13)$ | C6 | C5 | $1.3750(16)$ |
| N8 | C9 | 1.4298(13) | C 10 | C11 | $1.3786(16)$ |
| N7 | C1 | $1.4121(14)$ | C 13 | C12 | 1.3834(16) |
| C9 | C14 | $1.4043(15)$ | C 12 | C11 | $1.3903(17)$ |
| C9 | C10 | 1.4011(15) | C3 | C4 | 1.3823(19) |
| C14 | C13 | $1.4033(15)$ | C5 | C4 | 1.3853(18) |

Table 5 Bond Angles for cx1948.

| Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle/ ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N7 | N8 | C9 | 113.99(9) | F19 | C6 | C1 | 119.43(10) |
| N8 | N7 | C1 | 116.83(9) | F19 | C6 | C5 | 117.61(10) |
| C14 | C9 | N8 | 116.45(9) | C5 | C6 | C1 | 122.96(11) |
| C10 | C9 | N8 | 122.22(10) | C11 | C10 | C9 | 120.18(10) |
| C10 | C9 | C14 | 121.32(10) | C12 | C13 | C14 | 122.28(10) |
| C9 | C14 | B15 | 125.30(10) | C13 | C12 | C11 | 119.81(10) |
| C13 | C14 | C9 | 116.63(10) | C 2 | C3 | C4 | 118.86(11) |
| C13 | C14 | B15 | 118.05(10) | C10 | C11 | C12 | 119.76(10) |
| C2 | C1 | N7 | 115.73(10) | C6 | C5 | C4 | 119.41(11) |
| C2 | C1 | C6 | 114.82(10) | C3 | C4 | C5 | 120.19(11) |
| C6 | C1 | N7 | 129.45(10) | O17 | B15 | O16 | 119.91(10) |
| F18 | C2 | C1 | 117.87(10) | O17 | B15 | C14 | 116.90(10) |
| F18 | C2 | C3 | 118.38(10) | O16 | B15 | C14 | 123.17(10) |
| C3 | C2 | C1 | 123.74(11) |  |  |  |  |

Table 6 Hydrogen Bonds for cx1948.

| $\mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{H}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{D}-\mathbf{H}) / \AA$ | $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{H}-\mathbf{A}) / \AA$ |  | $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{D}-\mathbf{A}) / \AA$ | $\mathbf{D}-\mathbf{H}-\mathbf{A} /{ }^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| O17 | H 17 | O16 $^{1}$ | 0.84 |  | 1.97 | $2.7982(11)$ | 169.3 |
| O16 | H16 | F19 | 0.84 |  | 2.27 | $2.9531(11)$ | 138.9 |
| O16 | H16 | N8 | 0.84 |  | 2.05 | $2.7724(12)$ | 144.1 |

${ }^{11-X, 2-Y, 2-Z ~}$

Table 7 Torsion Angles for cx1948.

| A B | C | D | Angle/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | A | B | C D | Angle/ ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F18C2 | C3 | C4 | 178.80(11) | C9 |  | C11 C12 | 0.30(19) |
| F19 C6 | C5 | C4 | 178.18(11) | C14 | C9 | C10 C11 | 0.38(18) |

$\left.\begin{array}{llllllr}\text { N8 N7 C1 } & \text { C2 } & -172.67(10) & \text { C14 C13 C12 C11 } & -0.35(19) \\ \text { N8 N7 C1 C6 } & 6.46(18) & \text { C1 } & \text { C2 } & \text { C3 } & \text { C4 } & -0.2(2) \\ \text { N8 C9 } & \text { C14 C13 } & 179.08(9) & \text { C1 } & \text { C6 } & \text { C5 } & \text { C4 }\end{array}\right)$

Table 8 Hydrogen Atom Coordinates $\left(\AA \times 10^{4}\right)$ and Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for cx1948.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H17 | 6621.28 | 10582 | 9551.21 | U(eq) |
| H16 | 4921.82 | 7610.25 | 8836.64 | 65 |
| H10 | 7585.68 | 5397.8 | 5651.68 | 62 |
| H13 | 9191.99 | 9837.77 | 7289.76 | 41 |
| H12 | 10479.26 | 8865.9 | 5645.74 | 41 |
| H3 | 4291.04 | 1132.21 | 7117.49 | 45 |
| H11 | 9659.72 | 6635.36 | 4816.29 | 48 |
| H5 | 1391.12 | 4016.68 | 9545.98 | 46 |

(E)-3

Single crystals of ( $E$ )-3 were grown by slow evaporation of a solution of ACN.


## (E)-(2-((2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)diazenyl)phenyl)boronic acid

Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for $(\boldsymbol{E})$-3

Identification code
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature/K
Crystal system
Space group
$\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{n}$

| $\mathrm{a} / \AA$ | 17.8347(3) |
| :---: | :---: |
| b/ $\AA$ | $4.10813(10)$ |
| c/ $\AA$ | 19.0734(4) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 101.795(2) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/ $\AA^{3}$ | 1367.95(5) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\varrho_{\text {calcg }} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.389 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.840 |
| $F(000)$ | 600.0 |
| Crystal size/ $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | $0.228 \times 0.104 \times 0.048$ |
| Radiation | $\operatorname{CuK} \alpha(\lambda=1.54184)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ} 6.184$ to 154.312 |  |
| Index ranges | $-17 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 21,-4 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 5,-24 \leq 1 \leq 24$ |
| Reflections collected | 8314 |
| Independent reflections | $2755\left[\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{int}}=0.0349, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0361\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 2755/0/194 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.060 |
| Final R indexes $[\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{l})$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0419, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1185$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0471, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1227$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3} 0.18 /-0.21$ |  |

Table 2 Fractional Atomic Coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for $\mathrm{cx} 1670 . \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ is defined as $1 / 3$ of of the trace of the 143 rthogonalized $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{IJ}}$ tensor.
Atom
$\boldsymbol{x}$
$y$
$z$
U(eq)

| N2 | 2422.0(6) | 1268(3) | 9953.9(6) | 32.3(3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N1 | 3136.7(6) | 1030(3) | 10151.5(6) | 32.8(3) |
| O 2 | 2264.0(5) | -2697(3) | 11002.0(5) | 38.0(3) |
| O1 | 4584.0(5) | 1789(3) | 10622.5(5) | 44.1(3) |
| O3 | 957.8(5) | 19(3) | 10055.4(5) | 46.5(3) |
| O4 | 24.4(5) | 1800(4) | 9076.7(6) | 57.6(4) |
| C2 | 1384.9(6) | 3012(4) | 9010.7(6) | 32.6(3) |
| C3 | 2181.2(6) | 2865(3) | 9281.2(6) | 29.2(3) |
| C4 | 2694.5(7) | 4297(4) | 8910.7(7) | 34.6(3) |
| C7 | 3428.6(7) | -420(3) | 10818.3(7) | 32.2(3) |
| C5 | 2429.3(7) | 5873(4) | 8272.9(7) | 37.2(3) |
| C8 | 3026.3(7) | -2225(4) | 11255.5(7) | 34.1(3) |
| C12 | 4229.5(7) | 42(4) | 11063.8(7) | 37.0(3) |
| C9 | 3408.5(9) | -3453(4) | 11909.7(8) | 43.3(4) |
| C6 | 1645.1(8) | 6030(4) | 7991.8(7) | 38.4(3) |
| C1 | 1138.1(7) | 4610(4) | 8359.0(7) | 37.9(3) |
| C11 | 4599.7(8) | -1186(4) | 11719.8(8) | 44.2(4) |
| B1 | 768.6(8) | 1518(5) | 9407.0(8) | 39.3(4) |
| C10 | 4188.0(9) | -2907(4) | 12136.2(8) | 48.4(4) |
| C13 | 5392.2(7) | 2254(5) | 10829.7(9) | 49.1(4) |
| C14 | 1853.1(9) | -4674(4) | 11412.8(8) | 44.0(4) |

Table 3 Anisotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{\AA} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for cx1670. The Anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} U_{11}+2 h k a * b * U_{12}+\ldots\right]$.

| Atom | $\mathbf{U}_{11}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{22}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{33}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{23}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{12}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| N2 | $20.0(5)$ | $45.4(7)$ | $30.6(5)$ | $-1.6(5)$ | $3.3(4)$ | $0.7(4)$ |
| N1 | $20.8(5)$ | $44.3(7)$ | $32.1(5)$ | $-3.5(5)$ | $2.7(4)$ | $0.2(4)$ |


| O2 | $30.1(5)$ | $45.7(6)$ | $38.5(5)$ | $4.6(4)$ | $7.6(4)$ | $-1.6(4)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O1 | $20.8(4)$ | $66.9(7)$ | $42.6(5)$ | $-2.5(5)$ | $1.5(4)$ | $-3.0(4)$ |
| O3 | $19.0(4)$ | $81.6(8)$ | $39.1(5)$ | $14.6(5)$ | $6.0(4)$ | $-3.3(5)$ |
| O4 | $19.7(5)$ | $106.6(11)$ | $46.1(6)$ | $26.6(6)$ | $5.4(4)$ | $-2.3(5)$ |
| C2 | $20.5(6)$ | $47.6(8)$ | $29.6(6)$ | $-2.2(6)$ | $4.7(4)$ | $0.2(5)$ |
| C3 | $21.4(5)$ | $38.3(7)$ | $28.1(6)$ | $-3.6(5)$ | $5.2(4)$ | $-0.8(5)$ |
| C4 | $21.0(5)$ | $46.1(8)$ | $37.1(7)$ | $-2.3(6)$ | $7.1(5)$ | $-3.7(5)$ |
| C7 | $24.9(6)$ | $40.0(7)$ | $30.5(6)$ | $-6.0(5)$ | $2.8(5)$ | $3.5(5)$ |
| C5 | $30.4(6)$ | $46.1(8)$ | $37.7(7)$ | $0.8(6)$ | $12.9(5)$ | $-5.0(6)$ |
| C8 | $31.3(6)$ | $37.3(7)$ | $33.0(6)$ | $-5.7(5)$ | $4.7(5)$ | $4.5(5)$ |
| C12 | $26.9(6)$ | $44.8(8)$ | $37.4(7)$ | $-8.9(6)$ | $2.2(5)$ | $4.2(5)$ |
| C9 | $48.5(8)$ | $44.6(8)$ | $34.7(7)$ | $0.4(6)$ | $3.9(6)$ | $4.9(6)$ |
| C6 | $34.0(7)$ | $50.0(8)$ | $30.9(6)$ | $4.4(6)$ | $6.0(5)$ | $1.4(6)$ |
| C1 | $24.0(6)$ | $55.5(9)$ | $33.3(6)$ | $2.4(6)$ | $3.9(5)$ | $1.2(6)$ |
| C11 | $32.1(7)$ | $54.6(9)$ | $40.5(7)$ | $-8.3(7)$ | $-5.5(6)$ | $6.9(6)$ |
| B1 | $21.3(6)$ | $61.2(10)$ | $35.3(7)$ | $3.9(7)$ | $5.4(5)$ | $-1.4(7)$ |
| C10 | $48.4(8)$ | $55.2(10)$ | $35.0(7)$ | $-1.3(7)$ | $-7.2(6)$ | $12.1(7)$ |
| C13 | $21.9(6)$ | $66.8(11)$ | $55.6(9)$ | $-12.2(8)$ | $0.6(6)$ | $-2.4(6)$ |
| C14 | $45.2(8)$ | $43.9(8)$ | $46.5(8)$ | $3.6(7)$ | $17.6(6)$ | $-2.4(6)$ |

## Table 4 Bond Lengths for cx1670.

Atom Atom Length/ $\AA$

| N 2 | N 1 | $1.2569(14)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N 2 | C 3 | $1.4270(17)$ |
| N 1 | C 7 | $1.4046(17)$ |
| O 2 | C 8 | $1.3605(15)$ |
| O2 | C14 | $1.4303(17)$ |

## Atom Atom Length/ $\AA$

C2 B1 1.580(2)
C3 C4 1.3957(18)
C4 C5 1.3738(19)
C7 C8 1.416(2)
C7 C12 1.4221(17)

| O1 | C12 | $1.3565(19)$ | C5 | C6 | $1.3932(18)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1 | C13 | $1.4275(15)$ | C8 | C9 | $1.3886(19)$ |
| O3 | B1 | $1.3608(19)$ | C12 | C11 | $1.385(2)$ |
| O4 | B1 | $1.3528(17)$ | C9 | C10 | $1.387(2)$ |
| C2 | C3 | $1.4096(15)$ | C6 | C1 | $1.381(2)$ |
| C2 | C1 | $1.3950(19)$ | C11 | C10 | $1.381(2)$ |

Table 5 Bond Angles for cx1670.

| Atom Atom Atom |  |  | Angle/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle/ ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N1 | N2 | C3 | 113.93(10) | O2 | C8 | C7 | 117.07(11) |
| N2 | N1 | C7 | 118.03(11) | O2 | C8 | C9 | 122.61(13) |
| C8 | O2 | C14 | 118.22(11) | C9 | C8 | C7 | 120.31(12) |
| C12 | O1 | C13 | 118.11(11) | O1 | C12 | C7 | 115.50(12) |
| C3 | C2 | B1 | 123.76(12) | O1 | C12 | C11 | 123.83(12) |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | 117.20(11) | C11 | C12 | C7 | 120.66(14) |
| C1 | C2 | B1 | 119.04(11) | C10 | C9 | C8 | 119.85(15) |
| C2 | C3 | N2 | 116.38(11) | C1 | C6 | C5 | 119.68(12) |
| C4 | C3 | N2 | 122.76(11) | C6 | C1 | C2 | 122.04(12) |
| C4 | C3 | C2 | 120.84(12) | C10 | C11 | C 12 | 119.65(13) |
| C5 | C4 | C3 | 120.28(11) | O3 | B1 | C2 | 122.89(11) |
| N1 | C7 | C8 | 128.08(11) | O4 | B1 | O3 | 119.96(12) |
| N1 | C7 | C12 | 113.76(12) | O4 | B1 | C2 | 117.15(13) |
| C8 | C7 | C12 | 118.16(12) | C11 | C10 | C9 | 121.36(13) |
| C4 | C5 | C6 | 119.96(12) |  |  |  |  |

Table 6 Hydrogen Bonds for cx1670.

| $\mathbf{D} \mathbf{H ~ A}$ | $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{D}-\mathbf{H}) / \AA$ | $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{H}-\mathbf{A}) / \AA$ | $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{D}-\mathbf{A}) / \AA$ | $\mathbf{D}-\mathbf{H}-\mathbf{A} /{ }^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O3H3N2 | 0.82 | 1.99 | $2.7067(13)$ | 145.7 |
| O3H3O2 | 0.82 | 2.22 | $2.8657(14)$ | 136.3 |
| O4H4O31 | 0.82 | 1.93 | $2.7483(14)$ | 171.9 |

${ }^{1}-X,-Y, 2-Z$

Table 7 Hydrogen Atom Coordinates $\left(\AA \times 10^{4}\right)$ and Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for cx1670.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $y$ | $z$ | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H3 | 1425 | -32 | 10185 | 70 |
| H4 | -248 | 1078 | 9338 | 86 |
| H4A | 3218 | 4185 | 9096 | 41 |
| H5 | 2773 | 6834 | 8029 | 45 |
| H9 | 3142 | -4639 | 12195 | 52 |
| H6 | 1464 | 7085 | 7559 | 46 |
| H1 | 616 | 4722 | 8166 | 45 |
| H11 | 5123 | -854 | 11879 | 53 |
| H10 | 4439 | -3716 | 12577 | 58 |
| H13A | 5568 | 3518 | 10472 | 74 |
| H13B | 5644 | 176 | 10877 | 74 |
| H13C | 5508 | 3380 | 11280 | 74 |
| H14A | 1331 | -4889 | 11162 | 66 |
| H14B | 1867 | -3673 | 11870 | 66 |
| H14C | 2086 | -6788 | 11482 | 66 |

NMR data
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, DMSO- $d_{6}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, DMSO- $d_{6}$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, DMSO- $d_{6}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, DMSO- $d_{6}$


${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ).
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, DMSO- $d_{6} .16 .3 \% Z$ isomer (denoted with arrows)

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, DMSO- $d_{6}$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, DMSO- $d_{6} .4 .2 \% Z$ isomer (denoted with arrows)

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, DMSO- $d_{6}$
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, DMSO- $d_{6} .2 .9 \% Z$ isomer (denoted with arrows)

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, DMSO- $d_{6}$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, DMSO- $d_{6} .18 .5 \% Z$ isomer (denoted by arrows)

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, DMSO- $d_{6}$
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, DMSO- $d_{6} .2 .7 \% \mathrm{Z}$ isomer (denoted with arrows)

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, DMSO- $d_{6}$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, DMSO- $d_{6} .1 .0 \% Z$ isomer

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, DMSO- $d_{6}$

## SI-9



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d .6 .7 \% \mathrm{Z}$ isomer (denoted with arrows).

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, DMSO- $d_{6}$


## SI-10



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, DMSO- $d_{6}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, DMSO- $d_{6}$
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1H NMR, DMSO- $d_{6}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, DMSO- $d_{6}$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, DMSO- $d_{6}$
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$ (crude)

 f1 (ppm)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$ (crude) and unknown impurity, which was largely removed through crystallization in cool hexane.
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, DMSO- $d_{6}$

${ }^{13}$ C NMR, DMSO- $d_{6}$
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$ (crude)
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, DMSO- $d_{6}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, DMSO- $d_{6}$

${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ).
(E)-1-(2-bromophenyl)-2-(2,6-diethoxyphenyl)diazene (SI-14)


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

## (E)-(2-((2,6-diethoxyphenyl)diazenyl)phenyl)boronic acid (6')



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, DMSO- $d_{6} .7 .5 \% Z$ isomer (denoted with arrows)

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, DMSO- $d_{6}$

P1


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$. Minor peaks represent the $Z$ isomer. The functionality of PEG-NH2 was determined to be $\geq 80 \%$ by end-group analysis.

## Chapter 3: Photoredox Diels-Alder ladder polymerization


#### Abstract

Ladder polymers are synthetically challenging targets that comprise a sequence of rings in which each repeat unit shares at least two atoms with the adjacent one. Ladder polymers with sp ${ }^{3}$-hybridized backbones feature kinked structures with restricted bond rotation. Such ladder polymers are typically synthesized through a mechanism that allows simultaneous formation of both bonds during polymerization, such as the Diels-Alder cycloaddition. Prior Diels-Alder polymerizations yielding ladder polymers required elevated temperature and/or pressure to achieve the desired reactivity, and the resulting products include aromatic linkages within the backbone. Here, we show that photoredox catalysis provides access to unique ladder polymers with $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ backbones under mild reaction conditions. We design 2-arylbutadiene monomers that enable propagation of the cyclohexene formed by each successive cycloaddition by stabilizing the required radical cation. The polymerization achieves molecular weights up to $4,400 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ with various electron-rich 2 -aryl butadiene monomers. The resulting products may also be treated as macromonomers to form ladder bottlebrush polymers through a cationic polymerization. This report represents the first example of applying photoredox catalysis to the synthesis of ladder polymers and yields a novel $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-rich ladder polymer structure.


## Introduction

Ladder polymers, which have two continuous junctions along the polymer backbone, have long enticed and puzzled chemists with their distinctive structures and challenging synthesis. ${ }^{38}$ Because each repeat unit shares two atoms with the adjacent one, forming an uninterrupted sequence of rings, ${ }^{114}$ ladder polymers have significantly limited degrees of rotation. These restricted conformations prevent efficient chain packing and can lead to materials that are intrinsically microporous, with applications in gas-phase separation, water purification, and chemical warfare agent detoxification. ${ }^{50}$ The Diels-Alder cycloaddition presents an attractive synthetic route to ladder polymers because of the concerted nature of the ring-forming reaction. In 1926, Staudinger posited the first synthesis of a ladder polymer through the repeated cycloaddition of cyclopentadiene, and found that heating dicyclopentadiene at $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 h yielded an insoluble white powder that depolymerized to cyclopentadiene at $500{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure 67a)..$^{41}$ While Staudinger's proposed polymerization involves a
thermodynamically disfavored $[2+2]$ cycloaddition, a [4+2] cycloaddition, which had not yet been reported by Diels and Alder, ${ }^{115}$ is more likely. Schlüter used the Diels-Alder cycloaddition to prepare the first soluble, fully characterized ladder polymer using a highly reactive diene that was generated in situ. ${ }^{46,116}$ Despite the popularity of the Diels-Alder reaction for the synthesis of ladder polymers, efficient polymerization often requires high-
(a) Previous reports

Staudinger, 1926


Schlüter, 1989

(b) Photoredox Diels-Alder cycloaddition (Yoon, 2011)

(c) This work: photoredox ladder polymerization


Figure 67. (a) Previous attempts and successful reports of ladder polymerization based on cycloaddition reactions. (b) Radical cation Diels-Alder of trans-anethole and isoprene using photoredox catalysis. (c) Our proposed ladder polymerization via photoredox catalysis.
temperature or hyperbaric ${ }^{52}$ reaction conditions. Since then, other reactions commonly used to form both bonds along the polymer backbone include Pd-catalyzed annulation, ${ }^{57} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ polycondensation, ${ }^{54}$ and Tröger's base formation. ${ }^{55}$ Notably, all previous reports involve aromatic monomers, so the resulting ladder polymers include some $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ atoms along the backbone even though they are not fully conjugated.

We envisioned that photoredox catalysis could be used to access ladder polymers with saturated backbones without elevated temperature or pressure. The advent of photoredox catalysis in polymer chemistry has enabled spatiotemporal control and mild reaction conditions. ${ }^{117}$ Photoredox catalysis has been applied to many classes of polymerization, ${ }^{117}$ including RAFT, $30,118,119$ ATRP, ${ }^{120,121}$ ROMP, ${ }^{33,34}$ ROP, ${ }^{31}$ and cationic polymerization of vinyl ethers. ${ }^{29,122}$ Surprisingly, photoredox catalysis has not yet been applied to the synthesis of ladder polymers. We were inspired by the photoredox Diels-Alder cycloaddition reported by Yoon and coworkers, in which an electronically mismatched diene and dienophile react through a radical cationic pathway (Figure 67b). ${ }^{58}$ In this small-molecule example, the dienophile must contain an electron-rich aryl group to allow oxidation by the photoredox catalyst. ${ }^{123}$ However, the unactivated alkene in the product derived from isoprene cannot be oxidized, preventing further cycloadditions.

We hypothesized that the diene could be modified to support subsequent oxidation of the cycloadduct, thus enabling multiple sequential Diels-Alder reactions to ultimately form a ladder structure (Figure 67c). We envisioned that cyclohexene 1 could serve as a readily oxidized initiator, while 2-arylbutadiene monomers would produce cycloadducts with activated alkenes capable of propagation by the radical cation Diels-Alder mechanism. Unlike the ladder polymers accessed by prior approaches, including Diels-Alder polymerizations, the resulting ladder polymer would possess a fully $s^{3}$-hybridized backbone with the exception of the propagating terminus. The properties of such saturated ladder polymers are entirely unknown. Such a polymerization could also follow a chain-growth mechanism, distinct from other Diels-Alder polymerization, which are step-growth in nature. Herein, we report the first photoredox ladder polymerization, explore the scope of this reaction, and demonstrate the ability to chain-extend the resulting products to achieve higher molecular weight polymers.

## Results and Discussion

We first sought to confirm that the expected product of the proposed Diels-Alder cycloaddition, a 1arylcyclohexene, can serve as a dienophile for subsequent propagation. Using cyclic voltammetry, we studied the oxidation of $p$-methoxyphenyl cyclohexene 1 (Figure 68a). Cyclohexene 1 underwent an irreversible oxidation event at +0.85 V vs. ferrocene/ferrocenium, suggesting that it has an even lower oxidation potential
than trans-anethole, the model substrate in Yoon's original study $(+0.9 \mathrm{~V}) .{ }^{58}$ These comparable oxidation potentials suggest that the electronics of $\mathbf{1}$ would support a radical cation cycloaddition. However, $\mathbf{1}$ is also a trisubstituted alkene, making it more sterically hindered than trans-anethole. To confirm that the radical cation derived from 1 can undergo cycloaddition, we subjected 1 and excess isoprene to various photocatalysts and oxidants (Figure 68b). Gratifyingly, $\mathbf{1}$ underwent successful cycloaddition with several oxidizing photocatalysts, and the structure of adduct 2 was confirmed by both mass spectrometry (MS) and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR) (see Supplementary Information (SI)). As expected, the unactivated alkene in 2 did not undergo further propagation, but we anticipated that these photoredox conditions could be extended to the proposed ladder polymerization with appropriate monomers. To demonstrate that other aryl groups could support propagation, we synthesized and tested several cyclohexenes with different electron-rich aryl groups, and observed the desired cycloadducts by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GCMS, Table 14). These results demonstrate that a 1 -arylcyclohexene can serve as a dienophile in the radical cation Diels-Alder cycloaddition promoted by photoredox catalysis, supporting the propagation step of the proposed ladder polymerization.



Figure 68. (a) Cyclic voltammetry comparing 1 and trans-anethole. Conditions: $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{TBAPF}_{6}$ in acetonitrile, Pt working electrode, Pt auxiliary electrode, AgCl reference electrode, and ferrocene as a reference. (b) Small-molecule test reaction with isoprene.

Emboldened by these small-molecule results, we sought to apply these conditions to polymerization using 2-aryl-1,3-diene monomers in place of isoprene. We hypothesized that the electronics of the aryl group would influence its ability to support subsequent oxidation of the terminal cyclohexene and thus undergo propagation. Initially, we performed polymerization experiments with cyclohexene $\mathbf{1}$ as the initiator and 5 equivalents of $p$-methoxyphenyl diene 3a (Figure 69a). These conditions resulted in low-molecular-weight oligomers with $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}} 490 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}(Ð 1.4)$ (expected $988 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) as indicated by gel permeation chromatography (GPC). Additionally, during vacuum distillation of $\mathbf{3 a}$ at elevated temperatures, we observed side products arising from a single Diels-Alder cycloaddition, suggesting reactivity outside of the radical cation manifold. Fortunately, replacing the methoxy group with a silyl ether suppressed the thermal reactivity and improved the solubility of the products; furthermore, the silyl ether could be deprotected for post-polymerization modifications. With 5 equivalents TBS-protected diene 3b relative to 1, we obtained oligomer with $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}} 780$
$\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mol}$ (expected $1,488 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ ). Further increasing the amount of monomer relative to initiator to $15: 1$ increased the molecular weight to $1,300 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}(Ð=1.5$, expected $4,088 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})$ with a yield of $71 \%$. The disparity between the theoretical and experimental molecular weights suggests that the polymerization is not well controlled (vide infra). The polymerization of diene $\mathbf{3 b}$ proceeded with a variety of photocatalysts, solvents, oxidants, and at a variety of concentrations, but with minimal effect on the molecular weight of the product (see SI for additional conditions).

Using monomer $\mathbf{3 b}$ and initiator $\mathbf{1}$, we performed several control experiments to confirm the role of light in the reaction. We do not obtain polymer in the absence of light, photocatalyst, or when diene (SI, Table 18). We questioned whether the modest molecular weights arise from a competing retro-Diels-Alder process. However, when we subjected the isolated polymer to the standard reaction conditions (photocatalyst, light, no monomer), we did not observe a decrease in $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}$. Under the standard photoredox conditions in the absence of $3 \mathrm{~b}, \mathbf{1}$ undergoes $[2+2]$ dimerization; ${ }^{124}$ this side reaction is not observed when the diene is present. Interestingly, we still observed polymer formation with $M_{n} 1,200 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ when 1 was not added to the reaction mixture, suggesting that the initiator is not necessary.

These observations prompted us to investigate the products of the reaction in more detail. Since ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the polymeric products was complex, preventing detailed structural elucidation, we isolated and characterized oligomeric products formed from $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$ under the photoredox conditions. After precipitation into methanol, we separated the oligomeric products in the supernatant via preparative thin-layer chromatography and analyzed their structures using 1D and 2D NMR. The products we isolated correspond to well-defined Diels-Alder cycloadducts derived from $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$ in various ratios up to $\mathrm{DP}=4$. The double addition of $\mathbf{3 b}$ to $\mathbf{1}$ was fully characterized and assignments for key protons in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum are in Figure 68b. In addition to oligomers containing 1, we also observe Diels-Alder adducts lacking 1 and containing an additional monosubstituted olefin, which we assign to [4+2] cycloaddition between two dienes. Based on this assignment, we propose that the polymerization may either be initiated by $\mathbf{1}$ (forming LP3b, Figure 69a) or by the diene itself (forming LP3b'). While LP3b' contains two alkenes, the monosubstituted alkene would not be oxidized by the photocatalyst, thus propagation should still occur unidirectionally. These
assignments are consistent with MALDI-TOF analysis of the reaction products (SI, Figure 105 through Figure 107). In the absence of $\mathbf{1}$, masses corresponding to multiples of $\mathbf{3 b}$ are observed, while polymerization in the presence of $\mathbf{1}$ yields the same set of peaks in addition to a set indicating incorporation of initiator. Initiation by the diene partly accounts for the discrepancy between theoretical and experimental molecular weights.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the polymers includes the same characteristic signals observed in the oligomers, including the cyclohexene vinyl proton at 6.1 ppm and the OMe proton of $\mathbf{1}$ at 3.8 ppm , but the presence of several peaks in each region suggests that these protons exist in a variety of environments. For example, an incoming diene can react with either the top or bottom face of the cyclohexene terminus, resulting in different diastereomers of the product. While the oligomers we isolated were predominantly a single diastereomer, we cannot confirm diastereoselectivity. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of these ladder polymers are notably distinct from those of the linear polymers synthesized from 2-aryldiene monomers using a Mg -initiated polymerization reported by Fiorito et al. ${ }^{125}$


Figure 69. (a) Initiation pathways for the photoredox Diels-Alder polymerization assigned based on MALDI-TOF and NMR analysis (see ESI for details). (b) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the bis-addition product for both initiation pathways; see ESI for isolation procedure and additional spectroscopic data.

We next synthesized a library of 2-aryl diene monomers and subjected them to our optimized conditions. Polymerizations were evaluated based on the yield after precipitation into methanol and molecular weight by gel permeation chromatography (GPC). Because of the rigid structure of ladder polymers, GPC
evaluation of molecular weights using polystyrene standards on GPC are generally accepted as less accurate. ${ }^{126,127}$ Therefore, we used GPC coupled with multiangle light scattering (MALS) to determine the absolute molecular weights of the polymers. For most dienes evaluated, GPC indicated formation of oligomeric ( $\sim 1500 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) species in low to moderate yields (Figure 70). 3c, bearing a para-tertbutylphenyl group, provided one of the highest yields and molecular weights, most likely due to the electron-donating and solubilizing nature of this substituent. A para-methyl group (3d) also provided modest yield of an oligomer. As expected, based on the radical cation mechanism, electron-poor aryl dienes such as 3 e had deleterious effects on the molecular weight and yield. Beyond substitution at the para position, ortho substituents decreased the yield of oligomer/polymer with variable effects on molecular weight. Compared to para-substituted arenes, 2 -naphthyl ( $\mathbf{3 g}$ ) and 2,4-dimethylphenyl ( $\mathbf{3 h}$ ) dienes provided improved molecular weight, while sterically hindered $3 \mathbf{i}$ prevented efficient polymerization. While para-methoxy-substituted 3a was too reactive, undergoing background thermal reactions, meta-substituted $3 \mathbf{j}$ and $\mathbf{3 k}$ provided modest yields and molecular weights. Molecular weights were not significantly influenced by photocatalyst, solvent, and reaction concentration, suggesting the versatility of the polymerization (see SI for additional conditions). Further attempts to increase the molecular weight of the polymers are beyond the scope of this report. Synthesizing ladder polymers of high molecular weights has historically been a challenge due to the limited degrees of rotation of the polymers, which leads to lower solubility. ${ }^{126}$ Solubility limitations, as well as unknown termination mechanisms, may account for the lower yields observed for some of the reactions. We also speculate that the rigid, kinked conformation of these materials may render the propagating alkene sterically inaccessible after multiple cycloadditions, limiting the molecular weight of the polymers.


Figure 70. Scope of Diels-Alder polymerization. Polymerizations were conducted with a 1:15 initiator:monomer ratio and precipitated into 7:1 methanol:water. The resulting polymer was analyzed using GPC. *Polymer did not precipitate into methanol; in these cases yield and molecular weight are based on the supernatant.

The surface areas of the ladder polymers obtained through the photoredox polymerization are modest (ESI, Figure 75 through Figure 76). The microporosity of ladder polymers often increases with their molecular weight. ${ }^{128}$ Therefore, we sought to increase the molecular weight of these oligomers by using them as macroinitiators for a different polymerization mechanism. Inspired by recent reports by Swager and coworkers, ${ }^{53,129}$ we hoped to convert the oligomeric products of the photoredox pathway to bottlebrush ladder polymers. Unlike the ladder polymers synthesized by Swager and coworkers, the cyclic alkene of the DielsAlder adduct is not amenable to ring-opening metathesis polymerization. Instead, we hypothesized that the cyclohexene at the propagating end of both LP3 and LP3' would be sufficiently electron-rich to engage in Lewis acid-initiated cationic polymerization. A subset of polymers from Figure $\mathbf{7 0}$ was subjected to previously reported cationic polymerization conditions and the polymers were evaluated by GPC-MALS (Table 13). Indeed, we observed increases in molecular weight for each polymer sample. For some polymers, we observed significant increases in molecular weight, while polymers with less electron-rich arene end groups led to more marginal increases. This reactivity provides additional support for the presence of the cyclohexene end group.

Future experiments include evaluating the gas-sorption characteristics of these bottlebrush polymers and comparing them to their precursors.

Table 13. Cationic polymerization to synthesize bottlebrush ladder polymers. ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Polymers were precipitated into 7:1 methanol-water. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Molecular weights determined by GPC-MALS.

In conclusion, we have developed mild conditions for synthesizing highly saturated ladder polymers through a photoredox Diels-Alder cycloaddition. The polymerization proceeds with a variety of photocatalysts, solvents, and electron-rich aryl diene monomers. Evidence for the cycloadduct structure of the products is obtained from NMR analysis of isolated oligomers. Although a cyclohexene initiator is incorporated into the polymers, we also found evidence for initiation by the monomer itself. Furthermore, we can exploit the resulting alkene end groups to synthesize higher-molecular-weight bottlebrush polymers through a cationic mechanism. While the polymerization is not well controlled, it likely proceeds through a chain-growth mechanism initiated or mediated by light. ${ }^{130}$ Future experiments include characterizing the microporosity of these polymers, comparing their microporosity to linear analogues, and investigating the polymerization kinetics.

## Supporting Information

General Procedures Unless otherwise noted, reactions were performed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere in oven-dried $\left(150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) glassware. Reaction progress was monitored by thin layer chromatography (Merck silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ plates) or by either liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry using an Agilent 6120 Quadrupole LC/MS or gas-chromatography mass-spectrometry using an Agilent GCMSD-Headspace. TLC plates were visualized using mainly UV-light ( 254 nm ) fluorescence quenching, potassium permanganate stain, ceric ammonium molybdate followed by heat. Automated column chromatography was performed using SiliCycle SiliaFlash F60 ( $40-63 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 60 \AA$ ) in SNAP cartridges on a Biotage Isolera One. Organic solvents were removed in vacuo using a rotary evaporator (Büchi Rotovapor R-100, $\sim 20-300$ torr) and residual solvent was removed under high vacuum (<200 mtorr).

Materials and Methods Commercial reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Acros, Alfa Aesar, TCI, or Oakwood and used as received. All solvents were purified and dried using a solvent-purification system that contained activated alumina. Additionally, THF used for glovebox experiments was degassed before being stored in a nitrogen-filled glove box over activated $3 \AA$ sieves.

Instrumentation Proton nuclear magnetic resonance ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) spectra and carbon nuclear magnetic resonance ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) spectra were recorded on Bruker AVANCE-500 spectrometers at 500 MHz and 125 MHz , and referenced to the solvent residual peaks. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AVANCE-500 spectrometers at 470 MHz . NMR data are represented as follows: chemical shift ( $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ ), multiplicity ( $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet $)$, coupling constant in $\operatorname{Hertz}(\mathrm{Hz})$ and integration. Blue LED strip lights (wavelength $=470 \mathrm{~nm}$, power $=6.6 \mathrm{~W}$ ) and green LED strip lights (wavelength $=525$ nm , low power $=5.7 \mathrm{~W}$, high power $=16 \mathrm{~W}$ ) were purchased from superbrightleds.com. Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) measurements were performed in stabilized, HPLC-grade tetrahydrofuran using one of two instruments; the first is a Agilent 1260 Infinity II system with variable-wavelength diode array (254, 400, 480, 530, and 890 nm ) and refractive index detectors, guard column (Agilent PLgel; $5 \mu \mathrm{~m} ; 50 \times 7.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), and
three analytical columns (Agilent PLgel; $5 \mu \mathrm{~m} ; 300 \mathrm{x} 7.5 \mathrm{~mm} ; 105,104$, and $103 \AA$ pore sizes). The instrument was calibrated with narrow dispersity polystyrene standards between $640 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ and $2300 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{mol}$ (Polymer Standards Service GmbH ). All runs were performed at $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ flow rate and $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Molecular weight values are calculated based on the refractive index signal. The other GPC instrument used in this report was an Agielnt Infinity II series system running with tetrahydrofuran as the mobile phase and two PolyPore $300 \times 7.5$ mm columns (Varian p/n 5M-POLY-008-112). The instrument is equipped with 18 -angle DAWN HELEOS II multiangle light scattering (MALS) detector, a ViscoStar II viscometer, and a Optilab T-rEX differential refractive index detector (Wyatt). All runs were performed at $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ flow rate and at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS) was performed on Bruker rapiflex MALDI-TOF Tissue typer in reflector positive mode. Samples were prepared by preparing solutions of trans-2-[3-(4-tert-butylphenyl)-2-methyl-2-propenylidene]malononitrile (DCTB, $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) in THF, silver nitrate $(5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$ in deionized water, and sample ( $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) in THF. Approximately $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of silver nitrate, polymer solution, and matrix were spotted on the MALDI chip and dried, respectively. The sample:additive:matrix ratios were varied to achieve good signal to noise. The glove box in which specified procedures were carried out was an MBraun Unilab Pro with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ isotherms were obtained at 77 K on a micromeritics Tristar after further activation at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuum overnight.

Cyclic Voltammetry All cyclic voltammetry experiments were conducted in a nitrogen-filled glovebox using acetonitrile with 0.1 M tetrabutylamonnium hexafluorophosphate electrolyte. Ferrocene was added as an internal standard following every run. Due to irreversibility of most of the measured redox events, potentials are reported as half-peak potentials determined by finding the potential at which the measured current reaches the average of the maximum and pre-onset currents of a peak. These values were referenced to the half-wave potential of ferrocene.

## Experimental Procedures <br> Part A: Synthesis of cyclohexene initiators

(4-bromophenoxy)(tert-butyl)dimethylsilane


4-bromophenol ( $5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 60 mL of dry DCM. Add imidazole ( $4.7 \mathrm{~g}, 69 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.4$ equivalents), and let stir under nitrogen for 10 minutes before adding tert-butylchlorodimethylsilane (5.2 g, 35 mmol, 1.2 equivalents) and let stir overnight at room temperature. The reaction was quenched with saturated ammonium chloride ( 40 mL ) and the organic layer was separated and washed with brine ( 20 mL ) before drying over sodium sulfate. The crude was purified via flash column ( $10 \%$ EtOAc:Hexanes) to afforded the desired (4-bromophenoxy)(tert-butyl)dimethylsilaneas clear liquid (7.195 g, 87\%).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d_{3}\right) \delta 7.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{~s}$, $10 \mathrm{H}), 0.18(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

Scheme 2 Synthesis of cyclohexene initiators ${ }^{131}$

$\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{O M e}$ To a 100 mL three-necked round bottom flask, magnesium ( $1.07 \mathrm{~g}, 1.1$ equivalents, 44.0 mmol ) was added. Dry THF ( 10 mL ) and 0.4 mL of 1-bromo-4-methoxybenzene was added. The solution was heated to reflux with a heat gun under nitrogen. Add the remaining 1-bromo-4-methoxybenzene ( $7.9 \mathrm{~g}, 5.3 \mathrm{~mL}$ total, 1.1 equivalents 42.0 mmol ) in 30 mL of dry THF and reflux. After one hour, cool the flask to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and add cyclohexanone ( $3.9 \mathrm{~g}, 4.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 20 mL of dry THF dropwise via addition funnel. Bring solution to reflux for one hour. After reflux, cool solution to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and quench reaction by adding 6 M HCl dropwise (approximately 60 mL ). Extract with ethyl acetate ( 100 mL ), wash with brine ( 40 mL ) and dry with sodium sulfate. The organic layer was concentrated in vacuo and the crude product (dark amber oil) was immediately carried forward to the subsequent elimination step. The oil was dissolved in 40 mL of dry toluene in a 100 mL round-bottom flask. To this flask, $p$-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate $(0.76 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1 \mathrm{eq})$ was added and the solution was refluxed under a Dean-Stark trap under nitrogen overnight. The reaction was quenched at
room temperature with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with diethyl ether. The organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo with additional toluene removed via distillation. The crude oil was purified by column chromatography ( $100 \%$ pentane) to yield 4'-methoxy-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1,1'-biphenyl ( 1.2 g, $16 \%$ ) as a clear oil. Characterization of this material matched literature reports. ${ }^{131}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d_{3}\right) \delta 7.31 \mathrm{dd}(J=8.8,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.04-6.02(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H), $3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.17$, (m, 2H), 1.79-1.75 (m, 2 H$), 1.68-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) . \quad$ LRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ expected for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$ 188.1, measured 188.2
$\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{H}$ To a 100 mL three-necked round bottom flask, magnesium ( 1.07 g , 1.1 equivalents, 44.0 mmol ) was added. Dry THF ( 10 mL ) and 0.4 mL of 1-bromo-benzene was added. The solution was heated to reflux with a heat gun under nitrogen. Add the remaining 1-bromo-benzene ( $6.6 \mathrm{~g}, 4.4 \mathrm{~mL}$ total, 1.1 equivalents 42.0 mmol ) in 30 mL of dry THF and reflux. After one hour, cool the flask to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and add cyclohexanone $(3.9 \mathrm{~g}, 4.1 \mathrm{~mL}$, $40 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 20 mL of dry THF dropwise via addition funnel. Bring solution to reflux for one hour. After reflux, cool solution to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and quench reaction by adding 6 M HCl dropwise (approximately 60 mL ). Extract with ethyl acetate $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, wash with brine $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dry with sodium sulfate. The organic layer was concentrated in vacuo and the crude product (dark amber oil) was immediately carried forward to the subsequent elimination step. The oil was dissolved in 40 mL of dry toluene in a 100 mL round-bottom flask. To this flask, $p$-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate ( $0.76 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added and the solution was refluxed under a Dean Stark trap under nitrogen overnight. The reaction was quenched at room temperature with 1 M NaOH $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with diethyl ether. The organic layer was dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo. The crude oil was purified by column chromatography ( $100 \%$ pentane) to yield 2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1,1'biphenyl ( $1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 19 \%$ ) as a clear oil. Characterization of this material matched literature reports. ${ }^{131}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d_{3}\right) \delta 7.41-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.12(\mathrm{tt}, J=$ $3.9,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{tdt}, J=6.3,4.3,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.61(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 H)$.
$\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{M e}$ To a 100 mL three-necked round bottom flask, magnesium ( 1.07 g , 1.1 equivalents, 44.0 mmol ) was added. Dry THF ( 10 mL ) and 0.4 mL of 1-bromo-4-methylbenzene was added. The solution was heated to reflux with a heat gun under nitrogen. Add the remaining 1-bromo-4-methylbenzene ( $7.2 \mathrm{~g}, 5.2 \mathrm{~mL}$ total, 1.1 equivalents 42.0 mmol ) in 30 mL of dry THF and reflux. After one hour, cool the flask to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and add cyclohexanone ( $3.9 \mathrm{~g}, 4.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 20 mL of dry THF dropwise via addition funnel. Bring solution to reflux for one hour. After reflux, cool solution to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and quench reaction by adding 6 M HCl dropwise (approximately 60 mL$)$. Extract with ethyl acetate $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, wash with brine $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dry with sodium sulfate. The organic layer was concentrated in vacuo and the crude product (dark amber oil) was immediately carried forward to the subsequent elimination step. The oil was dissolved in 40 mL of dry toluene in a 100 mL round-bottom flask. To this flask, $p$-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate ( $0.76 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added and the solution was refluxed under a Dean Stark trap under nitrogen overnight. The reaction was quenched at room temperature with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with diethyl ether. The organic layer was dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo. The crude oil was purified by column chromatography ( $100 \%$ pentane) to yield 4 '-methyl-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1,1'-biphenyl ( $4.0 \mathrm{~g}, 58 \%$ ) as a clear oil. Characterization of this material matched literature reports. ${ }^{131}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d_{3}\right) \delta 7.32-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.09(\mathrm{tt}, J=3.9,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45$ $-2.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{dtt}, J=6.3,3.8,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71$ - 1.63 (m, 2H).
$\mathbf{R}={ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathbf{B u}$ To a 100 mL three-necked round bottom flask, magnesium ( 1.07 g , 1.1 equivalents, 44.0 mmol ) was added. Dry THF ( 10 mL ) and 0.4 mL of 1-bromo-4-(tert-butyl)benzene was added. The solution was heated to reflux with a heat gun under nitrogen. Add the remaining 1-bromo-4-(tert-butyl)benzene ( $9.0 \mathrm{~g}, 7.3 \mathrm{~mL}$ total, 1.1 equivalents 42.0 mmol ) in 30 mL of dry THF and reflux. After one hour, cool the flask to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and add cyclohexanone ( $3.9 \mathrm{~g}, 4.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 20 mL of dry THF dropwise via addition funnel. Bring solution to reflux for one hour. After reflux, cool solution to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and quench reaction by adding 6 M HCl dropwise (approximately 60 mL$)$. Extract with ethyl acetate ( 100 mL ), wash with brine $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dry with sodium
sulfate. The organic layer was concentrated in vacuo and the crude product (dark amber oil) was immediately carried forward to the subsequent elimination step. The oil was dissolved in 40 mL of dry toluene in a 100 mL round-bottom flask. To this flask, $p$-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate ( $0.76 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added and the solution was refluxed under a Dean Stark trap under nitrogen overnight. The reaction was quenched at room temperature with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with diethyl ether. The organic layer was dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo. The crude oil was purified by column chromatography ( $100 \%$ pentane) to yield $4^{\prime}$-(tert-butyl)-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1, $1^{\prime}$-biphenyl ( $6.7 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%$ ) as a clear oil. Characterization of this material matched literature reports. ${ }^{131}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d_{3}\right) \delta 7.34(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.11(\mathrm{tt}, J=3.9,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41$ (dddd, $J=6.3,4.4,3.1,1.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{ddt}, J=7.7,6.3,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
$\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{F}$ To a 100 mL three-necked round bottom flask under nitrogen, add solution of (4fluorophenyl)magnesium bromide ( $0.8 \mathrm{M}, 45 \mathrm{~mL}, 36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Add cyclohexanone ( $2.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 15 mL of dry THF dropwise at room temperature. Bring solution to reflux for one hour. After reflux, cool solution to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and quench reaction by adding 6 M HCl dropwise (approximately 50 mL ). Extract with ethyl acetate ( 75 mL ), wash with brine ( 30 mL ) and dry with sodium sulfate. The organic layer was concentrated in vacuo and the crude product was immediately carried forward to the subsequent elimination step. The crude product was dissolved in 25 mL of dry toluene in a 100 mL round-bottom flask. To this flask, $p$-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate ( $0.57 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added and the solution was refluxed under a Dean Stark trap under nitrogen overnight. The reaction was quenched at room temperature with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo. The crude oil was purified by column chromatography ( $100 \%$ pentane) to yield 4'-fluoro-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1,1'biphenyl ( $3.8 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%$ ) as a clear oil. Characterization of this material matched literature reports. ${ }^{131}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d_{3}\right) \delta 7.36-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.05(\mathrm{tt}, J=3.9,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40$ $-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.23-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{dtt}, J=9.5,6.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{19} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{N M R}(470$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d_{3}\right) \delta-116.81(\mathrm{tt}, J=9.7,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$
$\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{O T B S}$ To a 100 mL three-necked round bottom flask, magnesium ( $1.07 \mathrm{~g}, 2.2$ equivalents, 44.0 mmol ) was added. Dry THF ( 10 mL ) and 0.4 mL of (4-bromophenoxy)-(tert-butyl)dimethylsilane
was added. The solution was heated to reflux with a heat gun under nitrogen. Add the remaining (4-bromophenoxy)-(tert-butyl)dimethylsilane
( $6.0 \mathrm{~g}, 1.1$ equivalents 21.0 mmol ) in 30 mL of dry THF and reflux. After one hour, cool the flask to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and add cyclohexanone ( $1.96 \mathrm{~g}, 2.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 20 mL of dry THF dropwise via addition funnel. Bring solution to reflux for two hours. After reflux, cool solution to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and quench reaction by adding saturated ammonium chloride dropwise (approximately 60 mL ). Extract with ethyl acetate ( 100 mL ), wash with brine ( 40 mL ) and dry with sodium sulfate. The organic layer was concentrated in vacuo and the crude product (dark amber oil) was immediately carried forward to the subsequent elimination step. The oil was dissolved in 40 mL of dry toluene in a 100 mL round-bottom flask. To this flask, $p$-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate $(0.76 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}$, $0.1 \mathrm{eq})$ was added and the solution was refluxed under a Dean Stark trap under nitrogen overnight. The reaction was quenched at room temperature with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with diethyl ether. The organic layer was dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo. The crude oil was purified by column chromatography ( $100 \%$ pentane) to yield 4'-(tert-butyl)-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1,1'-biphenyl ( $6.7 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%$ ) as a clear oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d_{3}\right) \delta 7.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.94(\mathrm{tt}, J=$ 3.9, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 2.27 (tdd, $J=6.3,4.0,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{ddt}, J=7.7,6.2,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.58-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.78(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

## Part B: Synthesis of diene monomers

We sought to synthesize aryl dienes through a synthetic route originally reported by Mazet and coworkers (Scheme S3a). ${ }^{132}$ However, we found that while we synthesized the diene in moderate yields, we often also obtained the styrene product, most likely due to a hydrate addition that can happen during Grignard addition reactions. ${ }^{133}$ This styrene product was incredibly challenging to separate from the diene via column chromatography, so we chose to synthesize many dienes through a Grignard addition-elimination pathway (Scheme S3b). ${ }^{134}$ In this way, any carbonyl reduction product could be more easily separated from the Grignard addition product via column chromatography before proceeding to the elimination step.

Scheme S3 Synthetic routes for diene monomers


## 2-(4-(tert-butyl)phenyl)but-3-en-2-ol



A solution of vinyl magnesium bromide ( 1.0 M in THF, $41 \mathrm{~mL}, 41 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.8$ equiv) was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of 1-(4-(tert-butyl)phenyl)ethan-1-one ( $4.1 \mathrm{~g}, 4.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 23 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in 36 mL of diethyl ether was added dropwise under nitrogen. The reaction was stirred at room temperature overnight and quenched with saturated ammonium chloride ( 80 mL ). The product was extracted with ether $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and washed with brine. The organic layer was dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo. The crude oil was purified by column chromatography (10-30\% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to yield 2-(4-(tert-butyl)phenyl)but-3-en-2-ol (1.3 g, 27\%) as a yellow oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d_{3}\right) \delta 7.44-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.3,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.3,1.1$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.6,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: (126 MHz, CDCl3) $\delta 149.95,145.09,143.56,125.25,125.00,112.11,74.67,34.52,31.47,29.49$.

## 1-(4-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)ethan-1-one



Under nitrogen atmosphere, to a solution of 4-hydroxyacetophenone ( $5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 36.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and imidazole ( 5.0 g , $36.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous dimethyl formamide $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added chloro tert-butyldimethylsilane (TBSCl, 6.64 $\mathrm{g}, 44.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting solution was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was diluted with hexane/Et2O $=1 / 1(200 \mathrm{~mL})$, and organic layer was washed three times with $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and once with brine $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated to give a colorless liquid, which crystallized on standing to yield 1-(4-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)ethan-1-one as a colorless solid ( 9.23 g , quantitative).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right): 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}-2,6,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}-3,5,2 \mathrm{H}), 2.53$ $\left(\mathrm{s},-\mathrm{COCH}_{3}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right), 0.97\left(\mathrm{~s},-\mathrm{SiC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}, 9 \mathrm{H}\right), 0.22,\left(\mathrm{~s},-\mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 6 \mathrm{H}\right)$

## 1-(2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)ethan-1-one



Under nitrogen atmosphere, to a solution of 1-(2-hydroxyphenyl)ethan-1-one ( $4.1 \mathrm{~g}, 3.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and imidazole ( $4.9 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.4$ equiv) in anhydrous dichloromethane ( 60 mL ) was added chloro tertbutyldimethylsilane (TBSCl, $5.4 \mathrm{~g}, 36 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting solution was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. The organic layer was washed three times with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and once with brine $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated to give a colorless liquid, which crystallized on standing to yield 1-(2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)ethan-1-one as a colorless solid (2.92 g, 39\%).
${ }^{1} H$ NMR: 1H NMR (500 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.2,7.3,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.98(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.26(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

## 2-(4-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)but-3-en-2-ol



A solution of vinyl magnesium bromide ( 1.0 M in THF, $36 \mathrm{~mL}, 36 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.8$ equiv) was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of 1-(4-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)ethan-1-one ( $5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in 36 mL of diethyl ether was added dropwise under nitrogen. The reaction was stirred at room temperature overnight and quenched with saturated ammonium chloride ( 80 mL ). The product was extracted with ether ( 50 mL ) and washed with brine. The organic layer was dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo. The crude oil was purified by column chromatography (10-30\% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to yield 2-(4-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)but-3-en-2-ol ( $2.1 \mathrm{~g}, 38 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.34-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83-6.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.3,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20$ (ddd, $J=78.7,17.3,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.19(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

## 2-(3-methoxyphenyl)but-3-en-2-ol



A solution of vinyl magnesium bromide (1.0 M in THF, $39 \mathrm{~mL}, 39 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.7$ equiv) was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of 1-(3-methoxyphenyl)ethan-1-one ( $3.5 \mathrm{~g}, 3.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 23 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in 36 mL of tetrahydrofuran was added dropwise under nitrogen. The reaction was stirred at room temperature overnight and quenched with saturated ammonium chloride $(80 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product was extracted with ether $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with brine. The organic layer was dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo. The crude oil was purified by column chromatography (10-30\% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to yield 2-(3-methoxyphenyl)but-3-en-2-ol (1.6 g, $38 \%$ ) as a yellow oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.30-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.2,2.6,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.3,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.3,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.6,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## 2-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)but-3-en-2-ol



A solution of vinyl magnesium bromide ( 1.0 M in THF, $17 \mathrm{~mL}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.8$ equiv) was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of 1-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)ethan-1-one ( $1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 8.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in 17 mL of tetrahydrofuran was added dropwise under nitrogen. The reaction was stirred at room temperature overnight and quenched with saturated ammonium chloride ( 80 mL ). The product was extracted with ether ( 50 mL ) and washed with brine. The organic layer was dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo. The crude oil was purified by column chromatography (10-30\% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to yield 2-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)but-3-en-2-ol (1.6 g, 92\%) as a yellow oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.36(\mathrm{t}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.3,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.2,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.6,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## 2-(4-fluorophenyl)but-3-en-2-ol



A solution of vinyl magnesium bromide ( 0.7 M in THF, $59 \mathrm{~mL}, 42 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.8$ equiv) was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of 1-(4-fluorophenyl)ethan-1-one ( $3.2 \mathrm{~g}, 2.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 23 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in 36 mL of tetrahydrofuran was added dropwise under nitrogen. The reaction was stirred at room temperature overnight and quenched with saturated ammonium chloride $(80 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product was extracted with ether $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with brine. The organic layer was dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo. The crude oil was purified by column
chromatography (10-30\% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to yield 2-(4-fluorophenyl)but-3-en-2-ol (1.6 g, 43\%) as a pale yellow oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.48-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.3,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22$ (ddd, $J=68.8,17.4,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{19}$ F NMR: $\left(470 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d_{3}\right) \delta-116.24(\mathrm{tt}, J=8.7,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

## diethyl (1-(naphthalen-1-yl)vinyl) phosphate



To a stirred solution of 1-(naphthalen-1-yl)ethan-1-one ( $2.47 \mathrm{~g}, 14.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in anhydrous THF (45.0 $\mathrm{mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{M}$ overall concentration) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and under an inert atmosphere of nitrogen, LiHMDS (18.9 mL of 1 M solution in THF/ethylbenzene, $18.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv.) was added dropwise. After 30 min , diethyl chlorophosphate ( $3.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 21.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 2$ hours. The reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature for 10 hours, quenched with saturated ammonium chloride solution and then extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $20-50 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes) affording pure diethyl (1-(naphthalen1 -yl)vinyl) phosphate ( $4.18 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ ) as an orange oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.24(\mathrm{dq}, J=8.7,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51$ (dddd, $J=18.0,8.1,6.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.49(\mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{t}$, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-3.96(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{td}, J=7.1,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{31 P}$ NMR: $\left(202 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-6.78(\mathrm{p}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 152.94,152.87,133.65,133.60,133.56,130.97,129.78,128.39,127.38,126.68$, 126.16, 125.73, 125.13, 103.20, 103.17, 64.48, 64.43, 16.07, 16.02.


1-(2,4-dimethylphenyl)vinyl diethyl phosphate To a stirred solution 1-(2,4-dimethylphenyl)ethan-1-one ( $2.15 \mathrm{~g}, 2.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in anhydrous THF ( $45.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{M}$ overall concentration) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and under an inert atmosphere of nitrogen, LiHMDS ( 18.9 mL of 1 M solution in THF/ethylbenzene, 18.9 $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv.) was added dropwise. After 30 min , diethyl chlorophosphate ( $3.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 21.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 2$ hours. The reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature for 10 hours, quenched with saturated ammonium chloride solution and then extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $30-60 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes) affording pure 1-(2,4-dimethylphenyl)vinyl diethyl phosphate ( 4.04 g , $98 \%$ ) as an amber oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.28-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{31}$ P NMR: $\left(202 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-6.79(\mathrm{p}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$.


1-(2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)vinyl diethyl phosphate To a stirred solution 1-(2-((tertbutyldimethylsilyl) oxy)phenyl) ethan-1-one ( 2.91 g , 11.6 mmol , 1.0 equiv.) in anhydrous THF ( $45.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.17 \mathrm{M}$ overall concentration) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and under an inert atmosphere of nitrogen, LiHMDS $(15.1 \mathrm{~mL}$ of 1 M solution in THF/ethylbenzene, $15.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv.) was added dropwise. After 30 min , diethyl chlorophosphate ( 3.01 g, $2.53 \mathrm{~mL}, 17.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 2$ hours. The reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature for 10 hours, quenched with saturated ammonium chloride solution and then extracted with ethyl acetate ( 3 x 100 mL ). The combined organics were dried over
sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $30-60 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes) affording pure 1-(2,4-dimethylphenyl)vinyl diethyl phosphate ( $2.6 \mathrm{~g}, 58 \%$ ) as an amber oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.1,7.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{td}, J$ $=7.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\mathrm{dt}, J=12.8,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{td}$, $J=7.1,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.22(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

31P NMR: $\left(202 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-6.53(\mathrm{p}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

diethyl (1-(4-((trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)phenyl)vinyl) phosphate To a stirred solution 1-(4-((trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)phenyl)ethan-1-one ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 9.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in anhydrous THF ( $34.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{M}$ overall concentration) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and under an inert atmosphere of nitrogen, LiHMDS (12 mL of 1 M solution in THF/ethylbenzene, $12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv.) was added dropwise. After 30 min , diethyl chlorophosphate (2.4 $\mathrm{g}, 2.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 2$ hours. The reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature for 10 hours, quenched with saturated ammonium chloride solution and then extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $30-60 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes) affording pure 1-(2,4-dimethylphenyl)vinyl diethyl phosphate ( $2.53 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%$ ) as an orange oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.54-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.33-5.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{dqt}, J=8.2,7.1,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.34(\mathrm{td}, J=7.1,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.25(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}$ NMR: $\left(202 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 31P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl3) $\delta-6.28(\mathrm{~s})$.

(4-(buta-1,3-dien-2-yl)phenoxy)(tert-butyl)dimethylsilane To a solution of 2 2-(4-((tertbutyldimethylsilyl)oxy) phenyl)but-3-en-2-ol ( $1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 5.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in 40 mL of benzene, pyridinium ptoluenesulfonate ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.057 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv), dibutylhydroxytoluene ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.057 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv), and sodium sulfate ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.061$ equiv) were added. The reaction was stirred at reflux for overnight. After, the reaction was quenched with saturated sodium bicarbonate ( 60 mL ), extracted with ethyl acetate ( 30 mL ) and the organic layer was dried with sodium sulfate before being concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was redissolved in 60 mL of dichloromethane and imidazole ( $1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 16 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.8$ equiv) and stirred for 5 minutes. After, tert-butylchlorodimethylsilane ( $1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 8.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4$ equiv) was added and the reaction was stirred at room temperature overnight under nitrogen. The resulting product was quenched with saturated ammonium chloride ( 60 mL ) and washed with brine $(75 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(75 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography ( $100 \%$ hexanes) to afford (4-(buta-1,3-dien-2-yl)phenoxy)(tert-butyl)dimethylsilane ( 1.07 g , $72 \%$ ) as a colorless oil which was stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ BHT. The spectra of the compound match that of previous reports. ${ }^{132}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.23-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{ddd}, J=17.4,10.6,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26-5.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.22(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.


1-(buta-1,3-dien-2-yl)-4-fluorobenzene To a solution of 2-(4-fluorophenyl)but-3-en-2-ol (1.6 g, 9.6 mmol , 1.0 equiv) in 40 mL of benzene, pyridinium p-toluenesulfonate ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.096 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv), dibutylhydroxytoluene ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.096 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv), and sodium sulfate ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.042$ ) were added. The reaction was stirred at reflux for overnight. After, the reaction was quenched with saturated sodium bicarbonate $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with ethyl acetate $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the organic layer was dried with sodium sulfate before being concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography ( $100 \%$ hexanes) to afford 1-(buta-1,3-dien-2-yl)-4-fluorobenzene ( $617 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \%$ ) as a colorless
oil which was stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $1 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{BHT}$. The spectra of the compound match that of previous reports. ${ }^{132}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.31-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30$ $-5.12(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{19}$ F NMR: $\left(470 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d_{3}\right) \delta-115.16(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.0,9.7,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$.


1-(buta-1,3-dien-2-yl)-3-methoxybenzene To a solution of 2-(3-methoxyphenyl)but-3-en-2-ol (1.5 g, 8.4 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 20 mL of benzene, pyridinium p -toluenesulfonate ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.084 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and sodium sulfate ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.042$ ) was added. The reaction was stirred at reflux for 2 hours. After, the reaction was quenched with saturated sodium bicarbonate $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with ethyl acetate $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the organic layer was dried with sodium sulfate before being concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography ( $100 \%$ hexanes) to afford 1-(buta-1,3-dien-2-yl)-3methoxybenzene ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 9 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. The spectra of the compound match that of previous reports. ${ }^{132}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.27(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-6.83(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.65-6.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34-$ $5.19(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.


1-(buta-1,3-dien-2-yl)-3,5-dimethoxybenzene To a solution of 2-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)but-3-en-2-ol (1.6 g, $7.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in 20 mL of benzene, pyridinium p -toluenesulfonate ( $19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.077 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and sodium sulfate $(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.046)$ was added. The reaction was stirred at reflux for 2 hours. After, the reaction was quenched with saturated sodium bicarbonate $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with ethyl acetate $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the organic layer was dried with sodium sulfate before being concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude
product was purified by flash column chromatography ( $100 \%$ hexanes) to afford 1 -(buta-1,3-dien-2-yl)-3,5dimethoxybenzene ( $323 \mathrm{mg}, 22 \%$ ) as a colorless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.62-6.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{t}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31-$ $5.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

(2-(buta-1,3-dien-2-yl)phenoxy)(tert-butyl)dimethylsilane Under an argon atmosphere, [(dppe)NiCl2] ( $25.50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07093 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was weighted in a 25 mL Schlenk flask and suspended in 4.0 mL of anhydrous and degassed tetrahydrofuran. The flask was sealed and removed from argon atmosphere. The heterogeneous mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1-(2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)vinyl diethyl phosphate ( $1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 3.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) was added to the mixture using a syringe. Vinylmagnesium bromide( 5.5 mL of a 0.7 M solution in THF, $3.83 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv.) was added dropwise by syringe at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (final volume: 14.56 mL , concentration: 0.25 M$)$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 hour at room temperature. The reaction was then quenched by addition of 5.0 mL of a saturated solution of ammonium chloride at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered and the solvent removed under vacuum affording the crude residue that, after purification by silica gel flash chromatography (100\% pentane), afforded (2-(buta-1,3-dien-2-yl)phenoxy)(tert-butyl)dimethylsilane ( $498 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.18(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.1,7.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{td}, J$ $=7.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{ddd}, J=17.2,10.3,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.39-5.11(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $5.12-4.83(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~s}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 0.14(\mathrm{~s}, 7 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 153.07,146.43,138.52,131.60,131.22,128.50,120.98,119.42,118.61,116.45$, 25.94, 25.82, 18.25, 0.15 .

((4-(buta-1,3-dien-2-yl)phenyl)ethynyl)trimethylsilane Under an argon atmosphere, [(dppe)NiCl2] (39.7 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.00141 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was weighted in a 25 mL Schlenk flask and suspended in 4.0 mL of anhydrous and degassed tetrahydrofuran. The flask was sealed and removed from argon atmosphere. The heterogeneous mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and diethyl (1-(4-((trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)phenyl) vinyl) phosphate ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.84 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv.) was added to the mixture using a syringe. Vinylmagnesium bromide ( 4.3 mL of a 0.7 M solution in THF, $2.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv.) was added dropwise by syringe at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (final volume: 11.35 mL , concentration: $0.25 \mathrm{M})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 hour at room temperature. The reaction was then quenched by addition of 5.0 mL of a saturated solution of ammonium chloride at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and extracted with diethyl ether ( 3 x 25 mL ). The organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered and the solvent removed under vacuum affording the crude residue that, after purification by silica gel flash chromatography (pentane buffered with $0.1 \%$ triethylamine), afforded ((4-(buta-1,3-dien-2-yl)phenyl)ethynyl)trimethylsilane ( $465 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ) as a yellow oil. The spectra matched that of literature reports. ${ }^{132}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.48-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.64-6.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.33-$ $5.13(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.26(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.


1-(buta-1,3-dien-2-yl)naphthalene To a stirred 1.0 M solution of vinyl magnesium bromide in THF ( 3.7 g , $28 \mathrm{mmol}, 28 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.2$ equiv), a solution of 1-(p-tolyl)ethan-1-one in 36 mL of THF was added dropwise over thirty minutes at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for two hours and then quenched with saturated ammonium chloride $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with ethyl acetate $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was dissolved in 60 mL of toluene with pyridinium p-toluenesulfonate ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.005$ equiv) and sodium sulfate ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.012$ equiv) and heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for three hours. The reaction was quenched with 75 mL of saturated sodium bicarbonate and washed with brine $(80 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was
dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo before being purified by column chromatography (100\% hexanes) to yield 1-(buta-1,3-dien-2-yl)-4-methylbenzene ( $72 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \%$ yield) as a colorless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.99-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.3,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{dq}, J=10.5,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.3,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3) $\delta 147.49,139.21,137.83,133.58,131.94,128.16,127.71,126.70,126.39,125.79$, 125.74, 125.40, 119.69, 117.81.


1-(buta-1,3-dien-2-yl)-4-methylbenzene To a stirred 1.0 M solution of vinyl magnesium bromide in THF ( 3.7 g , $28 \mathrm{mmol}, 28 \mathrm{~mL}$, 1.2 equiv), a solution of 1-(p-tolyl)ethan-1-one in 36 mL of THF was added dropwise over thirty minutes at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for two hours and then quenched with saturated ammonium chloride ( 200 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was dissolved in 60 mL of toluene with pyridinium p -toluenesulfonate ( 38 mg , $0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.005$ equiv) and sodium sulfate ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.012$ equiv) and heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for three hours. The reaction was quenched with 75 mL of saturated sodium bicarbonate and washed with brine (80 $\mathrm{mL})$. The organic layer was dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo before being purified by column chromatography ( $100 \%$ hexanes) to yield 1 -(buta-1,3-dien- 2 -yl)-4-methylbenzene ( $72 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \%$ yield) as a colorless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.25-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.8,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.65-6.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.28-$ $5.17(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.


1-(buta-1,3-dien-2-yl)-4-(tert-butyl)benzene To a solution of 2-(4-(tert-butyl)phenyl)but-3-en-2-ol (2.8 g, 14 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 40 mL of benzene, pyridinium p-toluenesulfonate ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and
sodium sulfate ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.026$ equiv) was added. The reaction was stirred at reflux for 2 hours. After, the reaction was quenched with saturated sodium bicarbonate $(80 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with ethyl acetate ( 40 mL ) and the organic layer was dried with sodium sulfate before being concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (100\% hexanes) to afford 1-(buta-1,3-dien-2-yl)-4-(tert-butyl) benzene ( $932 \mathrm{mg}, 37 \%$ ) as a colorless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.39-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.66-6.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30-5.17(\mathrm{~m}$, 4H), $1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.


1-(buta-1,3-dien-2-yl)-2,4-dimethylbenzene Under an argon atmosphere, [(dppe)NiCl2] (25.50 mg, 0.07093 $\mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was weighted in a 25 mL Schlenk flask and suspended in 4.0 mL of anhydrous and degassed tetrahydrofuran. The flask was sealed and removed from argon atmosphere. The heterogeneous mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1-(2,4-dimethylphenyl)vinyl diethyl phosphate ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 3.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) was added to the mixture using a syringe. Vinylmagnesium bromide( 5.5 mL of a 0.7 M solution in THF, $3.83 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv.) was added dropwise by syringe at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (final volume: 14.56 mL , concentration: 0.25 M ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 hour at room temperature. The reaction was then quenched by addition of 5.0 mL of a saturated solution of ammonium chloride at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered and the solvent removed under vacuum affording the crude residue that, after purification by silica gel flash chromatography ( $100 \%$ pentane), afforded 1-(buta-1,3-dien-2-yl)-2,4dimethylbenzene ( $221 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%$ ) as a colorless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.04-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.3,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.11(\mathrm{dq}, J=10.3,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.2,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H})$.

## LED emission profiles



Figure 71. Measured emission spectra of " 470 nm " LEDs used.


Figure 72. Measured emission spectra of " 525 nm " LEDs used.

## Procedure for the small-molecule photoredox Diels-Alder

Procedure for identification of small-molecule Diels-Alder product: An oven-dried 1-dram vial was charged with a stir bar and sealed with a septa cap. In three separate, sealed, oven-dried 1-dram vials, solutions of cyclohexene $1(50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$, photocatalyst $(8 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{mL})$, and isoprene ( $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) were prepared in dichloromethane or acetonitrile. To each 1-dram vial with a stir bar, 0.1 mL of each solution was added under nitrogen. The reactions were then stirred at 450 rpm either under a steady flow of nitrogen or with a 25 G needle to vent the reaction and introduce oxygen. The reaction was irradiated with blue LEDs (470 nm) and cooled with a fan for 16 hours. After which, the reactions were concentrated in vacuo and redissolved in solvent for GC-MS (dichloromethane) or LC-MS (10:1 acetonitrile:water with $0.1 \%$ formic acid) analysis. To isolate the cycloaddition product 2 , four reaction vials were combined and purified using preparatory thin-layer chromatography in 100\% pentane.

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.32-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83-6.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{~d}, J$
$=18.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{t}, J=9.3$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
LRMS m/z expected for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+257.18$, measured 257.1

Table 14. Cycloaddition products measured using GC-MS (LRMS). Reaction procedure for product identification follows that for product identification of 2 .

| $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ expected |  |  |
| $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ measured | 282.23 | 240.19 |
| 2 | 240.2 | 242 |

Procedure for optimization and quantification of small-molecule Diels-Alder reaction: An oven-dried 1-dram vial was charged with a stir bar (and external oxidant if that was a variable for screening) and sealed with a septa cap. In three separate, sealed, oven-dried 1 -dram vials, solutions of cyclohexene $\mathbf{1}(50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, $0.3 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), photocatalyst ( $8 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), and isoprene ( $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) were prepared in deuterated dichloromethane ( 0.12 M concentration total). To each 1 -dram vial with a stir bar, 0.1 mL of each solution was added under nitrogen. The reactions were then stirred at 450 rpm either under static nitrogen (if an external oxidant was added) or with a 25 G needle to vent the reaction and introduce oxygen. The reaction was irradiated with blue LEDs $(470 \mathrm{~nm})$ and cooled with a fan for 16 hours. The reactions were diluted with an additional 0.2 mL of deuterated DCM. A solution of benzaldehyde as an external standard was prepared $(30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, $0.3 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) in deuterated dichloromethane and 0.1 mL of this solution was added to each reaction vile. The resulting solutions were analyzed via ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR to measure conversion of starting cyclohexene and product formation.

Table 15. Optimization of small-molecule reaction.

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Entry | Catalyst | Oxidant |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | MesAcr $(1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ | Air |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | TPT-OMe | Air |

n.d. $=$ not detected

## Procedure for the optimization of photoredox Diels-Alder polymerization

General procedure for reaction optimization. An oven-dried 1-dram vial was charged with a stir bar (and external oxidant if that was a variable for screening) and sealed with a septa cap. In three separate, sealed, oven-
dried 1-dram vials, solutions of cyclohexene $\mathbf{1}(50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{mL})$, photocatalyst $(8 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{mL})$, and $\mathbf{3 b}$ $(1.10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ were prepared in solvent ( 0.09 M concentration total). To each 1 -dram vial with a stir bar, 0.1 mL of each solution was added under nitrogen. For reactions without initiator, 0.1 mL of solvent was added. The reactions were then stirred at 450 rpm with a 25 G needle to vent the reaction and introduce oxygen. The reaction was irradiated with blue LEDs $(470 \mathrm{~nm})$ and cooled with a fan for 16 hours. The reaction solution in each vial was precipitated into a 7:1 methanol:water solution and centrifuged at $10,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes.

Table 16. Optimization of standard reaction conditions.


TPT


TPT-OMe

tBuMesAcr

$\left(\operatorname{Ir}\left[\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}_{2} \mathbf{2}^{(\mathrm{dtbpy})}\right) \mathrm{PF}_{6}\right.$

Scheme 4. Photocatalysts used in Table 16.

Procedure for external oxidant screens. In 6 separate 1 dram vials the following solutions were prepared: 15 mg of $\mathbf{1}$ was dissolved in 0.3 mL of DCM or THF ( $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), 59.1 mg of diene $\mathbf{3 e}$ was dissolved in 0.3 mL of DCM or THF ( $197 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) , and 2.0 mg of MesAcr was dissolved in 0.61 mL of DCM or THF ( $3.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, $3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ). Six 1 -dram vials were charged with a stir bar and external oxidant ( 0.2 eq ) was added and the vials were sealed with septa and kept under nitrogen. Two vials contained CAN $(2.91 \mathrm{mg})$, two contained DDQ $(1.12 \mathrm{mg})$, and two contained methyl viologen $(1.37 \mathrm{mg})$. To three vials with different oxidants in each, 0.1 mL of each solution (photocatalyst, $\mathbf{1}$, and $\mathbf{3 e}$ ) were added via syringe under nitrogen. The reactions were run at room temperature with irradiation with blue light $(470 \mathrm{~nm})$ for 20 hours. Then, the samples were concentrated and prepared for GC-MS analysis by creating $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ solutions of crude reaction mixture in HPLC DCM with toluene as an external standard. Toluene internal standard was made by dissolving 2.61 mg of toluene in 1.0 mL of HPLC DCM ( 0.0284 mmol ). Samples were redissolved in 1.0 mL of HPLC DCM ( 0.0284 mmol ) from which 0.1 mL of this solution was combined with toluene standard and 0.8 mL of HPLC DCM. Reactions were evaluated based on conversion of $\mathbf{3 e}$ and formation of $\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{3 e}$ product according to MS $(336.2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z})$. Note: diene $\mathbf{3 e}$ was used due to the ability to detect the $\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{3} \mathbf{e}$ product using GC-MS.

Table 17. Optimization of external oxidant.

|  <br> $+$ <br> 1 |  <br> $3 e$ | $\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { DCM, oxidant, } 470 \mathrm{~nm} \\ 23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~N}_{2}}]{\text { MesAcr }(3 \mathrm{~mol} \%)}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oxidant | Solvent | \% 3e conversion | \% mono |
| CAN | THF | 47 | 14 |
| DDQ | THF | 40 | 4 |
| Methyl violegen | THF | 37 | 0 |
| Air | THF | 62 | 48 |
| CAN | DCM | 55 | 16 |
| DDQ | DCM | 63 | 2 |
| Methyl violegen | DCM | 38 | 1.1 |
| Air | DCM | 82 | 43 |

Procedure for elimination experiments. Solutions of photocatalyst, diene $\mathbf{3 b}$, and $\mathbf{1}$ were made by dissolving MesAcr ( 1.5 mg ) in 0.5 mL of DCM, 440 mg 3 b 0.4 mL of DCM, and 25 mg of $\mathbf{1}$ in 0.5 mL of DCM. Reactions were set up where in two 1 -dram vials charged with stir bars, 0.1 mL of each solution was added. One of these
vials was the control, while the other was prepared in the dark and the vial was wrapped in electrical tape. In the three remaining vials, only two of the three solutions were added to each vial, and 0.1 mL of DCM was added to replace the omitted solution ( 0.3 mL total volume). The reactions were vented with 25 G needles, irradiated with blue light ( 470 nm ), and stirred at 450 rpm for 16 hours. Then, the reactions were precipitated into 8 mL of a 7:1 methanol:water mixture and centrifuged at $10,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. Molecular weights were determined either from the polymer from precipitate or-if not precipitate was formed—from the isolated products in the supernatant.

Table 18. Elimination experiments. $M_{n}$ was determined using GPC with MALS detector.


| Entry | Eliminated component | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{\oplus})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | None | $1500(1.6)$ |
| 2 | MesAcr | n.d. |
| 3 | 1 | $1200(1.3)$ |
| 4 | 3 e | n.d. |
| 5 | Light (run in dark) | n.d. |

Procedure for substrate scope experiments. An oven-dried 1-dram vial was charged with a stir bar (and external oxidant if that was a variable for screening) and sealed with a septa cap. In three separate, sealed, ovendried 1-dram vials, solutions of cyclohexene $1(50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{mL})$, photocatalyst $(8 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{mL})$, and diene ( $4.0 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) were prepared in solvent $(0.09 \mathrm{M}$ concentration total). To each 1-dram vial with a stir bar, 0.1 mL of each solution was added under nitrogen. The reactions were then stirred at 450 rpm either with a 25 G needle to vent the reaction and introduce oxygen. The reaction was irradiated with blue LEDs (470 nm) and cooled with a fan for 16 hours. The reaction solution in each vial was precipitated into a 7:1 methanol:water solution and centrifuged at $10,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes.

Procedure for cationic polymer extension experiments. In a 1 dram vial with stir bar, 10 mg of polymer sample was dissolved in 0.15 mL of DCM under nitrogen. Stock solutions of tert-butyl chloride were prepared
$(115 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ in $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{mL})$ and 0.1 mL of this solution was added to the vial with dissolved polymer under nitrogen. This reaction was stirred as titanium tetrachloride ( $18 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 18 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in DCM ) was added and the reaction was stirred for 30 minutes before precipitation into 8 mL of a $7: 1$ methanol:water solution and centrifuged at $10,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. The precipitate was isolated and analyzed via GPC using MALS detection.

Procedure for probing polymerization initiation and characterization of oligomers. Supernatant from polymerizations with $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$ with MesAcr was concentrated in vacuo and redissolved in $\sim 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ of DCM to deposit at the baseline of a preparatory TLC plate. The plate was developed in a solution of $2 \%$ ether in pentane with $0.1 \%$ triethylamine. The top six lines which were isolated and purified using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and various 2D NMR experiments including COSY, HSQC, HMBC, TOCSY, and NOSY.

Electrochemical data
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3b

Figure 73. CV comparison of multiple initiators and 3 b acetonitrile with $\mathrm{TBAPF}_{6}$ electrolyte.



Cy-Me


Cy-F


Figure 74. CV of oxidation potential of multiple cyclohexenes in acetonitrile with $\mathrm{TBAPF}_{6}$ electrolyte.

Gas sorption experiments


Figure 75. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ isotherms of LP-3b $\left(5.7 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{g}\right)$.


Figure 76. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ isotherms of $\mathrm{LP}-3 \mathrm{~b}\left(110 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{g}\right)$.

GPC Traces


Figure 77. GPC traces of reaction in Table 16, entry 1 using RID detection.


Figure 78. GPC traces of reaction from Table 16, entry 2 using RID detection.


Figure 79. GPC traces of reaction in Table 16, entry 3 using RID detection.


Figure 80. GPC of reaction from Table 16, entry 4 using RID detection.


Figure 81. GPC of reaction from Table 16, entry 5 using RID detection.


Figure 82. GPC traces of reaction from Table 16, entry 6 using RID detection.


Figure 83. GPC traces of reaction in Table 16, entry 7 using RID detection.


Figure 84. GPC traces of reaction in Table 16, entry 8 using RID detection.


Figure 85. GPC traces of Table 16, entry 9 using RID detection.


Figure 86. GPC traces of Table 16, entry 10 using RID detection.


Figure 87. GPC traces of Table 16, entry 11 using RID detection.


Figure 88. GPC traces of reaction in Table 16, entry 13 using RID detection.


Figure 89. GPC traces of the reaction in Table 16, entry 14 using RID detection.


Figure 90. GPC traces of reaction in Table 16, entry 15.

dn/dc: $0.1429 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{g}$
Concentration: $1.000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$


Figure 91. GPC traces of LP-3b measured with a MALS detector.

dn/dc: $0.1450 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{g}$
Concentration: $1.000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$


Figure 92. GPC traces of LP-3c measured with a MALS detector.

dn/dc: $0.3967 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{g}$
Concentration: $1.000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$


Figure 93. GPC traces of LP-3d using a MALS detector. $M_{n}, M_{w}$, and $Đ$ were determined based on region 2.

dn/dc: $0.2666 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{g}$
Concentration: $1.000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$


Figure 94. GPC traces of LP-3e using a MALS detector.



Figure 95. GPC of LP-3f using RI detection.

dn/dc: $0.1013 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{g}$
Concentration: $1.000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$


Figure 96. GPC traces of LP-3g using a MALS detector.



Figure 97. GPC of LP-3h using RID detection.

$\mathrm{Ar}=$

dn/dc: $0.3462 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{g}$
Concentration: $1.000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$


Figure 98. GPC traces of LP-3i using a MALS detector.

dn/dc: $0.3407 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{g}$
Concentration: $1.000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$


Figure 99. GPC traces of LP-3J using a MALS detector.

dn/dc: $0.2230 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{g}$
Concentration: $1.000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$


Figure 100. GPC traces of LP-3k using a MALS detector.

dn/dc: $0.0910 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{g}$
Concentration: $1.000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$


Figure 101. GPC traces of LP-3d-ext using a MALS detector.

dn/dc: $0.0578 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{g}$
Concentration: $1.000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$


Figure 102. GPC traces of LP-3g-ext using a MALS detector.

dn/dc: $0.1423 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{g}$
Concentration: $1.000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$


Figure 103. GPC trace of LP-3j-ext using a MALS detector.

dn/dc: $0.0771 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{g}$
Concentration: $1.000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$


Figure 104. GPC trace of LP-3k-ext using a MALS detector.

NMR Spectra


4'-methoxy-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1,1'-biphenyl (1)


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

## 2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1,1'-biphenyl



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

## 4'-methyl-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1,1'-biphenyl


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

4'-(tert-butyl)-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1,1'-biphenyl

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

4'-fluoro-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1,1'-biphenyl


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

$-60-65-70-75-80-85-90 \quad-95-100-105-110-115-120-125-130-135-140-145-150$ f1 (ppm)
${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

4'-(tert-butyl)-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1,1'-biphenyl


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

## 2-(4-(tert-butyl)phenyl)but-3-en-2-ol


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

## 1-(4-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)ethan-1-one


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

## 1-(2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)ethan-1-one



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

## 2-(4-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)but-3-en-2-ol



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

## 2-(3-methoxyphenyl)but-3-en-2-ol



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

2-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)but-3-en-2-ol

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

## 2-(4-fluorophenyl)but-3-en-2-ol



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

${ }^{19}$ F NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$
diethyl (1-(naphthalen-1-yl)vinyl) phosphate


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

## 1-(2,4-dimethylphenyl)vinyl diethyl phosphate



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

1-(2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)vinyl diethyl phosphate


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$
diethyl (1-(4-((trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)phenyl)vinyl) phosphate


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$
(4-(buta-1,3-dien-2-yl)phenoxy)(tert-butyl)dimethylsilane


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

## 1-(buta-1,3-dien-2-yl)-4-fluorobenzene



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

1-(buta-1,3-dien-2-yl)-3-methoxybenzene


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

1-(buta-1,3-dien-2-yl)-3,5-dimethoxybenzene


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$
(2-(buta-1,3-dien-2-yl)phenoxy)(tert-butyl)dimethylsilane


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

## ((4-(buta-1,3-dien-2-yl)phenyl)ethynyl)trimethylsilane



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

1-(buta-1,3-dien-2-yl)naphthalene


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

## 1-(buta-1,3-dien-2-yl)-4-methylbenzene



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

1-(buta-1,3-dien-2-yl)-4-(tert-butyl)benzene


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

1-(buta-1,3-dien-2-yl)-2,4-dimethylbenzene
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ DEPT NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$
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Figure 105. MALDI-TOF of LP-3b and LP-3b' (polymerization with initiator).



Figure 106. MALDI-TOF of LP-3b' (polymerization without initiator).


Figure 107. MALDI-TOF MS of LP-3b and LP-3b' (overlay).



Figure 108. MALDI-TOF MS of LP-3d and LP-3d'.




Figure 109. MALDI-TOF MS of LP-3e and PF-3e'.



Figure 110. MALDI-TOF MS of LP-3g and LP-3g'.




Figure 111. MALDI-TOF MS of LP-3i and 3i'.



Figure 112. MALDI-TOF MS of LP-3j and LP-3j'.



Figure 113. MALDI-TOF MS of LP-3k and LP-3k'.
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#### Abstract

Recycling of poly(vinyl chloride) (PVC) poses a significant industrial challenge due to the formation of hydrochloric acid, which damages industrial reprocessing equipment and initiates uncontrolled depolymerization reactions. Because of this, PVC is not collected even though it is a widely produced and used industrial polymer. Part of the challenge in functionalizing or reprocessing PVC arises from the low reactivity of alkyl $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bonds, which present obstacles for post-polymerization modification (PPM). To develop a reaction that is suitable for PPM of PVC, I propose to develop a small-molecule transformation that will successfully functionalize linear, secondary alkyl chlorides through a cross-electrophile coupling approach. This cross-electrophile coupling will be developed and expanded to the PPM of PVC thermoplastics to install new functional groups on the polymer backbone and provide an opportunity to upcycle the material. I plan to explore the scope of this newly developed cross-electrophile coupling reaction with myriad aryl halides. Moreover, installing functional groups that can neutralize any HCl formation during reprocessing will present a viable alternative to industrial recycling. I will characterize the properties of these newly modified polymers to compare them to un-functionalized PVC and propose new applications for these functionalized materials.


## Introduction and Motivation

Plastic waste and recycling presents an increasingly urgent issue in the United States, as less than $10 \%$ of plastics are recycled. ${ }^{135}$ Indeed, plastic pollution has only increased recently due in part to the COVID-19 pandemic and the increased use of single-use plastic. ${ }^{136}$ Plastic waste has continued to accumulate in the United States because many countries that used to import foreign recyclables-such as China-have begun to ban importation of foreign recyclables. ${ }^{137}$ Recycling has stagnated and new solutions are crucial to maintain a sustainable, circular economy. Almost $90 \%$ of plastic waste falls within six categories of plastics, though not all these plastics can be recycled to the same extent. Most recycled polymers are thermoplastics, or unlinked polymer chains. Thermosets-crosslinked polymer chains—are not recyclable. ${ }^{138}$ Efforts to recycle some thermoplastics-such as polyesters and high-density polyethylene-are limited by contamination and collection
errors. In other words, effective strategies to chemically recycle polymers such as polyethylene terephthalate (PET) exist, yet limitations in PET recycling arise from industrial and economic limitations.

Conversely, chemical processes to recycle polyvinyl chloride (PVC) are extremely limited. PVC is the third most-produced polymer in the world by volume. ${ }^{139}$ While a majority of PVC is manufactured for construction purposes, it is also broadly incorporated in the manufacturing of medical equipment. The benefits of using PVC include its biocompatibility, low manufacturing cost, and robust mechanical lifetime. However, PVC begins to thermally degrade at approximately $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, at which point the polymer begins to dechlorinate and form hydrochloric acid. ${ }^{140}$ Acid formation damages industrial recycling equipment, leads to depolymerization of the polymer, and can contaminate other polymers in recycling plants. The formation of HCl is a competing reaction that prevents the chemical recycling of PVC to recover monomers. ${ }^{141}$ Due to these complications that arise from reprocessing and recycling PVC, it is neither recovered nor recycled. ${ }^{142}$ While some plastics without chemical recycling strategies can be incinerated for energy recovery, PVC incineration results in the release of halogenated organics. Chemical strategies that aim to recycle this class of polymer should focus on mitigating the formation of HCl through single-electron pathways and maintaining or enhancing the robust mechanical properties of these materials. While chemically recycling to monomers would be entropically disfavored, PVC functionalization to form new polymers would serve as an attractive alternative to current end-of-life cycles for PVC. ${ }^{143}$ Indeed, functionalization of polymers through activation of seemingly latent bonds has become increasingly studied and presents many new opportunities for chemical transformations. ${ }^{144}$

However, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond in PVC continues to present an obstacle for recycling and functionalization, rather than an opportunity for functionalization and upcycling to obtain new polymers with unique properties. Compared to other electrophiles, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bonds are relatively stable, which makes them less-suitable partners in typical metal-catalyzed transformations. Attempts to functionalize PVC through nucleophilic substitution at the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ site have resulted in parallel formation of hydrochloric acid. ${ }^{145,146} \mathrm{PVC}$ has been functionalized through grafting of acrylic acid, but this method required a two-step transformation in which the PVC was first subjected to iodination before grafting via an ATRP mechanism. ${ }^{147}$ Instead of exploring reactions that require
multiple steps-with each step leading to a decrease in $\% \mathrm{~mol}$ functionalization of the polymer-it would be prudent to develop a reaction that is able to functionalize PVC in one chemical transformation.

Alkyl halides remain under-explored as cross-coupling partners in two-electron transition-metal catalysis because they decompose via $\beta$-hydride elimination. ${ }^{148,149}$ Researchers have avoided this elimination pathway by generating alkyl radicals that serve as partners in cross-electrophile coupling reactions. ${ }^{150}$ This crosselectrophile coupling reaction uses starting materials that are more affordably sourced than their organometallic counterparts. Additionally, many of these cross-electrophile coupling reactions use of earth abundant catalysts—such as nickel—that make these transformations promising for industrial applications. While these cross-electrophile couplings have been expanded to include a small library of alkyl halides, their application to polymer functionalization has not yet been explored. Herein, I propose a strategy to functionalize PVC through cross-electrophile coupling of $\mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bonds to prevent the formation of hydrochloric acid. These transformations will also modify the polymer material properties and present a new opportunity for upcycling PVC for additional applications. By treating PVC as a feedstock for the formation of new polymers, we can open the door to additional lifecycles for an abundant polymer source.

Aim 1: Developing compatible $\mathrm{sp}_{3} \underline{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl} \text { functionalization reactions }}$
Optimizing cross-electrophile coupling of secondary alkyl chlorides
Previous reports by Weix and coworkers demonstrate that coupling of alkyl chlorides in nickelcatalyzed cross-electrophile coupling is possible with the incorporation of specialized ligands and salt additives. ${ }^{151}$

Incorporation of substoichiometric quantities of lithium iodide or lithium bromide promote the formation of alkyl bromides or iodides as
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Scheme 5. Proposed cross-electrophile coupling reaction with 2-chlorobutadiene.
an intermediate prior to radical formation. While this method was applicable to the cross-coupling of primary alkyl chlorides, the yield decreased when applied to a cyclic secondary alkyl chloride. Secondary alkyl bromides have been reported as suitable cross coupling partners, ${ }^{152}$ but linear alkyl chlorides were not explored in this method. Most likely, the slow formation of the secondary alkyl iodide or bromide (via $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ ) contributes to the low formation of product. I hypothesize that incorporating an additional catalyst to promote secondary alkyl chloride abstraction will promote alkyl iodide and radical formation. One option includes expanding the scope of salt additives to include quaternary ammonium salts (A1), ${ }^{153}$ but chlorine abstraction could also be achieved through incorporation of a bis-thiourea catalyst and salt additive (A2) (Scheme 5). ${ }^{154}$ Alternatively, substitution of the nickel to a $\mathrm{MnBr}_{2}$ precatalyst could promote the formation of secondary alkyl radicals more favorably and increase the formation of cross-electrophile coupled product. ${ }^{155} \mathrm{To}$ develop a compatible alkyl $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ crosscoupling reaction, I propose first expanding the use of transition-metal catalysis to linear small molecules, such as 2 -chlorobutane (Scheme 5). To optimize this reaction, gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC-MS) will be employed to detect the formation of product (3) and unwanted side products, such as aryl dimerization. Alkyl chloride dimerization-while still somewhat undesirable-could prove a viable pathway to later functionalize PVC through crosslinking and network formation. As some secondary alkyl groups can isomerize in cross-coupling reactions with other catalytic systems, ${ }^{156}$ nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy will also be used to monitor the formation of any isomerized product. I plan to explore ligand structure, solvent, aryl halide coupling partners, and precatalyst structure as additional parameters to optimize the reaction. Developing this reaction expands the scope of viable substrates for alkyl-chloride cross-coupling transformations.

Once the reaction of 2-chlorobutane has been optimized as the alkyl chloride cross coupling partner, I plan to apply these conditions to 2,4-dichloropentane (DCP) (Scheme 6). DCP (4) can be prepared in one step ${ }^{157}$ and is a small molecule that more closely mirrors the structure of PVC. I plan to monitor the formation of mono (5) and bis-substitution (6) of the aryl halide coupling partner as well as possible cyclization products. Moreover, since PVC varies in its tacticity, ${ }^{158}$ I will study the effect of the stereochemical relationship between both stereoisomers of DCP on the yield of the cross-coupling reaction (Scheme 6). Separating these
diastereomers and studying them can also provide insight into the presence of radical rearrangements between adjacent $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bonds during the mechanism. In other words, if $(2 S, 4 S)$ - DCP forms a racemic mixture of the mono product (5), I could conclude that radical rearrangements exist with neighboring alkyl chlorides. If the abundance of radicals or radical rearrangements inhibit the reaction, I propose substituting the salt additives for bromine-based halogen abstraction agents to decrease the rate of radical formation and better control the reaction. Studying this transformation will inform the design of initial parameters for applying this smallmolecule reaction to the functionalization of PVC.

coupling products via an iodo- or bromo-alkyl intermediate is not successful, I plan to implement other Scheme 6. Proposed cross-electrophile coupling reaction with 2,4 dichloropentane.
synthetic
strategies to achieve halogen atom abstraction and radical formation. ${ }^{159,160}$ Incorporation of a dual catalytic system to activate the alkyl halide could improve the efficiency of the reaction; incorporation of more mild and homogenous reductants-such as amines-could also improve the yield of the desired cross-coupling product. ${ }^{160}$ Photocatalytic strategies could also be explored as alternatives to obtain radical formation. Silyl radical precursors have enabled dual nickel/photoredox methods for the cross-electrophile coupling of primary and secondary alkyl chlorides. ${ }^{161,162}$ Pyridiunium salts-when they form a donor-acceptor complex with Hantzsch esters-enable a single-electron transfer that also forms an alkyl radical from alkyl halide precursors. ${ }^{163}$ The photocatalytic generation of the radical from an alkyl chloride precursor is a suitable alternative to the halogen-exchange intermediate. Photocatalytic transformations have been previously implemented in the post-polymerization functionalization and degradation of thermoplastics. ${ }^{26}$

Beyond photocatalysis, electrocatalytic methods also serve as an attractive alternative to promote crosselectrophile coupling of alkyl halides. ${ }^{164}$ Several instances of cross-electrophile coupling of linear alkyl bromides ${ }^{165-168}$ with aryl halides have been reported with a more limited exploration of activated alkyl chlorides. ${ }^{169}$ The benefits of electrocatalysis include higher frequency and localization of reductions that lead to the generation of radicals. Therefore, I could also implement an electrochemical strategy to promote the generation of alkyl radicals from secondary alkyl chlorides. Judicious selection of an electrochemical mediator, electrode, and solvent would be parameters to explore while expanding the scope of alkyl chloride coupling partners.

## Aim 2: Applying the transformation to PVC materials

Once the appropriate small molecule reaction has been optimized as outlined in Aim 1, I plan to apply this optimized reaction condition to the functionalization of PVC thermoplastics through a post-polymerization modification (PPM) reaction (Scheme 7). Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) will measure the polymer size and dispersity before and after the PPM reaction to ensure that the reaction does not significantly decrease polymer molecular weight through degradation pathways nor increase the molecular weight via crosslinking of PVC chains. To confirm incorporation of the aryl coupling partners, NMR and infrared spectroscopy (IR) analysis will be used to look for incorporation of $\mathrm{sp}^{2} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds within the polymer backbone. Additionally,


Scheme 7. Applying small-molecule cross-coupling reactions to the post-polymerization functionalization of poly(vinyl) chloride.
functionalized during the PPM reaction. Up to $30 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ functionalization has been observed in other linear polymer modifications such as $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation ${ }^{144,170}$ and grafting from PVC. ${ }^{147}$ DOESY NMR will confirm the incorporation of the aryl coupling partners along the polymer chain. A library of aryl coupling partners will be screened to determine the scope of the PPM reaction, but 3-chloropyridine presents an especially attractive coupling partner because of the potential for pyridine to sequester any HCl generated during PVC reprocessing after functionalization. To test whether these functional groups sufficiently inhibit HCl formation and depolymerization, these materials will be reprocessed and heated, using GPC, NMR, and IR to measure the changes in polymer structure after recycling. Beyond expanding the library of aryl halide coupling partners, the reductant, catalyst, and ligand can be modified or optimized to promote PVC functionalization.

This PVC functionalization presents multiple strategies to modify and upcycle the PVC thermoplastic for additional applications. For example, I envision expanding on small molecule alkyl-alkyl reaction conditions to develop a reaction that crosslinks PVC chains to synthesize PVC thermosets. ${ }^{171}$ Transforming PVC through crosslinking to form thermosets will develop a material with additional thermal and mechanical stability, and increase the elastic behavior of these materials. ${ }^{138}$

Functionalizing PVC through cross-electrophile coupling reactions will potentially mitigate the effects of harmful HCl formation. Furthermore, installing aryl moieties on the polymer chain will impart new properties on these PVC materials. To study the changes in the PPM transformation on properties such as crystallinity, melting temperature $\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$, and glass transition temperature $\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$, I plan to analyze these newly functionalized polymers through differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). Results from these experiments will inform new applications for these materials. However, I plan to design specific cross-coupling partners to modify material properties. Installing short branches of low- $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}$ polymers at $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ sites along the PVC backbone will lower the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}$ of the thermoplastic.

To further characterize these modified thermoplastics and thermosets, I anticipate using dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA) to test the tensile properties of these materials compared to un-functionalized PVC polymers. I will compare the properties of the polymers through stress-strain curves. Impact testing and fracture propagation will provide additional opportunities to analyze and compare these materials to their non-
functionalized counterparts. As part of a longer goal to incorporate these polymers in industrial applications, I plan to study these polymers as components in blends with additives and other classes of polymers. In fact, modification of PVC with additional functional groups could expand the computability of these polymer chains within other polymer blends.

## Experimental Challenges and Contingency Plans

Post-polymerization modification results in a broad range of $\%$ mol functionalization of the starting polymer. The most significant obstacle I anticipate for this project is functionalizing the polymer to an extent such that HCl generation is sequestered, and the resulting polymer has sufficiently unique properties for upcycling applications. Modification of solvent, ligand structure, catalyst type and equivalence, and external reductant can be investigated to increase the $\mathrm{mol} \%$ functionalization of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bonds. While one approach could be to modify the PPM conditions to increase the mol $\%$ functionalization of PVC, I envision expanding the scope of aryl cross-coupling partners to include more basic sites and heterocycles with a higher $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{aH}}$ (Scheme 7).

The other challenge I anticipate is the functionalized PVC still forming HCl upon heating and reprocessing, leading to polymer degradation. Increasing the percent functionalization with substrates that can neutralize acid could mitigate this pathway. The focus of the transformation could be re-examined to focus on upcycling and using PVC as a feedstock for new polymer materials as an alternative approach to closed-loop recycling.

## Summary and Conclusion

With the rise in plastic use, there is an increased demand for sensible recycling and end-of-life pathways for all polymers, including PVC. While many plastics have viable recycling and reprocessing pathways, PVC remains largely unexplored in industrial settings due to the lack of effective transformations. In the effort to develop new approaches to recycling PVC, I envision using PVC as a feedstock for functionalization and synthesis of new polymers. To realize this vision, I propose developing a cross-electrophile coupling reaction that successfully functionalizes secondary linear alkyl chlorides. Development of this small-molecule reaction broadens the scope of cross-electrophile coupling and will provide new mechanistic insights to this transition metal transformation. Once this transformation has been realized, I plan to apply this reaction to the
functionalization of PVC. The resulting functionalized polymers will be characterized to confirm successful modification and to test the new materials properties of the modified PVC. These functionalized material properties will provide new pathways for upcycling PVC and mitigate the harmful side reactions observed during conventional recycling methods.
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